

## Variational Theorem (Proof)

*Theorem:* In a system where  $\hat{H}$  is a time-independent Hamiltonian and  $|\Phi\rangle$  is a well-behaved ‘trial’ wave function that satisfies the boundary conditions of the system, the Rayleigh quotient ( $\rho$ )

$$\rho = \frac{\langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle} \geq E_0 \quad (\text{the system's ground-state energy}). \quad (1)$$

*Proof:* Assuming, WLOG, that  $|\Phi\rangle$  is normalized, we want to show:  $\rho = \langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle \geq E_0$ .

Furthermore, by normalization of  $|\Phi\rangle$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 = 1. \quad (2)$$

The key concept is to expand the trial function  $|\Phi\rangle$  in the complete basis of eigenfunctions of  $\hat{H}$ ,  $\{\psi_k\}$ .

$$|\Phi\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k |\psi_k\rangle \quad (3)$$

Where we construct the basis to be orthonormal ( $\langle \psi_j | \psi_k \rangle$ ).

Now we are in a position to evaluate the energy expectation value

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle &= \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j^* \langle \psi_j | \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{H} a_k |\psi_k\rangle \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_j^* a_k \langle \psi_j | \hat{H} | \psi_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_j^* a_k E_k \langle \psi_j | \psi_k \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_j^* a_k E_k \delta_{jk} \end{aligned}$$

Which yields the final result

$$\langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 E_k \quad (4)$$

With some foresight, rewrite this equation as

$$\langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle = E_0 |a_0|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 E_k \quad (5)$$

Applying the normalization of the trial function,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle &= E_0 \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 E_k \\ &= E_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 (E_k - E_0) \end{aligned}$$

The last assumption we'll make (WLOG) will be that the the eigenvalues are ordered,  $E_0 \leq E_1 \leq \dots \leq E_n$ , so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 (E_k - E_0) \geq 0. \quad (6)$$

Thus,

$$\langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle \geq E_0 + 0 \quad \blacksquare. \quad (7)$$

Clearly equality holds when  $|\Phi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle$ , the exact ground-state wavefunction in the specified basis representation. In practice, this means that minimizing the energy expectation value yields the ground state energy of the system.

## What is the variational method // how do we use it?

We can restate the variational principle in matrix form:

$$\frac{\mathbf{c}^\dagger \mathbf{H} \mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{c}^\dagger \mathbf{c}} \geq E_0, \quad (8)$$

where, in a given basis set,  $\mathbf{H}$  is the matrix representation of the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$ , and  $\mathbf{c}$  is the coefficient vector of the basis functions of the system's ground-state wave function  $|\Psi\rangle$ .

**Claim:** A vector  $\mathbf{c}$  that minimizes  $\mathbf{c}^\dagger \mathbf{H} \mathbf{c}$  is the ground-state eigenvector of  $\mathbf{H}$ .

**Proof:**

We'll show that minimizing the expectation value  $\mathbf{c}^\dagger \mathbf{H} \mathbf{c}$  (with  $\mathbf{c}^\dagger \mathbf{c} = 1$ ) is equivalent to solving a matrix eigenvalue problem.

A good way to minimize  $\mathbf{c}^\dagger \mathbf{H} \mathbf{c}$  with respect to the constraint  $\mathbf{c}^\dagger \mathbf{c} = 1$  is by using a Lagrangian optimization:

$$\mathcal{L}\{\mathbf{c}, \lambda\} = \mathbf{c}^\dagger \mathbf{H} \mathbf{c} - \lambda(\mathbf{c}^\dagger \mathbf{c} - 1) \quad (9)$$

$$= \sum_{ij} c_i^* H_{ij} c_j - \lambda \left( \sum_i c_i^* c_i - 1 \right) \quad (10)$$

The Lagrange optimization procedure requires  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{c}} = 0$ . Consider the derivative with respect to  $\mathbf{c}$ :

$$0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_k} = \sum_{ij} \left[ \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial c_k} H_{ij} c_j + c_i H_{ij} \frac{\partial c_j}{\partial c_k} \right] - \lambda \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} c_i^2 \quad (11)$$

$$= \sum_{ij} \delta_{ik} H_{ij} c_j + \sum_{ij} c_i H_{ij} \delta_{jk} - \lambda \sum_i 2c_i^2 \delta_{ik} \quad (12)$$

$$= \sum_j H_{kj} c_j + \sum_i c_i H_{ik} - \lambda 2c_k^2 \quad (13)$$

Since summed-over indices are just dummy variables (interchangeable),

$$= \sum_i H_{ki} c_i + \sum_i c_i H_{ik} - \lambda 2c_k^2 \quad (14)$$

Since  $\mathbf{H}$  is symmetric,

$$= \sum_i H_{ki} c_i + \sum_i -\lambda 2c_k^2 \quad (15)$$

So we have arrived at

$$0 = 2 \left( \sum_i H_{ik} c_i - \lambda c_k \right) \quad (16)$$

Which we rearrange to identify as an eigenvalue problem:

$$\sum_i H_{ki} c_i = \lambda c_k, \quad (17)$$

In matrix form this equation is  $\mathbf{H} \mathbf{c}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{c}_k$ .

**Conclude:** This is very significant, because it means that solving for lowest eigenvalue and its associated eigenvector of  $\mathbf{H}$  corresponds physically to finding the ground state energy of the system.