Problem 3 We then have: $\ln(\Omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \ln(\lambda) - \lambda \pi_i + \ln(\alpha) - \alpha \lambda$ MSE Somple Mean = 0 = 3 = 0,9 · Take derivative and set it to 0: Yes, I think that the unbiased estimator yields the lowest MSE. $\frac{d}{d\lambda} (\hat{\mathbb{B}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i - \alpha = 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{\lambda}_{MAP} = \frac{\lambda}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i + \alpha}$ Insituations where there is a significant rish that the model might everyfit due to a large variance in the estimator, increasing the value of I can be beneficial for reducing the anticipated error. Problem 2 $\begin{array}{c} (a) \\ (b) = \frac{1}{12} \rho(x_1 | \theta) = \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{12} \end{array}$ However O must be greater than the max observal, xmx. To ensure that aff the values are in range. Constraint: 0> xm b) The joint proba is maximized when O is minimized, Thursbure, OMLE = X max