SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Alva Fandrey

1dv600

2019-03-12

| Contents

1	Revision History	2
2	General Information	3
3	Vision	4
	3.1 Reflection	4
4	Project Plan	5
	4.1 Introduction	5
	4.2 Justification	5
	4.3 Stakeholders	5
	4.4 Resources	5
	4.5 Hard- & Software Requirements	5
	4.6 Overall Project Schedule	6
	4.7 Scope, Constraints and Assumptions	6
	4.7.1 Scope	6
	4.7.2 Constraints	6
	4.7.3 Assumptions	6
	4.8 Reflection	6
5	Iterations	7
	5.1 Iteration 1	7
	5.2 Iteration 2	7
	5.3 Iteration 3	7
	5.4 Iteration 4	7
	5.5 Reflection	7
6	Risk Analysis	8
	6.1 List of risks	8
	6.2 Strategies	8
	6.3 Reflection	8
7	Time log	9
	7.1 Assignment 1	9
	7.1.1 Reflection	9
	7.2 Assignment 2	9
	7.3 Assignment 3	9
	7.4 Assignment 4	

1 | Revision History

Date	Version	Description	Author
5/2-19 V1.0 Assignment 1		Assignment 1	Alva Fandrey
12/3-19	V1.1	Re-take assignment 1	Alva Fandrey

2 | General Information

Project Summary		
Project Name	Project ID	
Hangman	af222ug_1dv600	
Project Manager	Main Client	
Alva Fandrey	Dog breed enthusiasts	

Key Stakeholders

Manager

Developer/Software engineer

Tester

End-user (Dog breed enthusiasts)

Teachers/tutors (in course 1dv600)

Executive Summary

In this software development project a console application of the game "Hangman" will be developed as a project for the course 1dv600.

The basic features of "Hangman" are that the system randomly picks a word from a predefined list and presents it to the user with equally many underscore signs. Then it is up to the user to guess that word using one letter at the time.

To satisfy our main client the predefined list will only contain different dog breeds.

3 | Vision

The goal with this project is to create a JavaScript console application of the game "Hangman". The basic idea of Hangman is to let the player guess a word one letter at a time.

When the application is started the user will login by entering a nickname. The player should be greeted with a menu where they can choose to start the game, watch the high score list or quit the application. The player should be able to quit the application at all times. When the user chooses to start the game a word from a predefined list will be randomly picked and the number of letters will be displayed with equally many underscore signs. This predefined list will only contain different dog breeds. The player will then guess the word by suggesting letter after letter. For every wrong guess the player has the game will build a part of a man getting hanged. The player can have ten faulty guesses before the game is over. The high score list will present how many guesses a player has had and also how long time it took for the player to finish the game. If the user logging in is an admin, that user will be able to manage the list of words by view all available words, adding new words and removing words.

Hangman is a very popular game to play and there are many different versions of the game available to play on the internet. To make this game differentiate from other Hangman games found on the internet our version will contain a high score function based on number of guesses and time spent guessing.

3.1 Reflection

I found it a little bit hard on what to include in the vision since it was described different in the template as to what was in the lecture. According to the template, and what was said in slack from the tutors, the vision was supposed to describe the system being created so this is what I have done. In the template from lecture 3 you were supposed to include "Problem statement" and "Stakeholders". However, I chose to not include these parts because there will be more information about stakeholders in the project plan and the "Problem statement" was hard to describe since this application won't really solve a problem it's just something that has to be done as a part of this course? I did however include some bits from the "Product position statement" when I explained what would differentiate this application from other similar applications.

4 | Project Plan

4.1 Introduction

The purpose of this project plan is to gather all necessary information to plan and control the project being developed during this course. The project plan will describe the approach to develop the Hangman game. This project plan will be used by the project manager for planning and by the project team members to understand what they need to do. This project plan will describe the different stakeholders and what resources will be available during this project development. There will also be an overall project schedule provided. This is a living document and it will be updated throughout the course.

4.2 Justification

This game is created to fulfil our main clients goal about having a word guessing game available online for all dog breed enthusiasts and therefor this game will only have one category for the words being guessed and that is different dog breeds.

4.3 Stakeholders

Manager – is responsible for project planning, breaking down the work into parts and assign them to team members, anticipate what problems might arise, and prepare tentative solutions.

Developer/Software engineer – is responsible for developing the planned project.

Tester – is responsible for testing the functionality of the project with manual and unit tests.

End-user – main client who will approve the finished project.

Teachers/tutors (in course 1dv600) – will grade the assignments as well as the finished project.

4.4 Resources

The time budget for this project is 9 weeks, with 20 hour weeks per person.

Personnel available during the development of this project are the manager, one developer/software engineer and one tester. However, these roles are actually all played by one single person.

IDE – Visual Studio Code

Programming Language – JavaScript

4.5 Hard- & Software Requirements

Hardware: Processor Intel® Core™ m3-6Y30 (or similar).

Software: Visual Studio Code v1.30.2 (or newer), Node v8.11.1 (or newer) and npm v6.1.0 (or newer).

4.6 Overall Project Schedule

Start	End Date	Deliverables	
Date			
22/1-19	8/2-19	Project plan, skeleton code (GitHub release)	
8/2-19	21/2-19	Updated project plan, design documentation, GitHub	
		release	
21/2-19	8/3-19	Updated project plan, test documentation, GitHub release	
8/3-19	22/3-19	Updated project plan, updated design documentation,	
		updated test documentation, GitHub release	

4.7 Scope, Constraints and Assumptions

4.7.1 Scope

The general idea of the project is to create an ordinary hangman game where the player will be provided with a number of underscore signs, which are equal to the number of letters in a randomly picked word from a predefined list. The player will then guess this word by suggesting letter after letter. The player can have ten faulty guesses at most and for every faulty guess the game will build a part of a man getting hanged. When starting the application the user will be asked to enter a name which we will use to add in the extra functionality of a high score list, to make this game different from other hangman games available to play online. In the high score list we will present the players name, how many guesses the player had and also how long time it took for the player to finish the game. If the user enters the name "Admin" the user will first be asked to enter a password and then the user will be presented with a new menu where they could view all words in the predefined list, add new words to the list and also remove words from the list. This game will also differentiate from other "Hangman" games because all words in the predefined list will only be different dog breeds, just to satisfy our main client.

4.7.2 Constraints

This should not be a very time consuming project, however the time could be a limitation anyways if the time is not well planned. Since we do not have all knowledge about the project from the start, it will make it harder to plan the time.

4.7.3 Assumptions

For this project it is assumed that the end-users know how to use the console to play this application.

4.8 Reflection

I thought some parts of creating the project plan was a bit difficult since there was not that much of a description in the project plan and I did not find that there was that much of information in the lecture either. I did look through the book and found some information that combined with the information in the template made it a little bit easier. I felt unsure what was supposed to be written under "Resources" and maybe we get more knowledge on what resources will be needed in the future assignments. Also it seems unnecessary to include "costs" under resources because I do not believe there will be any costs.

5 | Iterations

5.1 Iteration 1

Task	Estimated	Responsible
	Time	
Create GitHub repo	15 min	Developer
Write project documentation	4h	Manager
Implementation – idea and skeleton code	3h	Developer

5.2 Iteration 2

Task	Estimated Time	Responsible
Plan for assignment 2		Manager
Create Use Cases		Manager
Modelling behaviour – create a state machine		Manager
Implementation – add the modelled behaviour		Developer
Modelling structure – create class diagram		Manager

5.3 Iteration 3

Task	Estimated	Responsible
	Time	
Create Test Plan		Manager
Manual Test Cases (using the client application)		Tester
Unit Tests		Tester
Implementation – additional features		Developer

5.4 Iteration 4

Task	Estimated Time	Responsible
Document new features		Manager
Test new features		Tester
Implementation – complete the project		Developer

5.5 Reflection

I wanted to include a reflection for this section also to explain my thoughts. I was unsure on what to include except for a description of the task being done and the estimated time that was mentioned in the template. I did some time estimates for the future iterations, but I thought this was very hard because I'm not sure exactly what to do in future iterations and how long time these tasks can take because I have not done them before. There is a description on what to do, but I feel like to know exactly how to estimate the time well I would have to watch all future lectures before to know exactly what to do. It was also mentioned that we were supposed to mention what would be done and with "what resources", and I interpreted this as who is responsible for each task being completed.

6 | Risk Analysis

6.1 List of risks

Risk	Probability	Effects
The time required to develop the software is underestimated	High	Serious
Team members are ill and unavailable at critical times	Moderate	Serious
Facts needed to complete the system architecture are not	Moderate	Catastrophic
known, or known imprecisely		
Changes to requirements that require major design rework	Low	Serious
are proposed		
Lack of definition in the system requirements	High	Catastrophic

6.2 Strategies

Risk	Strategy	
Underestimated development time	Exaggerate when doing time estimation and plan with "slack".	
Staff illness	Make sure team members have understanding about each other's job.	
Lack of knowledge	Investigate what facts will be needed to complete the system at the beginning of the project.	
Requirements changes	Measure the impact in changing the requirements and explain the consequences.	
Lack of definition	Try to get as much information about the requirements at the start of the project.	

6.3 Reflection

There were many examples in the book about different risks, but many of these risks I excluded since I believe this is not a huge project with no real budget (except for time) and it's only one person working all roles as well as I'm being my own "customer" also. Therefore risks like "impossible to recruit staff" and "organization is restructured" is not necessary to include here, but I wrote down the risks I thought was relevant for this project. I also used some of the risk examples from the lecture. When it came to "Strategies" I tried to come up with my own strategies and not use exactly what was said in the book.

7 | Time log

7.1 Assignment 1

Date	Task	Estimated	Actual
		Time	Time
24/1-19	Create GitHub repo	15 min	15 min
5/2-19	Create project documentation	4h	5.5h
7/2-19	Start implementation	3h	5.5h
12/3-19	Update documentation for re-take	1.5h	2.5h

7.1.1 Reflection

When it comes to creating the project documentation I did not include the time it took to watch the lectures and read the pages in the book, however sometime I had to go back and watch some parts of the lecture or read about something in the book again when I was unsure on what to write and that time is included and I think that is why there is a time difference because I was often unsure on what to write because some parts in the template was not that well describe according to me. I did add extra time to implementation because when I estimated the time I was unsure on how much code we were supposed to implement at this stage and therefore there is a big time difference.

7.2 Assignment 2

Date	Task	Estimated Time	Actual Time
	Plan for assignment 2		
	Create Use Cases		
	Modelling behaviour – create a state machine		
	Implementation – add the modelled behaviour		
	Modelling structure – create class diagram		

7.3 Assignment 3

Date	Task	Estimated Time	Actual Time
	Create Test Plan		
	Manual Test Cases (using the client application)		
	Unit Tests		
	Implementation – additional features		

7.4 Assignment 4

Date	Task	Estimated Time	Actual Time
	Document new features		
	Test new features		
	Implementation – complete the project		