index

April 19, 2022

Table of Contents

- 1 Business Understanding
- 2 Data Understanding
- 3 Data Preparation
- 4 Modeling
- 4.1 Preprocessing Class
- 4.2 Grid Search Class
- 4.3 Model Performance Visualization Functions
- 4.4 Shallow Learning
- 4.4.1 Naive Bayes
- 4.4.2 Logistic Regression
- 4.4.3 Random Forest
- 4.5 Gradient Boosting
- 4.5.1 XGBoost
- 4.5.2 CatBoost
- 4.6 Sequence Models
- 4.6.1 Long Short Term Memory
- 4.7 Transformer Models
- 4.7.1 Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers
- 5 Evaluation
- 5.1 Model Performances
- 5.2 Final Model
- 5.3 Model Interpretation
- 6 Conclusion
- 7 Next Steps

1 Business Understanding

Sentiment analysis is one the of the most important tasks to understand user satisfaction. Most websites that offer products and services have various means of keeping track of user satisfaction criteria, such as stars-based system. However, most users are disincentivized to provide accurate rating for the products or services they purchased. In addition, manually sorting through users' comments to determine if the comments left by users/clients is positive or negative takes a lot of work. Therefore, the problem necessitates an automated way to determine sentiment analysis of clients.

2 Data Understanding

The data used in this project was obtained from Kaggle. The dataset contains four million comments (3.6 million training and 0.4 million test datasets). The files are presented in fastText format, which will be parsed to the required type of data for processing. Both the training and test datasets are labeled, which will help in quantify how the predictions measure with true labels.

The first step was to load and preprocess the training data, saved as B2Z zipped file. The preprocessing steps include: decontracting words, filter out email address and website URLs, splitting labels and statements, checking statements for spelling error, replace misspelled words by their closest approximated word (for example caracters was replaced by characters) and finally the cleaned statements are lemmatized and tagged with Part-Of-Speech.

Next, the words were vectorized using TfIdf, Count and GloVe word embedding vectorizers for model fitting. The minimum and maximum document frequencies were manually set to match GloVe vectorizer used for this project. The vectorized data were then applied to a grid of shallow models (naïve Bayes, logistic regression and random forest) and gradient boosting models (XGBoost and CatBoost).

For deep learning approaches, Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) models with and without word embedding were considered. In addition, a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) model with Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) encoding was used as an alternative to transformer models.

Finally, models from each method with the best performance were fitted with the test data and final model was selected. The final model was then trained over the training dataset for more epochs to maximize prediction accuracy. The model was then tested on novel comments.

3 Data Preparation

The project depends on the following libraries to function. Users are advised to uncomment the following section to install the libraries.

```
# ! pip install wordcloud
# ! pip install lime
```

```
[270]: # Import important libraries
       import os
       from os import remove
       from os.path import exists
       import wget
       import zipfile
       import pandas as pd
       import numpy as np
       import bz2
       import nltk
       from nltk import pos_tag
       from nltk.corpus import wordnet, stopwords
       from nltk.probability import FreqDist
       from nltk.tokenize import regexp_tokenize, word_tokenize, RegexpTokenizer
       import re
       from collections import Counter
       from autocorrect import Speller
       from enchant import request_dict
       from enchant.checker import SpellChecker
       from enchant.tokenize import EmailFilter, URLFilter
       from tqdm import tqdm
       from tqdm.contrib.concurrent import process_map
       from multiprocessing import Pool
       from time import time
       import itertools as it
       from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer, TfidfVectorizer
       from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
       from sklearn.base import clone
       from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder, StandardScaler
       from sklearn.utils.class_weight import compute_class_weight
       from imblearn.over_sampling import SMOTE, RandomOverSampler, ADASYN
       from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split, StratifiedKFold,_
       → GridSearchCV
       from sklearn.naive_bayes import MultinomialNB
       from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
       from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
       from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
       from xgboost.sklearn import XGBClassifier
       from catboost import CatBoostClassifier
       import tensorflow as tf
       from tensorflow import keras
       from tensorflow.python.keras import models, layers, optimizers
       from tensorflow.keras.preprocessing.text import Tokenizer
       from tensorflow.keras.preprocessing.sequence import pad_sequences
```

Useful packaged from NLTK are downloaded.

[3]: True

```
[3]: # Load NLTK packages
    nltk.download('tagsets')
     nltk.download('wordnet')
    nltk.download('stopwords')
    [nltk_data] Downloading package tagsets to
    [nltk_data]
                    C:\Users\zeaps\AppData\Roaming\nltk_data...
    [nltk_data]
                  Package tagsets is already up-to-date!
    [nltk data] Downloading package wordnet to
    [nltk_data]
                    C:\Users\zeaps\AppData\Roaming\nltk_data...
    [nltk data]
                  Package wordnet is already up-to-date!
    [nltk_data] Downloading package stopwords to
                    C:\Users\zeaps\AppData\Roaming\nltk_data...
    [nltk_data]
    [nltk_data]
                  Package stopwords is already up-to-date!
```

Now, lets build a function that automatically imports B2Z zipped file and see the contents.

```
t.set_description('Loading '+b2z_file_loc.split('/')[-1].split('.')[0]+'

data')

# Return 'utf-8' version of the file
return [x.decode('utf-8') for x in t]

train_file_lines = load_data('data/train.ft.txt.bz2')
```

Loading train data: 100%| | 3600000/3600000 [00:03<00:00, 1139600.91it/s]

We can now look at the contents of the file.

```
[5]: # Show the first 5 lines train_file_lines[:5]
```

[5]: ['__label__2 Stuning even for the non-gamer: This sound track was beautiful! It paints the senery in your mind so well I would recomend it even to people who hate vid. game music! I have played the game Chrono Cross but out of all of the games I have ever played it has the best music! It backs away from crude keyboarding and takes a fresher step with grate guitars and soulful orchestras. It would impress anyone who cares to listen! ^_^\n',

"__label__2 The best soundtrack ever to anything.: I'm reading a lot of reviews saying that this is the best 'game soundtrack' and I figured that I'd write a review to disagree a bit. This in my opinino is Yasunori Mitsuda's ultimate masterpiece. The music is timeless and I'm been listening to it for years now and its beauty simply refuses to fade. The price tag on this is pretty staggering I must say, but if you are going to buy any cd for this much money, this is the only one that I feel would be worth every penny.\n",

'__label__2 Amazing!: This soundtrack is my favorite music of all time, hands down. The intense sadness of "Prisoners of Fate" (which means all the more if you\'ve played the game) and the hope in "A Distant Promise" and "Girl who Stole the Star" have been an important inspiration to me personally throughout my teen years. The higher energy tracks like "Chrono Cross ~ Time\'s Scar~", "Time of the Dreamwatch", and "Chronomantique" (indefinably remeniscent of Chrono Trigger) are all absolutely superb as well. This soundtrack is amazing music, probably the best of this composer\'s work (I haven\'t heard the Xenogears soundtrack, so I can\'t say for sure), and even if you\'ve never played the game, it would be worth twice the price to buy it. I wish I could give it 6 stars.\n',

"__label__2 Excellent Soundtrack: I truly like this soundtrack and I enjoy video game music. I have played this game and most of the music on here I enjoy and it's truly relaxing and peaceful.On disk one. my favorites are Scars Of Time, Between Life and Death, Forest Of Illusion, Fortress of Ancient Dragons, Lost Fragment, and Drowned Valley.Disk Two: The Draggons, Galdorb - Home, Chronomantique, Prisoners of Fate, Gale, and my girlfriend likes ZelbessDisk Three: The best of the three. Garden Of God, Chronopolis, Fates, Jellyfish sea, Burning Orphange, Dragon's Prayer, Tower Of Stars, Dragon God, and Radical Dreamers - Unstealable Jewel.Overall, this is a excellent soundtrack and should

be brought by those that like video game music. Xander Cross\n",

"__label__2 Remember, Pull Your Jaw Off The Floor After Hearing it: If you've played the game, you know how divine the music is! Every single song tells a story of the game, it's that good! The greatest songs are without a doubt, Chrono Cross: Time's Scar, Magical Dreamers: The Wind, The Stars, and the Sea and Radical Dreamers: Unstolen Jewel. (Translation varies) This music is perfect if you ask me, the best it can be. Yasunori Mitsuda just poured his heart on and wrote it down on paper.\n"]

- [6]: # Show more lines with negative reviews train_file_lines[10:15]
- [6]: ["__label__1 The Worst!: A complete waste of time. Typographical errors, poor grammar, and a totally pathetic plot add up to absolutely nothing. I'm embarrassed for this author and very disappointed I actually paid for this book.\n",
 - '__label__2 Great book: This was a great book, I just could not put it down, and could not read it fast enough. Boy what a book the twist and turns in this just keeps you guessing and wanting to know what is going to happen next. This book makes you fall in love and can heat you up, it can also make you so angery. this book can make you go throu several of your emotions. This is a quick read romance. It is something that you will want to end your day off with if you read at night.\n',
 - '__label__2 Great Read: I thought this book was brilliant, but yet realistic. It showed me that to error is human. I loved the fact that this writer showed the loving side of God and not the revengeful side of him. I loved how it twisted and turned and I could not put it down. I also loved The glass castle. \n' ,
 - "__label__1 Oh please: I guess you have to be a romance novel lover for this one, and not a very discerning one. All others beware! It is absolute drivel. I figured I was in trouble when a typo is prominently featured on the back cover, but the first page of the book removed all doubt. Wait maybe I'm missing the point. A quick re-read of the beginning now makes it clear. This has to be an intentional churning of over-heated prose for satiric purposes. Phew, so glad I didn't waste \$10.95 after all.\n",
 - '__label__1 Awful beyond belief!: I feel I have to write to keep others from wasting their money. This book seems to have been written by a 7th grader with poor grammatical skills for her age! As another reviewer points out, there is a misspelling on the cover, and I believe there is at least one per chapter. For example, it was mentioned twice that she had a "lean" on her house. I was so distracted by the poor writing and weak plot, that I decided to read with a pencil in hand to mark all of the horrible grammar and spelling. Please don\'t waste your money. I too, believe that the good reviews must have been written by the author\'s relatives. I will not put much faith in the reviews from now on!\n']

Usually at this stage, visualization of which words are most frequent would aide to understand the processing stage. However, the file is just too large for such step.

In order to begin preprocessing, the following helper functions are written to streamline the process. The first is decontracting words, which converts words like don't to do not.

The following function converts NLTK POS tagging to wordnet. (This was adopted from the teaching material)

```
[8]: # Function to change NLTK POS to wordnet tags
def get_wordnet_pos(treebank_tag):
    '''
    Translate NLTK POS to wordnet tags
    '''
    if treebank_tag.startswith('J'):
        return wordnet.ADJ
    elif treebank_tag.startswith('V'):
        return wordnet.VERB
    elif treebank_tag.startswith('N'):
        return wordnet.NOUN
    elif treebank_tag.startswith('R'):
        return wordnet.ADV
    else:
        return wordnet.NOUN
```

Now we can write a data cleaner function, that removes emails and URLs, performs spelling checks, tokenize, lemmatize and POS tags data.

```
chkr = SpellChecker("en_US",filters=[EmailFilter,URLFilter])
   # Create RegEx pattern for tokenization
  pattern = "([a-zA-Z]+(?:'[a-z]+)?)"
   # Create RegexpTokenizer
  tokenizer = RegexpTokenizer(pattern)
   # Define stopwords from NLTK
  sw = stopwords.words('english')
   # Create lemmatizer object
  lemmatizer = nltk.stem.WordNetLemmatizer()
   # Split string at '__label__'
  splits = data.split('__label__')[-1]
   # Return lable and statement if labaled else return string alone
   if labeled:
       labels = int(splits[0])-1
       statement = decontracted(splits[1:].lower())
   else:
       labels = np.nan
       statement = decontracted(splits.lower())
   # Spell check statement
   if spell_check:
       # Create speller object
       spell = Speller(lang='en')
       # Spell check statement
       statement = spell(statement)
       chkr.set_text(statement)
       # Check for misspelled words
       for err in chkr:
           try:
               # Take the first correct spelling if it exists
               statement = statement.replace(err.word,request_dict(err.
\rightarrowword) [0])
           except:
               # Else skip word
               continue
   # Tokenize statement
  statement = tokenizer.tokenize(statement)
   # Remove stopwords
   statement = [w for w in statement if w not in sw]
   # Apply pos_tag to each token
  statement_tagged = [(token[0], get_wordnet_pos(token[1]))
                       for token in pos_tag(statement)]
   # Lemmatize statement
  statement_lemmed = [lemmatizer.lemmatize(token[0], token[1]) for token in_
→statement_tagged]
   # Return lemmed statement and labels
  return statement_lemmed, labels
```

Now, lets apply the function to the first training data entry.

```
[10]: # Apply data cleaner to the first entry
      statement, labels = data_cleaner(train_file_lines[0])
      statement
[10]: ['tune',
       'even',
       'non',
       'gamer',
       'sound',
       'track',
       'beautiful',
       'paint',
       'scenery',
       'mind',
       'well',
       'would',
       'recommend',
       'even',
       'people',
       'hate',
       'vid',
       'game',
       'music',
       'play',
       'game',
       'chrono',
       'cross',
       'game',
       'ever',
       'play',
       'best',
       'music',
       'back',
       'away',
       'crude',
       'keyboardist',
       'take',
       'fresh',
       'step',
       'rate',
       'guitar',
       'soulful',
       'orchestra',
       'would',
       'impress',
```

```
'anyone',
'care',
'listen']
```

This looks good. However, there are 3.6 million training datasets. Hence, the data cleaner must be able to run on a as a multithread pool instead of running on a single core. The cleaned data can then be saved to file.

```
[11]: # Function to save cleaned data
      def save_to_file(file_lines, attrib):
          Function to apply data cleaning on a pool
          file_lines: Lines to be cleaned
          attrib: 'train' of 'test' attribute to save cleaned data
          # Deploy data cleaner on multiple pools
          currtime = time()
          results = process_map(data_cleaner, file_lines,
                                max_workers=Pool()._processes,
                                chunksize=Pool()._processes)
          # Collect results and labels from parallel pools
          statements = []
          labels = []
          for result in results:
              statements.append(result[0])
              labels.append(result[-1])
          # Create a dataframe of cleaned data
          df = pd.DataFrame(columns=['statements', 'labels'])
          df.statements = statements
          df.labels = labels
          # Save cleaned data to file in a zipped format
          df.to csv(r'data/'+attrib+'.zip', index=False, compression='gzip')
          print('Parallel: time elapsed:', time() - currtime)
          return df
```

CAUTION: This operation takes over 12 hours to complete on 16 core computer with over 100 GB. Users are advised to download a cleaned version from this link. Unzip the file and copy files to data/ directory.

```
[302]: # Check if 'data/train.zip' exists
if exists('data/train.zip'):
    # Remove lines if it exists to save memory
# del train_file_lines
    # Load to dataframe from file
    train_df = pd.read_csv('data/train.zip', compression='gzip')
    # Remove square braces from each side
    def str_cleaner(line):
        return line[1:-1].replace("'",'').replace(',','')
```

```
train_df.statements = train_df.statements.apply(str_cleaner)
else:
    # Else run save_to_file function
    train_df = save_to_file(train_file_lines, 'train')
    # Remove lines if it exists to save memory
    del train_file_lines
```

If loaded correctly, train_df should show the first five entries, like so:

```
[303]: train_df.head()
```

```
[303]:

0 tune even non gamer sound track beautiful pain...
1 best soundtrack ever anything reading lot revi...
1 amaze soundtrack favorite music time hand inte...
1 excellent soundtrack truly like soundtrack enj...
1 remember pull jaw floor hear played game know ...
1 train_df.labels.value_counts(normalize=True)
```

```
[14]: 1 0.5
```

0 0.5
Name: labels, dtype: float64


Our data is balanced. Therefore, there is no need for oversampling. Now data visualization can be applied.

```
[312]: # Find the most frequent words
       all_words = (' '.join(train_df.statements.to_list())).split()
       # Convert to dataframe
       most common all = pd.DataFrame(FreqDist(all words).most common(10))
       # Delete all words
       del all_words
       # Find the most frequent positive words
       positive_words = (' '.join(train_df[train_df.labels==1].statements.to_list())).
       ⇒split()
       # Convert to dataframe
       most_common_pos = pd.DataFrame(FreqDist(positive_words).most_common(10))
       # Delete positive words
       del positive_words
       # Find the most frequent negative words
       negative_words = (' '.join(train_df[train_df.labels==0].statements.to_list())).
       →split()
       # Convert to dataframe
       most_common_neg = pd.DataFrame(FreqDist(negative_words).most_common(10))
       # Delete negative words
```

```
del negative_words
# Store all in a list
hist_words = [most_common_all, most_common_pos, most_common_neg]
```

Now we can plot the most frequent words in the training data.

```
[320]: # Initialize figure
       fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 3, figsize=(15,5))
       # Set title labels
       labels = ['Most common words', 'Most common positive words',
                 'Most common negative words']
       # Iterate through each dataframe and plot word frequencies
       for label, ax, hist_word in zip(labels, axes.flatten(), hist_words):
           # Rename columns of the dataframe
           hist_word.columns = ['Words', 'Frequency']
           # Plot frequency
           g = sns.barplot(data=hist_word, x='Words', y='Frequency',
                           ax=ax, color='tab:blue')
           # Rotate xtick labels for visibility
           g.set_xticklabels(g.get_xticklabels(), rotation=25,
                         horizontalalignment='right')
           # Set title
           ax.set_title(label)
```



For word embedding, glove.6B.300d is selected, which contains 300 vectors representing each word.

```
[17]: # Check if pickled GloVe embedding file exists
if not exists('glove_embedding.pickle'):
    # If not, check if a zipped embedding text file exists
    if not exists('glove.6B.300d.txt'):
```

```
# Download word embedding
        wget.download(url='https://nlp.stanford.edu/data/glove.6B.zip')
        # Unzip file
        zip_ = zipfile.ZipFile('glove.6B.zip')
        zip_.extract('glove.6B.300d.txt')
        zip_.close()
        # Remove zipped file from downloads
        remove('glove.6B.zip')
    embeddings index = {}
    # Open glove embedding text
    f = open('glove.6B.300d.txt', encoding = "utf-8")
    # Iterate through each word and create an embedding dictionary
    for line in f:
        # First line after split is the word, followed by embedding vector
        values = line.split()
        word = values[0]
        # Convert embedding vector to float32
        coefs = np.asarray(values[1:], dtype='float32')
        # Populate the dictionary
        embeddings_index[word] = coefs
    f.close()
    # Remove text file after operation
    remove('glove.6B.300d.txt')
    # Save embedding dict to file
    with open('glove_embedding.pickle', 'wb') as f:
        pickle.dump(embeddings_index, f)
else:
    # Else load pickled file
    with open('glove_embedding.pickle', 'rb') as f:
        embeddings_index = pickle.load(f)
```

There are a total of 400,000 words in the GloVe embedding.

```
[18]: len(embeddings_index.keys())
```

[18]: 400000

Training and test data are split to training and validation datasets. Relatively higher test_size is declared since the amount of data is generally large.

Over 2.5 million training dataset to be used for modeling.

```
[20]: X_train.shape
```

[20]: (2520000,)

Memory management is important in this project. Therefore, large variables are deleted after use.

```
[321]: # Delete train_df del train_df
```

4 Modeling

Most of the modeling tools used here require more computational resources. Therefore, one less than the number of CPUs are used for various models here. For gradient boost models, GPUs are used instead of CPUs.

```
[24]: n_cpu = os.cpu_count()-1
print("Number of CPUs in the system:", n_cpu)
```

Number of CPUs in the system: 7

4.1 Preprocessing Class

A preprocessing class was written to load data from file or to vectorize the training data.

```
[22]: class Preprocess():
          Preprocessing class for text vectorization
          def __init__(self, dataset=None,
                       transformer='tfidf',
                       cleaned=True,
                       ngram_range=(1,1),
                       max_features = 20000,
                       min_df = 0.05,
                       \max_{df} = 0.95):
               ,,,
              Initialize parameters
              dataset: Type of dataset used
              transformer: Type of vectorizing transformer
              cleaned: Boolean if data is cleaned
              ngram_range: ngram tuple for vectorization
              max_features: Maximum allowed features
              min_df: Minimum document frequency
              max_df: Maximum document frequency
              111
              self.dataset = dataset
              self.transformer = transformer
              self.cleaned = cleaned
```

```
self.ngram_range = ngram_range
       self.max_features = max_features
       self.min_df = min_df
       self.max_df = max_df
   def load_data(self, n_pool, labeled, attrib):
       Function to load data in a raw format
       n_pool: Number of pools for parallel operation
       labeled: Boolean if data is labeled
       attrib: 'train' or 'test' attribute
       if not self.cleaned:
           statements = []
           labels = []
           # Check pool, if 1 run on single thread
           # else run on specified threads
           if n_pool==1:
               for data in self.dataset:
                   statement, label = data_cleaner(data)
                   statements.append(statement)
                   labels.append(label)
           else:
               results = process_map(data_cleaner, data,
                         max_workers=n_pool,
                         chunksize=n pool)
               for result in results:
                   statements.append(result[0])
                   labels.append(result[-1])
           df = pd.DataFrame(columns=['statements', 'labels'])
           df.statements = statements
           df.labels = labels
           df.to_csv(r'data/'+attrib+'.zip', index=False, compression='gzip')
       else:
           df = pd.read_csv('data/'+attrib+'.zip', compression='gzip')
           def str_cleaner(line):
               return line[1:-1].replace("'",'').replace(' ','').replace(',',','
')
           df.statements = df.statements.apply(str_cleaner)
       return df
   def transform(self, df, return_vectorizer=True):
       Function to transform/vectorize data
       df: Series object containing text
       return_vectorizer: Boolean to return vectorizer used
```

```
# Use TfidfVectorizer
if self.transformer=='tfidf':
    vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(max_features=self.max_features,
                                  ngram_range=self.ngram_range,
                                  min_df=self.min_df,
                                  max_df=self.max_df)
    if return_vectorizer:
        tokens = (vectorizer.fit_transform(df).toarray(),vectorizer)
    else:
        tokens = vectorizer.fit_transform(df).toarray()
# Use CountVectorizer
elif self.transformer=='count':
    vectorizer = CountVectorizer(max features=self.max features,
                                  ngram_range=self.ngram_range,
                                  min_df=self.min_df,
                                  max_df=self.max_df)
    if return_vectorizer:
        tokens = (vectorizer.fit_transform(df).toarray(),vectorizer)
    else:
        tokens = vectorizer.fit_transform(df).toarray()
# If embed is specified, used embedding vector for each word,
# sum and normalize
elif self.transformer=='embed':
    def sent2vec(s):
        M = \Gamma
        for w in s:
            try:
                M.append(embeddings_index[w])
            except:
                continue
        M = np.array(M)
        v = M.sum(axis=0)
        if type(v) != np.ndarray:
            return np.zeros(300)
        return v / np.sqrt((v ** 2).sum())
    # Tokenize based on GloVe embedding
    data = df.apply(word_tokenize)
    # Return token as numpy matrix
    tokens = np.array(data.apply(sent2vec).tolist())
return tokens
```

TfIdf, Count and GloVe word embedding vectorizations are either preprocessed or loaded from file. Notice that min_df and max_df have been set to conform with embedding matrix. This avoids potential overfitting that could results in unfair advantage to embedding due to size.

```
[23]: # train_vec contains vectorization with tfidf, count and embedding vectorization if not exists('train_vec.pickle'):
```

```
# Apply TfIdf vectorization
    prep = Preprocess(transformer='tfidf',max_df=0.979,min_df=0.0201)
    X_train_tfidf, tfidf_vec = prep.transform(X_train)
    # Apply Count vectorization
    prep = Preprocess(transformer='count', max_df=0.979, min_df=0.0201)
    X_train_count, count_vec = prep.transform(X_train)
    # Apply embedding
    prep = Preprocess(transformer='embed')
    X_train_embed = prep.transform(X_train)
    # Add all three to X train vec
    X_train_vec = [X_train_tfidf, X_train_count, X_train_embed]
    vec_labels = ['TfIdf', 'Count', 'Embedding']
    vec_list = [tfidf_vec, count_vec]
    # Delete unused variables to save memory
    del X_train_tfidf, X_train_count, X_train_embed, tfidf_vec, count_vec
    # Save models to file
    with open('train_vec.pickle', 'wb') as f:
        pickle.dump(X_train_vec, f)
        pickle.dump(vec labels, f)
        pickle.dump(y_train, f)
        pickle.dump(vec_list, f)
else:
    # Load models
    with open('train_vec.pickle', 'rb') as f:
        X_train_vec = pickle.load(f)
        vec_labels = pickle.load(f)
        y_train = pickle.load(f)
```

4.2 Grid Search Class

For this project, a custom grid search class was written so that important metrics such as ROC curves and metrics for model performances can be plotted.

```
[25]: class Custom_GridSearchCV():
    def __init__(self, estimator, param_grid={}, cv=5):
        """

        Custom_GridSearchCV: A grid search that automatically returns
        various metrics for almost all sklearn, xgboost and catboost
        classification models.
        estimator: A string of the model
        param_grid: parametric grid for grid search. All entries should be in
        string format
```

```
cv: Number of cross validation folds
    11 11 11
    # Initialize cv
    self.cv = cv
    # Initialize fitted, boolean if the grid of models have been fitted
    self.fitted = False
    # Initialize models list
    self.models = []
    # Initialize cross validation evaluations
    self.cross eval = {}
    # Extract parameters for baseline model. Parameters with only one value
    # are selected.
    base_params = [k+"="+v[0]] for k,v in param_grid.items() if len(v)==1]
    base_params = ','.join(base_params)
    # Initialize baseline model
    exec("self.baseline_model = "+estimator+"("+base_params+")")
    # Create a combinations of the parameter grid
    all_params = sorted(param_grid)
    combinations = it.product(*(param_grid[name] for name in all_params))
    # Iterate through the combinations and keys to create a list of models
    keys = list(param_grid.keys())
    for j, comb in enumerate(combinations):
        params = ""
        for i, key in enumerate(keys):
            params += key+"="+comb[i]+","
        # Append models
        exec("self.models.append("+estimator+"("+params[:-1]+"))")
    # Initialize predictions dataframe
    self.predictions = None
    # Best model
    self.best_model_ = None
def cross validate(self, X, y, scoring="accuracy", vectorization=None):
    Cross validate data on training data and return dataset with the
    largest scoring.
    X: A list containing different vectorized training datasets
    y: Training labels
    scoring: The type of scoring used in cross validation. Valid entries
    are 'accuracy', 'precision', 'recall', 'f1' and 'roc_auc'
    vectorization: User specified corpus vectorization labels to serve
    as indices of report dataframe
    11 11 11
    # Set default scoring
    self.scoring = scoring
    # Weighted boolean
    weighted = False
```

```
# Cross validation: run custom_cross_validate
       if self.cross_eval=={}:
           for i in range(len(X)):
               # Convert to dataframe
               X[i] = pd.DataFrame(X[i])
               # Run custom cross validate on each vectorizatized data
               train_dict, valid_dict = custom_cross_validate(self.
→baseline_model,
                                                               X[i],
                                                               у,
                                                               cv=self.cv)
               # Append values
               self.cross_eval[vectorization[i]] = {'train':train_dict,
                                               'cross_validate':valid_dict}
       # 'return_report' returns values from 'cross_eval' and 'scoring'
       return_report = {}
       for dataset in self.cross eval.keys():
           return_report[dataset] = {}
           for report in self.cross eval[dataset].keys():
               return_report[dataset][report] = self.
→cross eval[dataset][report][scoring]
       # 'report dataframe': a dataframe where average values are selected
       report_dataframe = pd.DataFrame(return_report).applymap(np.mean)
       # Transpose the dataframe so that indices represent_{\sqcup}
→ 'cross eval dataset'
       report_dataframe = report_dataframe.transpose()
       # Select the dataset with maximum score for training
       max_train = report_dataframe.train.max()
       max_train_score_dataset = report_dataframe.train[report_dataframe.
→train==max_train].index
       max_train_score_dataset = max_train_score_dataset[0]
       # Select the dataset with maximum score for validation
       max valid = report dataframe.cross validate.max()
       max_valid_score_dataset = report_dataframe.
→cross validate[report dataframe.cross validate==max valid].index
       max_valid_score_dataset = max_valid_score_dataset[0]
       # Combine scores
       max_score_dataset = {'train':max_train_score_dataset,
                           'cross_validate':max_valid_score_dataset}
       # Return 'return report' dictionary, 'report dataframe' dataframe and
       # 'max_score_dataset' dataset string
       return return_report, report_dataframe, max_score_dataset
   def fit(self, X, y):
       11 11 11
       Fit training data to a grid of models.
       X: Training dataset
```

```
y: Training labels
    11 11 11
    # Run if models are not fitted
    if not self.fitted:
        for model in self.models:
            # Iterate through each model and fit training data
            model.fit(X, y)
        # Set 'fitted' to True
        self.fitted = True
def predict(self, X, y, train test='test'):
    Predict values: Unlike predict functions for sklearn estimators,
    this function takes y value as well, to report fitting metrics
    X: Training/test dataset
    y: Training/test labels
    train_test: only 'train' and 'test' values need to be specified.
    # Dataframe to store prediction per 'train_test'
    tr_ts = None
    # Iterate through each model
    for model in self.models:
        # Calculate the probabilities of predictions
        prob preds = model.predict proba(X)
        # Check if the model has 'decision_function'
        score = prob_preds[:, 1]
        # Calculate predictions
        preds = np.array([round(i) for i in score])
        # Find fpr and tpr values
        fpr, tpr, threshold = roc_curve(y, score)
        # Dict to store values
        tmp = {}
        # Populate 'tmp_df' with values
        tmp['train_test'] = train_test
        tmp['preds'] = [preds]
        tmp['prob_preds'] = [prob_preds]
        tmp['log_loss_score'] = log_loss(y, preds)
        tmp['accuracy'] = accuracy_score(y, preds)
        tmp['precision'] = precision_score(y, preds)
        tmp['recall'] = recall_score(y, preds)
        tmp['f1'] = f1_score(y, preds)
        tmp['fpr'] = [fpr]
        tmp['tpr'] = [tpr]
        tmp['auc'] = auc(fpr, tpr)
        tmp['roc_auc'] = roc_auc_score(y, preds)
        # Create a temp dataframe with 'predictions' columns
        tmp_df = pd.DataFrame(tmp)
```

```
# Concatenate 'tmp_df' and 'tmp_df'
           if not isinstance(tr_ts, pd.DataFrame):
               tr_ts = tmp_df.copy()
           else:
               tr_ts = pd.concat([tr_ts,tmp_df])
       # Reset indices
       tr_ts.index = np.arange(len(self.models))
       # Assign values to 'predictions'
       if not isinstance(self.predictions, pd.DataFrame):
           self.predictions = tr_ts.copy()
       else:
           self.predictions = pd.concat([self.predictions,tr_ts])
   def best_model(self, metrics=['accuracy'], valid_test='test'):
       This function returns the best model and model metrics
       based on provided metrics from the test dataset.
       metrics: A list of metrics
       # Select test cases only
       test_metrics = self.predictions.loc[self.predictions.
→train test==valid test]
       # Sort test_metrics by metrics in descending order
       test_metrics = test_metrics.sort_values(by=metrics, ascending=False)
       # Reset indices
       test_metrics = test_metrics.reset_index()
       # Best model index
       idx = test_metrics.loc[0,:]['index']
       # Return best model and best model metrics
       if self.best model is None:
           self.best_model_ = self.models[idx]
       return self.best_model_, test_metrics.loc[0,:]
```

To aide with custom grid search, a custom cross validation tool was adopted from the teaching material. The importance of developing such a tool is to perform oversampling within the training folds which will be then applied to the validation dataset.

```
[26]: def custom_cross_validate(estimator, X, y, cv=5):
    """"
    'custom_cross_validate' function that performs oversampling and cross
    validate results.
    X: Training dataset
    y: Training labels
    cv: The number of cross-validation folds
    """
    # Create dictionaries to hold the scores from each fold
    train_dict = {'log_loss_score':np.ndarray(cv), 'precision':np.ndarray(cv),
```

```
'accuracy':np.ndarray(cv), 'recall':np.ndarray(cv),
              'f1':np.ndarray(cv), 'fpr':[],
              'tpr':[], 'auc':np.ndarray(cv), 'roc_auc':np.ndarray(cv)
valid_dict = {'log_loss_score':np.ndarray(cv), 'precision':np.ndarray(cv),
             'accuracy':np.ndarray(cv), 'recall':np.ndarray(cv),
              'f1':np.ndarray(cv), 'fpr':[],
              'tpr':[], 'auc':np.ndarray(cv), 'roc_auc':np.ndarray(cv)
             }
# Instantiate a splitter object and loop over its result
kfold = StratifiedKFold(n_splits=cv, shuffle=True)
for fold, (train_index, val_index) in enumerate(kfold.split(X, y)):
    # Extract train and validation subsets using the provided indices
   X_t, X_val = X.iloc[train_index], X.iloc[val_index]
   y_t, y_val = y.iloc[train_index], y.iloc[val_index]
    # Clone the provided model and fit it on the train subset
    temp_model = clone(estimator)
    # Fit the model
   temp_model.fit(X_t, y_t)
    # Find predictions and probabilities
   train_score = temp_model.predict_proba(X_t)[:,1]
   val score = temp model.predict proba(X val)[:,1]
    train_pred = np.array([round(i) for i in train_score])
    val_pred = np.array([round(i) for i in val_score])
    # Evaluate the provided model on the train and validation subsets
    # Log loss score
    train_dict['log_loss_score'][fold] = log_loss(y_t, train_pred)
    valid_dict['log_loss_score'][fold] = log_loss(y_val, val_pred)
    # Accuracy score
    train_dict['accuracy'][fold] = accuracy_score(y_t, train_pred)
    valid_dict['accuracy'][fold] = accuracy_score(y_val, val_pred)
    # Precision score
   train_dict['precision'][fold] = precision_score(y_t, train_pred)
    valid_dict['precision'][fold] = precision_score(y_val, val_pred)
    # Recall score
    train_dict['recall'][fold] = recall_score(y_t, train_pred)
    valid_dict['recall'][fold] = recall_score(y_val, val_pred)
    # F1 score
    train_dict['f1'][fold] = f1_score(y_t, train_pred)
    valid_dict['f1'][fold] = f1_score(y_val, val_pred)
    # FPR and TPR
    train_fpr, train_tpr, threshold = roc_curve(y_t, train_score)
```

```
valid_fpr, valid_tpr, threshold = roc_curve(y_val, val_score)
    train_dict['fpr'].append(train_fpr)
    train_dict['tpr'].append(train_tpr)
    valid_dict['fpr'].append(valid_fpr)
    valid_dict['tpr'].append(valid_tpr)

# AUC
    train_dict['auc'][fold] = auc(train_fpr, train_tpr)
    valid_dict['auc'][fold] = auc(valid_fpr, valid_tpr)

# ROC_AUC
    train_dict['roc_auc'][fold] = roc_auc_score(y_t, train_pred)
    valid_dict['roc_auc'][fold] = roc_auc_score(y_val, val_pred)

# Return training and validation results
    return train_dict, valid_dict
```

4.3 Model Performance Visualization Functions

The following function helps in visualizing the log losses, combined ROC curves of training and cross-validation datasets, and performance metrics of training and validation datasets.

```
[27]: def prepare_metrics(model_grid, train_val='train'):
          This function plots metrics on different datasets
          model grid: Custom GridSearchCV object
          Run this code after fitting.
          # Convert cross_eval from Custom_GrisSearchCV into dataframe
          # Table is transposed to set dataset as columns
          tmp = pd.DataFrame(model_grid.cross_eval).transpose()
          tmp = pd.DataFrame(tmp[train_val].to_dict())
          # Initialize metrics dataframe
          metrics = pd.DataFrame(columns=list(tmp.columns)+['metric'])
          # Iterate through each metric in 'tmp' and assign to metrics dataframe
          for idx in tmp.index:
              # Create an empty dataframe
              metric = pd.DataFrame(tmp.loc[idx,:].to_dict())
              # Update type of metric
              metric['metric'] = idx
              # Append results
              metrics = pd.concat([metrics, metric])
          # Reset metrics
          metrics = metrics.reset_index()
          # Rename 'index' column to 'fold'
          metrics.rename(columns={'index':'fold'}, inplace=True)
          # Initialiaze squeezed metrics dataframe
          squeezed_metrics = pd.DataFrame(columns=['fold','metric','dataset',
                                                    'value', 'train_val'])
```

```
# Iterate through each column and populate 'squeezed metrics'
  for col in model_grid.cross_eval.keys():
       # Create empty dataframe
       sq_met = pd.DataFrame(columns=squeezed_metrics.columns)
       # Populate 'sq_met'
      sq_met.fold = metrics.fold
       sq met.metric = metrics.metric
      sq_met.dataset = col
      sq met.value = metrics[col]
       sq_met.train_val = train_val
       # Append 'sq_met' to 'squeezed_metrics'
       squeezed_metrics = pd.concat([squeezed_metrics, sq_met],
                                    ignore index=True)
   # Set log-loss to a variable and remove the column from 'squeezed_metrics'
  log_loss_vals = squeezed_metrics.loc[squeezed_metrics.metric_
→=='log_loss_score']
  squeezed_metrics.drop(log_loss_vals.index, inplace=True)
   # Get fpr and tpr from 'squeezed_metrics'
  fpr = squeezed metrics.loc[squeezed metrics.metric=='fpr']
  tpr = squeezed_metrics.loc[squeezed_metrics.metric=='tpr']
   # Drop 'fpr' and 'tpr' from 'squeezed metrics'
  squeezed metrics.drop(fpr.index, inplace=True)
   squeezed_metrics.drop(tpr.index, inplace=True)
   # Find the longest fpr/tpr
  roc_max_len = fpr.value.apply(lambda x: len(x)).max()
  # Reset indices for 'fpr' and 'tpr'
  fpr = fpr.reset_index()
  tpr = tpr.reset_index()
   # Initialize 'fpr_mat' and 'tpr_mat'
  fpr_mat = np.zeros((len(fpr), roc_max_len))
  tpr_mat = np.zeros((len(tpr), roc_max_len))
   # Iteratre through each fpr and tpr, and interpolate values
  for i in range(len(fpr)):
       # Create a uniformly spaced 'fpr' values
      xvals = np.linspace(0, 1, roc_max_len)
       # Interpolate y values
      yinterp = np.interp(xvals, fpr.loc[i, 'value'], tpr.loc[i, 'value'])
       # Update fpr and tpr matrix
      fpr_mat[i,:] = xvals
      tpr_mat[i,:] = yinterp
   # Create roc_vals dictionary
  roc_vals = {}
   # Instead of taking the entire matrices, the mean and std values are
   # selected for 'fpr' and 'tpr'
  roc_vals['fpr_mean'] = fpr_mat.mean(axis=0)
  roc_vals['tpr_mean'] = tpr_mat.mean(axis=0)
  roc_vals['fpr_std'] = fpr_mat.std(axis=0)
```

```
roc_vals['tpr_std'] = tpr_mat.std(axis=0)
    # Return squeezed metrics, log loss and roc
   return squeezed_metrics, log_loss_vals, roc_vals
def plot_metrics(model_grid):
    This function plots metrics on different datasets
    model grid: Custom GridSearchCV object
   Run this code after fitting.
    # Get parameters for training
   tr_metrics, tr_log_loss, tr_roc_vals = prepare_metrics(model_grid,
                                                            'train')
    # Get parameters for validation
   vl_metrics, vl_log_loss, vl_roc_vals = prepare_metrics(model_grid,
                                                            'cross_validate')
    # Combine log losses for training and validation
   log loss_combined = pd.concat([tr_log_loss,vl_log_loss])
    # Create figure to plot log loss and ROC curve
   fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(15,6))
    # Log loss for training and validation
   g1 = sns.barplot(x="dataset", y="value", hue="train_val",
                     data=log_loss_combined, ax=axes[0])
    # Format labels and title
   g1.set xlabel('')
   g1.set_ylabel('Log Loss',fontsize=13)
   g1.set_title('Log Loss vs Dataset',fontsize=15)
   g1.legend(title='Fold')
   limits = (np.floor(min(log_loss_combined.value)*10)/10,
              np.ceil(max(log_loss_combined.value)*10)/10)
   g1.set_ylim(limits)
    # Plot mean ROC curve for training fold
   g2 = sns.lineplot(x=tr_roc_vals['fpr_mean'], y=tr_roc_vals['tpr_mean'],
                  label='train', ax=axes[1], color='tab:blue')
   # Plot the standard deviation for training ROC
   plt.fill_between(tr_roc_vals['fpr_mean'],
                     tr roc vals['tpr mean'] - tr roc vals['tpr std'],
                     tr_roc_vals['tpr_mean'] + tr_roc_vals['tpr_std'],
                     color='tab:blue', alpha=0.2)
    # Plot mean ROC curve for validation fold
   sns.lineplot(x=vl_roc_vals['fpr_mean'], y=vl_roc_vals['tpr_mean'],
                  label='cross_validate', ax=axes[1], color='tab:orange')
    # Plot the standard deviation for validation ROC
   plt.fill_between(vl_roc_vals['fpr_mean'],
                     vl_roc_vals['tpr_mean'] - vl_roc_vals['tpr_std'],
```

```
vl_roc_vals['tpr_mean'] + vl_roc_vals['tpr_std'],
                 color='tab:orange', alpha=0.2)
# Format labels and title
plt.plot([0, 1], [0, 1], color='gray', linestyle='--')
g2.set_xlabel('False Positve Rate', fontsize=13)
g2.legend(title='Fold')
g2.set_ylabel('True Positve Rate', fontsize=13)
g2.set_title('ROC Curve',fontsize=15)
# Plot metrics for training fold
fig, axes = plt.subplots(2 , 1, figsize=(15,12))
g3 = sns.barplot(x="dataset", y="value", hue="metric",
                 data=tr_metrics, ax=axes[0])
# Format labels and title
g3.set_xlabel('')
g3.set_ylabel('Score', fontsize=13)
g3.set_title('Training Scores vs Dataset',fontsize=15)
g3.legend(title='Metric')
limits = (np.floor(min(tr_metrics.value)*10)/10,
          np.ceil(max(tr_metrics.value)*10)/10)
g3.set_ylim(limits)
# Plot metrics for validation fold
g4 = sns.barplot(x="dataset", y="value", hue="metric",
                 data=vl metrics, ax=axes[1])
# Format labels and title
g4.set_xlabel('')
g4.set_ylabel('Score',fontsize=13)
g4.set_title('Cross Validation Scores vs Dataset',fontsize=15)
g4.legend(title='Metric')
limits = (np.floor(min(vl_metrics.value)*10)/10,
          np.ceil(max(vl_metrics.value)*10)/10)
g4.set_ylim(limits)
```

The following function also does similar operations for training and validation datasets.

```
[28]: def prepare_predictions(model_grid, train_test='test'):
    """

    This function plots metrics on train/test predictions
    model_grid: Custom_GridSearchCV object
    Run this code after fitting.
    """

# Convert prediction from Custom_GrisSearchCV into dataframe
# Select train or test

tmp = model_grid.predictions.loc[model_grid.predictions.

→ train_test==train_test]
```

```
# Initialize squeezed predictions
squeezed_preds = pd.DataFrame(columns=['metric','value','train_test'])
# Find metrics from 'cross_eval'
columns = model_grid.predictions.columns[3:]
# Iterate through 'predictions' columns
for col in columns:
    # Initialize a dummy dataframe for each column
    sq_preds = pd.DataFrame(columns=squeezed_preds.columns)
    # Assign values
    sq_preds.value = tmp[col]
    sq_preds.train_test = tmp['train_test']
    sq_preds.metric = col
    # Append values to 'squeezed_preds'
    squeezed_preds = pd.concat([squeezed_preds,sq_preds])
# Reset index
squeezed_preds = squeezed_preds.reset_index()
# Get log loss from 'squeezed_preds'
log_loss_vals = squeezed_preds.loc[squeezed_preds.metric=='log_loss_score']
squeezed_preds.drop(log_loss_vals.index, inplace=True)
# Get fpr and tpr from 'squeezed_preds'
fpr = squeezed_preds.loc[squeezed_preds.metric=='fpr']
tpr = squeezed_preds.loc[squeezed_preds.metric=='tpr']
# Drop 'fpr' and 'tpr' from 'squeezed_preds'
squeezed preds.drop(fpr.index, inplace=True)
squeezed_preds.drop(tpr.index, inplace=True)
# Find the longest fpr/tpr
roc_max_len = fpr.value.apply(lambda x: len(x)).max()
# Reset indices for 'fpr' and 'tpr'
fpr = fpr.reset_index()
tpr = tpr.reset_index()
# Initialize 'fpr_mat' and 'tpr_mat'
fpr_mat = np.zeros((len(fpr), roc_max_len))
tpr_mat = np.zeros((len(tpr), roc_max_len))
# Iteratre through each fpr and tpr, and interpolate values
for i in range(len(fpr)):
    # Create a uniformly spaced 'fpr' values
   xvals = np.linspace(0, 1, roc_max_len)
    # Interpolate y values
   yinterp = np.interp(xvals, fpr.loc[i,'value'], tpr.loc[i,'value'])
    # Update fpr and tpr matrix
   fpr mat[i,:] = xvals
   tpr_mat[i,:] = yinterp
# Create roc_vals dictionary
roc_vals = {}
# Instead of taking the entire matrices, the mean and std values are
# selected for 'fpr' and 'tpr'
roc_vals['fpr_mean'] = fpr_mat.mean(axis=0)
```

```
roc_vals['tpr_mean'] = tpr_mat.mean(axis=0)
   roc_vals['fpr_std'] = fpr_mat.std(axis=0)
   roc_vals['tpr_std'] = tpr_mat.std(axis=0)
    # Return squeezed metrics, log loss and roc
   return squeezed_preds, log_loss_vals, roc_vals
def plot predictions(model grid, valid test='test'):
    This function plots predictions on different datasets
   model_grid: Custom_GridSearchCV object
    Run this code after fitting.
    # Get parameters for training
   tr_preds, tr_log_loss, tr_roc_vals = prepare_predictions(model_grid,
                                                            'train')
   # Get parameters for validation
   ts_preds, ts_log_loss, ts_roc_vals = prepare_predictions(model_grid,
                                                           valid test)
   # Combine log losses for training and validation
   log_loss_combined = pd.concat([tr_log_loss,ts_log_loss])
    # Create figure to plot log loss and ROC curve
   fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(15,6))
   # Log loss for training and validation
   g1 = sns.barplot(x="metric", y="value", hue="train test",
                     data=log_loss_combined, ax=axes[0])
    # Format labels and title
   g1.set xlabel('')
   g1.set_ylabel('Log Loss',fontsize=13)
   g1.set_title('Log Loss vs Dataset',fontsize=15)
   g1.legend(title='Dataset')
    # Plot mean ROC curve for training fold
   g2 = sns.lineplot(x=tr_roc_vals['fpr_mean'], y=tr_roc_vals['tpr_mean'],
                  label='train', ax=axes[1], color='tab:blue')
    # Plot the standard deviation for training ROC
   plt.fill_between(tr_roc_vals['fpr_mean'],
                     tr_roc_vals['tpr_mean'] - tr_roc_vals['tpr_std'],
                     tr_roc_vals['tpr_mean'] + tr_roc_vals['tpr_std'],
                     color='tab:blue', alpha=0.2)
    # Plot mean ROC curve for validation fold
    sns.lineplot(x=ts_roc_vals['fpr_mean'], y=ts_roc_vals['tpr_mean'],
                  label=valid_test, ax=axes[1], color='tab:orange')
    # Plot the standard deviation for validation ROC
   plt.fill_between(ts_roc_vals['fpr_mean'],
                     ts_roc_vals['tpr_mean'] - ts_roc_vals['tpr_std'],
                     ts_roc_vals['tpr_mean'] + ts_roc_vals['tpr_std'],
                     color='tab:orange', alpha=0.2)
```

```
# Format labels and title
  plt.plot([0, 1], [0, 1], color='gray', linestyle='--')
  g2.set_xlabel('False Positve Rate', fontsize=13)
  g2.legend(title='Dataset')
  g2.set_ylabel('True Positve Rate', fontsize=13)
  g2.set_title('ROC Curve',fontsize=15)
   # Plot metrics for training data
  preds = pd.concat([tr_preds,ts_preds])
  fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(15,6))
  g3 = sns.barplot(x="train_test", y="value", hue="metric",
                    data=preds, ax=ax)
  limits = (np.floor(min(preds.value)*10)/10,
             np.ceil(max(preds.value)*10)/10)
   # Format labels and title
  g3.set_ylim(limits)
  g3.set_xlabel('')
  g3.set_ylabel('Score', fontsize=13)
  g3.set_title('Scores vs Training and '+valid_test.title()+'_
→Datasets',fontsize=15)
  g3.legend(title='Metric')
```

To speed up plotting sklearn's confusion matrix plotter, a custom one is written that takes in only the true and estimated values.

```
plt.xlim(2,0)
plt.ylim(0,2)
```

Training the models take a long time. So, the models are saved as dill files that can be read from file.

Best performing models and their predictions will be stored in these variables.

CAUTION: running the entire notebook takes at least 24 hours.

The pretrained model will be available when ready.

```
[30]: # Best models list
best_models = []

# Vectorization used in files
vectorization_type = []

# Define metrics by importance
metrics = ['accuracy', 'auc', 'recall']
```

4.4 Shallow Learning

4.4.1 Naive Bayes

The first model used is naive Bayes. Parameters alpha and fit_prior were used to create a grid search.


```
[32]: # Run cross-validation with accuracy as the primary scoring rprt, rp_df, _ = nb_gs.cross_validate(X_train_vec[:2], y_train, vectorization=vec_labels[:2])
```


In orders to choose the best dataset to fit with the model, let's aggregate the metrics. Sorting the average accuracy, recall and AUC metrics yields:

```
[33]: # Find training and validation metrics
      nb_gs_metrics_train,_,_ = prepare_metrics(nb_gs, train_val='train')
      nb_gs_metrics_valid,_,_ = prepare_metrics(nb_gs, train_val='cross_validate')
      # Combine metrics
      nb_gs_metrics = pd.concat([nb_gs_metrics_train,nb_gs_metrics_valid])
      # Rename dataset as 'dataset_train/cross_validate'
      nb_gs_metrics.dataset = nb_gs_metrics.dataset+'_'+nb_gs_metrics.train_val
      # Create a placeholder dataframe to store the average values
      nb_gs_metrics_mean = pd.DataFrame(columns=nb_gs_metrics.metric.unique(),
                                        index=nb gs metrics.dataset.unique())
      # Iterate through each row and column, aggregate values and take the mean
      for col in nb_gs_metrics_mean.columns:
         for idx in nb_gs_metrics_mean.index:
              avg = nb_gs_metrics.loc[(nb_gs_metrics.metric==col) & (nb_gs_metrics.

dataset==idx),'value']

             nb_gs_metrics_mean.loc[idx,col] = avg.mean()
      # Sort average metrics by 'metrics'
      nb_gs_metrics_mean.sort_values(by=metrics,ascending=False)
[33]:
                          precision accuracy
                                                 recall
                                                               f1
                                                                         auc \
     TfIdf train
                           0.793827 0.795987 0.799663 0.796734 0.881023
      TfIdf_cross_validate 0.793735 0.795919 0.799639 0.796675 0.880983
      Count_train
                           0.792897
                                       0.7959 0.801025
                                                          0.79694 0.875053
      Count_cross_validate 0.792864 0.795862 0.800981 0.796901 0.875016
                            roc_auc
      TfIdf_train
                           0.795987
     TfIdf_cross_validate 0.795919
                              0.7959
      Count_train
      Count_cross_validate 0.795862
     The performance metrics of the model are shown below.
[34]: # Plot the metrics for training and validation datasets
      plot metrics(nb gs)
```





TfIdfshowed significant metrics compared to Count.

```
[35]: # Fit grid search models with the best training dataset
# Specifying TfIdf to have better accuracy values
nb_gs.fit(X_train_vec[0], y_train)
```


Now, let's produce predictions based on the model grid.

```
[36]: # Predict test and training datasets
      # Also get the metrics of fit
      # Check if the models have not been predicted yet
     if not isinstance(nb gs.predictions, pd.DataFrame):
         prep = Preprocess(transformer='tfidf',max_df=0.979,min_df=0.0201)
         nb_gs.predict(prep.transform(X_valid), y_valid, train_test='validate')
         nb_gs.predict(X_train_vec[-1], y_train, train_test='train')
     nb_gs.predictions.head()
                                                              preds \
[36]:
       train_test
         validate [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, ...
     1
        validate [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, ...
         validate [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, ...
         validate [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, ...
     3
     0
            train [0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, ...
                                               prob preds log loss score \
     0 [[0.3902222283229388, 0.6097777716770622], [0...
                                                              8.928094
     1 [[0.3902222283229388, 0.60977777167706], [0.72...
                                                              8.928094
     2 [[0.3902264776423026, 0.6097735223576966], [0...
                                                             8.928094
     3 [[0.3902264776423026, 0.6097735223576966], [0...
                                                              8.928094
     0 [[0.6285026028322631, 0.37149739716773683], [0...
                                                              16.562082
        accuracy precision
                               recall
                                             f1 \
     0 0.741508
                  0.736084 0.752996 0.744444
     1 0.741508 0.736084 0.752996 0.744444
     2 0.741508 0.736081 0.753004 0.744446
     3 0.741508 0.736081 0.753004 0.744446
     0 0.520480 0.566003 0.175625 0.268071
                                                      fpr \
     0 [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 1.8518...
     1 [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 1.8518...
     2 [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 1.8518...
     3 [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 1.8518...
     0 [0.0, 7.936507936507937e-07, 7.936507936507937...
                                                      tpr
                                                                auc
                                                                     roc_auc
     0 [0.0, 1.8518518518518519e-06, 0.00014814814814... 0.821527 0.741508
     1 [0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 0.00014814814814... 0.821527
     2 [0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 0.00014814814814... 0.821528 0.741508
     3 [0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 0.00014814814814... 0.821528 0.741508
```

0 [0.0, 0.0, 1.5873015873015873e-06, 1.587301587... 0.540398 0.520480

Plotting metrics over the validation dataset.

[37]: # Plot metrics for predicted values plot_predictions(nb_gs, valid_test='validate')





Accuracy, recall and AUC are used to determine the best model.

```
# Store best model
best_models.append(best_nb_model)


# Store the type of vectorization used
vectorization_type.append('tfidf')

# Display best model parameters
best_nb_model.get_params
```

```
[39]: # Best logreg model metrics
best_nb_model_metrics
```

```
[39]: index
      train_test
                                                                   validate
                         [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, ...
     preds
     prob_preds
                         [[0.3902264776423026, 0.6097735223576966], [0...
      log_loss_score
                                                                    8.92809
      accuracy
                                                                   0.741508
     precision
                                                                   0.736081
     recall
                                                                   0.753004
      f1
                                                                   0.744446
      fpr
                         [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 1.8518...
                         [0.0, 1.8518518518518519e-06, 0.00014814814814...
      tpr
                                                                   0.821528
      auc
                                                                   0.741508
      roc_auc
     Name: 0, dtype: object
```

Displaying the metrics for the best performing model and plotting the confusion matrix.



Model can now be saved to file.

```
[41]: # Save the grid search model if it does not exist
   if not exists('nb_gs.pickle'):
        with open('nb_gs.pickle', 'wb') as f:
        pickle.dump(nb_gs, f)
[42]: # Delete 'nb_gs' for memory managment
del nb_gs
```

4.4.2 Logistic Regression

The first model used is logistic regression. Regularization factor, fit intercept, and L1 or L2 penalty were considered for grid search. lbfgs solver does not allow for L1 penalty. So, liblinear solver used instead. The downside is that liblinear does not allow for parallelized jobs, which is why n_jobs is missing as an input parameter.

```
[44]: # Run cross-validation with accuracy as the primary scoring rprt, rp_df, _ = logreg_gs.cross_validate(X_train_vec, y_train, vectorization=vec_labels)
```

In orders to choose the best dataset to fit with the model, let's aggregate the metrics. Sorting the average accuracy, recall and AUC metrics yields:

```
[45]: # Find training and validation metrics
     logreg_gs_metrics_train,_,_ = prepare_metrics(logreg_gs, train_val='train')
     logreg_gs_metrics_valid,_,_ = prepare_metrics(logreg_gs,__
      # Combine metrics
     logreg_gs_metrics = pd.concat([logreg_gs_metrics_train,logreg_gs_metrics_valid])
      # Rename dataset as 'dataset_train/cross_validate'
     logreg_gs_metrics.dataset = logreg_gs_metrics.dataset+'_'+logreg_gs_metrics.
      →train_val
      # Create a placeholder dataframe to store the average values
     logreg_gs_metrics_mean = pd.DataFrame(columns=logreg_gs_metrics.metric.unique(),
                                       index=logreg_gs_metrics.dataset.unique())
      # Iterate through each row and column, aggregate values and take the mean
     for col in logreg_gs_metrics_mean.columns:
         for idx in logreg_gs_metrics_mean.index:
             avg = logreg_gs_metrics.loc[(logreg_gs_metrics.metric==col) &__
      →(logreg_gs_metrics.dataset==idx), 'value']
             logreg gs metrics mean.loc[idx,col] = avg.mean()
      # Sort average metrics by recall, AUC and accuracy
     logreg gs_metrics mean.sort_values(by=metrics,ascending=False)
```


```
[45]: precision accuracy recall f1 auc \
Embedding_train 0.820747 0.818354 0.814623 0.817673 0.898025
```


```
Embedding_cross_validate
                       0.820664
                                 TfIdf_train
                                0.815278 0.812272
                       0.817185
                                                 0.814721
                                                          0.898081
TfIdf_cross_validate
                       0.817037
                                0.815151
                                        0.812178
                                                   0.8146
                                                          0.897996
                                                 0.815028
Count_train
                        0.81447
                                0.814901
                                        0.815586
                                                          0.894937
Count_cross_validate
                       0.814388
                                0.814796
                                        0.815444
                                                 0.814916
                                                          0.894852
```

roc_auc
Embedding_train 0.818354
Embedding_cross_validate 0.81828
TfIdf_train 0.815278
TfIdf_cross_validate 0.815151
Count_train 0.814901
Count_cross_validate 0.814796

The performance metrics of the model are shown below.

[46]: # Plot the metrics for training and cross_validation datasets plot_metrics(logreg_gs)





Word embedding had the highest performance.


```
[47]: # Fit grid search models with the best training dataset
# Specifying embedding to have better accuracy values
logreg_gs.fit(X_train_vec[-1], y_train)
```


Now, let's produce predictions based on the model grid.

```
[48]: # Predict test and training datasets
# Also get the metrics of fit
# Check if the models have not been predicted yet
if not isinstance(logreg_gs.predictions, pd.DataFrame):
    prep = Preprocess(transformer='embed')
    logreg_gs.predict(prep.transform(X_valid), y_valid, train_test='validate')
    logreg_gs.predict(X_train_vec[-1], y_train, train_test='train')
logreg_gs.predictions.head()
```

```
3
    validate [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, ...
    validate [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, ...
4
                                         prob_preds log_loss_score \
0 [[0.10269263594560363, 0.8973073640543964], [0...
                                                         6.279093
1 [[0.11333726717753934, 0.8866627328224607], [0...
                                                         6.341967
2 [[0.09999002092036968, 0.9000099790796303], [0...
                                                         6.276790
3 [[0.11008529675875522, 0.8899147032412448], [0...
                                                         6.338897
4 [[0.09411404120268296, 0.905885958797317], [0...
                                                        6.251685
   accuracy precision
                          recall
                                        f1 \
0 0.818204
             0.820577 0.814502 0.817528
1 0.816383
              0.819056 0.812194 0.815611
2 0.818270
              0.820637
                        0.814580 0.817597
3 0.816472
              0.819134 0.812302 0.815704
4 0.818997
              0.821230 0.815522 0.818366
                                                fpr \
0 [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 1.8518...
1 [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 1.8518...
2 [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 1.8518...
3 [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 1.8518...
4 [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 1.8518...
                                                                roc_auc
                                                tpr
                                                          auc
0 [0.0, 1.8518518518518519e-06, 2.96296296296296... 0.897989
1 [0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 3.148148148148.14... 0.896314 0.816383
2 [0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 2.777777777777... 0.898036 0.818270
3 [0.0, 1.8518518518518519e-06, 3.14814814814814... 0.896358 0.816472
4 [0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 2.03703703703703... 0.898650 0.818997
Plotting metrics over the validation dataset.
```


```
[49]: # Plot metrics for predicted values
     plot_predictions(logreg_gs, valid_test='validate')
```





Accuracy, recall and AUC are used to determine the best model.

```
# Store the type of vectorization used
     vectorization_type.append('embed')
     # Display best model parameters
     best_logreg_model.get_params
[50]: <box/>bound method BaseEstimator.get_params of LogisticRegression(max_iter=1000.0,
     penalty='l1', solver='liblinear')>
     Displaying the metrics for the best performing model and plotting the confusion matrix.
[51]: # Best logreg model metrics
     best_logreg_model_metrics
[51]: index
                                                               validate
     train_test
     preds
                       [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots]
                       [[0.09158854373804315, 0.9084114562619569], [0...
     prob_preds
     log_loss_score
                                                                6.24957
     accuracy
                                                                0.819058
     precision
                                                                0.821295
     recall
                                                                0.815578
     f1
                                                                0.818426
                       [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 1.8518...
     fpr
                       tpr
     auc
                                                                  0.8987
                                                               0.819058
     roc_auc
     Name: 0, dtype: object
```



Model can now be saved to file.

```
[53]: # Save the grid search model if it does not exist
if not exists('logreg_gs.pickle'):
    with open('logreg_gs.pickle', 'wb') as f:
        pickle.dump(logreg_gs, f)
[54]: # Delete 'logreg_gs' for memory managment
del logreg_gs
```

Surprisingly, the model did well.

4.4.3 Random Forest

Now, let us apply the same method using random forest. Fewer parameters are used in lieu of memory requirements is larger parameter space were to be used.

```
[55]: # Check if the variable exists
if not exists('rf_gs.pickle'):
    # Define n_estimators range
    n_estimators = np.arange(30, 100, 30)

# Define min_sample_split range
    min_samples_split = np.linspace(0.1, 1, 2, endpoint=True)

# Define parameters ranges
```

In orders to choose the best dataset to fit with the model, let's aggregate the metrics. Sorting the average accuracy, recall and AUC metrics yields:

```
[57]: # Find training and validation metrics
      rf_gs_metrics_train,_,_ = prepare_metrics(rf_gs, train_val='train')
      rf_gs_metrics_valid,_,_ = prepare_metrics(rf_gs, train_val='cross_validate')
      # Combine metrics
      rf_gs_metrics = pd.concat([rf_gs_metrics_train,rf_gs_metrics_valid])
      # Rename dataset as 'dataset_train/validate'
      rf_gs_metrics.dataset = rf_gs_metrics.dataset+'_'+rf_gs_metrics.train_val
      # Create a placeholder dataframe to store the average values
      rf_gs_metrics_mean = pd.DataFrame(columns=rf_gs_metrics.metric.unique(),
                                        index=rf_gs_metrics.dataset.unique())
      # Iterate through each row and column, aggregate values and take the mean
      for col in rf_gs_metrics_mean.columns:
          for idx in rf_gs_metrics_mean.index:
              avg = rf_gs_metrics.loc[(rf_gs_metrics.metric==col) & (rf_gs_metrics.

dataset==idx), 'value']

              rf_gs_metrics_mean.loc[idx,col] = avg.mean()
      # Sort average metrics by recall, AUC and accuracy
      rf_gs_metrics_mean.sort_values(by=metrics,ascending=False)
```


```
[57]: precision accuracy recall f1 auc \
Embedding_train 0.999996 0.999997 0.999999 0.999997 1
Count_train 0.998743 0.998919 0.999095 0.998919 0.999957
```


TfIdf_train	0.99873	0.998872	0.999014	0.998872	0.999951
TfIdf_cross_validate	0.821617	0.818173	0.81282	0.817195	0.900173
Count_cross_validate	0.82091	0.817752	0.812833	0.816851	0.898059
Embedding cross validate	0.802327	0.794856	0.782501	0.79229	0.875734

roc_auc
Embedding_train 0.999997
Count_train 0.998819
TfIdf_train 0.998872
TfIdf_cross_validate 0.818173
Count_cross_validate 0.817752
Embedding_cross_validate 0.794856

The performance metrics of the model are shown below.

[58]: # Plot the metrics for training and validation datasets plot_metrics(rf_gs)





Clearly, there is an overfitting of data here. That will be further investigated after fitting validation dataset.

```
[59]: # Fit grid search models with the best training dataset
# Specifying count to have better accuracy values
rf_gs.fit(X_train_vec[1], y_train)
```

Now, let's produce predictions based on the model grid.

```
[60]: # Predict test and training datasets
# Also get the metrics of fit
# Check if the models have not been predicted yet
if not isinstance(rf_gs.predictions, pd.DataFrame):
    prep = Preprocess(transformer='count',max_df=0.979,min_df=0.0201)
    rf_gs.predict(prep.transform(X_valid), y_valid, train_test='validate')
    rf_gs.predict(X_train_vec[1], y_train, train_test='train')
rf_gs.predictions.head()
```

```
[60]: train_test preds \
0 validate [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, ...
1 validate [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, ...
```

```
2
             [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, ...]
   validate [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, ...
3
            [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, ...]
                                        prob_preds log_loss_score \
  [[0.4, 0.6], [0.933333333333333, 0.0666666666...
                                                        9.092399
                                                       8.929812
1 [[0.416666666666667, 0.5833333333333333], [0...
2 [[0.45555555555555555, 0.544444444444444], [0...
                                                        8.869370
3 [[0.41687433882421165, 0.5831256611757883], [0...
                                                        9.860414
4 [[0.39586407192093537, 0.6041359280790645], [0...
                                                        9.908514
  accuracy precision
                         recall
                                       f1
0 0.736751
             0.745597
                       0.718741
                                 0.731923
1 0.741458
             0.746196
                       0.731837
                                 0.738947
2 0.743208
             0.746700
                       0.736131
                                 0.741378
3 0.714515
             0.725155
                       0.690885
                                 0.707606
4 0.713122
             0.722352
                                 0.707042
                       0.692367
                                               fpr \
  [0.0, 0.0035703703703705, 0.003570370370370...
1 [0.0, 0.00135, 0.00135, 0.001351851851851852, ...
2 [0.0, 0.000787037037037037, 0.00078888888888888...
3 [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.8518518518518519e-...
roc auc
                                               tpr
  [0.0, 0.04157037037037, 0.04157222222222222... 0.814314 0.736751
 [0.0, 0.018962962962962963, 0.0189648148148148... 0.819023
                                                            0.741458
2 [0.0, 0.0119, 0.011901851851851853, 0.01190555... 0.820621
                                                            0.743208
3 [0.0, 1.8518518518518519e-06, 7.40740740740740... 0.793539
                                                            0.714515
  [0.0, 3.7037037037037037e-06, 1.111111111111111... 0.790615
                                                            0.713122
```

Some of the parameters used in random forest caused zero division error when thrown into recall_score function. That is why the standard deviation of the ROC curve is larger towards the center. Therefore, all recall values equal to 0 are removed.

```
[61]: # Remove models with recall=0


rf_gs.predictions = rf_gs.predictions.loc[rf_gs.predictions.recall>0]

rf_gs.predictions.sort_values(by=['accuracy'], ascending=False).head()
```

```
2
   [[0.022222222222223, 0.97777777777777], [...
                                               0.039981
   [[0.03333333333333333, 0.9666666666666667], [0...
                                               0.042544
1
   [[0.033333333333333333, 0.9666666666666667], [0...
0
                                               0.067886
   [[0.18577950943446003, 0.8142204905655398], [0...
29
                                               4.326794
28
   [[0.19003493807956573, 0.8099650619204344], [0...
                                               4.339609
   accuracy precision
                     recall
                                 f1
2
   0.998842
            0.998688 0.998998 0.998843
   0.998768
            0.998650
                    0.998887
                            0.998768
1
0
   0.998035
            0.998124
                    0.997944
                            0.998034
29
   0.874728
            0.885868
                    0.860293
                            0.872893
28
   0.874357
            0.885540
                   0.859853
                            0.872508
                                        fpr \
   2
   1
   0
   29
   28
                                                auc
                                                     roc_auc
   [0.0, 0.091472222222222, 0.09147460317460318... 0.999952
2
                                                  0.998842
   [0.0, 0.12794761904761906, 0.12794920634920634... 0.999948
1
                                                  0.998768
   [0.0, 0.2186142857142857, 0.218616666666665,... 0.999931
0
                                                  0.998035
   [0.0, 7.936507936507937e-07, 0.000545238095238... 0.952465
29
                                                   0.874728
   [0.0, 7.936507936507937e-07, 0.0008, 0.0008015... 0.952079
                                                  0.874357
```

Plotting metrics over the validation dataset.

[62]: # Plot metrics for predicted values plot_predictions(rf_gs, valid_test='validate')






[63]: <bound method BaseEstimator.get_params of
 RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=90, n_jobs=7, random_state=123)>

```
[64]: # Best rf model metrics
best_rf_model_metrics
```

```
[64]: index
      train_test
                                                                   validate
      preds
                         [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, ...]
                         [[0.45555555555555555, 0.54444444444444], [0...
      prob_preds
      log_loss_score
                                                                    8.86937
                                                                   0.743208
      accuracy
      precision
                                                                      0.7467
                                                                    0.736131
      recall
                                                                    0.741378
      f1
```



```
[66]: # Save model
if not exists('rf_gs.pickle'):
    with open('rf_gs.pickle', 'wb') as f:
        pickle.dump(rf_gs, f)

[67]: # Delete 'rf_gs' for memory managment
del rf_gs
```

4.5 Gradient Boosting

4.5.1 XGBoost

In addition to the parameters used for random forest, learning rate is added as a parameter for XGBoost. Also notice that GPU is enabled for XGBoost.

```
[68]: # Check if the variable exists
      if not exists('xgb_gs.pickle'):
          # Define learning rate range
          learning_rate = [0.001,0.01]
          # Define min_child_weight range
          min_child_weight = np.arange(1, 5, 2)
          # Define max_depth range
          max depth = np.arange(5, 10, 2)
          # Define parameters ranges
          params = {'learning_rate': [str(l) for l in learning_rate],
                    'max_depth': ['None']+[str(m) for m in max_depth],
                    'min_child_weight': ['None']+[str(m) for m in min_child_weight],
                    'random_state':['SEED'],
                    'tree_method':["'gpu_hist'"]}
          # Create a Custom GridSearchCV instance
          xgb_gs = Custom_GridSearchCV('XGBClassifier', param_grid=params, cv=3)
      else:
          # Replace xgb_gs by model_cv[5]
          with open('xgb_gs.pickle', 'rb') as f:
              xgb_gs = pickle.load(f)
[69]: # Run cross-validation with accuracy as the primary scoring
      rprt, rp_df,_ = xgb_gs.cross_validate(X_train_vec, y_train,
                                            vectorization=vec_labels)
[70]: # Find training and validation metrics
      xgb_gs_metrics_train,_, = prepare_metrics(xgb_gs, train_val='train')
      xgb_gs_metrics_valid,_,_ = prepare_metrics(xgb_gs, train_val='cross_validate')
      # Combine metrics
      xgb_gs_metrics = pd.concat([xgb_gs_metrics_train,xgb_gs_metrics_valid])
      # Rename dataset as 'dataset train/validate'
      xgb_gs_metrics.dataset = xgb_gs_metrics.dataset+'_'+xgb_gs_metrics.train_val
      # Create a placeholder dataframe to store the average values
      xgb_gs_metrics_mean = pd.DataFrame(columns=xgb_gs_metrics.metric.unique(),
                                        index=xgb_gs_metrics.dataset.unique())
      # Iterate through each row and column, aggregate values and take the mean
      for col in xgb_gs_metrics_mean.columns:
          for idx in xgb_gs_metrics_mean.index:
```

```
avg = xgb_gs_metrics.loc[(xgb_gs_metrics.metric==col) & (xgb_gs_metrics.

dataset==idx),'value']

xgb_gs_metrics_mean.loc[idx,col] = avg.mean()


# Sort average metrics by accuracy, recall, and AUC


xgb_gs_metrics_mean.sort_values(by=metrics, ascending=False)
```

[70]:	precision	accuracy	recall	f1	auc	\
Embedding_train	0.831275	0.829816	0.827613	0.82944	0.911312	
$TfIdf_train$	0.830578	0.824984	0.816523	0.82349	0.908613	
Count_train	0.827883	0.823702	0.817327	0.822571	0.906611	
TfIdf_cross_vali	date 0.826826	0.821295	0.812835	0.819771	0.905168	
Embedding_cross_	validate 0.822104	0.820751	0.818652	0.820374	0.903046	
Count_cross_vali	date 0.824881	0.820721	0.814321	0.819566	0.903706	
	roc_auc					
${ t Embedding_train}$	0.829816					
${\tt TfIdf_train}$	0.824984					
${\tt Count_train}$	0.823702					
TfIdf_cross_vali	date 0.821295					
Embedding_cross_	validate 0.820751					
Count_cross_vali	date 0.820721					

Clearly TfIdf vectorization is preferable over the others.

[71]: # Plot the metrics for training and validation datasets plot_metrics(xgb_gs)





Embedding

[1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, ...

 $[1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots]$

[1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, ...

validate [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, ...

validate [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, ...

0

1

2

3


validate

validate

validate

```
log_loss_score
                                          prob_preds
 [[0.48048568, 0.5195143], [0.52673846, 0.47326...
                                                         12.576460
1 [[0.48048097, 0.51951903], [0.52706206, 0.4729...
                                                         12.576875
2 [[0.4804814, 0.5195186], [0.5270652, 0.4729347...
                                                         12.576843
3 [[0.4918313, 0.5081687], [0.52714515, 0.472854...
                                                         12.680655
4 [[0.4918313, 0.5081687], [0.52714515, 0.472854...
                                                         12.680655
  accuracy precision
                                        f1 \
                          recall
0 0.635877
              0.686039
                       0.501061
                                  0.579138
1 0.635865
              0.686044 0.501007
                                 0.579104
2 0.635866
              0.686038 0.501022 0.579112
3 0.632860
              0.679577 0.502785 0.577964
4 0.632860
              0.679577 0.502785 0.577964
                                                 fpr \
  [0.0, 0.04490740740740741, 0.05688148148148148...
  [0.0, 0.04490740740740741, 0.05687962962962963...
2 [0.0, 0.04490740740741, 0.05688333333333333333...
3 [0.0, 0.011975925925925926, 0.0587759259259259...
4 [0.0, 0.011975925925925926, 0.0587759259259259...
                                                 tpr
                                                           auc
                                                                 roc_auc
 [0.0, 0.10247592592592593, 0.11983518518518518... 0.686112 0.635877
1 [0.0, 0.10247592592592593, 0.11983518518518518... 0.686117
                                                              0.635865
2 [0.0, 0.10247407407407408, 0.11983333333333333... 0.686094
3 [0.0, 0.01735925925925926, 0.12049814814814815... 0.679663
                                                              0.632860
4 [0.0, 0.01735925925925926, 0.12049814814814815... 0.679663
                                                              0.632860
```

[74]: # Plot metrics for predicted values plot_predictions(xgb_gs, valid_test='validate')






```
reg_alpha=0, reg_lambda=1, scale_pos_weight=1, subsample=1,
tree_method='gpu_hist', validate_parameters=1, verbosity=None)>
```

[76]: # Best DT model metrics best_xgb_model_metrics

[76]: index 21 validate train_test preds [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, ... [[0.4159636, 0.5840364], [0.6635467, 0.3364533... prob_preds log_loss_score 11.1318 0.677706 accuracy precision 0.713767 0.593357 recall f1 0.648016 fpr [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 7.2222222222222e-0... [0.0, 5.55555555555556e-06, 1.481481481481481... tpr auc 0.758295 roc_auc 0.677706 Name: 0, dtype: object



```
[78]: # Save model to file
if not exists('xgb_gs.pickle'):
    with open('xgb_gs.pickle', 'wb') as f:
        pickle.dump(xgb_gs, f)
```

```
[79]: # Delete 'xgb_gs' for memory managment del xgb_gs
```

XGBoost did not perform as anticipated.

4.5.2 CatBoost

For CatBoost, border count, depth, iterations, l2 leaf regularization and learning rate were used as grid parameters. Similar to XGBoost, GPU are also enabled. Class weights were rejected by the model as those parameters needed to be defined along with fit function, which were not implemented in the Custom_GridSearchCV class.


```
[80]: # Check if the variable exists
      if not exists('cb_gs.pickle'):
          # Define parameters ranges
          params = {'border_count':[100,200],
                    'depth':np.arange(1,11,5),
                    'iterations':[500,1000],
                    '12_leaf_reg':[10,100],
                    'learning_rate':[0.001,0.01],
                    'logging level':["'Silent'"],
                    'random_state':['SEED'],
                    'task type':["'GPU'"],
                    'thread_count':['n_cpu']}
          # Convert parameters to string
          for key in params.keys():
              params[key] = [str(p) for p in params[key]]
          # Create a Custom_GridSearchCV instance
          cb_gs = Custom_GridSearchCV('CatBoostClassifier', param_grid=params, cv=3)
      else:
          # Replace xgb_gs by model_cv[5]
          with open('cb_gs.pickle', 'rb') as f:
              cb_gs = pickle.load(f)
```


```
[81]: # Run cross-validation with accuracy as the primary scoring rprt, rp_df,_ = cb_gs.cross_validate(X_train_vec, y_train, vectorization=vec_labels)
```

```
[82]: # Find training and validation metrics
cb_gs_metrics_train,_,_ = prepare_metrics(cb_gs, train_val='train')
```

```
cb_gs_metrics_valid,_,_ = prepare_metrics(cb_gs, train_val='cross_validate')
     # Combine metrics
     cb_gs_metrics = pd.concat([cb_gs_metrics_train,cb_gs_metrics_valid])
     # Rename dataset as 'dataset_train/validate'
     cb_gs_metrics.dataset = cb_gs_metrics.dataset+'_'+cb_gs_metrics.train_val
     # Create a placeholder dataframe to store the average values
     cb_gs_metrics_mean = pd.DataFrame(columns=cb_gs_metrics.metric.unique(),
                                       index=cb gs metrics.dataset.unique())
     # Iterate through each row and column, aggregate values and take the mean
     for col in cb_gs_metrics_mean.columns:
         for idx in cb_gs_metrics_mean.index:
             avg = cb_gs_metrics.loc[(cb_gs_metrics.metric==col) & (cb_gs_metrics.
      →dataset==idx), 'value']
             cb_gs_metrics_mean.loc[idx,col] = avg.mean()
      # Sort average metrics by recall, AUC and accuracy
     cb_gs_metrics_mean.sort_values(by=metrics,ascending=False)
[82]:
                              precision accuracy
                                                                 f1
                                                                          auc \
                                                    recall
                                           0.814 0.809484 0.813156 0.896173
     Embedding_train
                               0.816862
     TfIdf_train
                               0.820011 0.813416 0.803112 0.811473 0.897557
     TfIdf cross validate
                               0.81939   0.812773   0.802416   0.810813   0.896874
     Embedding_cross_validate 0.815313 0.81255 0.808169 0.811725 0.894864
                               Count train
                               0.815531 0.811728 0.805701 0.810586 0.894833
     Count_cross_validate
                               roc_auc
     Embedding_train
                                 0.814
     TfIdf_train
                               0.813416
     TfIdf_cross_validate
                               0.812773
     Embedding_cross_validate
                               0.81255
     Count_train
                                0.81212
     Count_cross_validate
                               0.811728
[83]: # Plot the metrics for training and validation datasets
```

plot_metrics(cb_gs)






Embedding has the largest good tradeoff between accuracy and recall for the validation dataset.

```
# SMOTE has better accuracy and recall values
     # Therefore, 'class_weight' is disabled
     cb_gs.fit(X_train_vec[-1], y_train)
[85]: # Predict test and training datasets
     # Also get the metrics of fit
     if not isinstance(cb_gs.predictions, pd.DataFrame):
         prep = Preprocess(transformer='embed')
         cb_gs.predict(prep.transform(X_valid), y_valid, train_test='validate')
         cb_gs.predict(X_train_vec[-1], y_train, train_test='train')
     cb_gs.predictions.head()
[85]:
       train_test
                                                         preds \
        validate [0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, ...
     1 validate [0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, ...
     2 validate [0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, ...
     3 validate [0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, ...
         validate [0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, ...
                                           prob_preds log_loss_score \
     0 [[0.5397395104078336, 0.46026048959216637], [0...
                                                         12.535583
     1 [[0.5536506883079098, 0.44634931169209024], [0...
                                                         9.620915
     2 [[0.5397394705488654, 0.4602605294511346], [0...
                                                        12.535583
     3 [[0.5536514013698142, 0.4463485986301858], [0...
                                                         9.620723
     4 [[0.5588194018766796, 0.44118059812332044], [0...
                                                        11.576508
        accuracy precision
                            recall
                                         f1 \
     0 0.637062
                0.633671 0.649746 0.641608
     1 0.721449
                 0.726587 0.710111 0.718255
     2 0.637062 0.633671 0.649746 0.641608
     3 0.721455 0.726590 0.710122 0.718262
     4 0.664830
                  0.664822 0.664852 0.664837
                                                 fpr \
     0 [0.0, 0.010840740740740741, 0.0112962962962962...
     2 [0.0, 0.010840740740740741, 0.0112962962962962...
     4 [0.0, 0.0005925925925925926, 0.000618518518518...
                                                 tpr
                                                          auc
                                                                roc_auc
     1 [0.0, 5.55555555555556e-05, 0.000120370370370... 0.796411
                                                             0.721449
     2 [0.0, 0.08546666666666666, 0.08802037037037037... 0.703734 0.637062
     3 [0.0, 5.555555555555556e-05, 0.000120370370370... 0.796411 0.721455
     4 [0.0, 0.013351851851851853, 0.0138074074074... 0.733144 0.664830
```

[84]: # Fit grid search models with the best training dataset

[86]: # Plot metrics for predicted values
plot_predictions(cb_gs, valid_test='validate')






CatBoost appears to have close prediction for training and validation test compared to the other models. This will be quantified later.

```
best_models.append(best_cb_model)
      # Store the type of vectorization used
      vectorization_type.append('embed')
      # Display best model parameters
      best_cb_model.get_params
[87]: <bound method CatBoost.get_params of <catboost.core.CatBoostClassifier object at
      0x000001C52F3808B0>>
[88]: # Best DT model metrics
      best_cb_model_metrics
[88]: index
                                                                         29
                                                                  validate
      train_test
                        [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots]
     preds
     prob_preds
                        [[0.27241187914142595, 0.727588120858574], [0...
                                                                   6.96102
     log_loss_score
      accuracy
                                                                   0.79846
     precision
                                                                  0.803139
     recall
                                                                  0.790743
     f1
                                                                  0.796893
                        [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 1.8518...
     fpr
                        [0.0, 1.8518518518518519e-06, 2.96296296296296...
      tpr
      auc
                                                                  0.881108
                                                                   0.79846
      roc_auc
      Name: 0, dtype: object
[89]: # Confusion matrix
      plot_confusion_matrix(y_valid, best_cb_model_metrics.preds,
```

normalize='true');



The models can now be saved to file.

```
[90]: if not exists('cb_gs.pickle'):
    with open('cb_gs.pickle', 'wb') as f:
        pickle.dump(cb_gs, f)

[91]: # Delete 'cb_gs' for memory managment
    del cb_gs, X_train_vec
```

4.6 Sequence Models

Sequence models are general representations of deep neural networks that specialize in natural language processing. Some of these methods include: recurrent neural networks (RNN), gated recurrent units (GRU) and long short term memory (LSTM). For most cases, LSTM delivers good accuracy compared to the other methods, which is why it has been selected as a primary mode for building deep neural networks. Adam optimizer was chosen by default, which has strong performance compared to stochastic gradient descent.

4.6.1 Long Short Term Memory

To use the same kind of reporting for the previous models, the predicted probabilities should be used to compute various metrics. The following function simply does that.

```
[92]: def dnn_res_to_pd(y, prob_preds, train_test):
    # Empty dataframe to store results
    tmp = {}
```

```
# Populate 'tmp' with values
# Calculate predictions
preds = 1*(prob_preds>0.5)
# Compute fpr and tpr
fpr, tpr, threshold = roc_curve(y, prob_preds)
# Store metrics
tmp['train_test'] = train_test
tmp['preds'] = [preds.T]
tmp['prob_preds'] = [prob_preds.T]
tmp['log_loss_score'] = log_loss(y, preds)
tmp['accuracy'] = accuracy_score(y, preds)
tmp['precision'] = precision_score(y, preds)
tmp['recall'] = recall_score(y, preds)
tmp['f1'] = f1_score(y, preds)
tmp['fpr'] = [fpr]
tmp['tpr'] = [tpr]
tmp['auc'] = auc(fpr, tpr)
tmp['roc_auc'] = roc_auc_score(y, preds)
# Return dataframe
return pd.DataFrame(tmp)
```

There are two variations of LSMT used in this project. The first one is without GloVe embedding, and the second, with GloVe embedding. The following function facilitates a predefined word embedding matrix if provided. Also notice that, CuDNNLSTM is used instead of simple LSTM layer to expedite model fitting.

```
[93]: def build lstm model(embedding=None, MAX LENGTH=None, MAX FEATURES=None):
          Function to build an LSTM model
          embedding: Embedding matrix. default=None
          MAX_LENGTH: Maximum length of the input layer
          MAX_FEATURES: Maximum features in the embedding layer
          # If embedding not specified, specify input and embedding layers,
          # based on MAX_LENGTH and MAX_FEATURES
          if embedding is None:
              sequences = layers.Input(shape=(MAX_LENGTH,))
              embedded = layers.Embedding(MAX FEATURES, 64)(sequences)
          # Else use defined, untrainable embedding matrix
          else:
              sequences = layers.Input(shape=(embedding.shape[1],))
              embedded = layers.Embedding(embedding.shape[0],embedding.shape[1],
                                              weights=[embedding_matrix],
                                              trainable=False) (sequences)
          # LSTM layers
          # CuDNNLSTM runs much faster than LSTM model
          x = layers.CuDNNLSTM(128, return_sequences=True)(embedded)
```

For the deep neural network models, the data needed to be tokenized differently. Because Keras also allows for token padding.

```
[94]: if not exists('lstm_model_no_weight.pickle'):
          # If pickled file not found, set fitted to False
          fitted = False
          # Maximum features for LSTM model input
          max_features = 20000
          # Initiallize tokenizer with max features
          tokenizer = Tokenizer(num_words=max_features)
          # Fit tokenizer to training data
          tokenizer.fit_on_texts(X_train)
          # Convert text to sequences for both training and validation dataset
          train_texts = tokenizer.texts_to_sequences(X_train)
          val_texts = tokenizer.texts_to_sequences(X_valid)
          # Apply padding based on the maximum training token
          max_length = max(len(train_ex) for train_ex in train_texts)
          train_texts = pad_sequences(train_texts, maxlen=max_length)
          val_texts = pad_sequences(val_texts, maxlen=max_length)
          # Build LSTM model
          lstm_model_no_weight = build_lstm_model(MAX_FEATURES=max_features,
                                                 MAX LENGTH=max length)
          # Save input parameters to file
          f = open('lstm_model_no_weight.pickle', 'wb')
          pickle.dump(tokenizer, f)
          pickle.dump(train_texts, f)
          pickle.dump(val_texts, f)
      else:
          with open('lstm_model_no_weight.pickle', 'rb') as f:
              # Skip 'tokenizer'
              = pickle.load(f)
```

The model layers are displayed below.

[95]: # Display model summary lstm_model_no_weight.summary()

Model: "functional_15"

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
input_9 (InputLayer)	[(None, 167)]	0
embedding_7 (Embedding)	(None, 167, 64)	1280000
cu_dnnlstm_12 (CuDNNLSTM)	(None, 167, 128)	99328
dropout_10 (Dropout)	(None, 167, 128)	0
cu_dnnlstm_13 (CuDNNLSTM)	(None, 128)	132096
dropout_11 (Dropout)	(None, 128)	0
dense_21 (Dense)	(None, 100)	12900
dense_22 (Dense)	(None, 32)	3232
dense_23 (Dense)	(None, 1)	33

Total params: 1,527,589 Trainable params: 1,527,589 Non-trainable params: 0

If the model is not fitted, the following code performs that and saves the model to file.

Predictions are also saved to file.


```
[97]: # Predict training and validation, and save to file
if not fitted:
    train_preds = lstm_model_no_weight.predict(train_texts)
    val_preds = lstm_model_no_weight.predict(val_texts)
    # Save predictions to file
    pickle.dump(train_preds, f)
    pickle.dump(val_preds, f)
    f.close()
```


On a single epoch, our LSTM model has much higher performance than the other models.

```
[98]: train_test
                                                  preds \
       validate [[1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0,...
    0
          train [[1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1,...
                                     prob_preds log_loss_score \
    0 [[0.9910585, 0.028316455, 0.0017643009, 0.8170...
                                                  2.884851
    0 [[0.8621141, 0.004045642, 0.17851877, 0.127429...
                                                  2.616820
       accuracy precision
                         recall
                                    f1 \
    0 0.916476
              0.920733 0.911417 0.916051
    0 0.924236
              0.928546 0.919207 0.923853
                                           fpr \
```


tpr auc roc_auc
0 [0.0, 1.8518518518518519e-06, 5.92592592592592... 0.973753 0.916476
0 [0.0, 7.936507936507937e-07, 4.44444444444444... 0.977506 0.924236

[99]: # Plot model performance on training and validation datasets plot_predictions(lstm_model_no_weight, valid_test='validate')





[100]: # Show the onfusion matrix plot_confusion_matrix(y_valid, 1*(val_preds>0.5), normalize='true')



```
[101]: # # Store best model
best_models.append(lstm_model_no_weight)

# Store the type of vectorization used
vectorization_type.append('tokenized-no-weight')
```

```
[102]: # Delete unused variables
del train_preds, val_preds
```

Now, let us use GloVe word embedding and retrain the model. First, the embedding matrix must be computed based on how many words have been tokenized.

```
[103]: if not exists('lstm_model_glove.pickle'):
    fitted = False
    # With embedding matrix, the length is defined by the number of columns
    max_length = len(embeddings_index[next(iter(embeddings_index))])
    # Use another tokenizer with no maximum features
    tokenizer = Tokenizer()
    # Fit to the training data
    tokenizer.fit_on_texts(X_train)
    # Tokenize and change words to sequences for training and validation
    datasets
    train_texts = tokenizer.texts_to_sequences(X_train)
    val_texts = tokenizer.texts_to_sequences(X_valid)
    # Apply padding
```

```
train_texts = pad_sequences(train_texts, maxlen=max_length)
   val_texts = pad_sequences(val_texts, maxlen=max_length)
    # Choose words existing only in the tokenized training dataset
   word_index = tokenizer.word_index
    # Instatiate an embedding matrix
   embedding_matrix = np.zeros((len(word_index)+1, max_length))
   for word, i in word_index.items():
        embedding_vector = embeddings_index.get(word)
        # If word exists in the dictionary, replace values
        if embedding_vector is not None:
            embedding_matrix[i] = embedding_vector
    # Build glove LSTM model
   lstm_model_glove = build_lstm_model(embedding=embedding_matrix)
   # Save values to file
   f = open('lstm_model_glove.pickle','wb')
   pickle.dump(tokenizer, f)
   pickle.dump(train_texts, f)
   pickle.dump(val_texts, f)
else:
   with open('lstm_model_glove.pickle','rb') as f:
        # Skip 'tokenizer'
        _ = pickle.load(f)
        # Skip 'train_texts'
        _ = pickle.load(f)
        # Skip 'val_texts'
        _ = pickle.load(f)
        # Load model predictions on training data
       train_preds = pickle.load(f)
        # Load model predictions on validation data
       val_preds = pickle.load(f)
    # Load pretrained model
   lstm model glove = keras.models.load model('models/lstm model glove.h5')
   fitted = True
```

[104]: # Display model summary lstm_model_glove.summary()

Model: "functional 17"

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
input_10 (InputLayer)	[(None, 300)]	0
embedding_8 (Embedding)	(None, 300, 300)	90908400
cu_dnnlstm_14 (CuDNNLSTM)	(None, 300, 128)	220160
dropout_12 (Dropout)	(None, 300, 128)	0

```
cu_dnnlstm_15 (CuDNNLSTM)
                      (None, 128)
                                          132096
dropout_13 (Dropout)
                      (None, 128)
dense 24 (Dense)
                      (None, 100)
                                          12900
dense_25 (Dense)
                      (None, 32)
                                           3232
dense_26 (Dense)
                      (None, 1)
                                           33
______
```

Total params: 91,276,821 Trainable params: 368,421

Non-trainable params: 90,908,400

Clearly, using word embedding is going to exponentially increase our computation. But for the most part, the embedding layer is set to non-trainable.

```
[105]: # Fit the data if not fitted
       if not fitted:
           # Run model for a single epoch
           lstm_model_glove.fit(train_texts,
                                y_train,
                                batch_size=128,
                                epochs=1,
                                validation_data=(val_texts, y_valid));
           lstm_model_glove.save('models/lstm_model_glove.h5', save_format='tf')
```


```
[106]: # Predict training and validation, and save to file
       if not fitted:
           train_preds = lstm_model_glove.predict(train_texts)
           val_preds = lstm_model_glove.predict(val_texts)
           # Save predicitions to file
           pickle.dump(train_preds, f)
           pickle.dump(val_preds, f)
           f.close()
```

```
[107]: # Convert predictions to dataframe
       predictions = pd.concat([dnn_res_to_pd(y_valid, val_preds,'validate'),
                                dnn_res_to_pd(y_train, train_preds, 'train')])
       # Add predictions to the model
       lstm_model_glove.predictions = predictions
       lstm_model_glove.predictions
```

```
[107]: train_test
          validate [[1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0,...
```


```
0
      train [[1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1,...
                                     prob_preds log_loss_score \
0 [[0.99480337, 0.014124167, 0.0027095953, 0.409...
                                                    3.052675
0 [[0.96191967, 0.010168016, 0.11027366, 0.14406...
                                                    2.895960
  accuracy precision
                       recall
                                   f1 \
0 0.911617
            0.939485 0.879911 0.908723
0 0.916154
            0.943840 0.884964 0.913455
                                            fpr \
0 [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 7.936507936507937e-0...
                                                     auc
0 [0.0, 1.8518518518518519e-06, 3.51851851851851... 0.973686 0.911617
0 [0.0, 7.936507936507937e-07, 1.587301587301587... 0.976052 0.916154
```

[108]: # Plot model performance
plot_predictions(lstm_model_glove, valid_test='validate')









This variation of LSTM performed less than the previous model. One possible explanation is that since the embedding layer is untrainable, that limited the number of parameters.

```
[110]: # # Store best model
best_models.append(lstm_model_glove)
```

```
# Store the type of vectorization used
vectorization_type.append('tokenized-glove')
```

```
[111]: # Delete unused variables
del train_preds, val_preds
```

4.7 Transformer Models

4.7.1 Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers

Here is another implementation of BERT using DistelBERT. Technically, this is not a transformer model. But uses DistilBERT embedding. For this case, 1D CNN layers with max pooling were used instead of LSTM as it is a common practice to include elements of CNN with transformer models. Implementing transformer models takes a lot of computational resources which is why the were skipped from this project.

```
[112]: def build_bert_text_model(MAX_LENGTH=None, MAX_FEATURES=None):
           # Define input layer
           sequences = layers.Input(shape=(MAX_LENGTH,))
           # Embedding layer
           embedded = layers.Embedding(MAX_FEATURES, 128)(sequences)
           # First 1D CNN layer
           x = layers.Conv1D(filters=100,
                             kernel_size=2,
                             padding="valid",
                             activation="relu")(embedded)
           # Second 1D CNN layer
           x = layers.Conv1D(filters=100,
                             kernel size=3,
                             padding="valid",
                             activation="relu")(x)
           # Third 1D CNN layer
           x = layers.Conv1D(filters=50,
                             kernel_size=4,
                             padding="valid",
                             activation="relu")(x)
           # Global max pooling
           x = layers.GlobalMaxPool1D()(x)
           # Dense layer with dropout
           x = layers.Dense(512, activation='relu')(x)
           x = layers.Dropout(rate=0.2)(x)
           # Output layer
           predictions = layers.Dense(1, activation='sigmoid')(x)
           model = models.Model(inputs=sequences, outputs=predictions)
           model.compile(optimizer='adam',
                         loss='binary crossentropy',
                         metrics=['accuracy'])
           return model
```

Here is a helper function that performs DistilBERT tokenization and id conversion.


```
[113]: def tokenize_reviews(text_reviews):
           Function that tokenizes based on DistilBERT
           text_reviews: Input string
           111
           return tokenizer.convert_tokens_to_ids(tokenizer.tokenize(text_reviews))
[114]: | MODEL_NAME = 'distilbert-base-uncased-finetuned-sst-2-english'
       tokenizer = DistilBertTokenizer.from_pretrained(MODEL_NAME)
       if not exists('bert_tokenized.pickle'):
           fitted = False
           # Use DistilBert tokenizer
           train_tokenized = [tokenize_reviews(review) for review in tqdm(X_train.
        →to_list())]
           val_tokenized = [tokenize_reviews(review) for review in tqdm(X_valid.
        →to list())]
           # Define max length based on training data
           max_length = max(len(tokens) for tokens in train_tokenized)
           # Apply padding
           train_texts = pad_sequences(train_tokenized, maxlen=max_length)
           val_texts = pad_sequences(val_tokenized, maxlen=max_length)
           # Build BERT model
           bert_model = build_bert_text_model(MAX_LENGTH=max_length,
                                          MAX FEATURES=len(tokenizer.vocab))
           # Save tokens to file
           f = open('bert tokenized.pickle','wb')
           pickle.dump(train_texts, f)
           pickle.dump(val_texts, f)
       else:
           with open('bert_tokenized.pickle','rb') as f:
               # Skip 'train texts'
               _ = pickle.load(f)
               # Skip 'val_texts'
               _ = pickle.load(f)
               # Load model predictions for training
               train_preds = pickle.load(f)
               # Load model predictions for validation
               val_preds = pickle.load(f)
           # Load pretrained model
           bert_model = keras.models.load_model('models/bert_tokenized_model.h5')
           fitted = True
[115]: # Show summary
       bert_model.summary()
```

Model: "model"


<pre>input_1 (InputLayer) embedding (Embedding) conv1d (Conv1D)</pre>	[(None, 392)] (None, 392, 12	0
-	(None, 392, 12	
conv1d (Conv1D)		28) 3906816
convid (convid)	(None, 391, 10	25700
conv1d_1 (Conv1D)	(None, 389, 10	30100
conv1d_2 (Conv1D)	(None, 386, 50	20050
<pre>global_max_pooling1d (Globa lMaxPooling1D)</pre>	a (None, 50)	0
dense (Dense)	(None, 512)	26112
dropout (Dropout)	(None, 512)	0
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 1)	513
<pre>Trainable params: 4,009,291 Won-trainable params: 0 # Run if not fitted if not fitted: bert_model.fit(train_te</pre>	xts, ze=128, , on_data=(val_te	exts, y_valid));
<pre># Run predictions is not fi if not fitted:</pre>	tted	
<pre>train_preds = bert_mode val_preds = bert_model. # Save predictions to f</pre>	predict(val_tex	


```
[118]: # Convert predictions to dataframe
      predictions = pd.concat([dnn_res_to_pd(y_valid, val_preds, 'validate'),
                            dnn_res_to_pd(y_train, train_preds, 'train')])
      # Add predictions to the model
      bert_model.predictions = predictions
      bert_model.predictions
[118]:
                                                          preds \
       train_test
         validate
                  [[1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0,...]
                  [[1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1,...
            train
                                           prob_preds log_loss_score \
      0 [[0.99894893, 0.051377565, 0.0034568012, 0.788...
                                                          3.082980
      0 [[0.96683025, 0.01142404, 0.74035144, 0.453830...
                                                          2.782287
        accuracy precision
                             recall
                                         f1
      0 0.910740
                  0.898169
                          0.926526
                                    0.912127
      0 0.919446
                  0.907125 0.934577
                                    0.920646
                                                 fpr \
      tpr
                                                          auc
                                                                roc_auc
      0 [0.0, 1.8518518518519e-06, 0.0001277777777... 0.970848 0.910740
      0 [0.0, 7.936507936507937e-07, 3.968253968253968... 0.975247 0.919446
```

[119]: # Display metrics plot_predictions(bert_model, valid_test='validate')









```
[121]: # # Store best model
best_models.append(bert_model)

# Store the type of vectorization used
vectorization_type.append('tokenized-bert')
```

```
[122]: # Delete unused variables
del train_preds, val_preds, X_train
```

5 Evaluation

Now that all models have been fitted with training dataset and tested on validation. We can now import the test dataset and make predictions with the best performing models from each model.

5.1 Model Performances

First we need to check if test dataset is preprocessed.

```
[157]: # Check if test.zip exists
if exists('data/test.zip'):
    # Load using pandas
    test_df = pd.read_csv('data/test.zip', compression='gzip')
    # Apply string cleaner (remove square bracets from each side)
    def str_cleaner(line):
        return line[1:-1].replace("'",'').replace('',','')
    # Apply str_cleaner function
    test_df.statements = test_df.statements.apply(str_cleaner)
else:
    # Else load the B2Z file
    test_file_lines = load_data('data/test.ft.txt.bz2')
    # Clean it and save it to file
    test_df = save_to_file(test_file_lines, 'train')
    del train_file_lines
```

Lets split the test dataset to features and labels.

```
[158]: X_test, y_test = test_df.statements, test_df.labels
del test_df
```

For smoother operation, different tokenizations of the test files are stored in a dictionary.

```
[125]: if not exists('test_dataset_dict.pickle'):
    # If file doesn't exist
    MODEL_NAME = 'distilbert-base-uncased-finetuned-sst-2-english'
    # Initiallize dicionary
    test_dataset_dict = {}
    # Perform TfIdf
    prep = Preprocess(transformer='tfidf',max_df=0.98083,min_df=0.01917)
    test_dataset_dict['tfidf'] = prep.transform(X_test, return_vectorizer=False)
    # Apply count
    prep = Preprocess(transformer='count',max_df=0.98083,min_df=0.01917)
    test_dataset_dict['count'] = prep.transform(X_test, return_vectorizer=False)
    # Apply word embedding
    prep = Preprocess(transformer='embed')
```

```
test_dataset_dict['embed'] = prep.transform(X_test)
    # Load tokenizer for the first LSTM model
    with open('lstm_model_no_weight.pickle', 'rb') as f:
        tokenizer = pickle.load(f)
        train_texts = pickle.load(f)
    # Apply tokenization and padding
    test_texts = tokenizer.texts_to_sequences(X_test)
    test_dataset_dict['tokenized-no-weight'] = pad_sequences(test_texts,
                                                          maxlen=train texts.
\rightarrowshape[1])
    # Load tokenizer for the second LSTM model (with word embedding)
    with open('lstm_model_glove.pickle', 'rb') as f:
        tokenizer = pickle.load(f)
        train_texts = pickle.load(f)
    # Apply tokenization and padding
    test_texts = tokenizer.texts_to_sequences(X_test)
    test_dataset_dict['tokenized-glove'] = pad_sequences(test_texts,
                                                          maxlen=train_texts.
\rightarrowshape[1])
    # Load padded train data for the last model
    with open('bert_tokenized.pickle', 'rb') as f:
        train_texts = pickle.load(f)
    # Apply DistilBert tokenizer and padding
    tokenizer = DistilBertTokenizer.from_pretrained(MODEL_NAME)
    test_tokenized = [tokenize_reviews(review) for review in tqdm(X_test.
 →to_list())]
    test_dataset_dict['tokenized-bert'] = pad_sequences(test_tokenized,
                                                     maxlen=train_texts.shape[1])
    # Save the dictionary to file
    with open('test_dataset_dict.pickle', 'wb') as f:
        pickle.dump(test_dataset_dict, f)
else:
    # Load processed testing data from file
    with open('test_dataset_dict.pickle', 'rb') as f:
        test_dataset_dict = pickle.load(f)
```


Now, we can iterate through the best models and make test predictions.


```
[127]: for model, vec in zip(best_models, vectorization_type):
    try:
        test_preds_prob = model.predict_proba(test_dataset_dict[vec])
        tmp_df = dnn_res_to_pd(y_test, test_preds_prob[:,1], 'test')
    except:
        test_preds_prob = model.predict(test_dataset_dict[vec])
        tmp_df = dnn_res_to_pd(y_test, test_preds_prob, 'test')
    model.predictions = pd.concat([model.predictions, tmp_df])
```


The performance summary of the best models selected are summarized in the figures plotted below.

```
[128]: # Set columns
       columns = cb_gs_metrics_mean.columns
       # Find the labels from the name of the models
       labels = ['Naive Bayes', 'Logistic Regression', 'Random Forest',
                 'XGBoost', 'CatBoost', 'LSTM', 'LSTM with GloVe',
                 'DistilBert Tokenized'
       # Iterate through each models
       best_models_metrics = best_models[0].predictions.loc[best_models[0].predictions.
       →train test=='test']
       for model in best_models[1:]:
           # Find test predictions in each model
           tmp = model.predictions.loc[model.predictions.train_test=='test']
           best_models_metrics = pd.concat([best_models_metrics,tmp])
       # Set labels as indices
       best models metrics.index = labels
       # Create figure to plot log loss and ROC curve
       fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(15,7))
       # Log loss for the models
       g1 = sns.barplot(x=labels, y=best_models_metrics['log_loss_score'], ax=axes[0])
       # Format labels and title
       g1.set_xlabel('')
       g1.set_ylabel('Log Loss',fontsize=13)
       g1.set_title('Best Models Log Loss',fontsize=15)
       g1.set_xticklabels(g1.get_xticklabels(), rotation=25,
                         horizontalalignment='right')
       # Plot mean ROC curve for the best models
       for idx in labels:
           g2 = sns.scatterplot(x=best_models_metrics.loc[idx,'fpr'],
                                y=best_models_metrics.loc[idx, 'tpr'],
                                label=idx, ax=axes[1], linewidth=0, s=10)
       # Format labels and title
       plt.plot([0, 1], [0, 1], color='gray', linestyle='--')
       g2.set_xlabel('False Positve Rate', fontsize=13)
       g2.legend(title='Model')
       g2.set_ylabel('True Positve Rate', fontsize=13)
       g2.set_title('ROC Curve',fontsize=15)
       # Save figure
       plt.savefig('images/best_model_log_and_roc.jpg');
```

```
# Plot metrics for the models
report_df = pd.DataFrame(columns=['model', 'value', 'metric'])
for col in columns:
    tmp_df = pd.DataFrame(columns=report_df.columns)
    tmp_df.value = best_models_metrics.loc[:, col]
    tmp_df.model = best_models_metrics.index
    tmp_df.metric = col
    report_df = pd.concat([report_df, tmp_df], ignore_index=True)
fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(15,6))
g3 = sns.barplot(x="model", y="value", hue="metric",
                     data=report_df, ax=ax)
# Format labels and title
g3.set_xlabel('')
g3.set_ylabel('Score', fontsize=13)
g3.set_title('Scores for The Best Models on Test Dataset',fontsize=15)
g3.legend(title='Metric');
# Save figure
plt.savefig('images/best_model_performance.jpg');
```







5.2 Final Model

As expected, the first LSTM model gave the highest accuracy on the test dataset.

```
[129]: # Copy best_models_metrics
tmp_df = best_models_metrics.copy()

# Reset index
tmp_df = tmp_df.reset_index().reset_index()

# Sort values by difference between accuracy and recall
tmp_df = tmp_df.sort_values(by='accuracy', ascending=False)

# Select the index of the first in the table
idx = tmp_df.level_0.iloc[0]

# Final model
final_model = best_models[idx]

# Print final model name and get parameters
print(labels[idx])
final_model.summary()
```

LSTM

Model: "functional_15"

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
input_9 (InputLayer)	[(None, 167)]	0
embedding_7 (Embedding)	(None, 167, 64)	1280000

```
cu_dnnlstm_12 (CuDNNLSTM)
                          (None, 167, 128)
                                                      99328
dropout_10 (Dropout)
                            (None, 167, 128)
cu_dnnlstm_13 (CuDNNLSTM)
                            (None, 128)
                                                      132096
dropout 11 (Dropout)
                            (None, 128)
dense 21 (Dense)
                            (None, 100)
                                                      12900
dense_22 (Dense)
                            (None, 32)
                                                      3232
dense_23 (Dense)
                            (None, 1)
                                                       33
```

Total params: 1,527,589 Trainable params: 1,527,589 Non-trainable params: 0

Now we can retrain the model for larger epochs to refine the performance. Results are saved to file.

```
[131]: if not exists('final_model_results.pickle')
           with open('lstm_model_no_weight.pickle', 'rb') as f:
               # Load 'tokenizer'
               tokenizer = pickle.load(f)
               # Load 'train_texts'
               train_texts = pickle.load(f)
               # Load 'val texts'
               val_texts = pickle.load(f)
           # Retrain model with more epochs
           history = final_model.fit(train_texts,
                                     y_train,
                                     batch_size=128,
                                     epochs=4,
                                     validation_data=(val_texts, y_valid));
           # Save final model for deployement
           final_model.save('models/final_model.h5', save_format='tf')
           # Save fit results to file
           with open('final_model_results.pickle','wb') as f:
               pickle.dump(history.history, f)
       else:
           # Save final model for deployement
           final_model = keras.models.load_model('models/final_model.h5')
           # Fetch tokenizer from file
           with open('lstm_model_no_weight.pickle', 'rb') as f:
```

```
# Get 'tokenizer'
tokenizer = pickle.load(f)

# Load fit results from file
with open('final_model_results.pickle','rb') as f:
history = pickle.load(f)
```

Choosing larger epochs usually results in overfitting, which is undesirable. We can now plot the model performance over the training epochs.

```
[150]: def plot_history(history):
           # Metrics for plotting
           metrics = ['loss', 'accuracy']
           # Set epoch lengths as x-values
           epochs = list(range(1, len(history['loss'])+1))
           # Plot metrics
           fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(15,6))
           # Iterate through each metrics
           for metric, ax in zip(metrics, axes.flatten()):
               # Plot training metrics
               sns.lineplot(epochs, history[metric], label='Train', ax=ax)
               # Plot validation metrics
               sns.lineplot(epochs, history['val_'+metric], label='Validate', ax=ax)
               # Set axis labels
               ax.set xlabel('Epoch')
               ax.set_ylabel(metric.title())
               ax.legend();
```

Plotting the model performances, we can see that validation suffers a bit at higher epochs.

C:\Users\zeaps\Anaconda3\envs\learn-env\lib\site-

packages\seaborn_decorators.py:36: FutureWarning: Pass the following variables as keyword args: x, y. From version 0.12, the only valid positional argument will be `data`, and passing other arguments without an explicit keyword will result in an error or misinterpretation.

warnings.warn(

C:\Users\zeaps\Anaconda3\envs\learn-env\lib\site-

packages\seaborn_decorators.py:36: FutureWarning: Pass the following variables as keyword args: x, y. From version 0.12, the only valid positional argument will be `data`, and passing other arguments without an explicit keyword will result in an error or misinterpretation.

warnings.warn(

C:\Users\zeaps\Anaconda3\envs\learn-env\lib\site-


packages\seaborn_decorators.py:36: FutureWarning: Pass the following variables as keyword args: x, y. From version 0.12, the only valid positional argument will be `data`, and passing other arguments without an explicit keyword will result in an error or misinterpretation.

warnings.warn(


 ${\tt C:\Users\Learn-env\lib\site-env\lib\site-env\lib\site-env\lib\site-env\lib\site-env\lib\site-env\lib\site-env\lib\site-env\lib\site-env\lib\site-env\site-env\lib\site-env\site-en$

packages\seaborn_decorators.py:36: FutureWarning: Pass the following variables as keyword args: x, y. From version 0.12, the only valid positional argument will be `data`, and passing other arguments without an explicit keyword will result in an error or misinterpretation.

warnings.warn(



[145]: # Confusion matrix for test prediction
 test_preds = final_model.predict(test_dataset_dict['tokenized-no-weight'])
 plot_confusion_matrix(y_test, 1*(test_preds>0.5), normalize='true')



These are the metrics of the final model. It is considerably better than the other modes.

```
[152]: # Metrics of the final model
    dnn_res_to_pd(y_test, test_preds, 'test')[columns]

[152]: precision accuracy recall f1 auc roc_auc
    0 0.908266 0.91399 0.921 0.914589 0.970818 0.91399
```

5.3 Model Interpretation

Now that our model has been selected, it is time to explain the significance of each variable used to make the prediction. For this project, LIME is used as way to demonstrate model performance. A great thing about this library is that it can show us which parts of the statement can be attributed to making a positive or negative predictions with probability. By following those steps, we can learn more about the features that can actively influence our predictions.

```
[200]: # Class names used for interpretation
    class_names=['negative','positive']
    # Initialize LIME explaniner
    explainer= LimeTextExplainer(class_names=class_names)
```

The following function predicts the probability of a statement to either being positive or negative based on the final model.

```
[229]: # Function to predict probabilities of an input text def predict_proba(statements):
```

```
# Store processed strings
  processed_str = []
   # Iterate through each sentiment and clean data
  for statment in statements:
       # data_cleaner returns the lemmed statement and label, if specified
       cleaned_str, _ = data_cleaner(statment, labeled=False,__
→spell_check=False)
       # Append cleaned data
      processed_str.append(cleaned_str)
   # Tokenize word using tokenizer for the final model
  tokenized = tokenizer.texts_to_sequences(processed_str)
   # Apply padding
   input_data = pad_sequences(tokenized,
                      maxlen=test_dataset_dict['tokenized-no-weight'].shape[1])
   # Predict the sentiment of the statement
  pred = final_model.predict(input_data)
   # Return the binary probabilities
  return np.array([[1-i[0],i[0]] for i in pred])
```

Now let's choose a random statement from the test file and test the performance.

```
[324]: # Randomly choose comment from the test dataset
idx = 0
print('Actual sentiment for this review is', class_names[y_test[idx]])
explainer.explain_instance(X_test[0], predict_proba).show_in_notebook(text=True)
```

Actual sentiment for this review is positive

<IPython.core.display.HTML object>

Actual sentiment for this review is negative

<IPython.core.display.HTML object>

So far so good. We can now use comments pulled from random product on Amazon and see how the prediction is going to fair.

```
[322]: # Test on a custom positive review
```

```
custom_review = "Gildan Men's Crew T-Shirts are very comfortable very well made_

→ and I would highly recommend them I wear a lot of white shirts and comfort_

→ bility and the style and fabric of the shirt is very important to me I Used_

→ to always Hans but they've got to be very expensive and I don't mind the_

→ price but the quality has also been reduced but Gildan Men's Crew T-Shirts_

→ Suppress my expectations and if I could give them a 10 star I would I would_

→ highly recommend "

explainer.explain_instance(custom_review, predict_proba).

→ show_in_notebook(text=True)
```

<IPython.core.display.HTML object>

```
[323]: # Test on a custom negative review

custom_review = "The shirts say they are 100% Cotten but I don't believe I have_

over felt such rough scratchy cotton. I have had these shirts for a few_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and they have been washed multiple times and are still scratchy. I_

overweeks now and the
```

<IPython.core.display.HTML object>


Excellent! Although deep neural networks make it difficult to show the effect of each word towards making a sentiment prediction, the most frequent words in correctly and incorrectly predicting values can be displayed. The function below identifies true/false positives/negatives and gives the frequencies of the top 50 words from each category.

```
[275]: | def word_collection(dataset, y_true, y_pred, pred_type, top_num=10):
           Function that returns the top n words that are correctly
           or incorrectly classifed.
           dataset: Pandas Series file
           y_true: True y values
           y_pred: Predicted y values
           pred_type: Prediction type true or false positives/negatives
               True positve: 'tp'
               True negative: 'tn'
               False positive: 'fp'
               False negative: 'fn'
           if len(np.unique(y pred))>2:
               y_pred = 1*(y_pred>0.5)
           # Take difference between true and predicted values
           diff = [int(np.round(y_true[i]-y_pred[i])) for i in range(len(y_true))]
           # Set difference dictionary
           diff_d = {'tp':0, 'tn':0, 'fp':-1, 'fn':1}
           # Set pred_type dictionary
```

Display the top 50 true positive words

```
[281]: # Top 50 words in true positive comments
    top_50_tp = word_collection(X_test, y_test, test_preds, 'tp', top_num=50)


[296]: # Define a wordcloud
    wordcloud = WordCloud(width=800, height=400, background_color='white')
    # Generate from word frequency
    wordcloud.generate_from_frequencies(top_50_tp)
    # Plot wordcloud
    plt.figure(figsize=(12,6))
    plt.imshow(wordcloud, interpolation='bilinear')
    plt.axis("off");
    # Save figure
    plt.savefig('images/true_pos.jpg');
```



Display the top 50 true negative words

```
[282]: # Top 50 words in true negative comments
    top_50_tn = word_collection(X_test, y_test, test_preds, 'tn', top_num=50)


[297]: # Generate from word frequency
    wordcloud.generate_from_frequencies(top_50_tn)
    # Plot wordcloud
    plt.figure(figsize=(12,6))
    plt.imshow(wordcloud, interpolation='bilinear')
    plt.axis("off");
    # Save figure
    plt.savefig('images/true_neg.jpg');
```



Display the top 50 false positive words

```
[285]: # Top 50 words in false positive comments
    top_50_fp = word_collection(X_test, y_test, test_preds, 'fp', top_num=50)


[298]: # Generate from word frequency
    wordcloud.generate_from_frequencies(top_50_fp)
    # Plot wordcloud
    plt.figure(figsize=(12,6))
    plt.imshow(wordcloud, interpolation='bilinear')
    plt.axis("off");
    # Save figure
    plt.savefig('images/false_pos.jpg');
```



Display the top 50 false negative words

```
[287]: # Top 50 words in false negtive comments
    top_50_fn = word_collection(X_test, y_test, test_preds, 'fn', top_num=50)

[299]: # Generate from word frequency
    wordcloud.generate_from_frequencies(top_50_fn)
    # Plot wordcloud
    plt.figure(figsize=(12,6))
    plt.imshow(wordcloud, interpolation='bilinear')
    plt.axis("off");
    # Save figure
    plt.savefig('images/false_neg.jpg');
```



6 Conclusion

We built a binary text classification model LSTM that is able to perform Amazon reviews sentiment analysis with 91.4% accuracy. Our model was also able to assign probabilistic ratio for each word used from a completely unseen review. Word Clouds were generated to show which words are the appear the most in both true positive and negative reviews. It was also observed that LSTM with GloVe and BERT tokenized models also performed strongly. More deep learning architectures could be considered to improve the accuracy of test data.

7 Next Steps

The next steps include considering more robust models (layers with larger nodes) for an improved performance. Also, in this project I was aspired to implement transformer models which have significantly higher performance compared to the traditional LSTM model. The dataset used in this project have binary classes. It would be interesting to test the model performance on a starbased rating, instead of positive or negative reviews.