# Forecasting stock price volatility: New evidence from the GARCH-MIDAS model

Lu Wanga, Feng Ma, Jing Liu, Lin Yang

Presenter: Afet Ibadova

# Agenda

- 1. Literature review
- 2. Model Contributions
- 3. Data and Methodology
- 4. GARCH-MIDAS Model Extensions
- 5. Estimation Results
- 6. Conclusion

## Hypothesis

**Main Hypothesis**: Incorporating asymmetry and extreme volatility effects into the GARCH-MIDAS model significantly improves its ability to forecast stock price volatility

#### **Key points:**

- **Asymmetry Effect**: This concept highlights that stock returns react differently to positive and negative news
- Extreme Volatility Effect: Extreme events, such as financial crises or sudden economic shifts, cause abnormal volatility. These events can be identified and adjusted for within the model to enhance its forecasting accuracy.

### Literature Review

#### **Previous Findings:**

- Asymmetry and extreme volatility effects improve volatility forecasts.
- Studies by various researchers have shown enhanced predictive accuracy with these effects.

#### **Research Gap:**

- Comprehensive evaluation within the GARCH-MIDAS framework is needed.
- Previous studies have not fully integrated these effects into the GARCH-MIDAS model.

### **Model Contributions**

#### The extended model accounts for:

- (a) the influence of extreme events on the stock volatilities of small and large news,
- (b) the asymmetric responses of the stock return volatility to good versus bad news,
- (c) the predictive accuracy of our extended volatility models.

#### **Context and Contributions:**

- **Impact of Major Changes:** Factors like extreme weather events, political disputes, and economic policies alter volatility.
- Volatility Thresholds: Adoption of thresholds for extreme returns enhances modeling and forecasting.
- **New Perspectives:** New models constructed to improve predictability and forecasting accuracy.

### Data and Methodology

#### Data Source:

- Daily S&P 500 index data from 1991 to 2016.
- In-sample: January 1991 to January 2006
- Out-of-sample: February 2006 to December 2016

#### • Evaluation:

- In-sample and out-of-sample performance.
- **Comparison using various loss functions (MSE, MAE, HMSE, HMAE, QLIKE).**

#### • Objective:

Test the effectiveness of the extended GARCH-MIDAS models.

#### **GARCH-MIDAS Model Overview**

#### **Components**:

- Short-term (high-frequency) volatility.
- Long-term (low-frequency) volatility.

#### **Decomposition**:

The model separates daily fluctuations from longer-term trends.

#### **Advantages**:

- More accurate representation of volatility dynamics.
- Better forecasting performance.

Table 1 The typology of GARCH-MIDAS and its extensions.

Model number	Asymmetry effect in short-term	Asymmetry effect in long-term	Extreme volatility effect in short-term	Extreme volatility effect in long-term	Model description
Model 0 Model 1	/				The basic GARCH-MIDAS model Including asymmetry in short-term
Model 2		✓			volatility Including asymmetry in long-term volatility
Model 3			✓		Including threshold in short-term volatility
Model 4				✓	Including threshold in long-term volatility
Model 5	✓		✓		Including asymmetry and threshold in short-term volatility
Model 6		/		/	Including asymmetry and threshold in long-term volatility
Model 7	✓	1			Including asymmetry in both short- and long-term volatility
Model 8	/			/	Including asymmetry in short-term volatility and threshold in long-term volatility
Model 9	1	✓		/	Including asymmetry in both short- and long-term volatility and extreme in long-term volatility
Model 10			✓	✓	Including extreme in both short- and long-term volatility
Model 11		✓	1		Including asymmetry in long-term volatility and extreme in short-term volatility
Model 12		/	1	/	Including asymmetry in long-term volatility and extreme in both short- and long-term volatility
Model 13	1	/	1		Including asymmetry in both short- and long-term volatility and extreme in short-term volatility
Model 14	✓		✓	•	Including asymmetry in short-term volatility and extreme in both short- and long-term volatility
Model 15	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	Including asymmetry and extreme in both short- and long-term volatility

#### **Estimation results**

- Most parameters are statistically significant (indicated by \*\*\*).
- Models with asymmetry  $(\gamma)$  show bad news has a greater short-term impact.
- Negative extreme shocks  $(\theta-)$  have a significant effect on volatility.
- Models incorporating both
   asymmetry and extreme volatility
   effects perform best.

Table 2
Estimation results of GARCH-MIDAS and its extensions.

Locilliat	don results (	or officeri-ivi	ibito and it.	CACCIOIOIIS.				
	Model 0	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
μ	0.056*** (0.010)	0.025*** (0.010)	0.055*** (0.010)	0.041*** (0.011)	0.056*** (0.011)	0.020** (0.009)	0.056*** (0.010)	0.026*** (0.009)
α	0.102*** (0.006)	0.001 (0.016)	0.101*** (0.009)	0.099*** (0.000)	0.099*** (0.008)	0.065 (0.072)	0.097*** (0.010)	0.001 (0.014)
β	0.851*** (0.011)	0.840*** (0.009)	0.847*** (0.018)	0.869*** (0.012)	0.857*** (0.016)	0.848*** (0.011)	0.852*** (0.018)	0.851*** (0.029)
ω	6.483*** (1.670)	7.4013*** (1.439)	7.223*** (2.079)	8.151*** (1.670)	6.717*** (2.034)	10.121*** (1.832)	6.227*** (1.819)	7.153*** (1.769)
m	0.614*** (0.041)	0.340*** (0.051)	0.381*** (0.070)	0.536*** (0.064)	0.721*** (0.049)	0.384*** (0.066)	-0.192 (0.233)	0.321*** (0.055)
$\theta$	0.166*** (0.008)	0.027*** (0.002)		0.208*** (0.033)		0.186*** (0.012)		
$\theta^*$	,/	,,		,,	0.296*** (0.077)	,/		
$\theta^+$			0.006 (0.017)				0.025 (0.059)	-0.007 (0.022)
$\theta^-$			0.049*** (0.016)				0.227*** (0.085)	0.062*** (0.021)
$\theta^{+*}$					-0.001 (0.478)		-0.011 (0.019)	
$\theta^{-*}$					0.234*** (0.017)		0.059* (0.017)	
γ		0.199*** (0.015)			-		-	0.195*** (0.022)
$\gamma^+$		. ,				-0.097* (0.071)		. ,
$\gamma^-$						0.211*** (0.072)		
γ <sup>+</sup> *				-0.129*** (0.017)		0.047*** (0.016)		
γ-•				0.066*** (0.019)		-0.096*** (0.012)		

#### **Estimation results**

- Parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\omega$  are statistically significant in most models.
- Negative shocks  $(\theta-)$  have a significant impact on volatility in many models.
- Asymmetry parameters  $(\gamma, \gamma+, \gamma-)$  show the differential impact of news.
- Models with combined effects of asymmetry and extreme volatility perform better.

	Model 8	Model 9	Model 10	Model 11	Model 12	Model 13	Model 14	Model 15
$\mu$	0.077*** (0.010)	0.027*** (0.010)	0.041** (0.001)	0.033*** (0.011)	0.041*** (0.010)	0.020*** (0.005)	0.019** (0.010)	0.017*** (0.003)
α	0.101*** (0.009)	0.001 (0.015)	0.096*** (0.017)	0.050*** (0.014)	0.095*** (0.016)	0.070*** (0.040)	0.002 (0.015)	0.002 (0.013)
β	0.834*** (0.015)	0.849*** (0.027)	0.877*** (0.015)	0.889*** (0.010)	0.882*** (0.011)	0.859*** (0.031)	0.839*** (0.015)	0.870*** (0.038)
ω	5.792*** (2.193)	6.906*** (1.500)	7.633*** (1.721)	7.606*** (1.478)	7.478*** (1.472)	9.377*** (1.621)	11.677*** (2.650)	8.046*** (1.467)
m	0.699*** (0.040)	-0.020	0.711*** (0.065)	-0.495*** (0.035)	0.521*** (0.076)	0.618*** (0.102)	-0.020	-0.031 (0.212)
$\theta$								
$\theta^*$	0.252*** (0.068)		0.198*** (0.030)				0.199*** (0.019)	
$\theta^+$		0.003 (0.021)		-0.215*** (0.021)	-0.308*** (0.062)	-0.093** (0.039)		0.011 (0.019)
$\theta^-$		-0.057 (0.045)		0.001 (0.113)	0.001 (0.182)	0.251*** (0.032)		0.323*** (0.089)
$\theta^{+*}$	-0.001 (0.120)	0.049** (0.021)	0.177*** (0.048)		-0.000017 (0.148)		-0.106** (0.051)	0.063*** (0.023)
$\theta^{-*}$	0.248*** (0.017)	0.219*** (0.062)	0.127** (0.071)		-0.000011 (0.283)		0.204*** (0.030)	0.106** (0.056)
γ	0.121*** (0.017)	0.1925*** (0.020)						
$\gamma^+$	(0.017)	(0.020)				-0.134*** (0.028)	-0.083*** (0.010)	-0.086 (0.026)
$\gamma^-$						0.193*** (0.039)	0.222*** (0.023)	0.278*** (0.032)
γ <sup>+</sup> *			-0.091*** (0.014)	-0.081*** (0.015)	-0.125*** (0.016)	0.038* (0.026)	-0.027** (0.018)	0.059*** (0.015)
γ <sup>-</sup> *			0.096*** (0.018)	0.106*** (0.019)	0.067*** (0.018)	-0.084*** (0.027)	-0.118*** (0.033)	-0.112*** (0.021)

#### **Estimation Results**

- Models 12, 14, and 15 perform best based on the result of loss functions.
- Model 15 shows superior performance across multiple loss functions.
- Enhanced models generate better forecasts than the benchmark model.

#### **Implications:**

- Incorporating asymmetry and extreme volatility effects improves predictive accuracy.
- Better performance in real-world forecasting scenarios.

**Table 3**The MCS test results for the S&P 500 index using the GARCH-MIDAS models.

	MSE	MAE	HMSE	HMAE	QLIKE
Model 0	0.627	0.007	0.025	0.000	0.000
Model 1	0.655	0.008	0.207	0.001	0.001
Model 2	0.633	0.007	0.083	0.000	0.000
Model 3	0.885	0.007	0.207	0.342	0.001
Model 4	0.595	0.012	0.178	0.006	0.000
Model 5	0.962	0.007	0.221	0.342	0.224
Model 6	0.627	0.007	$\overline{0.178}$	0.034	0.000
Model 7	0.773	0.008	0.004	0.000	0.003
Model 8	0.655	0.007	0.099	0.006	0.000
Model 9	0.775	0.007	0.207	0.006	0.012
Model 10	0.773	0.008	0.207	0.342	0.005
Model 11	0.609	0.008	0.207	0.031	0.004
Model 12	0.773	1.000	$\overline{0.000}$	0.000	0.004
Model 13	0.837	0.008	0.141	0.000	0.737
Model 14	0.775	0.008	0.588	1.000	0.737
Model 15	1.000	0.008	1.000	0.342	1.000

Notes: The typology of the models is given in Table 1. The numbers in the table are the p-values. Numbers larger than 0.10 are indicated in bold and underlined, and suggest that the corresponding model performs significantly better among the model set.

#### **Findings:**

- Model 15: Best overall performance, particularly in MAE, HMSE, and QLIKE.
- Models 5 and 13: Strong performance across several metrics.
- **Models 3, 7, and 11:** Perform better than the benchmark model (Model 0) in most tests.

#### **Implications:**

- Incorporating asymmetry and extreme volatility effects leads to better predictive accuracy.
- Enhanced models outperform the standard GARCH-MIDAS model across multiple evaluation criteria.

**Table 4**Results of the Diebold–Mariano test between Model 0 and Models 1–15.

	MSE	MAE	HMSE	HMAE	QLIKE
Model 1	0.950°	0.976***	0.900***	0.960***	0.942***
	(-1.38)	(-2.570)	(-2.813)	(-5.139)	(-7.774)
Model 2	1.001	0.997***	1.001	1.002	1.000
	(0.426)	(-6.576)	(0.361)	(7.171)	(0.100)
Model 3	0.946*	0.982**	0.869***	0.953***	0.950***
	(-1.46)	(-1.996)	(-2.989)	(-6.306)	(-6.842)
Model 4	0.997	1.001	0.998	0.999	0.999
	(-0.150)	(1.847)	(-0.998)	(-1.130)	(-0.645)
Model 5	0.944°	0.976***	0.869***	0.951***	0.935***
	(-1.403)	(-2.448)	(-3.201)	(-5.933)	(-8.014)
Model 6	0.999	0.994***	0.975	0.999	0.997°
	(-0.313)	(-6.945)	(-0.992)	(-0.072)	(-1.492)
Model 7	0.953*	0.971***	0.922**	0.970***	0.941***
	(-1.455)	(-3.12)	(-2.252)	(-3.898)	(-7.909)
Model 8	1.001	0.999	1.034	1.013	0.994
	(0.027)	(-0.035)	(1.105)	(1.894)	(-0.822)
Model 9	0.951*	0.966***	0.881**	0.969***	0.939***
	(-1.500)	(-3.931)	(-2.266)	(-3.912)	(-7.976)
Model 10	0.951°	0.981**	0.907***	0.962***	0.950***
	(-1.343)	(-2.137)	(-2.648)	(-5.011)	(-6.798)
Model 11	0.952*	0.980***	0.883**	0.963***	0.950***
	(-1.406)	(-2.332)	(-2.201)	(-4.963)	(-6.846)
Model 12	0.984	0.860***	2.265	1.357	1.076
	(-0.392)	(-9.406)	(6.777)	(12.912)	(2.755)
Model 13	0.946*	0.964***	0.885***	0.962***	0.932***
	(-1.612)	(-4.299)	(-2.746)	(-4.737)	(-8.534)
Model 14	0.950	0.978**	0.853**	0.959***	0.933***
	(-1.249)	(-2.198)	(-2.160)	(-4.595)	(-7.733)
Model 15	0.943**	0.961***	0.832**	0.959***	0.927***
	(-1.605)	(-4.421)	(-2.120)	(-4.675)	(-8.426)

#### Conclusion

- Incorporating asymmetry and extreme volatility effects in GARCH-MIDAS models significantly improves volatility forecasts.
- Enhanced models aid in better financial risk management and investment decisions.
- \* Results demonstrate robustness across different market conditions and datasets.
- Improved model accuracy supports more informed decision-making in volatile markets.
- Provides new insights into the impact of extreme events and news asymmetry on stock volatility.
- Highlights the importance of accounting for both short-term and long-term components in volatility modeling.