Stefan Vigerske, Humboldt University Berlin, Germany

Contents

1	Introdu	uction	
2	Model	requirements	
3	Usage	672	
	3.1	Specification of SCIP Options	
	3.2	Specification of Indicators	
4	Special	l Features	
	4.1	SCIP interactive shell 673	
	4.2	Emphasis Settings	
	4.3	Solution Pool	
	4.4	Solving process tracing	
	4.5	Notes on solving MINLPs with SCIP	
		4.5.1 Special options for convex MINLPs	
5	Detaile	ed Options Description	

1 Introduction

SCIP (Solving Constraint Integer Programs) is developed at the Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik Berlin (ZIB). The SCIP main developer had been Tobias Achterberg, current main developers are Timo Berthold, Gerald Gamrath, Ambros Gleixner, Stefan Heinz, Marc Pfetsch, Stefan Vigerske, Michael Winkler, and Kati Wolter. Since SCIP is distributed under the ZIB Academic License, it is only available for users with a **GAMS academic license**.

SCIP is a framework for Constraint Integer Programming oriented towards the needs of Mathematical Programming experts who want to have total control of the solution process and access detailed information down to the guts of the solver. SCIP can also be used as a pure MIP or MINLP solver or as a framework for branch-cut-and-price. Within GAMS, the MIP and MINLP solving facilities of SCIP are available.

For more detailed information, we refer to [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8] and the SCIP web site http://scip.zib.de, especially the list of papers listed at http://scip.zib.de/related.shtml.

GAMS/SCIP uses the linear solver SOPLEX [9] as LP solver, the COIN-OR Interior Point Optimizer IPOPT [7] as nonlinear solver, and CPPAD to compute derivatives of nonlinear functions.

2 Model requirements

SCIP supports continuous, binary, integer, semi-continuous, semi-integer, and indicator variables, special ordered sets, and branching priorities for discrete variables.

3 Usage

The following statement can be used inside your GAMS program to specify using SCIP

```
Option MIP = SCIP; { or QCP or NLP or MIQCP or MINLP or ... }
```

The above statement should appear before the Solve statement. If SCIP was specified as the default solver during GAMS installation, the above statement is not necessary.

GAMS/SCIP currently does not support the GAMS Branch-and-Cut-and-Heuristic (BCH) Facility. If you need to use GAMS/SCIP with BCH, please consider to use a GAMS system of version ≤ 23.3 , available at http://www.gams.com/download/download_old.htm.

3.1 Specification of SCIP Options

GAMS/SCIP supports the GAMS parameters reslim, iterlim, nodlim, optcr, optca, and workspace. Further, the option threads can be used to control the number of threads used in the linear algebra routines of IPOPT (only under Linux and Windows) and for solving LPs (if CPLEX' barrier solver is used).

Options can be specified by a SCIP options file. A SCIP options file consists of one option or comment per line. A pound sign (#) at the beginning of a line causes the entire line to be ignored. Otherwise, the line will be interpreted as an option name and value separated by an equal sign (=) and any amount of white space (blanks or tabs). Further, string values have to be enclosed in quotation marks.

A small example for a scip.opt file is:

```
propagating/probing/maxprerounds = 0
separating/maxrounds = 0
separating/maxroundsroot = 0
```

It causes GAMS/SCIP to disable probing during presolve and to turn off all cut generators.

3.2 Specification of Indicators

Indicators are a modeling tool to specify that certain equations in a model must only be satisfied if certain binary variables take a specified value. Indicators are not supported by the GAMS language, but can be passed to SCIP via a separate file. The name of that file is specified via the option gams/indicatorfile in a SCIP option file.

The indicator specification file declares for some equations, for which value of which binary variables the equation is "swichted on". The syntax is

```
indic equation$variable onval
```

where equation is the name of the equation, variable is the name of the binary variable, and onval is either 0 or 1. The line specifies that equation has to hold whenever variable variable takes value onval.

For example, assume a GAMS model contains a set of equations of the form

```
equ1(i,j,k)(ord(i)\cdot ord(j)).. lhs =l= rhs;
```

To specify that they only have to be satisfied if a binary variable

```
bin1(i,k)
```

takes the value 1, the indicator specification file should contain the line

```
indic equ1(i,j,k)$bin1(i,k) 1
```

More documentation can be found at http://www.gams.com/solvers/cpxindic.htm. In difference to the GAMS/CPLEX interface, the indicator specifications need to be in a separate file for SCIP.

Currently, indicators can only be used for linear equations.

4 Special Features

4.1 SCIP interactive shell

The interactive shell in SCIP is a powerful tool that allows the user to display various information (e.g., branching statistics, presolved model), load emphasis settings, interrupt a solve to change parameters or trigger a restart, write the model in various file formats, start SCIPs solution counter, and many more things.

When setting the option gams/interactive to a nonempty string, the GAMS/SCIP interface opens the interactive shell of SCIP after having load the GAMS problem and parameters and passes the value of the gams/interactive parameter to the SCIP interactive shell.

By default, SCIP behaves as if gams/interactive has been set to "optimize write gamssol quit", that is, SCIP is requested to solve the problem, then to pass the solution back to GAMS, and to quit.

An example use of the SCIP interactive shell feature via GAMS is to add the following line to your SCIP options file:

gams/interactive = "write prob orig.lp presolve write transprob presol.mps opt write gamssol quit"

This instructs SCIP to write the original problem to the file orig.lp in LP format, to presolve the instance, to write the presolved problem to the file presolved.mps in MPS format, to solve the instance, to write the solution out to GAMS, and to finish.

By omitting the quit command, SCIPs interactive shell remains open and awaits user input. The command help prints a list of available commands. Note, that on Windows, GAMS need to be called with the option interactivesolver = 1 to allow user input for the solver process.

A tutorial on using the SCIP shell is available at http://scip.zib.de/doc/html/SHELL.shtml.

4.2 Emphasis Settings

SCIP includes various emphasis settings, which are predefined values for a set of SCIP parameters. Such predefined settings are available for setting the effort that SCIP should spend for, e.g., presolving, separation, or heuristics.

The emphasis settings are not available as single parameters, but can be set via SCIPs interactive shell. E.g., writing set heuristics emphasis in the shell displays the available emphasis settings for heuristics (aggressive, fast, off) and expects the user to input which setting to use. Further, general emphasis settings are available in the set emphasis menu, some of them giving predefined settings similar to the CPLEX option mipemphasis.

Further, option files that specify all available emphasis settings are available at http://www.gams.com/~svigerske/scip3.0.

4.3 Solution Pool

When SCIP solves a problem, it may find several solutions, whereof only the best one is available to the GAMS user via the variable level values in the GAMS model. If the option gams/dumpsolutions is specified, then all alternative solutions found by SCIP are writting into GDX files and an index file with the name given by the dumpsolutions option is written.

The GAMS testlib model dumpsol shows an example use for this option via GUROBI. It can easily be adapted to be used with SCIP.

Solving process tracing

The option gams/solvetrace/file can be used to specify the name of a file where information about the progress of the branch-and-bound tree search in SCIP is stored. The file is created and updated during the solution process, so it may also be used to monitor the progress of SCIP while it still solves the model.

New entries are written periodically, depending on how many nodes have been processed or how much time has been elapsed since the last entry was written. Each entry contains information on the current primal and dual bound.

4.5 **Notes on solving MINLPs with SCIP**

SCIP includes capabilities to handle nonlinear equations specified that are specified via algebraic expressions. Thus, external functions are not supported. Further, not all GAMS operands are supported yet, including trigonometric functions (sin, cos, ...).

Nonconvex MINLPs are solved by SCIP via a spatial branch-and-bound algorithm using convex relaxations. The tightness of a convex relaxation depends heavily on the variable bounds, thus tight bounds for the nonlinear variables are crucial for

4.5.1 **Special options for convex MINLPs**

Convex MINLPs are much easier to solve for SCIP, provided it recognizes the convexity of the model. So far, only a simple convexity check is implemented in SCIP, which may not give a conclusive answer in all cases. However, the option constraints/nonlinear/assumeconvex = TRUE can be used to tell SCIP that it should assume all nonlinear constraints to be of convex type. This may help to improve solving times for convex MINLPs considerably.

Another useful feature especially for convex MINLPs is to enable the generation of cuts in the solution of the NLP relaxation in the root node and to consider using these cuts during the whole solution process. This is achieved by the parameters

```
constraints/quadratic/sepanlpmincont = 0
constraints/soc/sepanlpmincont = 0
constraints/nonlinear/sepanlpmincont = 0
constraints/abspower/sepanlpmincont = 0
separating/poolfreq = 1
```

5 **Detailed Options Description**

SCIP supports a large set of options. Sample option files can be obtained from http://www.gams.com/~svigerske/scip3.0.

In the following we give a detailed list of most SCIP options.

GAMS interface specific options

```
gams/dumpsolutions (string)
name of solutions index gdx file for writing all solutions
gams/indicatorfile (string)
name of GAMS options file that contains definitions on indicators
gams/interactive (string)
command to be issued to the SCIP shell instead of issuing a solve command
gams/mipstart (boolean)
whether to try GAMS variable level values as initial primal solution
gams/resolvenlp (boolean)
```

TRUE

whether to resolve MINLP with fixed discrete variables if best solution violates some constraints

TRUE

gams/solvetrace/file (string) name of file where to write branch-and-bound trace information too 100 gams/solvetrace/nodefreq $(0 \le integer)$ frequency in number of nodes when to write branch-and-bound trace information, 0 to disable gams/solvetrace/timefreq $(0 \le real)$ 5 frequency in seconds when to write branch-and-bound trace information, 0.0 to disable **Branching** 1 branching/allfullstrong/maxbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) branching/allfullstrong/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <allfullstrong> should be used (-1 for no limit) branching/allfullstrong/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ -1000priority of branching rule <allfullstrong> branching/clamp $(0 \le \text{real} \le 0.5)$ 0.2 minimal relative distance of branching point to bounds when branching on a continuous variable branching/delaypscostupdate (boolean) TRUE should updating pseudo costs for continuous variables be delayed to the time after separation? branching/fullstrong/maxbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) branching/fullstrong/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <fullstrong> should be used (-1 for no limit) 0 branching/fullstrong/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of branching rule <fullstrong> branching/inference/maxbounddist (0 < real < 1)maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) branching/inference/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <inference> should be used (-1 for no limit) 1000 branching/inference/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of branching rule <inference> TRUE branching/inference/useweightedsum (boolean) should a weighted sum of inference, conflict and cutoff weights be used? branching/leastinf/maxbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) branching/leastinf/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <leastinf> should be used (-1 for no limit) branching/leastinf/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ 50 priority of branching rule <leastinf> branching/lpgainnormalize (character) strategy for normalization of LP gain when updating pseudocosts of continuous variables (divide by movement of 'l'p value, reduction in 'd'omain width, or reduction in domain width of 's'ibling)

maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying

1

branching/mostinf/maxbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$

branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes)

branching/mostinf/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <mostinf> should be used (-1 for no limit) branching/mostinf/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ 100 priority of branching rule <mostinf> branching/preferbinary (boolean) FALSE should branching on binary variables be preferred? branching/pscost/maxbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) branching/pscost/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <pscost> should be used (-1 for no limit) branching/pscost/narymaxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal depth where to do n-ary branching, -1 to turn off 0.001 branching/pscost/naryminwidth $(0 \le real \le 1)$ minimal domain width in children when doing n-ary branching, relative to global bounds 2 branching/pscost/narywidthfactor $(1 \le real)$ factor of domain width in n-ary branching when creating nodes with increasing distance from branching value branching/pscost/nchildren $(2 \le integer)$ 2 number of children to create in n-ary branching 2000 branching/pscost/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of branching rule <pscost> branching/pscost/strategy (character) strategy for utilizing pseudo-costs of external branching candidates (multiply as in pseudo costs 'u'pdate rule, or by 'd'omain reduction, or by domain reduction of 's'ibling, or by 'v'ariable score) 1 branching/random/maxbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) -1branching/random/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <random> should be used (-1 for no limit) branching/random/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ -100000priority of branching rule <random> branching/random/seed $(0 \le integer)$ 0 initial random seed value branching/relpscost/initcand (0 < integer) 100 maximal number of candidates initialized with strong branching per node branching/relpscost/inititer $(0 \le integer)$ 0 iteration limit for strong branching initializations of pseudo cost entries (0: auto) branching/relpscost/maxbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) branching/relpscost/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <relpscost> should be used (-1 for no limit) branching/relpscost/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ 10000 priority of branching rule <relpscost> branching/relpscost/sbiterofs $(0 \le integer)$ 100000 additional number of allowed strong branching LP iterations

branching/relpscost/sbiterquot $(0 \le real)$

0.5

maximal fraction of strong branching LP iterations compared to node relaxation LP iterations

Branching	(advanced	options)
-----------	-----------	----------

branching/fullstrong/reevalage ($0 \le$ integer) number of intermediate LPs solved to trigger reevaluation of strong branching value for a variable that was already at the current node	10 evaluated
branching/inference/conflictweight (0 \leq real) weight in score calculations for conflict score	1000
branching/inference/cutoffweight (0 \leq real) weight in score calculations for cutoff score	1
branching/inference/fractionals (boolean) should branching on LP solution be restricted to the fractional variables?	TRUE
branching/inference/inferenceweight (real) weight in score calculations for inference score	1
branching/pscost/maxscoreweight (real) weight for maximum of scores of a branching candidate when building weighted sum of min/max/sum of scores	1.3
branching/pscost/minscoreweight (real) weight for minimum of scores of a branching candidate when building weighted sum of min/max/sum of scores	0.8
branching/pscost/sumscoreweight (real) weight for sum of scores of a branching candidate when building weighted sum of min/max/sum of scores	0.1
branching/relpscost/conflictlengthweight (real) weight in score calculations for conflict length score	0
branching/relpscost/conflictweight (real) weight in score calculations for conflict score	0.01
branching/relpscost/cutoffweight (real) weight in score calculations for cutoff score	0.0001
branching/relpscost/inferenceweight (real) weight in score calculations for inference score	0.0001
branching/relpscost/maxbdchgs $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of bound tightenings before the node is reevaluated (-1: unlimited)	5
branching/relpscost/maxlookahead ($1 \le$ integer) maximal number of further variables evaluated without better score	8
branching/relpscost/maxreliable $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal value for minimum pseudo cost size to regard pseudo cost value as reliable	8
branching/relpscost/minreliable $(0 \le \text{real})$ minimal value for minimum pseudo cost size to regard pseudo cost value as reliable	1
branching/relpscost/pscostweight (real) weight in score calculations for pseudo cost score	1
branching/scorefac $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ branching score factor to weigh downward and upward gain prediction in sum score function	0.167
branching/scorefunc (character) branching score function ('s'um, 'p'roduct)	p
Conflict analysis	
conflict/bounddisjunction/continuousfrac $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal percantage of continuous variables within a conflict	0.4
conflict/enable (boolean) should conflict analysis be enabled?	TRUE

conflict/preferbinary (boolean) should binary conflicts be preferred?	FALSE
conflict/restartfac $(0 \le \text{real})$ factor to increase restartnum with after each restart	1.5
$\label{eq:conflict} $$\operatorname{conflict/restartnum} \ (0 \leq integer)$ number of successful conflict analysis calls that trigger a restart (0: disable conflict restarts)$	0
conflict/useboundlp (boolean) should bound exceeding LP conflict analysis be used?	FALSE
conflict/useinflp (boolean) should infeasible LP conflict analysis be used?	TRUE
conflict/useprop (boolean) should propagation conflict analysis be used?	TRUE
conflict/usepseudo (boolean) should pseudo solution conflict analysis be used?	TRUE
conflict/usesb (boolean) should infeasible/bound exceeding strong branching conflict analysis be used?	FALSE
Conflict analysis (advanced options)	
conflict/allowlocal (boolean) should conflict constraints be generated that are only valid locally?	TRUE
<pre>conflict/bounddisjunction/priority (integer) priority of conflict handler < bounddisjunction></pre>	-3000000
conflict/depthscorefac (real) score factor for depth level in bound relaxation heuristic of LP analysis	1
conflict/dynamic (boolean) should the conflict constraints be subject to aging?	TRUE
conflict/fuiplevels $(-1 \le integer)$ number of depth levels up to which first UIP's are used in conflict analysis (-1: use All-FirstUIP rule)	-1
<pre>conflict/ignorerelaxedbd (boolean) should relaxed bounds be ignored?</pre>	FALSE
<pre>conflict/indicatorconflict/priority (integer) priority of conflict handler <indicatorconflict></indicatorconflict></pre>	200000
conflict/interconss $(-1 \le \text{integer})$ maximal number of intermediate conflict constraints generated in conflict graph (-1: use every intermediate gra	−1 astraint)
conflict/keepreprop (boolean) should constraints be kept for repropagation even if they are too long?	TRUE
<pre>conflict/linear/priority (integer) priority of conflict handler linear></pre>	-1000000
<pre>conflict/logicor/priority (integer) priority of conflict handler <logicor></logicor></pre>	800000
conflict/lpiterations $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of LP iterations in each LP resolving loop (-1: no limit)	10
conflict/maxconss $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of conflict constraints accepted at an infeasible node (-1: use all generated conflict constraints	10
conflict/maxlploops $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of LP resolving loops during conflict analysis (-1: no limit)	2
${\tt conflict/maxvarsfac}\ (0 \leq {\tt real})$	0.1

maximal fraction of variables involved in a conflict constraint 30 conflict/minmaxvars $(0 \le integer)$ minimal absolute maximum of variables involved in a conflict constraint conflict/reconvlevels $(-1 \le integer)$ -1number of depth levels up to which UIP reconvergence constraints are generated (-1: generate reconvergence constraints in all depth levels) conflict/removable (boolean) TRUE should the conflict's relaxations be subject to LP aging and cleanup? conflict/repropagate (boolean) TRUE should earlier nodes be repropagated in order to replace branching decisions by deductions? conflict/scorefac $(10^{-6} < \text{real} < 1)$ 0.98 factor to decrease importance of variables' earlier conflict scores conflict/separate (boolean) TRUE should the conflict constraints be separated? conflict/setppc/priority (integer) 700000 priority of conflict handler < setppc> conflict/settlelocal (boolean) **FALSE** should conflict constraints be attached only to the local subtree where they can be useful? **Constraints** FALSE constraints/abspower/branchminconverror (boolean) whether to compute branching point such that the convexification error is minimized (after branching on 0.0) 10^{7} constraints/abspower/cutmaxrange $(0 \le real)$ maximal coefficient divided by minimal coefficient) in order to be added to LP relaxation constraints/abspower/dualpresolve (boolean) TRUE. should dual presolve be applied? constraints/abspower/linfeasshift (boolean) TRUE whether to try to make solutions in check function feasible by shifting the linear variable z constraints/abspower/minefficacyenfofac $(1 \le real)$ minimal target efficacy of a cut in order to add it to relaxation during enforcement as factor of feasibility tolerance (may be ignored) 0.0001 constraints/abspower/minefficacysepa $(0 \le real)$ minimal efficacy for a cut to be added to the LP during separation; overwrites separating/efficacy constraints/abspower/preferzerobranch $(0 \le integer \le 3)$ how much to prefer branching on 0.0 when sign of variable is not fixed yet: 0 no preference, 1 prefer if LP solution will be cutoff in both child nodes, 2 prefer always, 3 ensure always constraints/abspower/projectrefpoint (boolean) TRUE whether to project the reference point when linearizing an absolute power constraint in a convex region constraints/abspower/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ 1 frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node) constraints/abspower/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ 1 frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node) constraints/abspower/sepainboundsonly (boolean) FALSE whether to separate linearization cuts only in the variable bounds (does not affect enforcement) constraints/abspower/sepanlpmincont $(0 \le real \le 2)$ 1 minimal required fraction of continuous variables in problem to use solution of NLP relaxation in root for separation 1 constraints/and/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$

frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)

constraints/and/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/bivariate/linfeasshift (boolean) whether to try to make solutions in check function feasible by shifting a linear variable (esp. useful if constraint w objective function)	TRUE as actually
constraints/bivariate/maxproprounds $(0 \le integer)$ limit on number of propagation rounds for a single constraint within one round of SCIP propagation	1
constraints/bivariate/minefficacyenfo $(0 \le real)$ minimal target efficacy of a cut in order to add it to relaxation during enforcement (may be ignored)	$2\cdot 10^{-6}$
constraints/bivariate/minefficacysepa ($0 \le \text{real}$) minimal efficacy for a cut to be added to the LP during separation; overwrites separating/efficacy	0.0001
constraints/bivariate/ninitlprefpoints $(0 \le integer)$ number of reference points in each direction where to compute linear support for envelope in LP initialization	3
constraints/bivariate/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/bivariate/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/bounddisjunction/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/bounddisjunction/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	-1
constraints/indicator/maxsepacuts (0 \leq integer) maximal number of cuts separated per separation round	100
constraints/indicator/maxsepacutsroot (0 \leq integer) maximal number of cuts separated per separation round in the root node	2000
constraints/indicator/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/indicator/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	10
constraints/integral/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	-1
constraints/integral/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	-1
constraints/knapsack/maxrounds ($-1 \le$ integer) maximal number of separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	5
constraints/knapsack/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of separation rounds per node in the root node (-1: unlimited)	-1
constraints/knapsack/maxsepacuts (0 \leq integer) maximal number of cuts separated per separation round	50
constraints/knapsack/maxsepacutsroot (0 \leq integer) maximal number of cuts separated per separation round in the root node	200
constraints/knapsack/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/knapsack/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	0
constraints/linear/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	5

constraints/linear/maxroundsroot ($-1 \le$ integer) maximal number of separation rounds per node in the root node (-1: unlimited)	-1
constraints/linear/maxsepacuts $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of cuts separated per separation round	50
constraints/linear/maxsepacutsroot $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of cuts separated per separation round in the root node	200
constraints/linear/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/linear/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	0
constraints/linear/separateall (boolean) should all constraints be subject to cardinality cut generation instead of only the ones with non-zero dual value?	FALSE
<pre>constraints/linear/upgrade/knapsack (boolean) enable linear upgrading for constraint handler <knapsack></knapsack></pre>	TRUE
constraints/linear/upgrade/logicor (boolean) enable linear upgrading for constraint handler <logicor></logicor>	TRUE
<pre>constraints/linear/upgrade/setppc (boolean) enable linear upgrading for constraint handler <setppc></setppc></pre>	TRUE
constraints/linear/upgrade/varbound (boolean) enable linear upgrading for constraint handler <varbound></varbound>	TRUE
constraints/logicor/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/logicor/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	0
constraints/nonlinear/cutmaxrange $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal coefficient divided by minimal coefficient) in order to be added to LP relax	10 ⁷ aation
constraints/nonlinear/linfeasshift (boolean) whether to try to make solutions in check function feasible by shifting a linear variable (esp. useful if constraint was objective function)	TRUE s actually
constraints/nonlinear/maxproprounds $(0 \le integer)$ limit on number of propagation rounds for a single constraint within one round of SCIP propagation	1
constraints/nonlinear/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/nonlinear/reformulate (boolean) whether to reformulate expression graph	TRUE
constraints/nonlinear/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/nonlinear/sepanlpmincont $(0 \le real \le 2)$ minimal required fraction of continuous variables in problem to use solution of NLP relaxation in root for separation	1 ion
constraints/nonlinear/upgrade/abspower (boolean) enable nonlinear upgrading for constraint handler <abspower></abspower>	TRUE
constraints/nonlinear/upgrade/and (boolean) enable nonlinear upgrading for constraint handler <and></and>	TRUE
constraints/nonlinear/upgrade/bivariate (boolean) enable nonlinear upgrading for constraint handler bivariate>	FALSE
<pre>constraints/nonlinear/upgrade/linear (boolean) enable nonlinear upgrading for constraint handler </pre>	TRUE

constraints/nonlinear/upgrade/quadratic (boolean) enable nonlinear upgrading for constraint handler <quadratic></quadratic>	TRUE
constraints/quadratic/checkcurvature (boolean) whether multivariate quadratic functions should be checked for convexity/concavity	TRUE
constraints/quadratic/empathy4and $(0 \le integer \le 2)$ empathy level for using the AND constraint handler: 0 always avoid using AND; 1 use AND sometim often as possible	0 mes; 2 use AND as
constraints/quadratic/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/quadratic/replacebinaryprod $(0 \le integer)$ max. length of linear term which when multiplied with a binary variables is replaced by an auxiliary variation (0 to turn off)	∞ ariable and a linear
constraints/quadratic/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/quadratic/sepanlpmincont $(0 \le real \le 2)$ minimal required fraction of continuous variables in problem to use solution of NLP relaxation in root for	or separation
<pre>constraints/quadratic/upgrade/abspower (boolean) enable quadratic upgrading for constraint handler <abspower></abspower></pre>	TRUE
<pre>constraints/quadratic/upgrade/bivariate (boolean) enable quadratic upgrading for constraint handler < bivariate></pre>	FALSE
<pre>constraints/quadratic/upgrade/bounddisjunction (boolean) enable quadratic upgrading for constraint handler < bounddisjunction></pre>	TRUE
<pre>constraints/quadratic/upgrade/linear (boolean) enable quadratic upgrading for constraint handler linear></pre>	TRUE
constraints/quadratic/upgrade/soc (boolean) enable quadratic upgrading for constraint handler <soc></soc>	TRUE
constraints/setppc/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/setppc/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	0
constraints/soc/glineur (boolean) whether the Glineur Outer Approximation should be used instead of Ben-Tal Nemirovski	TRUE
constraints/soc/linfeasshift (boolean) whether to try to make solutions feasible in check by shifting the variable on the right hand side	TRUE
constraints/soc/minefficacy $(0 \le \text{real})$ minimal efficacy of a cut to be added to LP in separation	0.0001
constraints/soc/nauxvars $(0 \le integer)$ number of auxiliary variables to use when creating a linear outer approx. of a SOC3 constraint; 0 to turn	0 off
constraints/soc/nlpform (character) which formulation to use when adding a SOC constraint to the NLP (a: automatic, q: nonconvex quadra sqrt form, e: convex exponential-sqrt form, d: convex division form)	a atic form, s: convex
constraints/soc/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/soc/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/soc/sepanlpmincont $(0 \le \text{real} \le 2)$ minimal required fraction of continuous variables in problem to use solution of NLP relaxation in root for	or separation

constraints/SOS1/branchnonzeros (boolean) Branch on SOS constraint with most number of nonzeros?	FALSE
constraints/SOS1/branchsos (boolean) Use SOS1 branching in enforcing (otherwise leave decision to branching rules)?	TRUE
constraints/SOS1/branchweight (boolean) Branch on SOS cons. with highest nonzero-variable weight for branching (needs branchnonzeros = false)?	FALSE
constraints/SOS1/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/SOS1/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	0
constraints/SOS2/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/SOS2/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	0
constraints/superindicator/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/superindicator/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	-1
constraints/varbound/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/varbound/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	0
constraints/varbound/usebdwidening (boolean) should bound widening be used in conflict analysis?	TRUE
Constraints (advanced options)	
constraints/abspower/addvarboundcons (boolean) should variable bound constraints be added for derived variable bounds?	TRUE
constraints/abspower/delaypresol (boolean) should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?	FALSE
constraints/abspower/delayprop (boolean) should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions?	FALSE
constraints/abspower/delaysepa (boolean) should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts?	FALSE
constraints/abspower/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1 in first evaluation)	100 : never, 0: only
constraints/abspower/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
constraints/abspower/timingmask ($1 \le integer \le 15$) timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLP WAYS))	15 PLOOP, 15:AL-
constraints/agelimit $(-1 \le integer)$ maximum age an unnecessary constraint can reach before it is deleted (0: dynamic, -1: keep all constraints)	0
constraints/and/aggrlinearization (boolean) should an aggregated linearization be used?	FALSE
constraints/and/delaypresol (boolean) should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?	FALSE

constraints/and/delayprop (boolean) FALSE should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? FALSE constraints/and/delaysepa (boolean) should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? TRUE constraints/and/dualpresolving (boolean) should dual presolving be performed? constraints/and/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ 100 frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only in first evaluation) constraints/and/enforcecuts (boolean) TRUE should cuts be separated during LP enforcing? FALSE constraints/and/linearize (boolean) should the "and" constraint get linearized and removed (in presolving)? constraints/and/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit) TRUE constraints/and/presolpairwise (boolean) should pairwise constraint comparison be performed in presolving? constraints/and/presolusehashing (boolean) TRUE should hash table be used for detecting redundant constraints in advance constraints/and/timingmask $(1 \le integer \le 15)$ timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-WAYS)) 10^{7} constraints/bivariate/cutmaxrange $(0 \le real)$ maximal coefficient divided by minimal coefficient) in order to be added to LP relaxation constraints/bivariate/delaypresol (boolean) FALSE should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions? constraints/bivariate/delayprop (boolean) **FALSE** should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? constraints/bivariate/delaysepa (boolean) FALSE should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? 100 constraints/bivariate/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only in first evaluation) constraints/bivariate/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit) constraints/bivariate/timingmask $(1 \le integer \le 15)$ timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-WAYS)) FALSE constraints/bounddisjunction/delaypresol (boolean) should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions? FALSE constraints/bounddisjunction/delayprop (boolean) should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? constraints/bounddisjunction/delaysepa (boolean) FALSE should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? constraints/bounddisjunction/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ 100 frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only

in first evaluation)

constraints/bounddisjunction/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
constraints/bounddisjunction/timingmask ($1 \le integer \le 15$) timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERWAYS))	1 RLPLOOP, 15:AL-
constraints/disableenfops (boolean) should enforcement of pseudo solution be disabled?	FALSE
constraints/indicator/addcoupling (boolean) Add coupling constraints if big-M is small enough?	TRUE
constraints/indicator/addcouplingcons (boolean) Add initial coupling inequalities as linear constraints, if 'addcoupling' is true?	FALSE
constraints/indicator/addopposite (boolean) Add opposite inequality in nodes in which the binary variable has been fixed to 0?	FALSE
constraints/indicator/branchindicators (boolean) Branch on indicator constraints in enforcing?	FALSE
constraints/indicator/conflictsupgrade (boolean) Try to upgrade bounddisjunction conflicts by replacing slack variables?	FALSE
constraints/indicator/delaypresol (boolean) should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?	FALSE
constraints/indicator/delayprop (boolean) should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions?	FALSE
constraints/indicator/delaysepa (boolean) should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts?	FALSE
constraints/indicator/dualreductions (boolean) should dual reduction steps be performed?	TRUE
constraints/indicator/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement in first evaluation)	100 t (-1: never, 0: only
constraints/indicator/enforcecuts (boolean) In enforcing try to generate cuts (only if sepaalternativelp is true)?	FALSE
constraints/indicator/forcerestart (boolean) force restart if we have a max FS instance and gap is 1?	FALSE
constraints/indicator/generatebilinear (boolean) Do not generate indicator constraint, but a bilinear constraint instead?	FALSE
constraints/indicator/genlogicor (boolean) Generate logicor constraints instead of cuts?	FALSE
constraints/indicator/maxconditionaltlp $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximum estimated condition of the solution basis matrix of the alternative LP to be trustworthy $(0.0 \text{ to } 1.0 $	0 disable check)
constraints/indicator/maxcouplingvalue (0 \leq real \leq 10^9) maximum coefficient for binary variable in coupling constraint	10000
constraints/indicator/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
constraints/indicator/nolinconscont (boolean) decompose problem - do not generate linear constraint if all variables are continuous	FALSE
constraints/indicator/removeindicators (boolean) Remove indicator constraint if corresponding variable bound constraint has been added?	FALSE
constraints/indicator/restartfrac $(0 \leq \text{real} \leq 1)$	0.9

fraction of binary variables that need to be fixed before restart occurs (in forcerestart) constraints/indicator/sepaalternativelp (boolean) **FALSE** Separate using the alternative LP? FALSE constraints/indicator/sepacouplingcuts (boolean) Should the coupling inequalities be separated dynamically? FALSE constraints/indicator/sepacouplinglocal (boolean) Allow to use local bounds in order to separated coupling inequalities? constraints/indicator/sepacouplingvalue $(0 \le real \le 10^9)$ 10000 maximum coefficient for binary variable in separated coupling constraint 1 constraints/indicator/timingmask $(1 \le integer \le 15)$ timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-WAYS)) constraints/indicator/trysolutions (boolean) TRUE Try to make solutions feasible by setting indicator variables? constraints/indicator/updatebounds (boolean) FALSE Update bounds of original variables for separation? constraints/integral/delaypresol (boolean) **FALSE** should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions? **FALSE** constraints/integral/delayprop (boolean) should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? constraints/integral/delaysepa (boolean) **FALSE** should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? constraints/integral/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ -1frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only in first evaluation) 0 constraints/integral/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit) constraints/integral/timingmask (1 < integer < 15) timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-WAYS)) FALSE constraints/knapsack/delaypresol (boolean) should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions? constraints/knapsack/delayprop (boolean) FALSE should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? FALSE constraints/knapsack/delaysepa (boolean) should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? TRUE constraints/knapsack/disaggregation (boolean) should disaggregation of knapsack constraints be allowed in preprocessing? TRUE constraints/knapsack/dualpresolving (boolean) should dual presolving steps be performed? 100 constraints/knapsack/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only in first evaluation) 0 constraints/knapsack/maxcardbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for separating knapsack cuts

constraints/knapsack/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$

-1

maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit) TRUE constraints/knapsack/negatedclique (boolean) should negated clique information be used in solving process constraints/knapsack/presolpairwise (boolean) TRUE should pairwise constraint comparison be performed in presolving? constraints/knapsack/presolusehashing (boolean) TRUE should hash table be used for detecting redundant constraints in advance constraints/knapsack/sepacardfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ 1 multiplier on separation frequency, how often knapsack cuts are separated (-1: never, 0: only at root) TRUE constraints/knapsack/simplifyinequalities (boolean) should presolving try to simplify knapsacks constraints/knapsack/timingmask $(1 \le integer \le 15)$ 1 timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-WAYS)) constraints/knapsack/usegubs (boolean) FALSE should GUB information be used for separation? constraints/linear/aggregatevariables (boolean) TRUE should presolving search for aggregations in equations **FALSE** constraints/linear/delaypresol (boolean) should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions? constraints/linear/delayprop (boolean) FALSE should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? constraints/linear/delaysepa (boolean) **FALSE** should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? constraints/linear/dualpresolving (boolean) TRUE should dual presolving steps be performed? 100 constraints/linear/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only in first evaluation) constraints/linear/maxaggrnormscale $(0 \le real)$ 0 maximal allowed relative gain in maximum norm for constraint aggregation (0.0: disable constraint aggregation) constraints/linear/maxcardbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ 0 maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for separating knapsack cardinality cuts constraints/linear/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit) 10^{-6} constraints/linear/mingainpernmincomparisons $(0 \le real)$ minimal gain per minimal pairwise presolve comparisons to repeat pairwise comparison round 200000 constraints/linear/nmincomparisons $(1 \le integer)$ number for minimal pairwise presolve comparisons constraints/linear/presolpairwise (boolean) TRUE should pairwise constraint comparison be performed in presolving? constraints/linear/presolusehashing (boolean) TRUE should hash table be used for detecting redundant constraints in advance constraints/linear/simplifyinequalities (boolean) TRUE should presolving try to simplify inequalities constraints/linear/sortvars (boolean) TRUE

apply binaries sorting in decr. order of coeff abs value? 1 constraints/linear/tightenboundsfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ multiplier on propagation frequency, how often the bounds are tightened (-1: never, 0: only at root) constraints/linear/timingmask $(1 \le integer \le 15)$ 1 timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-WAYS)) constraints/logicor/delaypresol (boolean) FALSE should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions? constraints/logicor/delayprop (boolean) FALSE should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? constraints/logicor/delaysepa (boolean) **FALSE** should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? constraints/logicor/dualpresolving (boolean) TRUE should dual presolving steps be performed? constraints/logicor/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ 100 frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only in first evaluation) constraints/logicor/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit) TRUE. constraints/logicor/negatedclique (boolean) should negated clique information be used in presolving constraints/logicor/presolpairwise (boolean) TRUE should pairwise constraint comparison be performed in presolving? constraints/logicor/presolusehashing (boolean) TRUE should hash table be used for detecting redundant constraints in advance constraints/logicor/timingmask $(1 \le integer \le 15)$ timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-WAYS)) constraints/nonlinear/assumeconvex (boolean) FALSE whether to assume that nonlinear functions in inequalities (<=) are convex (disables reformulation) FALSE constraints/nonlinear/delaypresol (boolean) should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions? constraints/nonlinear/delayprop (boolean) FALSE should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? FALSE constraints/nonlinear/delaysepa (boolean) should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? constraints/nonlinear/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ 100 frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only in first evaluation) 2 constraints/nonlinear/maxexpansionexponent $(1 \le integer)$ maximal exponent where still expanding non-monomial polynomials in expression simplification -1constraints/nonlinear/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit) constraints/nonlinear/minefficacyenfofac $(1 \le real)$ minimal target efficacy of a cut in order to add it to relaxation during enforcement as a factor of the feasibility tolerance (may be ignored) 0.0001 constraints/nonlinear/minefficacysepa $(0 \le real)$

minimal efficacy for a cut to be added to the LP during separation; overwrites separating/efficacy constraints/nonlinear/timingmask $(1 \le integer \le 15)$ 1 timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-WAYS)) -1constraints/obsoleteage $(-1 \le integer)$ age of a constraint after which it is marked obsolete (0: dynamic, -1 do not mark constraints obsolete) constraints/quadratic/binreforminitial (boolean) FALSE whether to make non-varbound linear constraints added due to replacing products with binary variables initial constraints/quadratic/checkfactorable (boolean) TRUE whether constraint functions should be checked to be factorable 10^{7} constraints/quadratic/cutmaxrange (0 < real) maximal coef range of a cut (maximal coefficient divided by minimal coefficient) in order to be added to LP relaxation constraints/quadratic/delaypresol (boolean) **FALSE** should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions? constraints/quadratic/delayprop (boolean) FALSE should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? constraints/quadratic/delaysepa (boolean) **FALSE** should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? **FALSE** constraints/quadratic/disaggregate (boolean) whether to disaggregate quadratic parts that decompose into a sum of non-overlapping quadratic terms 100 constraints/quadratic/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only in first evaluation) constraints/quadratic/linearizeheursol (boolean) TRUE whether linearizations of convex quadratic constraints should be added to cutpool in a solution found by some heuristic constraints/quadratic/linfeasshift (boolean) whether to try to make solutions in check function feasible by shifting a linear variable (esp. useful if constraint was actually objective function) constraints/quadratic/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit) 1 constraints/quadratic/maxproprounds $(0 \le integer)$ limit on number of propagation rounds for a single constraint within one round of SCIP propagation during solve constraints/quadratic/maxproproundspresolve $(0 \le integer)$ 10 limit on number of propagation rounds for a single constraint within one round of SCIP presolve constraints/quadratic/minefficacyenfofac $(1 \le real)$ minimal target efficacy of a cut in order to add it to relaxation during enforcement as a factor of the feasibility tolerance (may be ignored) constraints/quadratic/minefficacysepa (0 < real) 0.0001 minimal efficacy for a cut to be added to the LP during separation; overwrites separating/efficacy constraints/quadratic/scaling (boolean) TRUE whether a quadratic constraint should be scaled w.r.t. the current gradient norm when checking for feasibility constraints/quadratic/timingmask (1 < integer < 15) timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-WAYS)) constraints/setppc/addvariablesascliques (boolean) FALSE should we try to generate extra cliques out of all binary variables to maybe fasten redundant constraint detection

FALSE

constraints/setppc/cliquelifting (boolean)

should we try to lift variables into other clique constraints, fix variables, aggregate them, and also shrink the amount of variables in clique constraints TRUE constraints/setppc/cliqueshrinking (boolean) should we try to shrink the number of variables in a clique constraints, by replacing more than one variable by only one constraints/setppc/delaypresol (boolean) should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions? constraints/setppc/delayprop (boolean) FALSE should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? constraints/setppc/delaysepa (boolean) FALSE should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? TRUE constraints/setppc/dualpresolving (boolean) should dual presolving steps be performed? 100 constraints/setppc/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only in first evaluation) -1constraints/setppc/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit) constraints/setppc/npseudobranches $(0 \le integer)$ 2 number of children created in pseudo branching (0: disable pseudo branching) TRUE. constraints/setppc/presolpairwise (boolean) should pairwise constraint comparison be performed in presolving? constraints/setppc/presolusehashing (boolean) TRUE should hash table be used for detecting redundant constraints in advance constraints/setppc/timingmask $(1 \le integer \le 15)$ timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-WAYS)) **FALSE** constraints/soc/delaypresol (boolean) should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions? constraints/soc/delayprop (boolean) FALSE should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? FALSE constraints/soc/delaysepa (boolean) should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? 100 constraints/soc/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only in first evaluation) constraints/soc/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit) constraints/soc/projectpoint (boolean) FALSE whether the reference point of a cut should be projected onto the feasible set of the SOC constraint constraints/soc/scaling (boolean) TRUE whether a constraint should be scaled w.r.t. the current gradient norm when checking for feasibility constraints/soc/sparsify (boolean) FALSE whether to sparsify cuts 0.2 constraints/soc/sparsifymaxloss $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal loss in cut efficacy by sparsification 1.3 constraints/soc/sparsifynzgrowth $(1 \le real)$

growth rate of maximal allowed nonzeros in cuts in sparsification

constraints/soc/timingmask $(1 \le integer \le 15)$ timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-WAYS)) FALSE constraints/SOS1/delaypresol (boolean) should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions? FALSE constraints/SOS1/delayprop (boolean) should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? constraints/SOS1/delaysepa (boolean) **FALSE** should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? constraints/SOS1/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ 100 frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only in first evaluation) constraints/SOS1/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit) constraints/SOS1/timingmask (1 < integer < 15) timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-WAYS)) FALSE constraints/SOS2/delaypresol (boolean) should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions? constraints/SOS2/delayprop (boolean) FALSE. should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? constraints/SOS2/delaysepa (boolean) FALSE should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? 100 constraints/SOS2/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only in first evaluation) constraints/SOS2/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit) constraints/SOS2/timingmask $(1 \le integer \le 15)$ 1 timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-WAYS)) TRUE constraints/superindicator/checkslacktype (boolean) should type of slack constraint be checked when creating superindicator constraint? constraints/superindicator/delaypresol (boolean) FALSE should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions? constraints/superindicator/delayprop (boolean) **FALSE** should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions? FALSE constraints/superindicator/delaysepa (boolean) should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts? constraints/superindicator/eagerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ 100 frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only in first evaluation) constraints/superindicator/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit) constraints/superindicator/maxupgdcoeflinear $(0 \le \text{real} \le 10^{15})$ 10000 maximum big-M coefficient of binary variable in upgrade to a linear constraint (relative to smallest coefficient)

timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-

constraints/superindicator/timingmask $(1 \le integer \le 15)$

```
WAYS))
                                                                                                                   1
constraints/superindicator/upgdprioindicator (-1 \le integer)
priority for upgrading to an indicator constraint (-1: never)
                                                                                                                   2
constraints/superindicator/upgdpriolinear (-1 \le integer)
priority for upgrading to an indicator constraint (-1: never)
                                                                                                              FALSE
constraints/varbound/delaypresol (boolean)
should presolving method be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?
                                                                                                              FALSE
constraints/varbound/delayprop (boolean)
should propagation method be delayed, if other propagators found reductions?
                                                                                                              FALSE
constraints/varbound/delaysepa (boolean)
should separation method be delayed, if other separators found cuts?
                                                                                                                100
constraints/varbound/eagerfreq (-1 \le integer)
frequency for using all instead of only the useful constraints in separation, propagation and enforcement (-1: never, 0: only
in first evaluation)
                                                                                                                 10^{6}
constraints/varbound/maxlpcoef (0 \le real)
maximum coefficient in varbound constraint to be added as a row into LP
constraints/varbound/maxprerounds (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                                 -1
maximal number of presolving rounds the constraint handler participates in (-1: no limit)
                                                                                                               TRUE
constraints/varbound/presolpairwise (boolean)
should pairwise constraint comparison be performed in presolving?
constraints/varbound/timingmask (1 \le integer \le 15)
                                                                                                                   1
timing when constraint propagation should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:AL-
WAYS))
Output
display/avgdualbound/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                                   1
display activation status of display column <avgdualbound> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/conflicts/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                                   1
display activation status of display column <conflicts> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/conss/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                                   1
display activation status of display column <conss> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/curcols/active (0 < integer < 2)
                                                                                                                   1
display activation status of display column < curcols > (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/curconss/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                                   1
display activation status of display column < curconss > (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/curdualbound/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                                   1
display activation status of display column < curdual bound > (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/currows/active (0 < integer < 2)
                                                                                                                   1
display activation status of display column < currows > (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/cutoffbound/active (0 < integer < 2)
                                                                                                                   1
display activation status of display column <cutoffbound> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
                                                                                                                   1
display/cuts/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
display activation status of display column <cuts> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/depth/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                                   1
display activation status of display column <depth> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
                                                                                                                   1
display/dualbound/active (0 < integer < 2)
```

display activation status of display column < dualbound > (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)

```
display/estimate/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                               1
display activation status of display column <estimate> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/feasST/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                               0
display activation status of display column <feasST> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/freq (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                             100
frequency for displaying node information lines
display/gap/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                               1
display activation status of display column <gap> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/headerfreq (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                              15
frequency for displaying header lines (every n'th node information line)
display/lpaygiterations/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                          1 (0 under Windows)
display activation status of display column < lpaygiterations > (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/lpcond/active (0 < integer < 2)
                                                                                                               1
display activation status of display column < lpcond> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/lpinfo (boolean)
                                                                                                          FALSE
should the LP solver display status messages?
display/lpiterations/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                               1
display activation status of display column < lpiterations > (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
                                                                                                               1
display/lpobj/active (0 < integer < 2)
display activation status of display column < lpobj> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/maxdepth/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                         1 (0 under Windows)
display activation status of display column <maxdepth> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/memused/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                               1
display activation status of display column < memused > (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
                                                                              1 (2 for nonlinear instances)
display/nexternbranchcands/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
display activation status of display column < nexternbranchcands > (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/nfrac/active (0 < integer < 2)
                                                                                1 (2 if discrete variables)
display activation status of display column <nfrac> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/nnodes/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                               1
display activation status of display column < nnodes > (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/nodesleft/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                               1
display activation status of display column < nodesleft> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/nsols/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                               1
display activation status of display column <nsols> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/plungedepth/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                               1
display activation status of display column <plumedepth> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/poolsize/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                               1
display/primalbound/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                               1
display activation status of display column <primalbound> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/primalgap/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                               0
display activation status of display column <primalgap> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
display/pseudoobj/active (0 < integer < 2)
                                                                                                               1
display/separounds/active (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                               1
display activation status of display column < separounds > (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)
```

display/solfound/active $(0 \le integer \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <solfound> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</solfound>	1
display/sols/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column $<$ sols $>$ $(0:$ off, 1: auto, 2:on)	0
display/statistics (boolean) whether to print statistics on a solve	FALSE
display/strongbranchs/active $(0 \le integer \le 2)$ display activation status of display column < strongbranchs> $(0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)$	1
display/time/active $(0 \le integer \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <time> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</time>	1 (2 under Windows)
display/vars/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column < vars> $(0: \text{off, 1: auto, 2:on})$	1
$\label{eq:display-verblevel} \begin{array}{l} \text{display/verblevel } (0 \leq \text{integer} \leq 5) \\ \text{verbosity level of output} \end{array}$	4
display/width $(0 \leq \text{integer})$ maximal number of characters in a node information line	139 (80 under Windows)
Heuristics	
heuristics/actconsdiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/actconsdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <actconsdiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</actconsdiving>	-1
heuristics/actconsdiving/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <actconsdiving></actconsdiving>	5
heuristics/actconsdiving/maxlpiterofs (0 \leq integer) additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/actconsdiving/maxlpiterquot (0 \leq real) maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/clique/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ clique $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	-1
heuristics/clique/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ clique>	0
heuristics/clique/minfixingrate $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimum percentage of integer variables that have to be fixable	0.5
heuristics/clique/nodesofs (0 \leq integer) number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	500
heuristics/clique/nodesquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.1
heuristics/coefdiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/coefdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ coefdiving $>$ $(-1:$ never, $0:$ only at depth freqofs)	10
heuristics/coefdiving/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ coefdiving>	1
heuristics/coefdiving/maxlpiterofs (0 \leq integer) additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
$\texttt{heuristics/coefdiving/maxlpiterquot}\ (0 \leq \texttt{real})$	0.05

maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	
heuristics/crossover/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ crossover $>$ $(-1:$ never, $0:$ only at depth freqofs)	30
heuristics/crossover/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ crossover $>$	0
heuristics/crossover/minfixingrate $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimum percentage of integer variables that have to be fixed	0.666
heuristics/crossover/nodesofs (0 \leq integer) number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	500
heuristics/crossover/nodesquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.1
heuristics/crossover/nusedsols $(2 \le integer)$ number of solutions to be taken into account	3
heuristics/dins/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $< dins > (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	-1
heuristics/dins/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ dins $>$	0
heuristics/dins/minnodes (0 \leq integer) minimum number of nodes required to start the subproblem	500
heuristics/dins/neighborhoodsize ($1 \le$ integer) radius (using Manhattan metric) of the incumbent's neighborhood to be searched	18
heuristics/dins/nodesofs ($0 \le$ integer) number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	5000
heuristics/dins/nodesquot $(0 \le real \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.05
heuristics/dins/solnum ($1 \le$ integer) number of pool-solutions to be checked for flag array update (for hard fixing of binary variables)	5
heuristics/feaspump/alphadiff $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ threshold difference for the convex parameter to perform perturbation	1
heuristics/feaspump/beforecuts (boolean) should the feasibility pump be called at root node before cut separation?	TRUE
heuristics/feaspump/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <feaspump> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</feaspump>	20
heuristics/feaspump/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ feaspump $>$	0
heuristics/feaspump/maxlpiterofs (0 \leq integer) additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/feaspump/maxlpiterquot (0 \leq real) maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.01
heuristics/feaspump/neighborhoodsize (1 \leq integer) radius (using Manhattan metric) of the neighborhood to be searched in stage 3	18
heuristics/feaspump/objfactor $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ factor by which the regard of the objective is decreased in each round, 1.0 for dynamic	1
heuristics/feaspump/pertsolfound (boolean) should a random perturbation be performed if a feasible solution was found?	TRUE
heuristics/feaspump/stage3 (boolean)	FALSE

should we solve a local branching sub-MIP if no solution could be found?	
heuristics/feaspump/usefp20 (boolean) should an iterative round-and-propagate scheme be used to find the integral points?	FALSE
heuristics/fixandinfer/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <fixandinfer> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</fixandinfer>	-1
heuristics/fixandinfer/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ fixandinfer $>$	0
heuristics/fracdiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/fracdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <fracdiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</fracdiving>	10
heuristics/fracdiving/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ fracdiving $>$	3
heuristics/fracdiving/maxlpiterofs (0 \leq integer) additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/fracdiving/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/guideddiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/guideddiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <guideddiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</guideddiving>	10
heuristics/guideddiving/freqofs ($0 \le$ integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ guideddiving $>$	7
heuristics/guideddiving/maxlpiterofs (0 \leq integer) additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/guideddiving/maxlpiterquot (0 \leq real) maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/intdiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/intdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $< intdiving > (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	-1
heuristics/intdiving/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ intdiving $>$	9
heuristics/intdiving/maxlpiterofs (0 \leq integer) additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/intdiving/maxlpiterquot (0 \leq real) maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/intshifting/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $< intshifting> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	10
heuristics/intshifting/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ intshifting $>$	0
heuristics/linesearchdiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/linesearchdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic linesearchdiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)	10
heuristics/linesearchdiving/freqofs $(0 \leq integer)$	6

frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <linesearchdiving></linesearchdiving>	
heuristics/linesearch diving/maxlpiterofs $(0 \leq \text{integer})$ additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/linesearchdiving/maxlpiterquot (0 \leq real) maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/localbranching/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <localbranching> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</localbranching>	-1
heuristics/localbranching/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <localbranching></localbranching>	0
heuristics/localbranching/neighborhoodsize (1 \leq integer) radius (using Manhattan metric) of the incumbent's neighborhood to be searched	18
heuristics/localbranching/nodesofs ($0 \le$ integer) number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	1000
heuristics/localbranching/nodesquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.05
heuristics/mutation/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <mutation> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</mutation>	-1
heuristics/mutation/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <mutation></mutation>	8
heuristics/mutation/minfixingrate $(10^{-6} \le \text{real} \le 0.9999999)$ percentage of integer variables that have to be fixed	0.8
heuristics/mutation/nodesofs (0 \leq integer) number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	500
heuristics/mutation/nodesquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.1
heuristics/nlpdiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/nlpdiving/fixquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ percentage of fractional variables that should be fixed before the next NLP solve	0.2
heuristics/nlpdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <nlpdiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</nlpdiving>	10
heuristics/nlpdiving/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ nlpdiving $>$	3
heuristics/nlpdiving/maxfeasnlps (1 \leq integer) maximal number of NLPs with feasible solution to solve during one dive	10
heuristics/nlpdiving/maxnlpiterabs (0 \leq integer) minimial absolute number of allowed NLP iterations	200
heuristics/nlpdiving/maxnlpiterrel ($0 \le$ integer) additional allowed number of NLP iterations relative to successfully found solutions	10
heuristics/nlpdiving/minsuccquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ heuristic will not run if less then this percentage of calls succeeded (0.0: no limit)	0.1
heuristics/nlpdiving/nlpfastfail (boolean) should the NLP solver stop early if it converges slow?	TRUE
heuristics/nlpdiving/prefercover (boolean) should variables in a minimal cover be preferred?	TRUE
heuristics/nlpdiving/solvesubmip (boolean)	FALSE

should a sub-MIP be solved if all cover variables are fixed?	
heuristics/nlpdiving/varselrule (character) which variable selection should be used? ('f'ractionality, 'c'oefficient, 'p'seudocost, 'g'uided, 'd'ouble, 'v'eclen)	d
heuristics/objpscostdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ objpscostdiving $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	20
heuristics/objpscostdiving/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ objpscostdiving $>$	4
heuristics/objpscostdiving/maxlpiterofs (0 \leq integer) additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/objpscostdiving/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to total iteration number	0.01
heuristics/octane/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $< octane > (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	-1
heuristics/octane/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ octane $>$	0
heuristics/oneopt/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <oneopt> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</oneopt>	1
heuristics/oneopt/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ oneopt $>$	0
heuristics/pscostdiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/pscostdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <pscostdiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</pscostdiving>	10
heuristics/pscostdiving/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ pscostdiving $>$	2
heuristics/pscostdiving/maxlpiterofs (0 \leq integer) additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/pscostdiving/maxlpiterquot (0 \leq real) maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/rens/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <rens> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</rens>	0
heuristics/rens/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ rens $>$	0
heuristics/rens/minfixingrate $(0 \le real \le 1)$ minimum percentage of integer variables that have to be fixable	0.5
heuristics/rens/nodesofs $(0 \le \text{integer})$ number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	500
heuristics/rens/nodesquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.1
heuristics/rens/startsol (character) solution that is used for fixing values ('l'p relaxation, 'n'lp relaxation)	1
heuristics/rins/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $< rins > (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	-1
heuristics/rins/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ rins $>$	5
heuristics/rins/minfixingrate $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$	0

minimum percentage of integer variables that have to be fixed	
heuristics/rins/nodesofs (0 \leq integer) number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	500
heuristics/rins/nodesquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.1
heuristics/rootsoldiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <rootsoldiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</rootsoldiving>	20
heuristics/rootsoldiving/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ rootsoldiving $>$	5
heuristics/rootsoldiving/maxlpiterofs (0 \leq integer) additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/rootsoldiving/maxlpiterquot (0 \leq real) maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.01
heuristics/rounding/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <rounding> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</rounding>	1
heuristics/rounding/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ rounding $>$	0
heuristics/shiftandpropagate/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ shiftandpropagate $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	0
heuristics/shiftandpropagate/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ shiftandpropagate $>$	0
heuristics/shifting/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ shifting $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	10
heuristics/shifting/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ shifting $>$	0
heuristics/simplerounding/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ simplerounding $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	1
heuristics/simplerounding/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ simplerounding $>$	0
heuristics/subnlp/forbidfixings (boolean) whether to add constraints that forbid specific fixings that turned out to be infeasible	TRUE
heuristics/subnlp/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ subnlp $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	1
heuristics/subnlp/freqofs ($0 \le$ integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ subnlp $>$	0
heuristics/subnlp/itermin $(0 \le integer)$ contingent of NLP iterations in relation to the number of nodes in SCIP	300
heuristics/subnlp/iteroffset $(0 \le integer)$ number of iterations added to the contingent of the total number of iterations	500
heuristics/subnlp/iterquotient $(0 \le \text{real})$ contingent of NLP iterations in relation to the number of nodes in SCIP	0.1
heuristics/subnlp/nlpiterlimit (0 \leq integer) iteration limit of NLP solver; 0 to use solver default	0
heuristics/subnlp/nlptimelimit $(0 \le \text{real})$ time limit of NLP solver; 0 to use solver default	0
heuristics/subnlp/nlpverblevel $(0 \leq integer)$	0

verbosity level of NLP solver	
·	74. C7
heuristics/subnlp/runalways (boolean) whether to run NLP heuristic always if starting point available (does not use iteroffset, iterquot, itermin)	FALSE
heuristics/trivial/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <trivial> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</trivial>	0
heuristics/trivial/freqofs $(0 \le \text{integer})$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ trivial $>$	0
heuristics/trysol/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ trysol $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	1
heuristics/trysol/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ trysol $>$	0
heuristics/twoopt/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <twoopt> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</twoopt>	-1
heuristics/twoopt/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ twoopt $>$	0
heuristics/undercover/fixingalts (string) prioritized sequence of fixing values used ('l'p relaxation, 'n'lp relaxation, 'i'ncumbent solution)	li
heuristics/undercover/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <undercover> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</undercover>	0
heuristics/undercover/freqofs ($0 \le$ integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <undercover></undercover>	0
heuristics/undercover/nodesofs $(0 \le \text{integer})$ number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	500
heuristics/undercover/nodesquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.1
heuristics/undercover/onlyconvexify (boolean) should we only fix variables in order to obtain a convex problem?	FALSE
heuristics/undercover/postnlp (boolean) should the NLP heuristic be called to polish a feasible solution?	TRUE
heuristics/vbounds/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ vbounds $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	-1
heuristics/vbounds/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ vbounds $>$	0
heuristics/vbounds/minfixingrate $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimum percentage of integer variables that have to be fixable	0.5
heuristics/vbounds/nodesofs $(0 \le integer)$ number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	500
heuristics/vbounds/nodesquot $(0 \le real \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.1
heuristics/veclendiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/veclendiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ veclendiving $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	10
heuristics/veclendiving/freqofs ($0 \le$ integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <veclendiving></veclendiving>	4
heuristics/veclendiving/maxlpiterofs $(0 \leq integer)$	1000

additional number of allowed LP iterations	
heuristics/veclendiving/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	05
heuristics/zeroobj/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <zeroobj> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</zeroobj>	-1
heuristics/zeroobj/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ zeroobj $>$	0
heuristics/zeroobj/nodesofs ($0 \le$ integer) 10 number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	00
heuristics/zeroobj/nodesquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem).1
heuristics/zirounding/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ zirounding $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	1
heuristics/zirounding/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ zirounding $>$	0
Heuristics (advanced options)	
heuristics/actconsdiving/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic <actconsdiving> (-1: no limit)</actconsdiving>	-1
heuristics/actconsdiving/maxdiveavgquot $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(avglowerbound - lowerbound) where diving is performed (0.0: no limit	0 t)
heuristics/actconsdiving/maxdiveavgquotnosol $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal AVGQUOT when no solution was found yet $(0.0: \text{no limit})$	0
heuristics/actconsdiving/maxdiveubquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(cutoffbound - lowerbound) where diving is performed (0.0: no limit)).8
heuristics/actconsdiving/maxdiveubquotnosol $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal UBQUOT when no solution was found yet $(0.0: \text{no limit})$).1
heuristics/actconsdiving/maxreldepth $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative depth to start diving	1
heuristics/actconsdiving/minreldepth $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimal relative depth to start diving	0
heuristics/actconsdiving/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$)	00
heuristics/clique/copycuts (boolean) should all active cuts from cutpool be copied to constraints in subproblem?	UE
heuristics/clique/initseed (0 \leq integer) initial random seed value to permutate variables	0
heuristics/clique/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ clique $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/clique/maxnodes $(0 \le integer)$ 500 maximum number of nodes to regard in the subproblem	00
heuristics/clique/maxproprounds $(-1 \le integer \le 536870911)$ maximum number of propagation rounds during probing $(-1 infinity)$	2
heuristics/clique/minimprove $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ factor by which clique heuristic should at least improve the incumbent	01
heuristics/clique/minnodes $(0 \le \text{integer})$ 50 minimum number of nodes required to start the subproblem	00

heuristics/clique/multiplier $(0 \le \text{real})$ value to increase nodenumber to determine the next run	1.1
heuristics/clique/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of heuristic $<$ clique $>$	-1000500
heuristics/coefdiving/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ coefdiving $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/coefdiving/maxdiveavgquot ($0 \le \text{real}$) maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(avglowerbound - lowerbound) where diving is performant of the property of the contract of the property of	ormed (0.0: no limit)
heuristics/coefdiving/maxdiveavgquotnosol (0 \leq real) maximal AVGQUOT when no solution was found yet (0.0: no limit)	0
heuristics/coefdiving/maxdiveubquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(cutoffbound - lowerbound) where diving is perform	0.8 aed (0.0: no limit)
heuristics/coefdiving/maxdiveubquotnosol $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal UBQUOT when no solution was found yet $(0.0: \text{no limit})$	0.1
heuristics/coefdiving/maxreldepth $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative depth to start diving	1
heuristics/coefdiving/minreldepth $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimal relative depth to start diving	0
heuristics/coefdiving/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of heuristic $< coefdiving >$	-1001000
heuristics/crossover/copycuts (boolean) if uselprows == FALSE, should all active cuts from cutpool be copied to constraints in subproblem?	TRUE
heuristics/crossover/dontwaitatroot (boolean) should the nwaitingnodes parameter be ignored at the root node?	FALSE
heuristics/crossover/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ crossover $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/crossover/maxnodes (0 \leq integer) maximum number of nodes to regard in the subproblem	5000
heuristics/crossover/minimprove $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ factor by which Crossover should at least improve the incumbent	0.01
heuristics/crossover/minnodes ($0 \le$ integer) minimum number of nodes required to start the subproblem	500
heuristics/crossover/nwaitingnodes $(0 \le \text{integer})$ number of nodes without incumbent change that heuristic should wait	200
heuristics/crossover/permute (boolean) should the subproblem be permuted to increase diversification?	FALSE
heuristics/crossover/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of heuristic $<$ crossover $>$	-1104000
heuristics/crossover/randomization (boolean) should the choice which sols to take be randomized?	TRUE
heuristics/crossover/uselprows (boolean) should subproblem be created out of the rows in the LP rows?	FALSE
heuristics/dins/copycuts (boolean) if uselprows == FALSE, should all active cuts from cutpool be copied to constraints in subproblem?	TRUE
heuristics/dins/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $< dins > (-1: no limit)$	-1

heuristics/dins/maxnodes (0 \leq integer) maximum number of nodes to regard in the subproblem	5000
heuristics/dins/minimprove $(0 \le real \le 1)$ factor by which dins should at least improve the incumbent	0.01
heuristics/dins/nwaitingnodes $(0 \le integer)$ number of nodes without incumbent change that heuristic should wait	0
heuristics/dins/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of heuristic $< dins>$	-1105000
heuristics/dins/uselprows (boolean) should subproblem be created out of the rows in the LP rows?	FALSE
heuristics/feaspump/copycuts (boolean) should all active cuts from cutpool be copied to constraints in subproblem?	TRUE
heuristics/feaspump/cyclelength $(1 \le integer \le 100)$ maximum length of cycles to be checked explicitly in each round	3
heuristics/feaspump/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic <feaspump> (-1: no limit)</feaspump>	-1
heuristics/feaspump/maxloops $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of pumping loops $(-1: no \ limit)$	10000
heuristics/feaspump/maxsols $(-1 \le integer)$ total number of feasible solutions found up to which heuristic is called (-1: no limit)	10
heuristics/feaspump/maxstallloops $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of pumping rounds without fractionality improvement (-1: no limit)	10
heuristics/feaspump/minflips $(1 \le integer)$ minimum number of random variables to flip, if a 1-cycle is encountered	10
heuristics/feaspump/perturbfreq $(1 \le integer)$ number of iterations until a random perturbation is forced	100
heuristics/feaspump/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of heuristic <feaspump></feaspump>	-1000000
heuristics/fixandinfer/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ fixandinfer $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/fixandinfer/minfixings (0 \leq integer) minimal number of fixings to apply before dive may be aborted	100
heuristics/fixandinfer/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic <fixandinfer></fixandinfer>	-500000
heuristics/fixandinfer/proprounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of propagation rounds in probing subproblems (-1: no limit, 0: auto)	0
heuristics/fracdiving/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ fracdiving $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/fracdiving/maxdiveavgquot $(0 \le real)$ maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(avglowerbound - lowerbound) where diving i	os performed (0.0: no limit)
heuristics/fracdiving/maxdiveavgquotnosol $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal AVGQUOT when no solution was found yet $(0.0: \text{no limit})$	0
heuristics/fracdiving/maxdiveubquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(cutoffbound - lowerbound) where diving is p	0.8 performed (0.0: no limit)
heuristics/fracdiving/maxdiveubquotnosol $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal UBQUOT when no solution was found yet $(0.0: \text{no limit})$	0.1

```
heuristics/fracdiving/maxreldepth (0 \le real \le 1)
                                                                                                                 1
maximal relative depth to start diving
                                                                                                                 0
heuristics/fracdiving/minreldepth (0 \le real \le 1)
minimal relative depth to start diving
heuristics/fracdiving/priority (-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)
                                                                                                        -1003000
priority of heuristic <fracdiving>
heuristics/guideddiving/maxdepth (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                               -1
maximal depth level to call primal heuristic < guideddiving > (-1: no limit)
heuristics/guideddiving/maxdiveavgquot (0 \le real)
                                                                                                                0
maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(avglowerbound - lowerbound) where diving is performed (0.0: no limit)
heuristics/guideddiving/maxdiveubquot (0 \le real \le 1)
maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(cutoffbound - lowerbound) where diving is performed (0.0: no limit)
heuristics/guideddiving/maxreldepth (0 \le real \le 1)
                                                                                                                 1
maximal relative depth to start diving
heuristics/guideddiving/minreldepth (0 \le real \le 1)
                                                                                                                0
minimal relative depth to start diving
                                                                                                        -1007000
heuristics/guideddiving/priority (-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)
priority of heuristic < guideddiving>
                                                                                                               -1
heuristics/intdiving/maxdepth (-1 \le integer)
maximal depth level to call primal heuristic <intdiving> (-1: no limit)
                                                                                                                0
heuristics/intdiving/maxdiveavgquot (0 \le \text{real})
maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(avglowerbound - lowerbound) where diving is performed (0.0: no limit)
heuristics/intdiving/maxdiveavgquotnosol (0 \le real)
                                                                                                                0
maximal AVGQUOT when no solution was found yet (0.0: no limit)
                                                                                                               0.8
heuristics/intdiving/maxdiveubquot (0 \le real \le 1)
maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(cutoffbound - lowerbound) where diving is performed (0.0: no limit)
                                                                                                               0.1
heuristics/intdiving/maxdiveubquotnosol (0 \le real \le 1)
maximal UBQUOT when no solution was found yet (0.0: no limit)
heuristics/intdiving/maxreldepth (0 \le real \le 1)
                                                                                                                 1
maximal relative depth to start diving
heuristics/intdiving/minreldepth (0 \le real \le 1)
                                                                                                                0
minimal relative depth to start diving
                                                                                                        -1003500
heuristics/intdiving/priority (-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)
priority of heuristic <intdiving>
heuristics/intshifting/maxdepth (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                               -1
maximal depth level to call primal heuristic <intshifting> (-1: no limit)
                                                                                                          -10000
heuristics/intshifting/priority (-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)
priority of heuristic <intshifting>
heuristics/linesearchdiving/maxdepth (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                               -1
maximal depth level to call primal heuristic < linesearchdiving > (-1: no limit)
heuristics/linesearchdiving/maxdiveavgquot (0 \le real)
                                                                                                                 0
maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(avglowerbound - lowerbound) where diving is performed (0.0: no limit)
heuristics/linesearchdiving/maxdiveavgquotnosol (0 \le real)
                                                                                                                0
maximal AVGQUOT when no solution was found yet (0.0: no limit)
heuristics/linesearchdiving/maxdiveubquot (0 \le real \le 1)
                                                                                                               0.8
```

maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(cutoffbound - lowerbound) where diving is performed (0.0: no limit)

heuristics/linesearchdiving/maxdiveubquotnosol $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal UBQUOT when no solution was found yet $(0.0: no \ limit)$	0.1
heuristics/linesearchdiving/maxreldepth $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative depth to start diving	1
heuristics/linesearchdiving/minreldepth $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimal relative depth to start diving	0
heuristics/linesearch diving/priority (-536870912 \leq integer \leq 536870911) priority of heuristic $<$ linesearch diving>	-1006000
heuristics/localbranching/copycuts (boolean) if uselprows == FALSE, should all active cuts from cutpool be copied to constraints in subproblem?	TRUE
heuristics/localbranching/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic <localbranching> (-1: no limit)</localbranching>	-1
heuristics/localbranching/maxnodes $(0 \le integer)$ maximum number of nodes to regard in the subproblem	10000
heuristics/localbranching/minimprove $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ factor by which localbranching should at least improve the incumbent	0.01
heuristics/localbranching/minnodes $(0 \le integer)$ minimum number of nodes required to start the subproblem	1000
heuristics/localbranching/nwaitingnodes $(0 \le integer)$ number of nodes without incumbent change that heuristic should wait	200
heuristics/localbranching/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of heuristic $<$ localbranching $>$	-1102000
heuristics/localbranching/uselprows (boolean) should subproblem be created out of the rows in the LP rows?	FALSE
heuristics/mutation/copycuts (boolean) if uselprows == FALSE, should all active cuts from cutpool be copied to constraints in subproblem?	TRUE
heuristics/mutation/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic <mutation> (-1: no limit)</mutation>	-1
heuristics/mutation/maxnodes $(0 \le integer)$ maximum number of nodes to regard in the subproblem	5000
heuristics/mutation/minimprove $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ factor by which mutation should at least improve the incumbent	0.01
heuristics/mutation/minnodes $(0 \le integer)$ minimum number of nodes required to start the subproblem	500
heuristics/mutation/nwaitingnodes ($0 \le$ integer) number of nodes without incumbent change that heuristic should wait	200
heuristics/mutation/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of heuristic < mutation>	-1103000
heuristics/mutation/uselprows (boolean) should subproblem be created out of the rows in the LP rows?	FALSE
heuristics/nlpdiving/lp (boolean) should the LP relaxation be solved before the NLP relaxation?	FALSE
heuristics/nlpdiving/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic <nlpdiving> (-1: no limit)</nlpdiving>	-1
heuristics/nlpdiving/maxdiveavgquot $(0 \le real)$ maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(avglowerbound - lowerbound) where diving is perform	0 aed (0.0: no limit)

heuristics/nlpdiving/maxdiveavgquotnosol ($0 \le \text{real}$) maximal AVGQUOT when no solution was found yet (0.0: no limit)	0
heuristics/nlpdiving/maxdiveubquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(cutoffbound - lowerbound) where diving is performed $(0.00000000000000000000000000000000000$	0.8 0: no limit)
heuristics/nlpdiving/maxdiveubquotnosol $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal UBQUOT when no solution was found yet $(0.0: no limit)$	0.1
heuristics/nlpdiving/maxreldepth $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative depth to start diving	1
heuristics/nlpdiving/minreldepth $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimal relative depth to start diving	0
heuristics/nlpdiving/nlpstart (character) which point should be used as starting point for the NLP solver? ('n'one, last 'f'easible, from dive's'tart)	S
heuristics/nlpdiving/preferlpfracs (boolean) prefer variables that are also fractional in LP solution?	FALSE
heuristics/nlpdiving/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic $<$ nlpdiving $>$	-1003000
heuristics/objpscostdiving/depthfac $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal diving depth: number of binary/integer variables times depthfac	0.5
heuristics/objpscostdiving/depthfacnosol $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal diving depth factor if no feasible solution was found yet	2
heuristics/objpscostdiving/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ objpscostdiving $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/objpscostdiving/maxreldepth $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative depth to start diving	1
heuristics/objpscostdiving/maxsols $(-1 \le integer)$ total number of feasible solutions found up to which heuristic is called (-1: no limit)	-1
heuristics/objpscostdiving/minreldepth (0 \leq real \leq 1) minimal relative depth to start diving	0
heuristics/objpscostdiving/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic <objpscostdiving></objpscostdiving>	-1004000
heuristics/octane/ffirst $(1 \le integer)$ number of 0-1-points to be tested at first whether they violate a common row	10
heuristics/octane/fmax $(1 \le integer)$ number of 0-1-points to be tested as possible solutions by OCTANE	100
heuristics/octane/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ octane $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/octane/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic $< octane >$	-1008000
heuristics/octane/useavgnbray (boolean) should the weighted average of the nonbasic cone be used as one ray direction?	TRUE
heuristics/octane/useavgray (boolean) should the average of the basic cone be used as one ray direction?	TRUE
heuristics/octane/useavgwgtray (boolean) should the weighted average of the basic cone be used as one ray direction?	TRUE
heuristics/octane/usediffray (boolean) should the difference between the root solution and the current LP solution be used as one ray direction?	FALSE

heuristics/octane/usefracspace (boolean) TRUE execute OCTANE only in the space of fractional variables (TRUE) or in the full space? heuristics/octane/useobjray (boolean) TRUE should the inner normal of the objective be used as one ray direction? FALSE heuristics/oneopt/beforepresol (boolean) should the heuristic be called before presolving? heuristics/oneopt/duringroot (boolean) TRUE should the heuristic be called before and during the root node? heuristics/oneopt/forcelpconstruction (boolean) **FALSE** should the construction of the LP be forced even if LP solving is deactivated? heuristics/oneopt/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal depth level to call primal heuristic < one opt> (-1: no limit) heuristics/oneopt/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ -20000priority of heuristic <oneopt> heuristics/oneopt/weightedobj (boolean) TRUE should the objective be weighted with the potential shifting value when sorting the shifting candidates? heuristics/pscostdiving/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal depth level to call primal heuristic <pscostdiving> (-1: no limit) 0 heuristics/pscostdiving/maxdiveavgquot (0 < real) maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(avglowerbound - lowerbound) where diving is performed (0.0: no limit) 0 heuristics/pscostdiving/maxdiveavgquotnosol $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal AVGQUOT when no solution was found yet (0.0: no limit) heuristics/pscostdiving/maxdiveubquot $(0 \le real \le 1)$ 0.8 maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(cutoffbound - lowerbound) where diving is performed (0.0: no limit) 0.1 heuristics/pscostdiving/maxdiveubquotnosol $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal UBQUOT when no solution was found yet (0.0: no limit) 1 heuristics/pscostdiving/maxreldepth $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal relative depth to start diving heuristics/pscostdiving/minreldepth $(0 \le real \le 1)$ 0 minimal relative depth to start diving heuristics/pscostdiving/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ -1002000priority of heuristic <pscostdiving> FALSE heuristics/rens/addallsols (boolean) should all subproblem solutions be added to the original SCIP? heuristics/rens/binarybounds (boolean) TRUE should general integers get binary bounds [floor(.),ceil(.)]? heuristics/rens/copycuts (boolean) TRUE if uselprows == FALSE, should all active cuts from cutpool be copied to constraints in subproblem? heuristics/rens/extratime (boolean) FALSE should the RENS sub-CIP get its own full time limit? This is only for tesing and not recommended! FALSE heuristics/rens/fullscale (boolean) should the RENS sub-CIP be solved with cuts, conflicts, strong branching,... This is only for tesing and not recommended! heuristics/rens/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic <rens> (-1: no limit) heuristics/rens/maxnodes $(0 \le integer)$ 5000

maximum number of nodes to regard in the subproblem

heuristics/rens/minimprove $(0 \le real \le 1)$ factor by which RENS should at least improve the incumbent	0.01
heuristics/rens/minnodes $(0 \le integer)$ minimum number of nodes required to start the subproblem	500
heuristics/rens/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of heuristic <rens></rens>	-1100000
heuristics/rens/uselprows (boolean) should subproblem be created out of the rows in the LP rows?	FALSE
heuristics/rins/copycuts (boolean) if uselprows == FALSE, should all active cuts from cutpool be copied to constraints in subproblem?	TRUE
heuristics/rins/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $< rins > (-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/rins/maxnodes (0 \leq integer) maximum number of nodes to regard in the subproblem	5000
heuristics/rins/minimprove $(0 \le real \le 1)$ factor by which rins should at least improve the incumbent	0.01
heuristics/rins/minnodes (0 \leq integer) minimum number of nodes required to start the subproblem	500
heuristics/rins/nwaitingnodes (0 \leq integer) number of nodes without incumbent change that heuristic should wait	200
heuristics/rins/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic < $rins$ >	-1101000
heuristics/rins/uselprows (boolean) should subproblem be created out of the rows in the LP rows?	FALSE
heuristics/rootsoldiving/alpha $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ soft rounding factor to fade out objective coefficients	0.9
heuristics/rootsoldiving/depthfac $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal diving depth: number of binary/integer variables times depthfac	0.5
heuristics/rootsoldiving/depthfacnosol (0 \leq real) maximal diving depth factor if no feasible solution was found yet	2
heuristics/rootsoldiving/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic <rootsoldiving> (-1: no limit)</rootsoldiving>	-1
heuristics/rootsoldiving/maxreldepth $(0 \le {\rm real} \le 1)$ maximal relative depth to start diving	1
heuristics/rootsoldiving/maxsols $(-1 \le integer)$ total number of feasible solutions found up to which heuristic is called (-1: no limit)	-1
heuristics/rootsoldiving/minreldepth $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimal relative depth to start diving	0
heuristics/rootsoldiving/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic <rootsoldiving></rootsoldiving>	-1005000
heuristics/rounding/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ rounding $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/rounding/oncepernode (boolean) should the heuristic only be called once per node?	FALSE
heuristics/rounding/priority ($-536870912 \leq integer \leq 536870911$) priority of heuristic <rounding></rounding>	-1000

heuristics/rounding/successfactor $(-1 \le integer)$ number of calls per found solution that are considered as standard success, a higher factor causes the more often	100 ne heuristic to be called
heuristics/shiftandpropagate/cutoffbreaker ($-1 \le integer \le 1000000$) The number of cutoffs before heuristic stops	15
heuristics/shiftandpropagate/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ shiftandpropagate $>$ $(-1: no \ limit)$	-1
heuristics/shiftandpropagate/nproprounds $(-1 \le integer \le 1000)$ The number of propagation rounds used for each propagation	10
heuristics/shiftandpropagate/onlywithoutsol (boolean) Should heuristic only be executed if no primal solution was found, yet?	TRUE
heuristics/shift andpropagate/priority ($-536870912 \leq integer \leq 536870911$) priority of heuristic <shift andpropagate>	1000
heuristics/shiftandpropagate/probing (boolean) Should domains be reduced by probing?	TRUE
heuristics/shiftandpropagate/relax (boolean) Should continuous variables be relaxed?	TRUE
heuristics/shiftandpropagate/sortkey (character) the key for variable sorting: (n)orms or (r)andom	u
heuristics/shiftandpropagate/sortvars (boolean) Should variables be sorted for the heuristic?	TRUE
heuristics/shifting/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ shifting $>$ (-1: no limit)	-1
heuristics/shifting/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic $<$ shifting $>$	-5000
heuristics/simplerounding/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ simplerounding $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/simplerounding/oncepernode (boolean) should the heuristic only be called once per node?	FALSE
heuristics/simplerounding/priority $(-536870912 \leq integer \leq 536870911)$ priority of heuristic $<\!simplerounding\!>$	0
heuristics/subnlp/keepcopy (boolean) whether to keep SCIP copy or to create new copy each time heuristic is applied	TRUE
heuristics/subnlp/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ subnlp $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/subnlp/maxpresolverounds $(-1 \le integer)$ limit on number of presolve rounds in sub-SCIP (-1 for unlimited, 0 for no presolve)	-1
heuristics/subnlp/minimprove $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ factor by which NLP heuristic should at least improve the incumbent	0.01
heuristics/subnlp/nlpoptfile (string) name of an NLP solver specific options file	
heuristics/subnlp/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic $<$ subnlp $>$	-2000000
heuristics/subnlp/resolvefromscratch (boolean) should the NLP resolve be started from the original starting point or the infeasible solution?	TRUE
heuristics/subnlp/resolvetolfactor $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ if SCIP does not accept a NLP feasible solution, resolve NLP with feas. tolerance reduced by this f	0.001 Cactor (set to 1.0 to turn

off resolve)	
heuristics/trivial/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ trivial $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/trivial/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic <trivial></trivial>	10000
heuristics/trysol/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ trysol $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/trysol/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic $<$ trysol $>$	-3000000
heuristics/twoopt/intopt (boolean) Should Integer-2-Optimization be applied or not?	FALSE
heuristics/twoopt/matchingrate $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ parameter to determine the percentage of rows two variables have to share before they are considered equal	0.5
heuristics/twoopt/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ twoopt $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/twoopt/maxnslaves $(-1 \le integer \le 1000000)$ maximum number of slaves for one master variable	199
heuristics/twoopt/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic $<$ twoopt $>$	-20100
heuristics/twoopt/waitingnodes ($0 \le \text{integer} \le 10000$) user parameter to determine number of nodes to wait after last best solution before calling heuristic	0
heuristics/undercover/beforecuts (boolean) should the heuristic be called at root node before cut separation?	TRUE
heuristics/undercover/conflictweight (real) weight for conflict score in fixing order	1000
heuristics/undercover/copycuts (boolean) should all active cuts from cutpool be copied to constraints in subproblem?	TRUE
heuristics/undercover/coverbd (boolean) should bounddisjunction constraints be covered (or just copied)?	FALSE
heuristics/undercover/coveringobj (character) objective function of the covering problem (influenced nonlinear 'c'onstraints/'t'erms, 'd'omain size, 'l'ocks up/down locks, 'u'nit penalties)	u s, 'm'in of
heuristics/undercover/cutoffweight (0 \leq real) weight for cutoff score in fixing order	1
heuristics/undercover/fixingorder (character) order in which variables should be fixed (increasing 'C'onflict score, decreasing 'c'onflict score, increasing 'V'ariadecreasing 'v'ariable index	v able index,
heuristics/undercover/fixintfirst (boolean) should integer variables in the cover be fixed first?	FALSE
heuristics/undercover/inferenceweight (real) weight for inference score in fixing order	1
heuristics/undercover/locksrounding (boolean) shall LP values for integer vars be rounded according to locks?	TRUE
heuristics/undercover/maxbacktracks (0 \leq integer) maximum number of backtracks in fix-and-propagate	6
heuristics/undercover/maxcoversizeconss $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximum coversize maximum coversize (as ratio to the percentage of non-affected constraints)	∞

heuristics/undercover/maxcoversizevars $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximum coversize (as fraction of total number of variables)	1
heuristics/undercover/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ undercover $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/undercover/maxnodes $(0 \le integer)$ maximum number of nodes to regard in the subproblem	500
heuristics/undercover/maxrecovers $(0 \le integer)$ maximum number of recoverings	0
heuristics/undercover/maxreorders $(0 \le integer)$ maximum number of reorderings of the fixing order	1
heuristics/undercover/mincoveredabs $(0 \le integer)$ minimum number of nonlinear constraints in the original problem	5
heuristics/undercover/mincoveredrel $(0 \le real \le 1)$ minimum percentage of nonlinear constraints in the original problem	0.15
heuristics/undercover/minimprove $(-1 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ factor by which the heuristic should at least improve the incumbent	0
heuristics/undercover/minnodes $(0 \le integer)$ minimum number of nodes required to start the subproblem	500
heuristics/undercover/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of heuristic $<$ undercover $>$	-1110000
heuristics/undercover/recoverdiv $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ fraction of covering variables in the last cover which need to change their value when recovering	0.9
heuristics/undercover/reusecover (boolean) shall the cover be reused if a conflict was added after an infeasible subproblem?	FALSE
heuristics/vbounds/copycuts (boolean) should all active cuts from cutpool be copied to constraints in subproblem?	TRUE
heuristics/vbounds/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ vbounds $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/vbounds/maxnodes $(0 \le integer)$ maximum number of nodes to regard in the subproblem	5000
heuristics/vbounds/maxproprounds $(-1 \le integer \le 536870911)$ maximum number of propagation rounds during probing (-1 infinity)	2
heuristics/vbounds/minimprove $(0 \le real \le 1)$ factor by which vbounds heuristic should at least improve the incumbent	0.01
heuristics/vbounds/minnodes $(0 \le integer)$ minimum number of nodes required to start the subproblem	500
heuristics/vbounds/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic $<$ vbounds $>$	-1106000
heuristics/veclendiving/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic <veclendiving> (-1: no limit)</veclendiving>	-1
heuristics/veclendiving/maxdiveavgquot ($0 \le \text{real}$) maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(avglowerbound - lowerbound) where diving is perfectly the second of the contraction of the contracti	0 erformed (0.0: no limit)
heuristics/veclendiving/maxdiveavgquotnosol $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal AVGQUOT when no solution was found yet $(0.0: \text{no limit})$	0
heuristics/veclendiving/maxdiveubquot $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(cutoffbound - lowerbound) where diving is perfectly the second of the cutoffbound - lowerbound) where diving is perfectly the cutoffbound - lowerbound - lowerboun	0.8 ormed (0.0: no limit)

heuristics/veclendiving/maxdiveubquotnosol $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal UBQUOT when no solution was found yet $(0.0: no \ limit)$	0.1
heuristics/veclendiving/maxreldepth $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative depth to start diving	1
heuristics/veclendiving/minreldepth $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimal relative depth to start diving	0
heuristics/veclendiving/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic <veclendiving></veclendiving>	-1003100
heuristics/zeroobj/addallsols (boolean) should all subproblem solutions be added to the original SCIP?	FALSE
heuristics/zeroobj/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic <zeroobj> (-1: no limit)</zeroobj>	0
heuristics/zeroobj/maxlpiters $(-1 \le integer)$ maximum number of LP iterations to be performed in the subproblem	5000
heuristics/zeroobj/maxnodes (0 \leq integer) maximum number of nodes to regard in the subproblem	1000
heuristics/zeroobj/minimprove $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ factor by which zeroobj should at least improve the incumbent	0.01
heuristics/zeroobj/minnodes (0 \leq integer) minimum number of nodes required to start the subproblem	100
heuristics/zeroobj/onlywithoutsol (boolean) should heuristic only be executed if no primal solution was found, yet?	TRUE
heuristics/zeroobj/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic < z eroobj>	100
heuristics/zirounding/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level to call primal heuristic $<$ zirounding $>$ $(-1: no limit)$	-1
heuristics/zirounding/maxroundingloops ($-1 \leq \text{integer})$ determines maximum number of rounding loops	2
heuristics/zirounding/minstopncalls ($1 \le integer$) determines the minimum number of calls before percentage-based deactivation of Zirounding is applied	1000
heuristics/zirounding/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of heuristic <zirounding></zirounding>	-500
heuristics/zirounding/stoppercentage $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ if percentage of found solutions falls below this parameter, Zirounding will be deactivated	0.02
heuristics/zirounding/stopziround (boolean) flag to determine if Zirounding is deactivated after a certain percentage of unsuccessful calls	TRUE
Limits	
limits/absgap $(0 \le \text{real})$ solving stops, if the absolute gap = primalbound - dualbound is below the given value	GAMS optca
limits/bestsol $(-1 \le integer)$ solving stops, if the given number of solution improvements were found (-1: no limit)	-1
$\label{eq:limits/gap} $$\inf(0 \le \text{real})$ solving stops, if the relative gap = primal - dual /MIN(dual , primal)$ is below the given value$	GAMS optcr
limits/maxorigsol $(0 \le \text{integer})$ maximal number of solutions candidates to store in the solution storage of the original problem	10
limits/maxsol $(1 \le integer)$	100

maximal number of solutions to store in the solution storage limits/memory $(0 \le real)$ GAMS workspace maximal memory usage in MB; reported memory usage is lower than real memory usage! limits/nodes $(-1 \le integer)$ GAMS nodlim, if set, otherwise -1maximal number of nodes to process (-1: no limit) limits/restarts $(-1 \le integer)$ -1solving stops, if the given number of restarts was triggered (-1: no limit) limits/solutions $(-1 \le integer)$ -1solving stops, if the given number of solutions were found (-1: no limit) limits/stallnodes $(-1 \le integer)$ -1solving stops, if the given number of nodes was processed since the last improvement of the primal solution value (-1: no limit) limits/time $(0 \le real)$ GAMS reslim maximal time in seconds to run limits/totalnodes $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of total nodes (incl. restarts) to process (-1: no limit) LP lp/initalgorithm (character) LP algorithm for solving initial LP relaxations (automatic 's'implex, 'p'rimal simplex, 'd'ual simplex, 'b'arrier, barrier with 'c'rossover) lp/pricing (character) 1 LP pricing strategy ('l'pi default, 'a'uto, 'f'ull pricing, 'p'artial, 's'teepest edge pricing, 'q'uickstart steepest edge pricing, 'd'evex pricing) lp/resolvealgorithm (character) LP algorithm for resolving LP relaxations if a starting basis exists (automatic 's'implex, 'p'rimal simplex, 'd'ual simplex, 'b'arrier, barrier with 'c'rossover) $lp/solvedepth (-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal depth for solving LP at the nodes (-1: no depth limit) 1 $lp/solvefreq (-1 \le integer)$ frequency for solving LP at the nodes (-1: never; 0: only root LP) lp/solver (string) cplex, if licensed, otherwise soplex LP solver to use (clp, cplex, soplex) LP (advanced options) lp/checkfeas (boolean) TRUE should LP solutions be checked, resolving LP when numerical troubles occur? TRUE lp/checkstability (boolean) should LP solver's return status be checked for stability? FALSE lp/cleanupcols (boolean) should new non-basic columns be removed after LP solving? lp/cleanupcolsroot (boolean) FALSE should new non-basic columns be removed after root LP solving? TRUE lp/cleanuprows (boolean) should new basic rows be removed after LP solving? TRUE lp/cleanuprowsroot (boolean) should new basic rows be removed after root LP solving? lp/clearinitialprobinglp (boolean) TRUE.

should lp state be cleared at the end of probing mode when lp was initially unsolved, e.g., when called right after prescing?	olv-
lp/colagelimit $(-1 \le integer)$ maximum age a dynamic column can reach before it is deleted from the LP (-1: don't delete columns due to aging)	10
lp/fastmip $(0 \le integer \le 1)$ which FASTMIP setting of LP solver should be used? 0: off, 1: low	1
lp/freesolvalbuffers (boolean) should the buffers for storing LP solution values during diving be freed at end of diving?	LSE
lp/iterlim $(-1 \le integer)$ iteration limit for each single LP solve (-1: no limit)	-1
1p/lexdualalgo (boolean) FAI should the lexicographic dual alogrithm be used?	LSE
lp/lexdualbasic (boolean) choose fractional basic variables in lexicographic dual algorithm?	LSE
lp/lexdualmaxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximum number of rounds in the lexicographic dual algorithm (-1: unbounded)	2
1p/lexdualrootonly (boolean) should the lexicographic dual algorithm be applied only at the root node	RUE
1p/lexdualstalling (boolean) turn on the lex dual algorithm only when stalling?	RUE
1p/presolving (boolean) should presolving of LP solver be used?	RUE
lp/resolveiterfac $(-1 \le \text{real})$ factor of average LP iterations that is used as LP iteration limit for LP resolve (-1: unlimited)	-1
lp/resolveitermin (1 \leq integer) 10 minimum number of iterations that are allowed for LP resolve	000
lp/resolverestore (boolean) should the LP be resolved to restore the state at start of diving (if FALSE we buffer the solution values)?	LSE
1	
iteration limit for initial root LP solve (-1: no limit)	-1
iteration limit for initial root LP solve (-1: no limit) $lp/rowagelimit (-1 \le integer)$ maximum age a dynamic row can reach before it is deleted from the LP (-1: don't delete rows due to aging)	-1 10
$lp/rowagelimit (-1 \le integer)$	10 -1
lp/rowagelimit $(-1 \le integer)$ maximum age a dynamic row can reach before it is deleted from the LP (-1: don't delete rows due to aging) lp/rowrepswitch $(-1 \le real)$ simplex algorithm shall use row representation of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds value (-1.0 to disable row representation)	10 -1
lp/rowagelimit $(-1 \le \text{integer})$ maximum age a dynamic row can reach before it is deleted from the LP (-1: don't delete rows due to aging) lp/rowrepswitch $(-1 \le \text{real})$ simplex algorithm shall use row representation of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds value (-1.0 to disable row representation) lp/scaling (boolean)	10 -1 this
lp/rowagelimit $(-1 \le \text{integer})$ maximum age a dynamic row can reach before it is deleted from the LP (-1: don't delete rows due to aging) lp/rowrepswitch $(-1 \le \text{real})$ simplex algorithm shall use row representation of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds value (-1.0 to disable row representation) lp/scaling (boolean) The should scaling of LP solver be used? lp/threads $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 64)$ GAMS threads optomize the solution of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds the solution of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds the solution of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds the solution of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds the solution of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds the solution of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds the solution of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds the solution of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds the solution of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds the solution of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds the solution of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds the solution of the basis if number of rows divided by number of rows divided b	10 -1 this
lp/rowagelimit $(-1 \le \text{integer})$ maximum age a dynamic row can reach before it is deleted from the LP (-1: don't delete rows due to aging) lp/rowrepswitch $(-1 \le \text{real})$ simplex algorithm shall use row representation of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds value (-1.0 to disable row representation) lp/scaling (boolean)	10 -1 this
lp/rowagelimit $(-1 \le \text{integer})$ maximum age a dynamic row can reach before it is deleted from the LP (-1: don't delete rows due to aging) lp/rowrepswitch $(-1 \le \text{real})$ simplex algorithm shall use row representation of the basis if number of rows divided by number of columns exceeds value (-1.0 to disable row representation) lp/scaling (boolean)	10 -1 this RUE
	10 -1 this RUE

initial size of dynamically allocated arrays	
memory/pathgrowfac $(1 \le \text{real} \le 10)$ memory growing factor for path array	2
memory/pathgrowinit $(0 \le integer)$ initial size of path array	256
memory/treegrowfac $(1 \le real \le 10)$ memory growing factor for tree array	2
memory/treegrowinit $(0 \le integer)$ initial size of tree array	65536
Micellaneous	
misc/catchctrlc (boolean) should the CTRL-C interrupt be caught by SCIP?	TRUE
misc/estimexternmem (boolean) should the usage of external memory be estimated?	TRUE
misc/improvingsols (boolean) should only solutions be checked which improve the primal bound	FALSE
misc/permutationseed $(-1 \le integer)$ seed value for permuting the problem after the problem was transformed (-1: no permutation)	-1
misc/printreason (boolean) should the reason be printed if a given start solution is infeasible	FALSE
misc/resetstat (boolean) should the statistics be reseted if the transformed problem is freed (in case of a benders decomposition be set to FALSE)	TRUE on this parameter should
misc/transorigsols (boolean) should SCIP try to transfer original solutions to the extended space (after presolving)?	TRUE
misc/useconstable (boolean) should a hashtable be used to map from constraint names to constraints?	TRUE
misc/usesmalltables (boolean) should smaller hashtables be used? yields better performance for small problems with about 100 va	FALSE ariables
misc/usevartable (boolean) should a hashtable be used to map from variable names to variables?	TRUE
Node Selection	
nodeselection/bfs/stdpriority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of node selection rule $<$ bfs $>$ in standard mode	100000
nodeselection/childsel (character) child selection rule ('d'own, 'u'p, 'p'seudo costs, 'i'nference, 'l'p value, 'r'oot LP value difference, LP value difference)	h, 'h'ybrid inference/root
nodeselection/dfs/stdpriority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of node selection rule $$ in standard mode	0
nodeselection/estimate/bestnodefreq $(0 \le integer)$ frequency at which the best node instead of the best estimate is selected $(0:never)$	10
nodeselection/estimate/breadthfirstdepth $(-1 \leq integer)$ depth until breadth-fisrt search is applied	-1
nodeselection/estimate/stdpriority $(-536870912 \leq integer \leq 536870911)$ priority of node selection rule <estimate> in standard mode</estimate>	200000
${\tt nodeselection/hybridestim/bestnodefreq}\ (0 \leq integer)$	1000

frequency at which the best node instead of the hybrid best estimate / best bound is selected (0: never)	
nodeselection/hybridestim/stdpriority (-536870912 ≤ integer ≤ 536870911) priority of node selection rule <hybridestim> in standard mode</hybridestim>	50000
nodeselection/restartdfs/countonlyleaves (boolean) count only leaf nodes (otherwise all nodes)?	TRUE
nodeselection/restartdfs/selectbestfreq $(0 \le integer)$ frequency for selecting the best node instead of the deepest one	100
nodeselection/restartdfs/stdpriority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of node selection rule <restartdfs> in standard mode</restartdfs>	10000
Node Selection (advanced options)	
nodeselection/bfs/maxplungedepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal plunging depth, before new best node is forced to be selected (-1 for dynamic setting)	-1
$\label{eq:condition} $$ nodeselection/bfs/maxplungequot \ (0 \leq real) $$ maximal quotient (curlowerbound - lowerbound)/(cutoffbound - lowerbound) where plunging is performed$	0.25
nodeselection/bfs/memsave priority ($-536870912 \leq integer \leq 536870911$) priority of node selection rule $<\!bfs\!>$ in memory saving mode	0
nodeselection/bfs/minplungedepth $(-1 \le integer)$ minimal plunging depth, before new best node may be selected (-1 for dynamic setting)	-1
nodeselection/dfs/memsave priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of node selection rule $<$ dfs $>$ in memory saving mode	100000
nodeselection/estimate/maxplungedepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal plunging depth, before new best node is forced to be selected (-1 for dynamic setting)	-1
nodeselection/estimate/maxplungequot $(0 \le real)$ maximal quotient (estimate - lowerbound)/(cutoffbound - lowerbound) where plunging is performed	0.25
nodeselection/estimate/memsave priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of node selection rule <estimate> in memory saving mode</estimate>	100
nodeselection/estimate/minplungedepth $(-1 \le integer)$ minimal plunging depth, before new best node may be selected (-1 for dynamic setting)	-1
nodeselection/hybridestim/estimweight $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ weight of estimate value in node selection score (0: pure best bound search, 1: pure best estimate search)	0.1
nodeselection/hybridestim/maxplungedepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal plunging depth, before new best node is forced to be selected (-1 for dynamic setting)	-1
nodeselection/hybridestim/maxplungequot $(0 \le real)$ maximal quotient (estimate - lowerbound)/(cutoffbound - lowerbound) where plunging is performed	0.25
nodeselection/hybridestim/memsave priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of node selection rule <hybridestim> in memory saving mode</hybridestim>	50
nodeselection/hybridestim/minplungedepth $(-1 \le integer)$ minimal plunging depth, before new best node may be selected (-1 for dynamic setting)	-1
nodeselection/restartdfs/memsave priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of node selection rule <restartdfs> in memory saving mode</restartdfs>	50000
Tolerances	
numerics/dualfeastol $(10^{-17} \le \text{real} \le 0.001)$ feasibility tolerance for reduced costs in LP solution	10^{-6}
numerics/epsilon $(10^{-20} \le \text{real} \le 0.001)$ absolute values smaller than this are considered zero	10^{-9}
numerics/feastol $(10^{-17} \leq \text{real} \leq 0.001)$	10^{-6}

feasibility tolerance for constraints	
numerics/lpfeastol $(10^{-17} \le \text{real} \le 0.001)$ primal feasibility tolerance of LP solver	10^{-6}
numerics/sumepsilon $(10^{-17} \le \text{real} \le 0.001)$ absolute values of sums smaller than this are considered zero	10^{-6}
Tolerances (advanced options)	
numerics/barrierconvtol $(10^{-17} \le \text{real} \le 0.001)$ LP convergence tolerance used in barrier algorithm	10^{-10}
numerics/boundstreps $(10^{-17} \le \text{real})$ minimal relative improve for strengthening bounds	0.05
numerics/hugeval $(0 \le \text{real})$ values larger than this are considered huge and should be handled separately (e.g., in activity computation)	10^{15}
numerics/pseudocostdelta (0 \leq real) minimal objective distance value to use for branching pseudo cost updates	0.0001
numerics/pseudocosteps $(10^{-17} \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimal variable distance value to use for branching pseudo cost updates	0.1
$\label{eq:numerics/recompute} \begin{subarray}{ll} numerics/recompute fac & (0 \le real) \\ minimal decrease factor that causes the recomputation of a value (e.g., pseudo objective) instead of an update \\ \end{subarray}$	10 ⁷
Presolving	
presolving/boundshift/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit)	0
presolving/components/intfactor $(0 \le \text{real})$ the weight of an integer variable compared to binary variables	1
presolving/components/maxintvars $(-1 \le integer)$ maximum number of integer (or binary) variables to solve a subproblem directly (-1: unlimited)	500
presolving/components/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
presolving/components/nodelimit $(-1 \le integer)$ maximum number of nodes to be solved in subproblems	10000
presolving/components/reldecrease $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ percentage by which the number of variables has to be decreased after the last component solving to allow run $(1.0: \text{do not run again})$	0.2 nning again
presolving/components/writeproblems (boolean) should the single components be written as an .lp-file?	FALSE
presolving/convertinttobin/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit)	0
presolving/domcol/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
presolving/dualfix/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
presolving/gateextraction/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
presolving/implics/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
presolving/inttobinary/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit)	-1

presolving/maxrestarts $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of restarts $(-1: unlimited)$	-1
presolving/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds (-1: unlimited, 0: off)	-1
presolving/trivial/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
Presolving (advanced options)	
presolving/abortfac $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ abort presolve, if at most this fraction of the problem was changed in last presolve round	0.0001
<pre>presolving/boundshift/delay (boolean) should presolver be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?</pre>	FALSE
<pre>presolving/boundshift/flipping (boolean) is flipping allowed (multiplying with -1)?</pre>	TRUE
<pre>presolving/boundshift/integer (boolean) shift only integer ranges?</pre>	TRUE
presolving/boundshift/maxshift $(0 \leq integer)$ absolute value of maximum shift	∞
presolving/boundshift/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of presolver $<$ boundshift $>$	7900000
presolving/components/delay (boolean) should presolver be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?	TRUE
presolving/components/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of presolver <components></components>	-9200000
presolving/convertinttobin/delay (boolean) should presolver be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?	FALSE
presolving/convertinttobin/maxdomainsize ($0 \le$ integer) absolute value of maximum domain size for converting an integer variable to binaries variables	∞
presolving/convertinttobin/onlypoweroftwo (boolean) should only integer variables with a domain size of $2\hat{p}$ - 1 be converted(, there we don't need restricting the sum of the binaries)	FALSE an knapsack-constraint for
presolving/convertint tobin/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of presolver	6000000
presolving/convertinttobin/samelocksinbothdirections (boolean) should only integer variables with uplocks equals downlocks be converted	FALSE
<pre>presolving/domcol/delay (boolean) should presolver be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?</pre>	TRUE
presolving/domcol/priority ($-536870912 \leq integer \leq 536870911)$ priority of presolver $<\!domcol>$	20000000
presolving/donotaggr (boolean) should aggregation of variables be forbidden?	FALSE
<pre>presolving/donotmultaggr (boolean) should multi-aggregation of variables be forbidden?</pre>	FALSE
<pre>presolving/dualfix/delay (boolean) should presolver be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?</pre>	FALSE
presolving/dualfix/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of presolver $<$ dualfix $>$	8000000

```
presolving/gateextraction/delay (boolean)
                                                                                                               TRUE
should presolver be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?
presolving/gateextraction/onlysetpart (boolean)
                                                                                                              FALSE
should we only try to extract set-partitioning constraints and no and-constraints
presolving/gateextraction/priority (-536870912 < integer < 536870911)
                                                                                                            1000000
priority of presolver < gateextraction >
presolving/gateextraction/searchequations (boolean)
                                                                                                               TRUE
should we try to extract set-partitioning constraint out of one logicor and one corresponding set-packing constraint
presolving/gateextraction/sorting (-1 \le integer \le 1)
                                                                                                                   1
order logicor contraints to extract big-gates before smaller ones (-1), do not order them (0) or order them to extract smaller
gates at first (1)
                                                                                                                 0.2
presolving/immrestartfac (0 \le real \le 1)
fraction of integer variables that were fixed in the root node triggering an immediate restart with preprocessing
presolving/implics/delay (boolean)
                                                                                                             FALSE
should presolver be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?
presolving/implies/priority (-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)
                                                                                                            -10000
priority of presolver <implies>
presolving/inttobinary/delay (boolean)
                                                                                                             FALSE
should presolver be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?
presolving/inttobinary/priority (-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)
                                                                                                           7000000
priority of presolver <inttobinary>
                                                                                                                0.05
presolving/restartfac (0 \le real \le 1)
fraction of integer variables that were fixed in the root node triggering a restart with preprocessing after root node evaluation
presolving/restartminred (0 \le real \le 1)
                                                                                                                 0.1
minimal fraction of integer variables removed after restart to allow for an additional restart
presolving/subrestartfac (0 \le real \le 1)
                                                                                                                   1
fraction of integer variables that were globally fixed during the solving process triggering a restart with preprocessing
presolving/trivial/delay (boolean)
                                                                                                              FALSE
should presolver be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?
presolving/trivial/priority (-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)
                                                                                                           9000000
priority of presolver <trivial>
Domain Propagation
propagating/abortoncutoff (boolean)
                                                                                                               TRUE
should propagation be aborted immediately? setting this to FALSE could help conflict analysis to produce more conflict
constraints
propagating/genvbounds/freq (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                                   1
frequency for calling propagator < genvbounds> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)
propagating/genvbounds/maxprerounds (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                                 -1
maximal number of presolving rounds the propagator participates in (-1: no limit)
propagating/maxrounds (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                                100
maximal number of propagation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)
propagating/maxroundsroot (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                               1000
maximal number of propagation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)
                                                                                                               10^{-9}
propagating/obbt/dualfeastol (0 \le real)
feasibility tolerance for reduced costs used in obbt; this value is used if SCIP's dual feastol is greater
                                                                                                                  0
propagating/obbt/freq (-1 \le integer)
```

frequency for calling propagator < obbt> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)

<pre>propagating/obbt/itlimitfactor (real) multiple of root node LP iterations used as total LP iteration limit for obbt (<= 0: no limit)</pre>	5
propagating/obbt/maxlookahead ($-1 \le$ integer) maximal number of bounds evaluated without success per group (-1: no limit)	3
propagating/obbt/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the propagator participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
propagating/probing/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling propagator <pre><pre>cprobing></pre> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</pre>	-1
propagating/probing/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the propagator participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
propagating/probing/maxruns $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of runs, probing participates in (-1: no limit)	1
propagating/pseudoobj/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling propagator $<$ pseudoobj $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only in root node)$	1
propagating/pseudoobj/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the propagator participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
propagating/redcost/continuous (boolean) should reduced cost fixing be also applied to continuous variables?	FALSE
propagating/redcost/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling propagator <redcost> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</redcost>	1
propagating/redcost/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the propagator participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
<pre>propagating/redcost/useimplics (boolean) should implications be used to strength the reduced cost for binary variables?</pre>	TRUE
propagating/rootredcost/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling propagator <rootredcost> $(-1: never, 0: only in root node)$</rootredcost>	1
propagating/rootredcost/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the propagator participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
propagating/vbounds/dotoposort (boolean) should the bounds be topologically sorted in advance?	TRUE
propagating/vbounds/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling propagator $<$ vbounds $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only in root node)$	1
propagating/vbounds/maxprerounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of presolving rounds the propagator participates in (-1: no limit)	-1
propagating/vbounds/sortcliques (boolean) should cliques be regarded for the topological sort?	FALSE
propagating/vbounds/usebdwidening (boolean) should bound widening be used to initialize conflict analysis?	TRUE
<pre>propagating/vbounds/usecliques (boolean) should cliques be propagated?</pre>	FALSE
<pre>propagating/vbounds/useimplics (boolean) should implications be propagated?</pre>	FALSE
propagating/vbounds/usevbounds (boolean) should vbounds be propagated?	TRUE
Domain Propagation (advanced options)	

FALSE

propagating/genvbounds/delay (boolean)

should propagator be delayed, if other propagators found reductions?	
<pre>propagating/genvbounds/global (boolean) apply global propagation?</pre>	TRUE
propagating/genvbounds/presoldelay (boolean) should presolving be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?	FALSE
propagating/genvbounds/presolpriority (-536870912 \leq integer \leq 536870911) presolving priority of propagator $<$ genvbounds $>$	-2000000
propagating/genvbounds/priority (-536870912 \leq integer \leq 536870911) priority of propagator $<$ genvbounds>	3000000
propagating/genvbounds/propinrootnode (boolean) apply genvbounds in root node if no new incumbent was found?	TRUE
propagating/genvbounds/sort (boolean) sort genvbounds and wait for bound change events?	TRUE
propagating/genvbounds/timingmask ($1 \le \text{integer} \le 15$) timing when propagator should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP)	15 OOP, 15:ALWAYS))
propagating/obbt/applyfilterrounds (boolean) try to filter bounds in so-called filter rounds by solving auxiliary LPs?	FALSE
propagating/obbt/creategenvbounds (boolean) should obbt try to provide genvbounds if possible?	TRUE
<pre>propagating/obbt/delay (boolean) should propagator be delayed, if other propagators found reductions?</pre>	TRUE
propagating/obbt/minfilter (1 \leq integer) minimal number of filtered bounds to apply another filter round	2
propagating/obbt/normalize (boolean) should coefficients in filtering be normalized w.r.t. the domains sizes?	TRUE
<pre>propagating/obbt/presoldelay (boolean) should presolving be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?</pre>	FALSE
propagating/obbt/presolpriority (-536870912 \leq integer \leq 536870911) presolving priority of propagator $<$ obbt>	0
propagating/obbt/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of propagator $< obbt>$	-1000000
propagating/obbt/timingmask ($1 \le integer \le 15$) timing when propagator should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLO	4 OOP, 15:ALWAYS))
<pre>propagating/probing/delay (boolean) should propagator be delayed, if other propagators found reductions?</pre>	TRUE
propagating/probing/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth until propagation is executed(-1: no limit)	-1
propagating/probing/maxfixings $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of fixings found, until probing is interrupted (0: don't iterrupt)	25
propagating/probing/maxsumuseless $(0 \le \text{integer})$ maximal number of probings without fixings, until probing is aborted $(0: \text{don't abort})$	0
propagating/probing/maxtotaluseless ($0 \le$ integer) maximal number of successive probings without fixings, bound changes, and implications, until abort)	50 probing is aborted (0: don't
propagating/probing/maxuseless $(0 \le \text{integer})$ maximal number of successive probings without fixings, until probing is aborted (0: don't abort	1000

<pre>propagating/probing/presoldelay (boolean) should presolving be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?</pre>	TRUE
propagating/probing/presolpriority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 5368 $ presolving priority of propagator $<$ probing $>$	370911) -100000
propagating/probing/priority ($-536870912 \leq integer \leq 536870911$) priority of propagator $<\!probing\!>$	-100000
propagating/probing/proprounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of propagation rounds in probing subproblems (-1: no limit,	-1 , 0: auto)
propagating/probing/timingmask $(1 \le integer \le 15)$ timing when propagator should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOO	P, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:ALWAYS))
propagating/pseudoobj/delay (boolean) should propagator be delayed, if other propagators found reductions?	FALSE
propagating/pseudoobj/force (boolean) should the propagator be forced even active pricer are present?	FALSE
propagating/pseudoobj/maximplvars $(-1 \le integer)$ maximum number of binary variables the implications are used if turned on (-	50000 -1: unlimited)?
propagating/pseudoobj/maxnewvars $(0 \le integer)$ number of variable added after the propgatore is reinitialized?	1000
propagating/pseudoobj/maxvarsfrac $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal fraction of none binary variables with non-zero objective without a b	0.1 bound reduction before aborted
propagating/pseudoobj/minuseless $(0 \le integer)$ minimal number of successive none binary variable propagator whithout a box	100 und reduction before aborted
propagating/pseudoobj/presoldelay (boolean) should presolving be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?	TRUE
propagating/pseudoobj/presolpriority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 53 $ presolving priority of propagator $<$ pseudoobj $>$	36870911) 6000000
propagating/pseudoobj/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 53687091$ priority of propagator $<$ pseudoobj $>$	3000000
propagating/pseudoobj/propcutoffbound (boolean) propagate new cutoff bound directly globally	TRUE
propagating/pseudoobj/propfullinroot (boolean) do we want to propagate all none binary variables if we are propagating the ro	TRUE pot node
propagating/pseudoobj/propuseimplics (boolean) use implications to strengthen the propagation of binary variable (increasing t	TRUE the objective change)?
propagating/pseudoobj/respropuseimplics (boolean) use implications to strengthen the resolve propagation of binary variable (incr	TRUE reasing the objective change)?
propagating/pseudoobj/timingmask $(1 \le integer \le 15)$ timing when propagator should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOO	5 P, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 15:ALWAYS))
<pre>propagating/redcost/delay (boolean) should propagator be delayed, if other propagators found reductions?</pre>	FALSE
propagating/redcost/presoldelay (boolean) should presolving be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?	FALSE
propagating/redcost/presolpriority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 5368$ presolving priority of propagator $<$ redcost $>$	370911) 0
propagating/redcost/priority $(-536870912 \leq integer \leq 536870911)$ priority of propagator $<\!\!redcost\!\!>$	1000000

propagating/redcost/timingmask (1 \leq integer \leq 15) timing when propagator should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 1	5:ALWAYS))
propagating/rootredcost/delay (boolean) should propagator be delayed, if other propagators found reductions?	FALSE
propagating/rootredcost/presoldelay (boolean) should presolving be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?	FALSE
propagating/rootredcost/presolpriority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) presolving priority of propagator <rootredcost></rootredcost>	0
propagating/rootredcost/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of propagator <rootredcost></rootredcost>	10000000
propagating/rootredcost/timingmask (1 \leq integer \leq 15) timing when propagator should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 1	5:ALWAYS))
propagating/vbounds/delay (boolean) should propagator be delayed, if other propagators found reductions?	FALSE
propagating/vbounds/presoldelay (boolean) should presolving be delayed, if other presolvers found reductions?	FALSE
propagating/vbounds/presolpriority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) presolving priority of propagator $<$ vbounds $>$	0
propagating/vbounds/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of propagator $<$ vbounds $>$	3000000
propagating/vbounds/timingmask (1 \leq integer \leq 15) timing when propagator should be called (1:BEFORELP, 2:DURINGLPLOOP, 4:AFTERLPLOOP, 1	5:ALWAYS))
Separation	
separating/cgmip/addviolationcons (boolean) add constraint to subscip that only allows violated cuts (otherwise add obj. limit)?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/addviolconshdlr (boolean) add constraint handler to filter out violated cuts?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/allowlocal (boolean) Allow to generate local cuts?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/cmirownbounds (boolean) tell CMIR-generator which bounds to used in rounding?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/conshdlrusenorm (boolean) should the violation constraint handler use the norm of a cut to check for feasibility?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/contconvert (boolean) Convert some integral variables to be continuous to reduce the size of the sub-MIP?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/contconvfrac $(0 \le real \le 1)$ fraction of integral variables converted to be continuous (if contconvert)	0.1
separating/cgmip/contconvmin $(-1 \le integer)$ minimum number of integral variables before some are converted to be continuous	100
separating/cgmip/decisiontree (boolean) Use decision tree to turn separation on/off?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/dynamiccuts (boolean) should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/earlyterm (boolean) terminate separation if a violated (but possibly sub-optimal) cut has been found?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/freq $(-1 \le integer)$	-1

frequency for calling separator <cgmip> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</cgmip>	
separating/cgmip/intconvert (boolean) Convert some integral variables attaining fractional values to have integral value?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/intconvfrac $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ fraction of frac. integral variables converted to have integral value (if intconvert)	0.1
separating/cgmip/intconvmin $(-1 \le integer)$ minimum number of integral variables before some are converted to have integral value	100
separating/cgmip/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth at which the separator is applied (-1: unlimited)	-1
separating/cgmip/maxnodelimit ($-1 \le$ integer) maximum number of nodes considered for sub-MIP (-1: unlimited)	5000
separating/cgmip/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of cgmip separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	5
separating/cgmip/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of cgmip separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	50
separating/cgmip/maxrowage $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal age of rows to consider if onlyactiverows is false	-1
separating/cgmip/minnodelimit ($-1 \le$ integer) minimum number of nodes considered for sub-MIP (-1: unlimited)	500
separating/cgmip/objlone (boolean) Should the objective of the sub-MIP minimize the 11-norm of the multipliers?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/objweighsize (boolean) Weigh each row by its size?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/onlyactiverows (boolean) Use only active rows to generate cuts?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/onlyintvars (boolean) Generate cuts for problems with only integer variables?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/onlyrankone (boolean) Separate only rank 1 inequalities?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/primalseparation (boolean) only separate cuts that are tight for the best feasible solution?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/skipmultbounds (boolean) Skip the upper bounds on the multipliers in the sub-MIP?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/usecmir (boolean) use CMIR-generator (otherwise add cut directly)?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/usecutpool (boolean) use cutpool to store CG-cuts even if the are not efficient?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/usestrongcg (boolean) use strong CG-function to strengthen cut?	FALSE
separating/clique/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator $<$ clique $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only in root node)$	0
separating/clique/maxsepacuts $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of clique cuts separated per separation round (-1: no limit)	10
separating/closecuts/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator $<$ closecuts $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only in root node)$	-1
separating/cmir/dynamiccuts (boolean)	TRUE

should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?	
separating/cmir/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator $< cmir > (-1: never, 0: only in root node)$	0
separating/cmir/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of cmir separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	3
separating/cmir/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of cmir separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	10
separating/cmir/maxsepacuts (0 \leq integer) maximal number of cmir cuts separated per separation round	100
separating/cmir/maxsepacutsroot (0 \leq integer) maximal number of cmir cuts separated per separation round in the root node	500
separating/flowcover/dynamiccuts (boolean) should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?	TRUE
separating/flowcover/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator <flowcover> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</flowcover>	0
separating/flowcover/maxrounds $(-1 \le \text{integer})$ maximal number of separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	5
separating/flowcover/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le \text{integer})$ maximal number of separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	15
separating/flowcover/maxsepacuts (0 \leq integer) maximal number of flow cover cuts separated per separation round	100
separating/flowcover/maxsepacutsroot (0 \leq integer) maximal number of flow cover cuts separated per separation round in the root	200
separating/gomory/away $(0 \le \text{real} \le 0.5)$ minimal integrality violation of a basis variable in order to try Gomory cut	0.01
separating/gomory/dynamiccuts (boolean) should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?	TRUE
separating/gomory/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator $< gomory > (-1: never, 0: only in root node)$	0
separating/gomory/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of gomory separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	5
separating/gomory/maxroundsroot ($-1 \le$ integer) maximal number of gomory separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	10
separating/gomory/maxsepacuts (0 \leq integer) maximal number of gomory cuts separated per separation round	50
separating/gomory/maxsepacutsroot (0 \leq integer) maximal number of gomory cuts separated per separation round in the root node	200
separating/impliedbounds/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator <impliedbounds> $(-1: never, 0: only in root node)$</impliedbounds>	0
separating/intobj/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator $< intobj > (-1: never, 0: only in root node)$	-1
separating/maxbounddist $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best not separation (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes)	1 ode's dual bound for applying
separating/maxcuts $(0 \le \text{integer})$ maximal number of cuts separated per separation round $(0: \text{disable local separation})$	100

separating/maxcutsroot $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of separated cuts at the root node $(0: disable root node separation)$	2000
separating/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	5
separating/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	-1
separating/maxstallrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of consecutive separation rounds without objective or integrality improvement (-1: no addition)	5 ditional restric-
separating/mcf/dynamiccuts (boolean) should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?	TRUE
separating/mcf/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator <mcf> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</mcf>	0
separating/mcf/maxsepacuts $(-1 \leq integer)$ maximal number of mcf cuts separated per separation round	100
separating/mcf/maxsepacutsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of mcf cuts separated per separation round in the root node – default separation	200
separating/minefficacy $(0 \le \text{real})$ minimal efficacy for a cut to enter the LP	0.05
separating/minefficacyroot $(0 \le \text{real})$ minimal efficacy for a cut to enter the LP in the root node	0.01
separating/minortho $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimal orthogonality for a cut to enter the LP	0.5
separating/minorthoroot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimal orthogonality for a cut to enter the LP in the root node	0.5
separating/oddcycle/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator $< oddcycle > (-1: never, 0: only in root node)$	-1
<pre>separating/oddcycle/liftoddcycles (boolean) should odd cycle cuts be lifted?</pre>	FALSE
separating/oddcycle/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of oddcycle separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	10
separating/oddcycle/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of oddcycle separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	10
separating/oddcycle/maxsepacuts (0 \leq integer) maximal number of oddcycle cuts separated per separation round	5000
separating/oddcycle/maxsepacutsroot (0 \leq integer) maximal number of oddcycle cuts separated per separation round in the root node	5000
separating/oddcycle/usegls (boolean) should the search method by Groetschel, Lovasz, Schrijver be used? Otherwise use levelgraph method by berg.	TRUE Hoffman, Pad-
separating/poolfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ separation frequency for the global cut pool (-1: disable global cut pool, 0: only separate pool at the root)	0
separating/rapidlearning/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator <rapidlearning> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</rapidlearning>	-1
separating/strongcg/dynamiccuts (boolean) should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?	TRUE
separating/strongcg/freq $(-1 \le integer)$	0

```
frequency for calling separator <strongcg> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)
                                                                                                                 5
separating/strongcg/maxrounds (-1 \le integer)
maximal number of strong CG separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)
                                                                                                                20
separating/strongcg/maxroundsroot (-1 \le integer)
maximal number of strong CG separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)
                                                                                                                50
separating/strongcg/maxsepacuts (0 \le integer)
maximal number of strong CG cuts separated per separation round
                                                                                                               500
separating/strongcg/maxsepacutsroot (0 \le integer)
maximal number of strong CG cuts separated per separation round in the root node
separating/zerohalf/dynamiccuts (boolean)
                                                                                                              TRUE
should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?
separating/zerohalf/freq (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                                -1
frequency for calling separator <zerohalf> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)
separating/zerohalf/maxrounds (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                                 5
maximal number of zerohalf separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)
separating/zerohalf/maxroundsroot (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                                10
maximal number of zerohalf separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)
separating/zerohalf/maxsepacuts (0 \le integer)
                                                                                                                50
maximal number of 0,1/2-cuts separated per separation round
separating/zerohalf/maxsepacutsroot (0 \le integer)
                                                                                                               500
maximal number of 0,1/2-cuts separated per separation round in the root node
separating/zerohalf/preprocessing/decomposeproblem (boolean)
                                                                                                            FALSE
should problem be decomposed into subproblems (if possible) before applying preprocessing?
separating/zerohalf/preprocessing/delta (0 \le real \le 1)
                                                                                                               0.5
value of delta parameter used in preprocessing method 'd'
separating/zerohalf/preprocessing/ppmethods (string)
                                                                                                           CXGXIM
preprocessing methods and ordering:
#'d' columns with small LP solution.
#'G' modified Gaussian elimination,
#'i' identical columns.
#'I' identical rows,
# 'L' large slack rows,
#'M' large slack rows (minslack),
#'s' column singletons,
# 'X' add trivial zerohalf cuts,
#'z' zero columns,
#'Z' zero rows,
# 'C' fast 'z','s',
# 'R' fast 'Z','L','I'
##'-' no preprocessing
separating/zerohalf/separating/auxip/objective (character)
                                                                                                                 v
auxiliary IP objective:
#'v' maximize cut violation,
# 'u' minimize number of aggregated rows in cut,
#'w' minimize number of aggregated rows in cut
# weighted by the number of rows in the aggregation,
#'p' maximize cut violation and penalize a high number
# of aggregated rows in the cut weighted by the number
# of rows in the aggregation and the penalty factor p
```

0.001 separating/zerohalf/separating/auxip/penaltyfactor $(0 \le real \le 1)$ penalty factor used with objective function 'p' of auxiliary IP separating/zerohalf/separating/auxip/settingsfile (string) optional settings file of the auxiliary IP (-: none) separating/zerohalf/separating/auxip/sollimit $(-1 \le integer)$ -1limits/solutions setting of the auxiliary IP TRUE separating/zerohalf/separating/auxip/useallsols (boolean) should all (proper) solutions of the auxiliary IP be used to generate cuts instead of using only the best? FALSE separating/zerohalf/separating/forcecutstolp (boolean) should the cuts be forced to enter the LP? FALSE separating/zerohalf/separating/forcecutstosepastore (boolean) should the cuts be forced to enter SCIP's sepastore? separating/zerohalf/separating/minviolation $(0.001 \le real \le 0.5)$ 0.3minimal violation of a 0,1/2-cut to be separated separating/zerohalf/separating/sepamethods (string) 2g separating methods and ordering: # '!' stop further processing if a cut was found, #'2' exact polynomial time algorithm (only if matrix has max 2 odd entries per row), #'e' enumeration heuristics (k=1: try all preprocessed rows), #'E' enumeration heuristics (k=2: try all combinations of up to two preprocessed rows), # 'g' Extended Gaussian elimination heuristics, #'s' auxiliary IP heuristics (i.e. number of solved nodes is limited) #'S' auxiliary IP exact (i.e. unlimited number of nodes) ##'-' no processing Separation (advanced options) separating/cgmip/cutcoefbnd $(0 \le real)$ 1000 bounds on the values of the coefficients in the CG-cut FALSE separating/cgmip/delay (boolean) should separator be delayed, if other separators found cuts? 0 separating/cgmip/maxbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying separator <cgmip> (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) separating/cgmip/memorylimit $(0 \le real)$ memory limit for sub-MIP separating/cgmip/objweight $(0 \le real)$ 0.001 weight used for the row combination coefficient in the sub-MIP objective separating/cgmip/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ -1000priority of separator <cgmip> separating/cgmip/timelimit $(0 \le real)$ time limit for sub-MIP separating/clique/backtrackfreq $(0 \le integer)$ 1000 frequency for premature backtracking up to tree level 1 (0: no backtracking) separating/clique/cliquedensity $(0 \le real \le 1)$ 0.05 minimal density of cliques to use a dense clique table separating/clique/cliquetablemem $(0 < real < 2.09715 \cdot 10^6)$ 20000

maximal memory size of dense clique table (in kb)

separating/clique/delay (boolean) should separator be delayed, if other separators found cuts?	FALSE
separating/clique/maxbounddist $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's du separator $<$ clique $>$ $(0.0:$ only on current best node, $1.0:$ on all nodes)	0 all bound for applying
separating/clique/maxtreenodes $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of nodes in branch and bound tree (-1: no limit)	10000
separating/clique/maxzeroextensions $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of zero-valued variables extending the clique (-1: no limit)	1000
separating/clique/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of separator $<$ clique $>$	-5000
separating/clique/scaleval $(1 \le \text{real})$ factor for scaling weights	1000
separating/closecuts/closethres $(-1 \le integer)$ threshold on number of generated cuts below which the ordinary separation is started	50
separating/closecuts/delay (boolean) should separator be delayed, if other separators found cuts?	FALSE
separating/closecuts/inclobjcutoff (boolean) include an objective cutoff when computing the relative interior?	FALSE
separating/closecuts/maxbounddist $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's duseparator $<$ closecuts $>$ $(0.0:$ only on current best node, $1.0:$ on all nodes)	1 nal bound for applying
separating/closecuts/maxlpiterfactor $(-1 \le \text{real})$ factor for maximal LP iterations in relative interior computation compared to node LP iterations (negative formula).	ative for no limit) 2
separating/closecuts/maxunsuccessful $(-1 \le integer)$ turn off separation in current node after unsuccessful calls (-1 never turn off)	0
separating/closecuts/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of separator $<$ closecuts $>$	1000000
separating/closecuts/recomputerelint (boolean) recompute relative interior point in each separation call?	FALSE
separating/closecuts/relintnormtype (character) type of norm to use when computing relative interior: 'o'ne norm, 's'upremum norm	0
separating/closecuts/sepacombvalue (0 \leq real \leq 1) convex combination value for close cuts	0.3
separating/closecuts/separelint (boolean) generate close cuts w.r.t. relative interior point (best solution otherwise)?	TRUE
separating/cmir/aggrtol $(0 \le \text{real})$ tolerance for bound distances used to select continuous variable in current aggregated constraint to be	0.1 e eliminated
separating/cmir/delay (boolean) should separator be delayed, if other separators found cuts?	FALSE
separating/cmir/densityoffset $(0 \le integer)$ additional number of variables allowed in row on top of density	100
separating/cmir/densityscore $(0 \le \text{real})$ weight of row density in the aggregation scoring of the rows	0.0001
separating/cmir/fixintegralrhs (boolean) should an additional variable be complemented if $f0 = 0$?	TRUE
separating/cmir/maxaggdensity $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$	0.2

maximal density of aggregated row	
separating/cmir/maxaggrs $(0 \le \text{integer})$ maximal number of aggregations for each row per separation round	3
separating/cmir/maxaggrsroot ($0 \le$ integer) maximal number of aggregations for each row per separation round in the root node	6
separating/cmir/maxbounddist $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual separator $<$ cmir $>$ $(0.0$: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes)	0 al bound for applying
separating/cmir/maxconts $(0 \le \text{integer})$ maximal number of active continuous variables in aggregated row	10
separating/cmir/maxcontsroot (0 \leq integer) maximal number of active continuous variables in aggregated row in the root node	10
separating/cmir/maxfails $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of consecutive unsuccessful aggregation tries (-1: unlimited)	20
separating/cmir/maxfailsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of consecutive unsuccessful aggregation tries in the root node (-1: unlimited)	100
separating/cmir/maxrowdensity (0 \leq real \leq 1) maximal density of row to be used in aggregation	0.05
separating/cmir/maxrowfac $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal row aggregation factor	10000
separating/cmir/maxslack (0 \leq real) maximal slack of rows to be used in aggregation	0
separating/cmir/maxslackroot (0 \leq real) maximal slack of rows to be used in aggregation in the root node	0.1
separating/cmir/maxtestdelta $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of different deltas to try (-1: unlimited)	-1
separating/cmir/maxtries $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of rows to start aggregation with per separation round (-1: unlimited)	100
separating/cmir/maxtriesroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of rows to start aggregation with per separation round in the root node (-1: unlimited	-1
separating/cmir/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of separator $< cmir>$	-3000
separating/cmir/slackscore (0 \leq real) weight of slack in the aggregation scoring of the rows	0.001
separating/cmir/trynegscaling (boolean) should negative values also be tested in scaling?	TRUE
separating/cutagelimit $(-1 \le integer)$ maximum age a cut can reach before it is deleted from the global cut pool, or -1 to keep all cuts	100
<pre>separating/efficacynorm (character) row norm to use for efficacy calculation ('e'uclidean, 'm'aximum, 's'um, 'd'iscrete)</pre>	е
separating/flowcover/delay (boolean) should separator be delayed, if other separators found cuts?	FALSE
separating/flowcover/maxbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual separator <flowcover> (0.0): only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes</flowcover>	0 al bound for applying
separating/flowcover/maxfails $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of consecutive fails to generate a cut per separation round (-1: unlimited)	50

```
separating/flowcover/maxfailsroot (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                               100
maximal number of consecutive fails to generate a cut per separation round in the root (-1: unlimited)
separating/flowcover/maxrowdensity (0 \le real \le 1)
                                                                                                                 1
maximal density of row to separate flow cover cuts for
separating/flowcover/maxslack (0 \le real)
maximal slack of rows to separate flow cover cuts for
separating/flowcover/maxslackroot (0 \le real)
                                                                                                                \infty
maximal slack of rows to separate flow cover cuts for in the root
separating/flowcover/maxtestdelta (0 \le integer)
                                                                                                                10
cut generation heuristic: maximal number of different deltas to try
separating/flowcover/maxtries (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                               100
maximal number of rows to separate flow cover cuts for per separation round (-1: unlimited)
separating/flowcover/maxtriesroot (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                               -1
maximal number of rows to separate flow cover cuts for per separation round in the root (-1: unlimited)
separating/flowcover/multbyminusone (boolean)
                                                                                                             TRUE
should flow cover cuts be separated for 0-1 single node flow set with reversed arcs in addition?
separating/flowcover/priority (-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)
                                                                                                            -4000
priority of separator <flowcover>
                                                                                                             0.001
separating/flowcover/slackscore (0 < real)
weight of slack in the scoring of the rows
separating/gomory/delay (boolean)
                                                                                                            FALSE
should separator be delayed, if other separators found cuts?
separating/gomory/delayedcuts (boolean)
                                                                                                             TRUE
should cuts be added to the delayed cut pool?
                                                                                                             TRUE
separating/gomory/forcecuts (boolean)
if conversion to integral coefficients failed still use the cut
separating/gomory/makeintegral (boolean)
                                                                                                             TRUE
try to scale cuts to integral coefficients
separating/gomory/maxbounddist (0 \le real \le 1)
maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying
separator <gomory> (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes)
separating/gomory/maxweightrange (1 \le real)
                                                                                                            10000
maximal valid range max(|weights|)/min(|weights|) of row weights
separating/gomory/priority (-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)
                                                                                                            -1000
priority of separator <gomory>
separating/gomory/separaterows (boolean)
                                                                                                             TRUE
separate rows with integral slack
separating/impliedbounds/delay (boolean)
                                                                                                            FALSE
should separator be delayed, if other separators found cuts?
                                                                                                                 0
separating/impliedbounds/maxbounddist (0 \le real \le 1)
maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying
separator <impliedbounds> (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes)
separating/impliedbounds/priority (-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)
                                                                                                              -50
priority of separator <impliedbounds>
separating/intobj/delay (boolean)
                                                                                                            FALSE
should separator be delayed, if other separators found cuts?
separating/intobj/maxbounddist (0 \le real \le 1)
                                                                                                                 0
```

maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying separator <intobj> (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) separating/intobj/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ -100priority of separator <intobj> separating/maxaddrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ 1 maximal additional number of separation rounds in subsequent price-and-cut loops (-1: no additional restriction) separating/maxroundsrootsubrun $(-1 \le integer)$ 1 maximal number of separation rounds in the root node of a subsequent run (-1: unlimited) separating/maxruns $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of runs for which separation is enabled (-1: unlimited) separating/mcf/checkcutshoreconnectivity (boolean) TRUE should we separate only if the cuts shores are connected? separating/mcf/delay (boolean) **FALSE** should separator be delayed, if other separators found cuts? separating/mcf/fixintegralrhs (boolean) TRUE should an additional variable be complemented if f0 = 0? 0.5 separating/mcf/maxarcinconsistencyratio $(0 \le real)$ maximum inconsistency ratio of arcs not to be deleted 0 separating/mcf/maxbounddist (0 < real < 1)maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying separator <mcf> (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) 0.02 separating/mcf/maxinconsistencyratio $(0 \le real)$ maximum inconsistency ratio for separation at all separating/mcf/maxtestdelta $(-1 \le integer)$ 20 maximal number of different deltas to try (-1: unlimited) - default separation 10^{6} separating/mcf/maxweightrange $(1 \le real)$ maximal valid range max(|weights|)/min(|weights|) of row weights separating/mcf/modeltype $(0 \le integer \le 2)$ 0 model type of network (0: auto, 1:directed, 2:undirected) separating/mcf/nclusters $(2 \le integer \le 32)$ 5 number of clusters to generate in the shrunken network – default separation separating/mcf/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ -10000priority of separator <mcf> separating/mcf/separateflowcutset (boolean) TRUE should we separate flowcutset inequalities on the network cuts? separating/mcf/separateknapsack (boolean) TRUE should we separate knapsack cover inequalities on the network cuts? separating/mcf/separatesinglenodecuts (boolean) TRUE should we separate inequalities based on single-node cuts? separating/mcf/trynegscaling (boolean) FALSE should negative values also be tested in scaling? 0.0001 separating/objparalfac $(0 \le \text{real})$ factor to scale objective parallelism of cut in separation score calculation TRUE separating/oddcycle/addselfarcs (boolean) add links between a variable and its negated separating/oddcycle/allowmultiplecuts (boolean) TRUE

even if a variable is already covered by a cut, still allow another cut to cover it too

separating/oddcycle/delay (boolean) should separator be delayed, if other separators found cuts?	FALSE
separating/oddcycle/includetriangles (boolean) separate triangles found as 3-cycles or repaired larger cycles	TRUE
separating/oddcycle/lpliftcoef (boolean) choose lifting candidate by coef*lpvalue or only by coef	FALSE
separating/oddcycle/maxbounddist $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound separator $<$ oddcycle $>$ $(0.0$: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes)	1 nd for applying
separating/oddcycle/maxcutslevel ($0 \le$ integer) maximal number of oddcycle cuts generated in every level of the level graph	50
separating/oddcycle/maxcutsroot ($0 \le$ integer) maximal number of oddcycle cuts generated per chosen variable as root of the level graph	1
separating/oddcycle/maxnlevels $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of levels in level graph	20
separating/oddcycle/maxpernodeslevel ($0 \le \text{integer} \le 100$) percentage of nodes allowed in the same level of the level graph [0-100]	100
separating/oddcycle/maxreference $(0 \le integer)$ minimal weight on an edge (in level graph or bipartite graph)	0
separating/oddcycle/maxunsucessfull (0 \leq integer) number of unsuccessful calls at current node	3
separating/oddcycle/multiplecuts (boolean) even if a variable is already covered by a cut, still try it as start node for a cycle search	FALSE
separating/oddcycle/offsetnodeslevel ($0 \le integer$) offset of nodes allowed in the same level of the level graph (additional to the percentage of levelnodes)	10
separating/oddcycle/offsettestvars ($0 \le$ integer) offset of variables to try the chosen method on (additional to the percentage of testvars)	100
separating/oddcycle/percenttestvars (0 \leq integer \leq 100) percentage of variables to try the chosen method on [0-100]	0
separating/oddcycle/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of separator $< oddcycle >$	-15000
separating/oddcycle/recalcliftcoef (boolean) calculate lifting coefficient of every candidate in every step (or only if its chosen)	TRUE
separating/oddcycle/repaircycles (boolean) try to repair violated cycles with double appearance of a variable	TRUE
separating/oddcycle/scalingfactor (1 \leq integer) factor for scaling of the arc-weights	1000
separating/oddcycle/sortrootneighbors (boolean) sort level of the root neighbors by fractionality (maxfrac)	TRUE
$\label{eq:separating} $$ separating/oddcycle/sortswitch $(0 \le integer \le 4)$ use sorted variable array $(unsorted(0), maxlp(1), minlp(2), maxfrac(3), minfrac(4))$ $$$	3
$\label{eq:continuous} \texttt{separating/orthofac} \ \ (0 \leq real)$ factor to scale orthogonality of cut in separation score calculation (0.0 to disable orthogonality calculation)	1
separating/orthofunc (character) function used for calc. scalar prod. in orthogonality test ('e'uclidean, 'd'iscrete)	е
separating/rapidlearning/applybdchgs (boolean) should the found global bound deductions be applied in the original SCIP?	TRUE

separating/rapidlearning/applyconflicts (boolean) should the found conflicts be applied in the original SCIP?	TRUE
separating/rapidlearning/applyinfervals (boolean) should the inference values be used as initialization in the original SCIP?	TRUE
separating/rapidlearning/applyprimalsol (boolean) should the incumbent solution be copied to the original SCIP?	TRUE
separating/rapidlearning/applysolved (boolean) should a solved status be copied to the original SCIP?	TRUE
separating/rapidlearning/contvars (boolean) should rapid learning be applied when there are continuous variables?	FALSE
separating/rapidlearning/contvarsquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal portion of continuous variables to apply rapid learning	0.3
separating/rapidlearning/copycuts (boolean) should all active cuts from cutpool be copied to constraints in subproblem?	TRUE
separating/rapidlearning/delay (boolean) should separator be delayed, if other separators found cuts?	FALSE
separating/rapidlearning/lpiterquot (0 ≤ real) maximal fraction of LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.2
separating/rapidlearning/maxbounddist $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best separator <rapidlearning> $(0.0: \text{ only on current best node}, 1.0: \text{ on all nodes})$</rapidlearning>	1 node's dual bound for applying
separating/rapidlearning/maxnconss $(0 \le integer)$ maximum problem size (constraints) for which rapid learning will be called	10000
separating/rapidlearning/maxnodes $(0 \le integer)$ maximum number of nodes considered in rapid learning run	5000
separating/rapidlearning/maxnvars $(0 \le integer)$ maximum problem size (variables) for which rapid learning will be called	10000
separating/rapidlearning/minnodes $(0 \le integer)$ minimum number of nodes considered in rapid learning run	500
separating/rapidlearning/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of separator $<$ rapidlearning $>$	-1200000
separating/rapidlearning/reducedinfer (boolean) should the inference values only be used when rapidlearning found other reductions?	FALSE
separating/strongcg/delay (boolean) should separator be delayed, if other separators found cuts?	FALSE
separating/strongcg/maxbounddist $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best separator $<$ strongcg $>$ $(0.0:$ only on current best node, $1.0:$ on all nodes)	0 node's dual bound for applying
separating/strongcg/maxweightrange $(1 \le \text{real})$ maximal valid range max(weights)/min(weights) of row weights	10000
separating/strongcg/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of separator $<$ strongcg $>$	-2000
separating/zerohalf/delay (boolean) should separator be delayed, if other separators found cuts?	FALSE
separating/zerohalf/ignoreprevzhcuts (boolean) should zerohalf cuts found in previous callbacks ignored?	FALSE
$\texttt{separating/zerohalf/maxbounddist} \ (0 \leq real \leq 1)$	0

maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying separator <zerohalf> (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes)</zerohalf>	
separating/zerohalf/maxcutsfound $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of 0,1/2-cuts determined per separation round # (this includes separated but inefficacious cuts)	100
separating/zerohalf/maxcutsfoundroot ($0 \le$ integer) maximal number of 0,1/2-cuts determined per separation round in the root node # (this includes separated but inefficacious cuts)	1000
separating/zerohalf/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ separating cuts only if depth $<=$ maxdepth $(-1: unlimited)$	-1
separating/zerohalf/maxncalls $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of calls $(-1: unlimited)$	-1
separating/zerohalf/maxtestdelta $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of different deltas to try for cmir $(-1: unlimited, 0: delta=1)$	10
separating/zerohalf/onlyorigrows (boolean) should only original LP rows be considered (i.e. ignore previously added LP rows)?	FALSE
separating/zerohalf/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of separator $<$ zerohalf $>$	-6000
separating/zerohalf/relaxcontvars (boolean) should continuous variables be relaxed by adding variable bounds?	FALSE
separating/zerohalf/scalefraccoeffs (boolean) should rows be scaled to make fractional coefficients integer?	TRUE
separating/zerohalf/trynegscaling (boolean) should negative values also be tested in scaling for cmir?	TRUE
separating/zerohalf/usezhcutpool (boolean) should zerohalf cuts be filtered using a cutpool?	TRUE
Timing	
timing/clocktype $(1 \le integer \le 2)$ default clock type $(1: CPU \text{ user seconds}, 2: wall clock time)$	1
timing/enabled (boolean) is timing enabled?	TRUE
timing/reading (boolean) belongs reading time to solving time?	FALSE

SCIP References

- [1] Tobias Achterberg. Constraint Integer Programming. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, 2007.
- [2] Tobias Achterberg. SCIP: Solving Constraint Integer Programs. *Mathematical Programming Computations*, 1(1):1–41, 2009.
- [3] Tobias Achterberg, Timo Berthold, Thorsten Koch, and Kati Wolter. Constraint integer programming: A new approach to integrate CP and MIP. In L. Perron and M.A. Trick, editors, *Integration of AI and OR Techniques in Constraint Programming for Combinatorial Optimization Problems, 5th International Conference, CPAIOR 2008*, volume 5015 of *LNCS*, pages 6–20. Springer, 2008.
- [4] Timo Berthold. Primal heuristics for mixed integer programs. Diploma thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, 2006.
- [5] Timo Berthold, Stefan Heinz, and Stefan Vigerske. Extending a CIP framework to solve MIQCPs. In Jon Lee and Sven Leyffer, editors, *Mixed Integer Nonlinear Programming*, volume 154 of *The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications*, pages 427–444. Springer, 2012.
- [6] Stefan Vigerske. Decomposition of Multistage Stochastic Programs and a Constraint Integer Programming Approach to Mixed-Integer Nonlinear Programming. PhD thesis, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, 2012.
- [7] Andreas Wächter and Lorenz T. Biegler. On the implementation of a primal-dual interior point filter line search algorithm for large-scale nonlinear programming. *Mathematical Programming*, 106(1):25–57, 2006. http://projects.coin-or.org/Ipopt.
- [8] Kati Wolter. Implementation of cutting plane separators for mixed integer programs. Diploma thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, 2006.
- [9] Roland Wunderling. *Paralleler und objektorientierter Simplex-Algorithmus*. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, 1996. http://www.zib.de/Publications/abstracts/TR-96-09, http://soplex.zib.de.