

COMP 767 Winter 2018  
Raihan Seraj  
Student ID: 260752605  
Gandharv Patil  
Student ID: 260727335

# 1 Part 1

## 1. Policy Gradient for a Mixture of Policies

The Bellman equation of the model is given by

$$v(s; \theta, w) = \sum_o \mu(o|s; \theta) \sum_a \pi(a|s, o; w) \left( r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) v(s'; \theta, w) \right)$$

(a) The policy gradient for  $\mu$  which is parameterized by  $\theta$  is calculated by differentiating

the expression for the value function with respect to  $\theta$ .

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} v(s; \theta, w) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sum_o \mu(o|s; \theta) \sum_a \pi(a|s, o; w) Q^{\pi\mu}(s, a; w, \theta) \quad (1)$$

$$\because r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) v(s'; \theta, w) = Q^{\pi\mu}(s, a; w, \theta) \quad (2)$$

$$= \sum_a \pi(a|s, o; w) Q^{\mu\pi}(s, a; w, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sum_o \mu(o|s; \theta) \quad (3)$$

$$+ \sum_o \mu(o|s; \theta) \sum_a \pi(a|s, o; w) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r(s, a) + \sum_{s'} \gamma P_{ss'} v(s'; \theta, w))$$

$$= \sum_o \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mu(o|s; \theta) \sum_a \pi(a|s, o; w) Q^{\mu\pi}(s, a; w, \theta) \quad (4)$$

$$+ \sum_o \mu(o|s; \theta) \sum_a \pi(a|s, o; w) \sum_{s'} \gamma P_a^{ss'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} v(s'; \theta, w)$$

Unrolling the recursive value function as in [Sutton et al.](#) we get (5)

$$= \sum_s d^\pi(s) \sum_o \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mu(o|s; \theta) \sum_a \pi(a|s, o; w) Q^{\mu\pi}(s, a; w, \theta)$$

(b) The policy gradient of  $\pi$  is obtained by finding the derivative of the value function

with respect to the parameters of the policy  $\pi$ . Therefore we can write the following

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w} v(s; \theta, w) = \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \sum_o \mu(o|s; \theta) \sum_a \pi(a|s, o; w) Q^{\pi\mu}(s, a; w, \theta) \quad (6)$$

$$\because r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) v(s'; \theta, w) = Q^{\pi\mu}(s, a; w, \theta) \quad (7)$$

$$= \sum_o \mu(o|s; \theta) \sum_a \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \pi(a|s, o; w) Q^{\pi\mu}(s, a; w, \theta) \quad (8)$$

$$+ \sum_o \mu(o|s; \theta) \sum_a \pi(a|s, o; w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} (r(s, a) + \sum_{s'} \gamma P_{ss'} v(s'; \theta, w))$$

$$= \sum_o \mu(o|s; \theta) \sum_a \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \pi(a|s, o; w) Q^{\pi\mu}(s, a; w, \theta) \quad (9)$$

$$+ \sum_o \mu(o|s; \theta) \sum_a \pi(a|s, o; w) \sum_{s'} \gamma P_a^{ss'} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} v(s'; \theta, w)$$

$$= \sum_o \mu(o|s; \theta) \left( \sum_a \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \pi(a|s, o; w) Q^{\pi\mu}(s, a; w, \theta) \right. \quad (10)$$

$$\left. + \pi(a|s, o; w) \sum_{s'} \gamma P_a^{ss'} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} v(s'; \theta, w) \right)$$

The term inside the parathesis is the same as that in (11)

[Policy Gradient Methods for Reinforcement Learning by Sutton et al.](#)

$\therefore$  Unrolling the recursive value function as in [Sutton et al.](#) we get

$$= \sum_o \mu(o|s; \theta) \sum_s d^\pi(s) \sum_a \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \pi(a|s, o; w) Q^{\pi\mu}(s, a; w, \theta)$$

## 2 Part 2

Policy Hessian Theorem:

Here we start with the standard procedure of the first order derivation and then compute

the gradient of the result thus obtained.

$$\frac{\partial V_\pi(s)}{\partial \theta} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sum_a \pi(s, a) Q^\pi(s, a) \quad (12)$$

$$\sum_a \left[ \frac{\partial \pi(s, a)}{\partial \theta} Q^\pi(s, a) + \pi(s, a) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Q^\pi(s, a) \right] \quad (13)$$

$$\text{We now take the gradient of (13) w.r.t } \theta \quad (14)$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial^2 V^\pi(s)}{\partial \theta^2} = \sum_a \left\{ \underbrace{\left[ \pi(s, a) \frac{\partial^2 \pi(s, a)}{\partial \theta^2} \right]}_A + \underbrace{\left[ \frac{\partial \pi(s, a)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial Q^\pi(s, a)}{\partial \theta} \right]}_B \right\} \quad (15)$$

$$+ \underbrace{\left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Q^\pi(s, a) \frac{\partial \pi(s, a)}{\partial \theta} \right]}_C + \underbrace{\left[ \pi(s, a) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} Q^\pi(s, a) \right]}_D \left\} \quad (16)$$

$$\text{We can see that the term B and C are equivalent} \quad (17)$$

$$\text{Hence the entire Hessian can be written as the following} \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 v(s)}{\partial \theta^2} = A + B + B + D \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q(s, a)}{\partial \theta^2} = \gamma \sum_{s'} P_a^{ss'} \frac{\partial^2 v(s')}{\partial \theta^2} \quad (20)$$

$$\text{We also define the following} \quad (21)$$

$$P_{a,b}(s'|s, a) = \sum_a (\pi(s, a) P(s'|s, a)) \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\partial v(s)}{\partial \theta^2} = k_\theta = A + B + B + \gamma \sum_{s'} P_{a,b}(s, s') k_\theta \quad (23)$$

$$\text{Hence the expression of } k_\theta \text{ is obtained as follows} \quad (24)$$

$$k_\theta = A + B + B + (I - \gamma P_{a,b})^{-1} \quad (25)$$

$$(26)$$

Since  $d^\pi = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t P(s_t = s | s_0)$  is the weighted occupancy measure we therefore try to find a similar expression for policy gradient hessian in terms of  $d^\pi$  and obtain the followin

$$\frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \mathbb{E}_{d^\pi} (A + B + B) \quad (27)$$

### 3 Part 3

Consider the following objective, under the usual discounted MDP formulation:

$$J_{\alpha}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \theta} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r(S_t, A_t) \right] - \eta \mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \theta} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t c(S_t, A_t) \right] \quad (28)$$

where:

$\alpha$  = initial distribution over states.

$\theta$  = parameters of the stochastic policy  $\pi_{\theta}$

Our objective here is to find the  $\theta$  to maximize the expected discounted return but also have to pay a cost  $C$ .

**Solution** The objective function given to us can be re-written as:

$$J_{\alpha}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \theta} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t (r(S_t, A_t) - \eta c(S_t, A_t)) \right] \quad (29)$$

- The above objective can be considered as a form of reward shaping, where an additional cost is incurred along with a obtained reward. The key difference between reward shaping and above modification is that, the optimal policy is invariant to reward shaping when the shaped reward is a potential function of the state as explained in [Ng et al. in the paper " Policy invariance under reward transformations: Theory and application to reward shaping "](#)
- The current modification however does not qualify to be a potential function of the state and hence the policy learnt as a result of this function will be sensitive to the cost( $C$ ) and  $\eta$ .
- We now define the state-action( $Q$ )value-function in terms of the new reward:

$$Q^{\pi}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \eta c(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P_a^{ss'} V(s') \quad (30)$$

- Finally this new state-action( $Q$ )value-function can be substituted in the result of the policy gradient theorem, which is given by:

$$\nabla_{\theta} \rho = \sum_s d^{\pi}(s) \sum_a \nabla_{\theta} \pi(s, a) Q^{\pi}(s, a) \quad (31)$$