Probability and Computing Chapter 6 Notes and Questions

Angjoo Kanazawa

July 27, 2011

1 Chapter 6 The Probabilistic Method

1.1 Notes

• To prove teh existence of an object with specific properties, construct an approximate probability space of objects S, and show that the probability that an object (in S with the specific properties) is selected is > 0. Strictly.

1.2 Exercises

- **6.1** Consider an instance of SAT with m clauses, where every clause has exactly k literals.
 - (a) Give a Las Vegas algorithm that finds an assignment that satisfies at least $m(1-2^{-k})$ clauses, analyze its expected running time:

The first part is straight from the book (6.2.2). Note:

$$P(\text{a clause is satisfied}) = 1 - P(\text{all literals are false}) = 1 - 2^{-k}$$

Let $i=1...m,\ X_i=1$ if ith clause is satisfied, $X_i=0$ otherwise. Let $X=\sum_i^m X_i$, the total number of satisfied clauses. So we get

$$E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_i P(X_i = 1) = m(1 - 2^{-k}) = \mu$$

Now given this, the LV algorithm goes like this:

Repeat until $X \ge \mu$:

- assign values to all boolean variables independently and uniformly.
- \bullet Check the value of X.

What is the expected number of runs until LV finishes? We're done when $X \ge \mu$. Note that X has a binomial distribution*. Let Y be the number of runs needed for the LV to terminate, and Y_i be a random variable indicating if the algorithm terminated at ith run or not with probability $\hat{p} = P(Y_i = 1)$. So Y has a geometric distribution and E(Y), what we want, is

$$E(Y) = \sum_{I=1}^{\infty} i\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})^{i-1}$$
(1.1)

So for each run, what is $\hat{p} = P(Y_i = 1) = P(X \ge \mu)$? Using $p = P(\text{a clause is satisfied}) = 1 - 2^{-k}$:

$$\begin{split} P(X \ge \mu) &= 1 - P(X < \mu) \\ &= 1 - [P(X = 0) + P(X = 1) + \dots + P(X = \mu - 1)] \\ &= 1 - [(1 - p)^m + \binom{m}{1} p (1 - p)^{m-1} + \dots + \binom{m}{\mu - 1} p^{\mu - 1} (1 - p)^{m - (\mu - 1)}] \\ &= 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{\mu - 1} \binom{m}{i} p^i (1 - p)^{m - i} \end{split}$$

Now recall $\sum_{i=1}^{m} {m \choose i} = 2^m$, so $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu-1} {m \choose i} \le \frac{2^m}{2} = 2^{m-1}$.

Also, notice that here $p^{i}(1-p)^{m-i} = (1-2^{-k})^{i}(1-2^{-k})^{m-i} = (1-2^{-k})^{m}$.

So we can continue the above inequality with:

$$P(X \ge \mu) = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{\mu-1} {m \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{m-i}$$

$$\ge 1 - [2^{m-1} (1-2^{-k})^m] = 1 - 2^{-k}$$

As an example with k=1, this $P(X \ge \mu) = \hat{p}$ is just 1/2. Using that 1.1, we get $E[Y] = \dots$ The algorithm is.. very efficient.

Really..? * is where I'm not sure. Perhaps this is just too much. Can one always say $P(X \ge \mu) \ge 1/2$?? I wasn't sure.

(b) Give a derandomization of the randomized algorithm using the method of conditional expectations: This I also just followed the book. Maybe too closely.

We know setting variables independently and uniformly gives us $E(X) \ge m(1-2^{-k})$. Now set the boolean variables x_1, x_2, \ldots up to r deterministically one at a time.

Consider the expected total # of satisfied clauses if the remaining boolean variables are selected independently and uniformly. Write this as $E(X|x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_r)$. We want a away o set the next variable s.t.

$$E(X|x_1,...,x_r) \le E(X|x_1,...,x_r,x_{r+1})$$
 (1.2)

Inductively, the base case is $E(X|x_1) = E(X)$. Now, consider setting x_{r+1} randomly to true or false. Each has probability 1/2. So $E(X|x_1,...,x_r) = \frac{1}{2}E(X|x_1,...,x_{r+1}=1) + \frac{1}{2}E(X|x_1,...,x_{r+1}=0)$ From this we can deduce

$$\max(E(X|x_1,\ldots,x_r,x_{r+1}=1),E(X|x_1,\ldots,x_r,x_{r+1}=0)) \ge E(X|x_1,\ldots,x_r)$$

So we just have to chose the assignment that increases the conditional expectation the most.. we only have two options x_{r+1} is T or F, so look at clauses that contain the x_{r+1} variable twice and see how the expectation changes based on the assignment and take the better one? Something like that.