Yoav Freund

January 15, 2018

Classical Bayesian Statistics

Classical Bayesian Statistics

Combining experts in the log loss framework

Classical Bayesian Statistics

Combining experts in the log loss framework

Review: The online Bayes Algorithm Comparison to  $\mathbf{Hedge}(\eta)$ 

Classical Bayesian Statistics

Combining experts in the log loss framework

Review: The online Bayes Algorithm Comparison to  $Hedge(\eta)$ 

Review: The performance bound Comparison to  $Hedge(\eta)$ 

► Let  $\Theta$  be a set of distrubutions over a space X. Example: a d dimensional Gaussian distribution over  $R^d$ .  $\theta = (\vec{\mu}, \Sigma)$ 

- Let Θ be a set of distrubutions over a space X.
   Example: a d dimensional Gaussian distribution over R<sup>d</sup>.
   θ = (μ, Σ)
- ► Let D be the **prior** distribution over ⊖

- Let Θ be a set of distrubutions over a space X.
   Example: a d dimensional Gaussian distribution over R<sup>d</sup>.
   θ = (μ, Σ)
- ► Let D be the **prior** distribution over ⊖
- ▶ Selecting Model:  $\theta \in \Theta$  is chosen according to the prior D

- Let Θ be a set of distrubutions over a space X.
   Example: a d dimensional Gaussian distribution over R<sup>d</sup>.
   θ = (μ, Σ)
- ► Let D be the **prior** distribution over ⊖
- ▶ Selecting Model:  $\theta \in \Theta$  is chosen according to the prior D
- ▶ Generating Data:  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  are generated IID according to  $\theta$

## The Bayes optimal prediction

▶ The **Posterior distribution**: the conditional probability of the model  $\theta$  given the data  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ .

$$P(\theta|x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n) = \frac{1}{Z}D(\theta)\prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i|\theta)$$

## The Bayes optimal prediction

▶ The **Posterior distribution**: the conditional probability of the model  $\theta$  given the data  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ .

$$P(\theta|x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n) = \frac{1}{Z}D(\theta)\prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i|\theta)$$

▶ **Posterior average:** predict the distribution of a new example  $x_{n+1}$  with the conditional probability:

$$P(x_{n+1}|x_1,x_2,...,x_n) = \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} P(x_{n+1}|\theta)P(\theta|x_1,x_2,...,x_n)$$

It is the optimal perdiction if the data is generated according to the Bayesian generative process.

- It is the optimal perdiction if the data is generated according to the Bayesian generative process.
- What if the data is not generated by any of the models?

- ▶ It is the optimal perdiction if the data is generated according to the Bayesian generative process.
- What if the data is not generated by any of the models?
- Classical analysis cannot be used.

- It is the optimal perdiction if the data is generated according to the Bayesian generative process.
- What if the data is not generated by any of the models?
- Classical analysis cannot be used.
- ▶ We will show a tight bound on the regret!.

Algorithm *A* predicts a sequence  $c^1, c^2, ..., c^T$  over alphabet  $\Sigma = \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ 

- Algorithm A predicts a sequence  $c^1, c^2, \dots, c^T$  over alphabet  $\Sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$
- ► The prediction for the  $c^t$ th is a distribution over Σ:  $\mathbf{p}_A^t = \langle p_A^t(1), p_A^t(2), \dots, p_A^t(k) \rangle$

- Algorithm *A* predicts a sequence  $c^1, c^2, ..., c^T$  over alphabet  $\Sigma = \{1, 2, ..., k\}$
- ► The prediction for the  $c^t$ th is a distribution over Σ:  $\mathbf{p}_A^t = \langle \mathbf{p}_A^t(1), \mathbf{p}_A^t(2), \dots, \mathbf{p}_A^t(k) \rangle$
- ▶ When  $c^t$  is revealed, the loss we suffer is  $-\log p_{\Delta}^t(c^t)$

- Algorithm A predicts a sequence  $c^1, c^2, ..., c^T$  over alphabet  $\Sigma = \{1, 2, ..., k\}$
- ► The prediction for the  $c^t$ th is a distribution over Σ:  $\mathbf{p}_A^t = \langle \mathbf{p}_A^t(1), \mathbf{p}_A^t(2), \dots, \mathbf{p}_A^t(k) \rangle$
- ▶ When  $c^t$  is revealed, the loss we suffer is  $-\log p_A^t(c^t)$
- ► The cumulative log loss, which we wish to minimize, is  $L_A^T = -\sum_{t=1}^T \log p_A^t(c^t)$

- Algorithm *A* predicts a sequence  $c^1, c^2, ..., c^T$  over alphabet  $\Sigma = \{1, 2, ..., k\}$
- ► The prediction for the  $c^t$ th is a distribution over Σ:  $\mathbf{p}_A^t = \langle \mathbf{p}_A^t(1), \mathbf{p}_A^t(2), \dots, \mathbf{p}_A^t(k) \rangle$
- ▶ When  $c^t$  is revealed, the loss we suffer is  $-\log p_{\Delta}^t(c^t)$
- ► The cumulative log loss, which we wish to minimize, is  $L_A^T = -\sum_{t=1}^T \log p_A^t(c^t)$
- ►  $\lceil L_A^T \rceil$  is the code length if *A* is combined with arithmetic coding.

► Prediction algorithm *A* has access to *N* experts.

- Prediction algorithm A has access to N experts.
- ▶ The following is repeated for t = 1, ..., T

- Prediction algorithm A has access to N experts.
- ▶ The following is repeated for t = 1, ..., T
  - ightharpoonup Experts generate predictive distributions:  $\mathbf{p}_1^t, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N^t$

- Prediction algorithm A has access to N experts.
- ▶ The following is repeated for t = 1, ..., T
  - ightharpoonup Experts generate predictive distributions:  $\mathbf{p}_1^t, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N^t$
  - Algorithm generates its own prediction  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{A}}^t$

- Prediction algorithm A has access to N experts.
- ▶ The following is repeated for t = 1, ..., T
  - **Experts** generate predictive distributions:  $\mathbf{p}_1^t, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N^t$
  - ightharpoonup Algorithm generates its own prediction  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{A}}^{t}$
  - c<sup>t</sup> is revealed.

- Prediction algorithm A has access to N experts.
- ▶ The following is repeated for t = 1, ..., T
  - **Experts generate predictive distributions:**  $\mathbf{p}_1^t, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N^t$
  - Algorithm generates its own prediction p<sup>t</sup><sub>A</sub>
  - c<sup>t</sup> is revealed.
- Goal: minimize regret:

$$-\sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_{\mathcal{A}}^{t}(c^{t}) + \min_{i=1,\dots,N} \left( -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_{i}^{t}(c^{t}) \right)$$

► Total loss of expert *i* 

$$L_i^t = -\sum_{s=1}^t \log p_i^s(c^s); \quad L_i^0 = 0$$

Total loss of expert i

$$L_i^t = -\sum_{s=1}^t \log p_i^s(c^s); \quad L_i^0 = 0$$

Weight of expert i

$$w_i^t = w_i^1 e^{-L_i^{t-1}} = w_i^1 \prod_{s=1}^{t-1} p_i^s(c^s)$$

Total loss of expert i

$$L_i^t = -\sum_{s=1}^t \log p_i^s(c^s); \quad L_i^0 = 0$$

Weight of expert i

$$w_i^t = w_i^1 e^{-L_i^{t-1}} = w_i^1 \prod_{s=1}^{t-1} p_i^s(c^s)$$

Freedom to choose initial weights.

$$w_t^1 \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^1 = 1$$

Total loss of expert i

$$L_i^t = -\sum_{s=1}^t \log p_i^s(c^s); \quad L_i^0 = 0$$

Weight of expert i

$$w_i^t = w_i^1 e^{-L_i^{t-1}} = w_i^1 \prod_{s=1}^{t-1} p_i^s(c^s)$$

Freedom to choose initial weights.

$$w_t^1 \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^1 = 1$$

Prediction of algorithm A

$$\mathbf{p}_{A}^{t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}^{t} \mathbf{p}_{i}^{t}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}^{t}}$$

Comparison to  $Hedge(\eta)$ 

# The **Hedge**( $\eta$ )Algorithm

Consider action *i* at time *t* 

► Total loss:

$$L_i^t = \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell_i^s$$

Consider action i at time t

Total loss:

$$L_i^t = \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell_i^s$$

Weight:

$$\mathbf{w}_{i}^{t} = \mathbf{w}_{i}^{1} \mathbf{e}^{-\eta L_{i}^{t}}$$

Consider action *i* at time *t* 

► Total loss:

$$L_i^t = \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell_i^s$$

Weight:

$$\mathbf{w}_{i}^{t} = \mathbf{w}_{i}^{1} \mathbf{e}^{-\eta L_{i}^{t}}$$

Note freedom to choose initial weight  $(w_i^1) \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^1 = 1$ .

▶  $\eta > 0$  is the learning rate parameter. Halving:  $\eta \to \infty$ 

Consider action *i* at time *t* 

Total loss:

$$L_i^t = \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell_i^s$$

Weight:

$$w_i^t = w_i^1 e^{-\eta L_i^t}$$

- ▶  $\eta > 0$  is the learning rate parameter. Halving:  $\eta \to \infty$
- Probability:

$$p_i^t = \frac{w_i^t}{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t},$$

Consider action *i* at time *t* 

Total loss:

$$L_i^t = \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell_i^s$$

Weight:

$$w_i^t = w_i^1 e^{-\eta L_i^t}$$

- ▶  $\eta > 0$  is the learning rate parameter. Halving:  $\eta \to \infty$
- Probability:

$$p_i^t = \frac{w_i^t}{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t},$$

Consider action *i* at time *t* 

Total loss:

$$L_i^t = \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell_i^s$$

Weight:

$$w_i^t = w_i^1 e^{-\eta L_i^t}$$

- ▶  $\eta > 0$  is the learning rate parameter. Halving:  $\eta \to \infty$
- Probability:

$$p_i^t = rac{w_i^t}{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t}, \;\; \mathbf{p}^t = rac{\mathbf{w}^t}{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t}$$

Total weight:  $W^t \doteq \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t$ 

Total weight: 
$$W^t \doteq \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t$$

$$\frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t e^{\log p_i^t(c^t)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t}$$

Total weight: 
$$\mathbf{W}^t \doteq \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{w}_i^t$$

$$\frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t e^{\log p_i^t(c^t)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t p_i^t(c^t)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t}$$

Total weight:  $W^t \doteq \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t$ 

$$\frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t e^{\log p_i^t(c^t)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t p_i^t(c^t)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t} = p_A^t(c^t)$$

Total weight: 
$$W^t \doteq \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t$$

$$\frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t e^{\log p_i^t(c^t)}}{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t p_i^t(c^t)}{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t} = p_A^t(c^t)$$

$$-\log \frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} = -\log p_A^t(c^t)$$

Total weight: 
$$W^t \doteq \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t$$

$$\frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t e^{\log p_i^t(c^t)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t p_i^t(c^t)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t} = p_A^t(c^t)$$

$$-\log \frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} = -\log p_A^t(c^t)$$

$$-\log \frac{W^{T+1}}{W^1} = -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_A^t(c^t)$$

Total weight: 
$$W^t \doteq \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t$$

$$\frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t e^{\log p_i^t(c^t)}}{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t p_i^t(c^t)}{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t} = p_A^t(c^t)$$

$$-\log \frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} = -\log p_A^t(c^t)$$

$$-\log \frac{W^{T+1}}{W^1} = -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_A^t(c^t) = L_A^T$$

Total weight: 
$$W^t \doteq \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t e^{\log p_i^t(c^t)}}{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t p_i^t(c^t)}{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t} = p_A^t(c^t) \\ &- \log \frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} = -\log p_A^t(c^t) \end{split}$$

$$-\log W^{T+1} = -\log \frac{W^{T+1}}{W^1} = -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_A^t(c^t) = L_A^T$$

Total weight: 
$$W^t \doteq \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^t$$

$$\frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t e^{\log p_i^t(c^t)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t p_i^t(c^t)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t} = p_A^t(c^t)$$
$$-\log \frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} = -\log p_A^t(c^t)$$

$$-\log W^{T+1} = -\log \frac{W^{T+1}}{W^1} = -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_A^t(c^t) = L_A^T$$

#### **EQUALITY** not bound!

Review: The performance bound

# Simple Bound

► Use uniform initial weights  $w_i^1 = 1/N$ 

- ▶ Use uniform initial weights  $w_i^1 = 1/N$
- Total Weight is at least the weight of the best expert.

$$L_A^T = -\log W^{T+1}$$

- ▶ Use uniform initial weights  $w_i^1 = 1/N$
- Total Weight is at least the weight of the best expert.

$$L_A^T = -\log W^{T+1}$$

- ▶ Use uniform initial weights  $w_i^1 = 1/N$
- Total Weight is at least the weight of the best expert.

$$L_A^T = -\log W^{T+1} = -\log \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^{T+1}$$

- ▶ Use uniform initial weights  $w_i^1 = 1/N$
- Total Weight is at least the weight of the best expert.

$$L_A^T = -\log W^{T+1} = -\log \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^{T+1}$$
$$= -\log \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{N} e^{-L_i^T}$$

- ► Use uniform initial weights  $w_i^1 = 1/N$
- ▶ Total Weight is at least the weight of the best expert.

$$L_A^T = -\log W^{T+1} = -\log \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^{T+1}$$
$$= -\log \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{N} e^{-L_i^T} = \log N - \log \sum_{i=1}^N e^{-L_i^T}$$

- ► Use uniform initial weights  $w_i^1 = 1/N$
- Total Weight is at least the weight of the best expert.

$$L_{A}^{T} = -\log W^{T+1} = -\log \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}^{T+1}$$

$$= -\log \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} e^{-L_{i}^{T}} = \log N - \log \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{-L_{i}^{T}}$$

$$\leq \log N - \log \max_{i} e^{-L_{i}^{T}}$$

- ► Use uniform initial weights  $w_i^1 = 1/N$
- Total Weight is at least the weight of the best expert.

$$L_{A}^{T} = -\log W^{T+1} = -\log \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}^{T+1}$$

$$= -\log \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} e^{-L_{i}^{T}} = \log N - \log \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{-L_{i}^{T}}$$

$$\leq \log N - \log \max_{i} e^{-L_{i}^{T}} = \log N + \min_{i} L_{i}^{T}$$

▶ Dividing by T we get  $\frac{L_A^T}{A} = \min_i \frac{L_T^T}{T} + \frac{\log N}{T}$ 

Comparison to **Hedge** $(\eta)$ 

# Regret bound for $\mathbf{Hedge}(\eta)$

▶ Tuning  $\eta$  as a function of T (uniform prior).

Comparison to **Hedge** $(\eta)$ 

# Regret bound for **Hedge**( $\eta$ )

- ▶ Tuning  $\eta$  as a function of T (uniform prior).
- ▶ trivially  $\min_i L_i \leq T$ , yielding

$$L_{\mathsf{Hedge}(\eta)} \leq \min_{i} L_{i} + \sqrt{2T \ln N} + \ln N$$

## Regret bound for **Hedge**( $\eta$ )

- ▶ Tuning  $\eta$  as a function of T (uniform prior).
- ightharpoonup trivially min,  $L_i < T$ , yielding

$$L_{\mathsf{Hedge}(\eta)} \leq \min_i L_i + \sqrt{2T \ln N} + \ln N$$

per iteration we get:

$$\frac{L_{\mathsf{Hedge}(\eta)}}{T} \leq \min_{i} \frac{L_{i}}{T} + \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln N}{T}} + \frac{\ln N}{T}$$

## Regret bound for **Hedge**( $\eta$ )

- ▶ Tuning  $\eta$  as a function of T (uniform prior).
- ▶ trivially  $\min_i L_i \leq T$ , yielding

$$L_{\mathsf{Hedge}(\eta)} \leq \min_{i} L_{i} + \sqrt{2T \ln N} + \ln N$$

per iteration we get:

$$\frac{L_{\mathsf{Hedge}(\eta)}}{T} \leq \min_{i} \frac{L_{i}}{T} + \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln N}{T}} + \frac{\ln N}{T}$$

Compare to regret bund for Bayes Algorithm:

$$\frac{L_A^T}{T} = \min_i \frac{L_i^T}{T} + \frac{\log N}{T}$$