Persistence Length-Based Exploration in Reinforcement Learning

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation Address email

Abstract

BLABLA

2 1 Introduction

- 3 We propose exploration algorithm that not only consider the temperature, it also considers the general
- 4 form of the result trajectory induced from a certain l_p .
- 5 We also emphasise on the fact that local self-avoidance is achieved only w.r.t. the action-space.
- 6 We also emphasize on the comparison between Monte-Carlo sampling and tree backup model with
- 7 the proposed trajectory based exploration. Since, using L_p we explore trajectories as opposed to the
- 8 state-action pairs individually.
- 9 We emphasise on trajectory-based exploration rather than the state-based exploration as well as a
- technique that relies on local information about visited state-action pairs as opposed to relying on
- the statistical summery of visited state-action pairs. The exploration method employs a range of
- persistence lengths and and explore a range of low-dimensional manifolds and then explore the
- selected manifold efficiently. Intuitively we need to show that by choosing proper values for d_{β} one
- 14 can explore lower dimensional manifolds efficiently and then use results in IJCAI paper and explore
- the 3D manifold. Therefore two main questions arise here:
- 16 1- How to choose d_B to land of the low dimensional manifold, given that such manifold exists.
- 17 2- If we assume that we have landed on the 3D manifold are we still going to be able to use results
- 18 from IJCAI and show that they still hold.

22

2 The notion of persistence length: formal treatment

- Maziar: Mathematically define what a stiff chain is.
- Maziar: Define what end-to-end vector is a stiff chain and show that the end-to-end distribution of a stiff chain is always different than the *freely-jointed* one.
 - Maziar: Computationally show that how the stiffness diminishes over time and change of dimensionality
- Note that the strong interaction between bonds reduces the effect of thermal energy.

2.1 Stiff chain, a discrete model:

A stiff chain composed of N unit vectors $\{u_1,...,u_N\}$, in the discrete model is parametrized by the following bending energy:

$$\mathcal{E}_{B}^{N} = \frac{\kappa}{2a} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_{i+1} - u_{i})^{2}$$
(1)

We also have the distribution function ν over the first and last bond vectors, u_f and u_l for a stiff chain of N bond vectors. Eq. 15.114.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[u_i.u_j] = e^{\frac{-|i-j|a}{L_p}} \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[u_{i}.u_{j}] = e^{\frac{-|i-j|a}{L_{p}}} \tag{2}$$

$$L_{p} = \frac{-a}{\log\left[\frac{I_{d/2}(\frac{\kappa}{ak_{B}T})}{I_{d/2-1}(\frac{\kappa}{ak_{B}T})}\right]}$$

2.2 Stiff chain, a continuous model:

38

45

46

47

$$\mathcal{E}_B = \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_0^L ds (\partial_s u)^2$$
$$u(s) = \frac{d}{ds} x(s)$$
$$d(s) = \sqrt{dx^2}$$
$$L_p = 2 \frac{\kappa}{k_B T()}$$

Our intention is to guarantee the local self-avoidance within a trajectory induced by the exploration policy. We do this, firstly, by assuming that we are in the crossover regime where $L_p \sim D$. This 31 means, that agent always feels that is encompassed within a ball of diameter $D = O(L_p)$. In the 32 crossover regime, the statistical mechanics is governed by the competition of the *Thermal Energy* 33 (\mathcal{E}_T) and bending rigidity κ . 34

Note: We have to note that even when a technique employs both action and state in the exploration 35 phase, we still need to know the reward structure or the observation in order to decide about the next choice of actions.

Maziar: Define three different regime and see if the existing categorization extends to higher dimensional regime, clearly the intuition behind all existing definitions come from effort in identifying a parameter that describes three different regimes: 1) Weak confinement, 2) Strong confinement, 3) Crossover.

The physical quantity that captures the behavior of worm-like chain under length constraint (or 39 confinement) is tangent correlation function. 40

In the model proposed thus far, they assume that polymer is a one-dimensional object located in a 41 d-dimensional environment. This clearly could be problematic since in our domain trajectory/chain is 42 not necessarily one-dimensional. Thereby, κ^I that is assumed to be invariant w.r.t. change in domain 43 dimensionality will not invariant any more.

Maziar: We show that a chain is locally homeomorphic to Euclidean 1-space therefore it is a 1-dimensional object. The main idea comes from the fact that trajectory is a time dependent entity and time is the only free parameter and therefore we are not going to have notions like figure eight objects.

Maziar: I need to define the internal and external dimension.

Maziar: Prove where L_P^d formula is coming from.

- Fro a chain with one internal dimension and d external dimension, L_p^d represents the persistence
- length in d-dimensional space. We define the intrinsic persistence length L_p^i of a chain as a property
- that captures the inherent competition between Thermal Energy and Rigidity.

$$L_p^i \propto \frac{\kappa^i}{\mathcal{E}_T}$$
 (4)

- Where κ^i represents the intrinsic rigidity required to de-correlate two adjacent bond vectors (vector
- that connect adjacent actions) in a chain lying on a 3-dimensional manifold and \mathcal{E}_T represents the
- thermal energy. One can show $L_p^d = \frac{2L_p^i}{d-d_B}$, where $d = d_T + d_B$ (or $d \ge d_T + d_B$) and d_T is the dimension of space governed by entropic energy and d_B represent the dimension of space governed 53
- by the bending energy. 55

Algorithm:Old

Algorithm 1: PolyRL

Input: \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S} , Intrinsic Rigidity κ^i , temperature \mathcal{E}_T, l_B, l , Step-size $b_o, \phi, \gamma, \rho, \epsilon, \alpha, \sigma, T$

```
1 Construct the intrinsic L_p^i \leftarrow \frac{\kappa^i}{\mathcal{E}_T};
\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{2} \ L_p^l \leftarrow \frac{2L_p^i}{(l-l_B)}; \\ \mathbf{3} \ \mu \leftarrow \cos^{-1}(e^{\frac{-b_o}{L_p}}); \end{array}
 4 Sample A_0 and S_0 w.r.t \rho;
5 Let w_0 = 0;
 6 for t = 1 to t = T do
          Convert A_t to its spherical equivalent A_t^{sp};
          for i = 1 to l_{\beta} do
               Sample \theta_o w.r.t. \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2);
                Set A_t^{sp}(i) w.r.t. 	heta_o and \epsilon (\epsilon greedy) // A_t(i) is the ith coordinate of A_t
10
11
          for i = l_{\beta} + 1 to l do
12
            Sample \theta_i w.r.t. \mathcal{U}([0, 2\pi]);
13
               Choose A_t^{sp}(i) w.t.t. \theta_i and \epsilon (\epsilon greedy);
14
15
          Convert A_t^{sp} to its Cartesian equivalent A_t;
16
          Take action A_t and observe R_{t+1} and S_{t+1};
17
          Update \hat{w} with respect to the update rule in equation (5);
```

Algorithm: 2D and 3D action-space

- **Note**, here we are exploring (chain components) the action space therefore the step-size b_0 represents the length of the bond vector between actions. 59
- Given the following rotation operators

19 Return \hat{w} ;

$$\prod_{2}^{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\prod_{3}^{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta + u_{x}^{2} (1 - \cos\theta) & u_{x}u_{y} (1 - \cos\theta) - u_{z} \sin\theta & u_{x}u_{z} (1 - \cos\theta) + u_{y} \sin\theta \\ u_{y}u_{x} (1 - \cos\theta) + u_{z} \sin\theta & \cos\theta + u_{y}^{2} (1 - \cos\theta) & u_{y}u_{z} (1 - \cos\theta) - u_{x} \sin\theta \\ u_{z}u_{x} (1 - \cos\theta) - u_{y} \sin\theta & u_{z}u_{y} (1 - \cos\theta) + u_{x} \sin\theta & \cos\theta + u_{z}^{2} (1 - \cos\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$
around point $(0, 0, 0)$ and unit axis (u_{x}, u_{y}, u_{z}) . Update rule,

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{w}_t + \alpha \left[R_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a} Q_{\boldsymbol{w}_t}(S_{t+1}, a) - Q_{\boldsymbol{w}_t}(S_t, A_t) \right] \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}_t} Q_{\boldsymbol{w}_t}(S_t, A_t)$$
 (5)

Algorithm 2: PolyRL: 2D

20

21 Return \hat{w} ;

```
Input: \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}, \prod_{d}^{\bullet}, Intrinsic Rigidity \kappa^{i}, temperature \mathcal{E}_{T}, d, Step-size b_{o}, \phi, \gamma, \rho, \epsilon, \alpha, \sigma, T
\begin{array}{l} \text{1 Construct } L_p^{(3)} \leftarrow \frac{\kappa^i}{\mathcal{E}_T} \ ; \\ \text{2 } L_p^{(d)} \leftarrow \frac{2L_p^{(3)}}{(d-1)}; \end{array}
\mu \leftarrow cos^{-1}(e^{\frac{-b_o}{L_p^{(d)}}});
4 Let w_0 = 0;
5 for each epoch do
          if t = 0 then
               Sample A_0 and S_0 w.r.t \rho;
7
               \theta \leftarrow \text{uniform draw from } (-\pi, \pi);
8
          else if t == 1 then
 9
           10
          else
11
                Draw a sample \theta from \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma);
12
                \theta_t \leftarrow \text{toss a coin and choose between } \theta \text{ and } -\theta;
13
               H_{t-1} \leftarrow A_{t-1} - A_{t-2};
14
            A_t \leftarrow A_{t-1} + \text{apply } \prod_{i=1}^{\theta_t} \text{ on } H_{t-1};
15
          if A_t is valid then
16
           Apply step function on action A_t and observe R_{t+1} and S_{t+1};
17
          else
18
           End the episode and re-start the chain;
19
```

Update \hat{w} with respect to the update rule in equation (5);

```
Algorithm 3: PolyRL:Higher Dimesnion d \geq 3
          Input: \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}, Persistence Length L_p, Action Space Dimension d, Step-size b_o, \gamma,
                                       Initial State-Action Distribution \rho, \epsilon, \alpha, \sigma, Episode Length T
          Output: \hat{w}
 1 \mu \leftarrow cos^{-1}(e^{\frac{-b_o}{L_p^{(d)}}});
2 remainder \leftarrow d \mod 3;
  3 if remainder = 0 then
                         Subchain=d/3;
                         Group actions coordinates to "subchain" number of groups;
  6 else
                         Subchain=(d+(3-remainder))/3;
                         Group actions to "subchain" number of groups; add total of "3-remainder" dummy dimensions
                         such that each group has at most "1" dummy dimension;
 9 Let w_0 = 0;
10 for each epoch till T do
                         for i=1:Subchain do
                                       if t == 0 then
                                                       Sample A_0(i) and S_0(i) w.r.t \rho;
                                                       \varphi(i) \leftarrow \text{uniform draw from } (0, 2\pi);
                                                       \theta(i) \leftarrow \text{uniform draw from } (-\pi/2, \pi/2);
                                       else if t == 1 then
                                                       A_1(i) \leftarrow (A_0(i,1) + b_0 \cos(\theta(i)) \sin(\varphi(i)), A_0(i,2) +
                                                       b_o \sin(\theta(i)) \sin(\varphi(i)), A_0(i,3)) + b_o \cos(\varphi(i));
                                       else
                                                       Draw a sample \theta(i) from \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma);
                                                       \theta_t(i) \leftarrow \text{toss a coin and choose between } \theta(i) \text{ and } -\theta(i);
                                                       \varphi_t(i) \leftarrow \text{uniform draw from } (0, 2\pi);
                                                       A_t(i) \leftarrow (A_{t-1}(i,1) + b_o \cos(\theta_t(i)) \sin(\varphi_t(i)), A_{t-1}(i,2) + b_o \cos(\theta_t(i)) \cos(\varphi_t(i)), A_{t-1}(i,2) + b_o \cos(\varphi_t(i)) \cos(\varphi_t(i)) \cos(\varphi_t(i)), A_{t-1}(i,2) + b_o \cos(\varphi_t(i)) \cos(\varphi_t
                                                      b_o \sin(\theta_t(i)) \sin(\varphi_t(i)), A_{t-1}(i,3)) + b_o \cos(\varphi_t(i));
                         if A_t is valid then
                            Apply step function on action A_t and observe R_{t+1} and S_{t+1};
                            End the episode and re-start the chain;
                         Update \hat{w} with respect to the update rule in equation;
                        (5) Return \hat{w};
```

5

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 26

27