

RE: OIC data

Cecile Murray

Wed 2/21/2018 10:22 AM

To: Alec Friedhoff <AFRIEDHOFF@brookings.edu>;

 3 attachments (73 KB)

OIC_DATA_FOR_INTERACTIVE.Rdata; OIC Data Dictionary.xlsx; interactive data variable names.xlsx;

Hi Alec,

You can find updated data files attached – the variables including the characters “sigch” denote statistical significance, where 1 indicates a statistically significant change and 0 indicates that the change was not statistically significant. The change estimates now reflect raw changes, and I’ve updated the attached variable name file to include the additional variables.

Lastly, just in case it is helpful background about where all of these data come from, I’m attaching a data dictionary listing sources and other notes – but feel free to ignore it if it doesn’t seem relevant to you.

Thanks,
Cecile

From: Cecile Murray
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2018 12:01
To: Alec Friedhoff <AFRIEDHOFF@brookings.edu>
Subject: RE: OIC data

Sounds good – I’ll run that and send something your way in the next day or so.

From: Alec Friedhoff
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2018 12:00
To: Cecile Murray <CMurray@brookings.edu>
Subject: Re: OIC data

Thanks Cecile, I’ll dig in shortly. In general I think we should present all point estimates and provide some indication that it passes/fails significance testing.

Alec

From: Cecile Murray
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2018 11:49:34 AM
To: Alec Friedhoff
Subject: OIC data

Hi Alec,

I’m attaching the flat .Rdata file I mentioned before, since it’s so small. I’m also attaching an Excel file to help you decode my admittedly inconsistent/opaque variable names.

I also want to flag that as some of these data come from the ACS, I performed statistical significance testing when I computed changes over time, and replaced insignificant changes with 0’s. If you want to handle these observations differently, I can add the raw changes and a statistical significance indicator variable to this file.

Let me know if you have any questions or if anything is missing or looks weird. There's been a lot of data work for this project over a number of iterations, so at this point I think I'm too close to it to easily spot potential errors or omissions!

Thanks,
Cecile

Cecile Murray

Senior Research Assistant | Metropolitan Policy Program | The Brookings Institution
1775 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20036
202.797.6089 | cmurray@brookings.edu