

In this lab, we covered 2 algorithms to search for a pattern within a given string. The first algorithm is the naive approach and simply traverses the string trying to match a substring at the i-th position to the pattern. This approach works well for small texts but is rather slow for larger texts.

Exercise 1: Naive Approach

```
"""Simple method to compare the pattern against the text"""
def naive_pattern_match(text, pattern):
    match_idx = []

    # iterate over |text-pattern| indicies and check for the pattern one
    # indicie higher each time
    for i in range((len(text) - len(pattern) + 1)):
        # compare the substring starting at the ith position with length
        # pattern to pattern
        if str(text[i:len(pattern)+i]) == pattern:
            match_idx.append(i) # add to matches

    if not match_idx:
        return -1
    else:
        return match_idx

print(naive_pattern_match('ccccabcdefabc', 'abc'))
```

Output

```
/usr/local/bin/python3.9
/Users/aaronfeinberg/Projects/classWork/CMPSC413/lab3/naive_pattern_matching.py
[4, 10]

Process finished with exit code 0
```

Exercise 2: Knuth-Morris-Pratt (KMP) Algorithm

```
"""KMP Pattern Matching Algorithm"""

def lps_generator(pattern: str) -> []:
    pattern = list(pattern) # converts the string into a list for traversal

    lps = [0] # create a list to hold our lps table
    pattern_length = 0 # length of the current pattern
```

```

idx = 1 # initial search position

# search through the entire pattern
while idx < len(pattern):

    # match
    if pattern[idx] == pattern[pattern_length]:
        lps.append(pattern_length+1) # add length+1 to position
        lps[idx]

        idx+=1 # increment index
        pattern_length+=1 # increment length

    # charecters don't match
    else:
        if pattern_length!=0:
            pattern_length=lps[pattern_length-1] # return len back to
the last matching char

        lps.append(0) # start over again with pattern search
        idx+=1

    return lps

def kmp_string_search(text, pattern, lps=None):
    if not lps:
        lps = lps_generator(pattern) # generate lps

    # printing data
    print("\n---- KMP Search -----")
    print(f"Text=[{text}]")
    print(f"Patt=[{pattern}]")
    print(f"\nLPS={list(pattern)}\n\t{[str(i) for i in lps]}\n")

    # declare tracking variables
    text_index, pattern_index = 0, 0
    match_idx = [] # list to store the start indices of matches

    while text_index < len(text):
        # chars match -> advance
        if text[text_index] == pattern[pattern_index]:
            pattern_index += 1
            text_index += 1

            # check for a full match of the pattern
            if pattern_index == len(pattern):
                match_idx.append(text_index - pattern_index) #
append the start index of the match
                pattern_index = lps[pattern_index - 1] # reset
pattern_index using the LPS

```

```

        # mismatch
    else:
        # if pattern_index is not 0 -> reset it using LPS to skip
        comparisons
        if pattern_index != 0:
            pattern_index = lps[pattern_index - 1]
        # advance if pattern index is 0
    else:
        text_index += 1

    print(f"Match indecies: {match_idx}")
    return match_idx

test_pattern = 'ababa'
kmp_string_search('abababbababa', test_pattern)

```

Output

```

/usr/local/bin/python3.9
/Users/aaronfeinberg/Projects/classWork/CMPSC413/lab3/kmp_pattern_matching_algorithm.py

---- KMP Search ----
Text=[abababbababa]
Patt=[ababa]

LPS=['a', 'b', 'a', 'b', 'a']
    ['0', '0', '1', '2', '3']

Match Indicies: [0, 7]

Process finished with exit code 0

```

Conclusion

The naive approach is simple but suffers from some inefficiencies that the KMP Algorithm avoids. In the worst case, we would be making m comparison for each letter in the pattern over an array of $(n-m+1)$ elements so we'd get at worst a time complexity of $O(nm)$ comparisons which is still $O(n)$ but will grow faster for large n 's or m 's.

The KMP Algorithm works by first traversing the pattern and finding the lengths of proper prefixes which are also proper suffixes in the pattern. This is then stored in an `lps` array. The second part of this algorithm traverses the actual text and uses the information of the `lps` array to help skip ahead in checks when a sub-pattern match fails. The LPS array is used to lookup the length of the previous

match and move forward that amount, guaranteeing that we won't skip any potential matches along the way also not performing unnecessary checks.

This Algorithm takes $O(n + m)$ time since we have to create an lps table by traversing a pattern of m elements and then at worst, we'll compare $O(n)$ elements since we won't have to check the whole pattern during a comparison.