Econometric models

fenyand

2019.11.28.

Table 1: Regression Results (1) Descriptive variables

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	bb100	vote100	bb100	vote100
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
avg_wordpersong	-0.004	-0.250**		
	(0.077)	(0.101)		
avg_uniquewordpersong	0.030	0.595***		
	(0.110)	(0.145)		
avg_wordlength	-7.227	$\dot{4}.237$		
	(11.708)	(15.410)		
brand_ps	,		2.213	-9.148***
-			(2.272)	(3.403)
gang_ps			-1.271^{*}	3.298***
			(0.652)	(0.976)
profan_ps			0.413	-0.437
•			(0.369)	(0.553)
Constant	48.323	-25.236	18.260***	$\dot{4}.289$
	(60.252)	(79.309)	(3.497)	(5.238)
Observations	155	155	155	155
\mathbb{R}^2	0.004	0.291	0.028	0.104
Adjusted R^2	-0.016	0.277	0.008	0.087
Residual Std. Error $(df = 151)$	18.745	24.674	18.521	27.740
F Statistic ($df = 3; 151$)	0.200	20.706***	1.432	5.870***

Table 2: Regression Results (2) Emotions

	ndent variable.
bb100	vote100
(1)	(2)
1.051	-2.128**
(0.639)	(0.962)
-1.495**	4.156***
(0.751)	(1.130)
1.116**	-0.664
(0.561)	(0.844)
$\dot{4}.393$	5.979
(8.218)	(12.363)
155	155
0.047	0.114
0.028	0.097
18.337	27.585
2.475^{*}	6.504^{***}
	(1) 1.051 (0.639) -1.495** (0.751) 1.116** (0.561) 4.393 (8.218) 155 0.047 0.028 18.337

Table 3: Regression Resutls (3) Topics

	Dependent variable:	
	bb100	vote100
	(1)	(2)
topic_money_percent	-4.400	-11.199
	(9.118)	(11.410)
topic_sex_percent	-2.395	-5.664
	(13.954)	(17.462)
topic_street_percent	-21.015**	71.231***
	(8.203)	(10.265)
topic_music_percent	-7.417	57.280***
	(9.541)	(11.940)
Constant	19.667***	1.601
	(3.427)	(4.289)
Observations	155	155
\mathbb{R}^2	0.045	0.386
Adjusted R^2	0.019	0.369
Residual Std. Error $(df = 150)$	18.419	23.049
F Statistic (df = 4 ; 150)	1.752	23.555***
77	di O di distri	O O W shahala

Table 4: Regression Results (4) Robust SE models for GOATs

	<i>D</i>	ependent var	riable: vote1	100
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
avg_wordpersong	-0.250*** (0.095)			
$avg_uniqueword persong$	0.595*** (0.131)			
$avg_wordlength$	4.237 (13.486)			
brand_ps	,	-9.148*** (2.967)		
$gang_ps$		3.298*** (1.064)		
profan_ps		-0.437 (0.535)		
anger_ps			-2.128^* (1.122)	
fear_ps			4.156^{***} (1.462)	
joy_ps			-0.664 (0.721)	
topic_money_percent				-11.199** (5.432)
topic_sex_percent				-5.664 (11.394)
topic_street_percent				71.231*** (15.006)
topic_music_percent				57.280*** (14.527)
Constant	-25.236 (71.888)	4.289 (4.171)	5.979 (11.413)	1.601 (2.766)

Table 5: Regression Results (5) Best mixed variable BB and GOAT models

$Dependent\ variable:$		
bb100	vote100	
(1)	(2)	
0.223***	0.402***	
(0.068)	(0.104)	
-0.528	,	
(0.970)		
0.261		
()	-0.160*	
	(0.081)	
-33.009***	41.552***	
	(11.851)	
. ,	()	
()	-24.361**	
	(11.386)	
3.886	-1.446	
(5.922)	(10.187)	
155	155	
0.117	0.375	
0.082	0.358	
17.823 (df = 148)	23.251 (df = 150)	
	$22.499^{***} (df = 4; 150)$	
	bb100 (1) 0.223*** (0.068) -0.528 (0.970) 0.261 (0.789) -1.007 (0.853) -33.009*** (10.082) -28.116** (12.582) 3.886 (5.922)	

Table 6: Regression Results (6) Robust SE model for the best mixed variable GOAT model

	Dependent variable: vote100
avg_uniquewordpersong	0.402***
	(0.101)
avg_wordpersong	-0.160**
	(0.073)
topic_street_percent	41.552**
	(18.974)
topic_money_percent	-24.361***
- v -	(7.717)
Constant	-1.446
	(10.520)
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01