Week 11 Transcript

Okay. Okay. Okay. So you stand up. And. Let's give. Uh, so. Uh. Okay. Okay. Good afternoon everybody. Oh no. Nothing. No slides. Nothing. Okay. Um, while we get, uh, we wait for this, uh, projector to be started. Um. ves. We're approaching the last two lectures of our course, so, um, we are almost through this, uh, macro, uh, macroeconomics course. So I hope that you have, um, you will take away will come up to the end tomorrow. Next lecture to discuss about what we have learned. Um, but as we approach the the final two lectures, uh, we're going to close in on the open macroeconomics And one thing that we have not discussed yet is the critical, um, price, right, critical price in the open economy. And that is, uh, not exchange rates. So last lecture we discussed about current account. Um, the previous lecture we discussed about international trade. Uh, current account. The mirror image of the current account is the financial account. They sum up to zero as we mentioned. So there obviously has to be payments of different currencies for the goods that and services that we buy. But you know, as we know there are lots of countries in the world and there are lots of currencies. Right. So I don't need to explain to you what nominal exchange rates are. We obviously, uh, deal with it, live with it. Um, all the time when we go travelling, we have to change into other currencies. Uh, and these fluctuations, the fluctuations of nominal exchange rates could be quite significant and quite important for us. Now, I remember the days when the exchange rate between the pound to the dollar was at around two. Uh, this was before, you know, around the mid 2000. Where is it today? It's at 1.26. Right. So you if you were, um, somebody working in the UK and you brought all these pounds to the US, um, back in those days, you could have exchanged, uh, \$2 for £1, and now you simply can only get

\$1.26 for every pound you go there.

So that's a really pretty extreme, a pretty, pretty drastic depreciation of the pound.

There are lots of other countries that have more, even

more extreme, uh, experiences, especially developing countries.

Uh, there was also a time when Argentina, the Argentine

peso, was pegged to the dollar one for one, so

\$1 for \$1.

That is pretty ballsy, actually.

Uh, to think that one unit of peso is, you

know, valued at it has the same value of, uh.

or purchasing power of the dollar.

And then when the peg broke, it instantly depreciate from

1 to 3.

So \$3 to a dollar.

Um, we've seen I'll show you a graph of that

a little bit later.

Massive currency crises.

Now, one thing that we have not talked about so

much is open economy crisis.

We talked a lot about domestic crises, business cycles and

how to deal with that through government spending and monetary policy.

But as this is not a course on international macro,

I hope that you'll, uh, a further, uh, take further

courses on this.

There's lots of international financial currencies, uh, crises, right?

Whether it's international banking crises, currency crisis, um, in the

Asian financial crisis in 1997, uh, uh, you know, the

Thai baht depreciated by 60%, followed by the Korean one,

Malaysian currency and Indonesia currency all depreciated by that much

in 1992, in the errm, crisis.

Um, we're going to see how George Soros made £1

billion in one day, uh, a bunch of advanced economy

exchange rates in the European or, sorry, in Europe, um,

including Italy, Spain, um, uh, and, and obviously this country,

you know, saw massive depreciation in a very short period

of time of 30%.

Now, if you think about what this means, well, it's

quite significant.

Right.

To have the housing price appreciate by 30% is it

takes quite a bit of time for that to happen.

But if you're a foreign buyer trying to buy a

house in the UK simply with an exchange rate change,

you know it's either 30% more cheaper or 30% more expensive.

So nominal exchange rate obviously fluctuates a lot and it

fluctuates by more than prices.

Um, if we look at a graph of prices, domestic

prices, it's like a rock compared to the fluctuations of

nominal exchange rates.

Right.

So obviously this kind of price is very important.

And when we think about more, um, more broadly about

trade, obviously nominal exchange rates.

Matter a lot for trade.

If the pound depreciates by 30%, then obviously the value $\,$

of exports UK is able to export more goods, but

also our imports become more expensive.

Right.

So we're going to deal with a set of these

issues not very much in detail because this is about

one lecture, one one and a half lecture.

But just to give you a slightly, um, broad overview

as to why we care about nominal exchange rates, obviously

lots of countries have their own currency.

A lot of countries choose to not have their own country.

And this is called dollarisation.

Um, small open economies might not want to just transact

in their own currencies because, well, look, you know, it's

harder to understand the value of their currencies.

And maybe international borrowers don't want to lend to them

in their own currencies, but in dollars now, partly as

a joke, partly not as a joke.

Some small open economies have now switched from their own

currencies, or dollar to bitcoins, which is somehow, um, a

more international currency, if you will, than some of the

smaller economies own currencies.

So all of this is kind of in play.

So obviously these are the major reserve currencies dollar yen, euro pound.

Um, and and this foreign foreign exchange market is really massive.

The transactions the volumes every day think amounting to trillions of dollars is really quite big.

You know, all this demand and supply for foreign currencies.

Okay.

So let's start from the definition of a nominal exchange

rate, um, how it's defined in the textbook.

And this is how we're going to go with it.

Um, but just to warn you that there are lots

of there are, there are ways in which this is

defined in the opposite way.

But here we're going to define it as units of

foreign currency over one unit of domestic currency.

Okay.

So £1 for how many dollars okay.

So £1 was worth \$2 before okay.

Now £1 is worth \$1.26 in today's currency.

Then the pound has obviously depreciated.

Right.

Because you can exchange fewer dollars for pounds compared to when it was two.

So this by this definition, when you go up that

means the domestic currency has appreciated.

And when this ratio goes down it has depreciated.

It kind of goes with intuition of going up means appreciation.

Going down means depreciation.

But let me tell you this is not necessarily that

the convention.

The convention is often defined as the opposite.

So going up means depreciate and going down means appreciate.

But never mind that we're going to follow the textbook.

Um, just uh, just know, you know, whenever you're confused,

just write down which currency it is.

For instance, we're talking about dollar pounds.

Then put, you know, dollar if that's the foreign currency

here and then pounds here.

And then when you do multiplications or divisions, make sure your units match.

And then you won't be confused.

And I'm not going to penalise you for forgetting which

way it goes, as long as, as long as you

label clearly which is the denominated currency, which is the numerator currency.

But if you can, just for consistency sake, um, let's

define it this way.

Okay.

So, uh, nominal exchange rate falls.

It's appreciation depreciation.

And when it rises it's appreciation as, as is the

So we talked about this um, how the pound has

depreciated over time against the dollar from 2 to 1.26.

And that ratio going down, if we treat the UK

as the home currency means it's a depreciating.

Now of course, the textbook is US based.

So it's often going to use dollars as the home

currency.

So just bear with that.

Um, but I think it would be a fairly clear

now, um, if we, we wanted to do, you know,

how much does how, how many pounds do we get

for \$1?

We just divide it by one over 1.26.

Right.

So E is defined to be this.

And one over E is defined to be one unit

of foreign currency at one unit of domestic currency over

foreign currency.

It's just the inverse.

So um, £1 for uh \$1.26 or one dollars for

one point a £0.79, in other words, um, uh, and

rounded up to 0.81 over 1.26.

Okay.

Okay, so one over E is the price of foreign

currency in terms of domestic currency.

Uh, just a brief overview of what it's like two

years ago, March 31st.

Exactly.

Sorry.

Three years ago, um, uh, the euro against the dollar

0.85 ruble Argentinian peso.

Again, you know, quite striking compared to when they they

pegged at one for one, uh, Canadian dollar, Japanese yen,

etc., etc..

Uh, UK pound.

Um, uh, this is a list of some of the,

the currencies, uh, in in the transactions.

Now in terms of currencies, we also have what matches

the currency corresponds to.

The currencies are also exchange rate regimes okay.

Across the countries around the world, some governments would choose

to have a flexible exchange rate regime.

This is all of us in advanced world.

Okay.

Well, most of us, I should say, uh, US, UK,

the euro.

It's a freely floating exchange rate regime.

This means as we will see that now.

No.

So if we if we step back one second, one

of the crucial things we want to answer is how

are these exchange rate levels actually determined?

Right.

Uh, why is it \$1.26 per pound rather than \$2 $\,$

per pound?

Uh, why is it, um, you know, I don't know

what it is today, but 1.1, uh, dollars per euro

rather than, uh, you know, €1 for \$1.

Who gets to decide on these, these levels?

I mean, right now we have absolutely no clue.

Right.

And why do they fluctuate so much?

This is obviously, again, a very crucial price.

So we're going to try to get at um where

how do how do we determine these levels.

Now some countries and some countries government just decide that

they are going to decide what that level is.

And that's what happens when they try to fix, uh,

exchange rates.

Okav.

So there are regimes called fixed exchange rate regimes.

Um, again, lots of, you know, developing countries have done

that before.

Um, a good example is China for a long time

was a fixed exchange rate regime to the US, meaning

they just.

To determine themselves.

I was going to be ¥8.5.

That's a Chinese currency against \$1 in the earlier years.

Hong Kong today also still, you know, um, a fixed,

uh, exchange rate regimes.

But there's also an in-between regime called, uh, managed, uh,

exchange rate regime.

And, um, this is somewhere in between flexible and fixed.

What does that mean?

Well, first of all, the governments have an intention to

stabilise the exchange rate, uh, and around some level, right.

Not to have huge volatilities.

And so it's partially managed.

Exchange regimes are partially market determined and partially the government

will come in to intervene to fix the exchange rate

around or to maintain the exchange rate around some band,

maybe allowing it to fluctuate 2% up and down, but

maybe not more of that because as we see, you

know, exchange rate can actually fluctuate quite a bit.

You know, 10 to 15% is really massive.

And as we'll see, this can be very disruptive.

So some governments choose to have markets partially determined determine some band.

And then the the government will intervene to to keep

the exchange rates within some band.

Now.

Exchange of interventions is not just a developing country phenomenon.

As we've seen in more recent years.

Lots of governments have gone and gone in to intervene

in the exchange rate in the markets, for instance, like $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1$

Switzerland, for instance, like Japan, even though they are floating,

they wanted to either keep their currency quite a depreciated

right.

I think very few of them want to have an

overvalued exchange rate, but they want to keep their exchange $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1$

rates low by intervening.

We'll see how that works.

But the world is roughly divided in these three categories,

although there's actually many, many more dirty float, dirty peg,

whatever it is, just like, you know, a mix of

different combinations.

But you can think about this as different regimes and

we'll talk about why and how it's done.

Well, let me, let me let me mention why we

might want to choose one over the other before we

see how it's actually done.

So take the fixed exchange rate.

Right.

For a developing country, let's say, why would there be

some benefits for fixing your exchange rate?

Now that said, we talked about Argentinian peso one for

one with the dollar.

That obviously was crazy.

But you know, um, there are other reasons why you want to keep it at some, some fixed level.

One is developing countries rely a lot on exports.

Right.

So by keeping their currency relatively stable they can secure kind of they can they can make their trade more stable as well.

Um, not subject to big increases in the prices of imports or increases in the price of exports, which would burt their trade.

And also when you think about foreign investment, we talked about foreign direct investment last time, FDI, long term investments in a country.

You know, think about the US investing in, I don't

know, Vietnam in a factory in Vietnam.

Obviously when they go in and invest in the factories

there, they're exchanging us dollar for the Vietnamese local currency, right.

Five, ten years later, they want to take some of that money back and change it back to the dollars.

Now, if the Vietnamese currency has depreciated 30%.

In that period, then the US investor would be like,

well, this has wiped out my returns, okay.

That I've invested in, in, in Vietnam.

So by keeping your exchange rate, we're having a commitment

to keep your exchange rate relatively stable.

Then the US investor thinking, well, this exchange rate is not going to change so much.

So I can be sure that I can take back,

uh, my money at the price in which it was

exchange in the first place that that encourages foreign investment.

So you think that that's all good, right?

That makes a lot of sense.

So why don't everybody do it?

Well, in fact, if we look back in history, um,

there was a period of time when there was called

the, uh, the gold, uh, system, uh, where everybody was

kind of pegged to the gold, the price of the gold.

And that was a system of fixed exchange rate.

Now, again, this is not a course of international macro.

So I'm not going to go into detail, but there's

some vulnerabilities as well.

Right.

One is um, as we'll see people like George Soros,

he's going to be the evil guy in today's lecture.

But, you know, um, I'm sure he's done lots of

other good things, but that would be an example where

you can really, uh, you know, have these speculative attacks

on your currency.

And the government will have to, you know, kind of,

uh, pay huge sums to defend that currency.

And sometimes it will break, right?

Just like the Argentinean peso, the peg broke, it depreciated,

you know, three fold.

And obviously there will be a lot of consequences on

the economy.

Um, so so that is one reason why we don't

want to pay for speculative attacks, but also look at,

you know, there's a huge debate on whether joining the

euro is a good idea.

Right.

Uh, now Iceland during the banking crisis or the great

financial recession after 2009, lots of European economies were obviously in trouble

Guess what it did?

Uh, Iceland was able to depreciate 30% because it had a flexible exchange rate.

It wasn't tied to the euro and it got itself out of a crisis.

Okav.

So far we have only talked about monetary policy and fiscal policy in a domestic economy to deal with these

Right.

Expansionary policy.

We never talked about the exchange rate because, well, we haven't talked about open economy matters.

But the truth is that there is, you know, after

a while, there's an intellectual debate that somehow having a flexible, a flexible exchange rate was good because it could also be a tool, right, to like monetary fiscal policy to get countries out of recessions or, you know, cool booms and things like that.

So lots of countries that had the flexibility or flexible exchange rates were able to depreciate in bad times. But guess what happened to European countries?

European countries and crises could not do that because it was already in the euro.

You can't change the euro countries that have problems like Spain, like Portugal and so forth.

We'll talk about that next lecture.

Um, they, uh, they couldn't solve their, uh, crisis, uh, you know, with the natural adjustment factor of the exchange rate, but had to go through a series of very painful, uh, changes.

And that couldn't be monetary policy either, because, again, you're in the euro, so you don't have your independent monetary policy, and instead it had to go through a huge round of domestic reforms that was painful and led to wage decrease and all that.

But, um, but leaving that aside, just this is coming back to our question is that the flexibility of the exchange rate can be very useful.

But for developing countries, maybe that, um, that tool, that that stabilising tool that could be used is less important than maintaining trade and foreign investment because they're in a different development stage.

Right?

So this is to give you a little bit of

flavour of why countries choose to have different exchange rate regimes. $\,$

And when the gold standard broke, the fixed exchange rate regime collapsed.

Okay.

That was also leading to the war.

And there were huge amounts of capital flows that were unsustainable in the fixed exchange rate regime under the gold standard.

Um, but then after Bretton Woods, um, uh, 1970s broke, uh, or collapsed, I should say that was we entered the full floating exchange rate era where led by the dollar, okay, pound, euro, etc., Japanese yen were all floating exchange rates.

Um, managed exchange rate is somewhere in between.
Again, you know, kind of combining some elements of both.

Okay, so we will talk a little bit more about using the Chinese yuan as an example.

That's a case study in the textbook.

So we'll discuss about that.

So using looking at this this is yuan per dollar okay.

So as we mentioned it was initially in a totally

fixed exchange rate.

So that's why it's completely flat.

And it was around 8.2 ¥8.3 per dollar okay.

That's what the government decided.

It's not determined by the markets but it's determined by

the government.

But you'd say, okay, where do they come up with

that number?

Why wasn't Argentina like Argentina 1 to 1.

Why was it 8.5 to 1 right there?

There are potentially specific reasons that we'll also discuss.

Now as you can see um this is yuan per

dollar.

So um, uh, under-appreciated.

Um, uh, over time.

Sorry, sorry, this is yuan.

Uh, so this is, um, dollar was exchanging for ¥8.3

and then dollar was exchanging for about ¥6.

Right.

So obviously yuan appreciated over time, um, dollar depreciated uh, against the yuan.

And you want to appreciate against the dollar.

But you can see that, as we mentioned, it's still

in a managed float.

So it's fluctuating every time.

There's a slow appreciation over time.

But the band and this is you know, this is

what how how it transitioned from a fixed floating to

manage floating the band.

It's never like a massive fluctuation.

Um, and it's a band of around a few percentage

Um, and then in the last few years, since 2013,

it has the yuan has depreciated or dollar has appreciated over time.

Uh, um, but again, still in the managed floating, sorry managed fixed exchange rate regime.

Okav.

Now this is a fully floating exchange rate graph of euro per dollar.

So you can see that the dollar was appreciating.

So this is going up means appreciating exchanging a dollar

for one point almost €1.2 and the depreciated over time.

Um uh, and uh kind of more stabilised uh, at

uh, at this um, at this juncture.

Okay.

So this is uh, euro per dollar, where dollar is

the home currency, uh, on the denominator.

Um, and uh, and so forth.

Now.

Foreign exchange market is the global financial market in which

currencies are traded and nominal exchange rates are determined.

So when we talk about a floating exchange rate regime,

um, we mean that the market actually determines that level.

So why is it, uh \$1.21 per €1.2?

Um, uh, you know, back then and now it's around,

uh, you know, less than $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{e}} 1$ per dollar.

Uh, so these numbers, because the floating exchange rate regime are actually determined by the, uh, by the market.

Okay.

So now we're going to look at how that market $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1$

is determined.

Now we're going to pick a currency Chinese yuan.

Of course Chinese yuan is not purely floating.

But let's just pretend that it is and we'll compare

it to what the case is, you know, in the

fixed exchange rate regime.

Um, so.

There is a demand curve and a supply curve in

every market, right?

So when we talk about the forex market let's think

about the exchange rate for dollar.

Right

The foreign exchange market for dollars.

There will be people who will be demanding dollars and

there will be people who are supplying dollars okay.

So they come together a demand curve and a supply

curve and the equilibrium, that equilibrium demand and supply for

that currency for dollar is going to be the nominal

exchange rate.

Okay.

So the question is who is part of the demand

curve.

Demand for dollars.

That is to say um, let's say China's domestic airline,

which is called Air China, decides to add one more

aeroplane to its fleet, a US Boeing Dreamliner.

Okay.

What does that need to do?

It needs to use dollars to buy that, um, uh,

aeroplane aircraft.

Right.

So it will need to exchange yuan for dollars in

the forex market to buy that aircraft.

So is it on the demand curve?

It is on the demand curve.

It is part of the demand for dollars.

Um, now Apple buying parts from Chinese Foxconn.

So Chinese Foxconn the company that makes, you know, stuff

for Apple iPhones and things like that.

Apple buying parts from there.

Apple is going to need yuan, right.

So it will have to exchange dollars for yuan.

Okay.

So obviously it is not part of the demand curve

for dollars.

Chinese government buying US Treasury bonds US Treasury bonds is

in dollars, so they will be on the demand curve $% \left\{ 1,2,...,n\right\}$

for dollars

US investor buying a Chinese stock on a Chinese exchange

stock exchange or in Hong Kong.

They will actually need to sell dollars in exchange for

Chinese currency.

So they are not on the demand curve.

Right.

So we only have the first and third examples as

being, um, part of the demand curve for dollars.

Now the demand curve where the price usually is sloped

downward.

Right.

Because the more expensive is, the less you demand of

that stuff.

And the same is true for dollars.

So let's just look at this demand curve on the

y axis.

We have the yuan dollar exchange rate.

So when the exchange rate goes up A to B

that means that the dollar appreciates right.

Going up means appreciates.

Um.

And on the x axis is the dollar the equilibrium

equilibrium or the dollar amount that's transacted in the foreign exchange market.

Right.

So we have prices and quantities on the on the

y and x axis respectively.

Now let's see why this is downward sloping.

So going from A to B means a dollar deep

appreciation okay.

Or it means a yuan depreciation right.

The Chinese currency depreciates dollar appreciates.

That would mean that US goods are more expensive for

Chinese households.

Right.

You're a Chinese household.

You're importing US goods.

Suddenly the dollar depreciate appreciates.

Then the cost of these imports are more expensive in

your domestic currency.

What do you do?

You decrease your demand for US goods.

And what does that mean?

Well, there will be a smaller demand for dollars, right?

So this is why when the price goes up, the

demand for dollars goes down.

Okav

And again the way we interpret this is to link

it to trade.

But obviously it applies to lots of other things like

financial assets.

We talked about financial account.

Right.

Um when the dollar appreciates also financial assets okay.

In the US are going to be more expensive and

you demand less of that.

So it's not just in goods but services assets and

all of these, uh, things that are denominated in dollars.

And that's how we want to interpret this downward sloping curve.

Now who forms the supply curve part of the traders

in this big foreign exchange market, because there'll be people who want to buy dollars.

who want to buy dollars

But then that means there'll be others who need to provide these dollars or want to sell the dollars in

the forex market.

So who are these people?

Um, obviously we said that the first and third examples

are on the part of the demand curve.

When Apple tries to buy parts from Chinese Foxconn, it

has to give Foxconn yuan or the Chinese currency so

it will sell dollars to exchange for yuan.

So that's part of the supply curve.

Apple's part of the supply curve.

A US investor trying to buy a Chinese stock.

Um, um, then, uh, actually, you know, the textbook uh,

gives that example, Alibaba is actually listed, uh, on the

US stock exchange, ironically.

So this would not be a good example.

Um, but if it were listed in Hong Kong, it's

actually now partly listed in Hong Kong, then you would actually want to, uh, buy exchange dollars for Hong Kong

Or if they could buy Chinese stocks on the Chinese $\,$

stock exchange, they would buy Chinese yuan and sell dollars.

So actually the fourth example is, um, part of the supply curve as well.

Okay.

So these two the second and fourth are the supply curve

Now similarly the supply curve is upward sloping okay.

Again when the dollar appreciates right.

So going from A to B which is also a

yuan depreciation then guess what.

Us people or us US households and firms are going $\,$

to see Chinese goods.

That's being extremely cheap or cheaper because of the UN depreciation.

So they're going to demand more Chinese goods.

And um, they will increase their supply of dollars for exchange.

Right.

Because they are on the supply curve, they will provide more dollars to exchange for Chinese yuan and therefore the the supply curve is upward sloping.

Um, so in if only in a flexible exchange rate,

is the exchange rate actually determined by this market where quantity supplied and demand is equal?

Okay.

Demand is supply equals, then this is SDR, is the

nominal exchange rate under floating market transaction.

And Q star is the equilibrium amount of dollar that is actually transacted.

Okay, so now let's see why some currencies depreciate and currencies appreciate and why it actually fluctuates.

Uh, that much.

Right.

IJm.

So again let's look at some exogenous shocks like what happens if there's more demand for US dollars.

The example here we give is let's say that Air

China unexpectedly faces a higher demand for air travel and needs to buy more US Boeing Dreamliners.

Then this would amount to the demand curve of the dollar shifting, right?

Right.

So for every given exchange rate, I suddenly want to have more dollars because I need to buy more US goods or services or financial assets.

And this shifts the demand curve by the right.

And guess what happens.

The equilibrium exchange rate goes up which means the dollar appreciates.

Okay, more dollar demanded drives up the price of dollars.

Exactly the same idea as demand and supply for any other goods.

Okay.

So what does that mean to you?

So this is you know, this is the this in

this in this sense, these are the market determined floating exchange rates.

So what does it mean when you want to, um,

peg your exchange rate to something.

Now lots of countries peg it to the dollar.

The UK, the pound was pegged to the mark for

two years, German mark for two years in the 1990s.

A bunch of other European countries peg to the mark.

Um, uh, you know, you could be pegged to a nominal anchor.

Um, but a lot of it is, is in dollars.

So how do they decide that?

How does it work?

Uh, now, the government must be prepared to sell or buy its currency whenever the pegged rate is above or below the exchange rate.

E star

So here we're starting to understand or describe how the government actually conducts a fixed exchange rate regime.

0kay.

Let's look at an example.

Okay.

So central bank, this is part of central bank's job.

And we'll explain why.

So let's look at this graph.

E star is the floating exchange rate.

Were it to be in a floating exchange rate regime

this is the actual exchange rate, right?

Let's say the Chinese government authorities decides to peg this e at a higher level.

Uh, then estar a higher level, meaning that the US

dollar.

Is overvalued.

Okay.

Compared to here, right?

Going up means that US dollar appreciates right.

So US dollar is overvalued.

When we say overvalued it means that it's above the

equilibrium exchange rate.

When we say undervalued it's below the uh equilibrium exchange $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1$

rate okay.

So it's this currency is very cheap.

Now, how does that work in practice in the central

bank?

Okay, so central Bank of China or other developing countries

that does that, does that remember that we talked about

the balance sheet of the central bank.

Right.

It has assets and liabilities okay.

If it's just a domestic country then the liabilities is

the money supply, the money that's floating around in the economy.

The assets consist of short term bonds, government bonds, long

term government bonds and things like that.

Right

So when we talk about the open economy, the central

bank asset side has an additional element.

And that is something called foreign reserves.

Okav.

Remember that part of the assets is, you know, reserves

is also part of the assets.

But foreign reserves is simply just foreign currency like US

dollar treasuries, liquid liquid currencies.

Right.

Or euros or Japanese yen.

So lots of these central banks will have a fair

amount of foreign currencies or foreign reserves lying around.

That's part of their assets.

Many of them will use this to intervene in the

exchange market.

So how does it work?

Let's say that you're the Chinese central bank, and you $\,$

suddenly see that there's a massive demand for dollars.

0kay.

If you were letting this in a floating exchange rate

regime, a strong demand for dollars would mean that your

currency would depreciate against the dollar, right.

Because there's an increase in the demand for dollars less

the demand for your currency.

But obviously you're in a fixed exchange rate regime.

So you can't let that happen.

You can't let the dollar appreciate against your currency.

So what are you going to do?

Well, you the central bank, was supply the dollars that

that is demanded so that you get that dollars and

there's no additional pressure on the exchange rate to change.

And that means, in practice, that the central bank will

sell the dollars to you.

Right?

And in exchange, take back the R and B, the

UT.

Right.

Um, so it goes in other ways.

If there's too much demand for too little demand for

dollars, then you will buy the currency and so forth.

So it's exactly the opposite.

Now, um, let's look at the case where the dollar

is overvalued.

Now lots of central banks will say choose to to

choose to undervalue their exchange rate.

Let's say take China for example.

Mai the yuan is cheap.

That means that its exports will be cheaper.

Right.

So it's going to stimulate the exports by keeping the

yuan cheap.

That's what the whole debate.

You know, for many years ago, President Trump was saying

China's manipulating the exchange rate, keeping the yuan undervalued.

So to increase the exports and cause a trade surplus

in the China and US deficit in the in Trump.

Right.

There's some debate about whether that actually is is a

sensible argument or not.

But let's just take that example of a of a

undervalued yuan.

So then it's pegged here.

Right.

So again dollar is higher than what it should be

in a floating exchange rate regime.

Look at what happens.

In that case, the demand for dollar is small because

dollar is too expensive, right, compared to the supply of

dollars.

Okay.

So there's an additional supply of dollars in the market.

Who absorbs it.

It's going to be the central bank right, to keep

it at this level.

If you didn't do that then it would just go

to this exchange rate because the dollar will just simply

depreciate.

Your own currency would appreciate.

But to keep it at this level, this gap between

supply and demand will have to be filled by the

central bank.

So this additional supply of dollars will have to be

bought by the central bank.

Right.

So the central bank, the Chinese authorities will exchange yuan,

give markets yuan and take back the dollar's right.

So by dollars selling yuan, that's how you keep it

at that level.

When there's an undervaluation.

Now let's suppose that we have the opposite case which

is an overvaluation.

And let's take Mexico as an example.

Right.

What we'll discuss about why you might want to do

But say that you have an overvalued exchange rate so

that the dollar is below the price.

It would have been in a freely floating exchange rate regime.

So the peso is too expensive, okay.

If the peso is too expensive and the dollar is

too cheap, then you have more demand for dollar than

you have supply of dollar, right?

So what happens?

Who fills this gap?

Again, it is the central bank.

The central bank, given that there is too much demand

for dollars will sell.

Um, a is that right?

Let's see.

This is the dollar is a peso is overvalued.

So dollar is undervalued relative to the peso.

Too much demand for dollars, right.

Too much demand for dollars uh, relative to the supply.

If there's too much demand, then the Mexican government will

have to sell the dollars to satisfy the market.

Right.

So the Mexican government has to supply the dollars and

it sells the dollars, um, uh, to purchase the pesos

right now, first of all, so one is buying dollars,

okay.

And one is selling dollars.

One is buying foreign reserves, one is selling foreign reserves.

Right.

In the different opposite cases.

Now, first of all, why would some some country, if

they're fixing exchange rate, decide to overvalue their exchange rate.

Right.

If we believed in President Trump saying that countries are $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

manipulating their exchange rates to gain because you're making your $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

currency cheaper, why would you want to make your currency

more expensive than it has to be?

Well, and this is this is often true in Latin

American countries.

And the opposite case is true for Asian economies, is

that a lot of these countries like to import lots

of American goods or European goods.

Right?

So if you overvalue exchange rate, then guess what happens

to your imports.

Your imports are cheaper right?

You can buy your imports are cheaper.

But also very importantly, um, all these governments, countries, developing

countries, they borrow in dollars, right?

In dollars.

They go out to international financial markets and say, I'm

going to issue a bond, okay, I'm going to borrow.

They only lend them in dollars, right?

Because, you know, it's not.

Or in the majority of cases, uh, foreign investors lend

in dollars precisely because of the exchange rate problem.

Right.

If I lend you in a Mexican pesos, I don't

know if you, you know, depreciated that I'm going to

get less money back a few years down the line.

So we're going to lend in dollars.

And that's exactly what usually happens to developing countries.

They can only borrow up in dollars.

Now if you have an overvalued exchange rate, then the cost of borrowing in terms of dollars, in terms of your own currency is obviously less than if you depreciate it

Right.

Let's say that the UK borrowed £10 billion.

Okay

And that was, um, \$12 billion, right?

In 1.1 to 1.2.

Okav.

So £10 billion.

Um, I can borrow \$12 billion now in the interim

before, before I, I, I gave back the or, I

repaid the pound depreciated.

So I still have to give back, let's say just

the \$12 billion.

But because the pound depreciated, I can, um.

Uh oh.

Sorry.

If the pound appreciated, then I can give less pounds.

Right.

If the pound appreciated and we still owed \$12 billion.

We can give less than £10 billion to, uh, exchange

for the \$12 billion.

Right.

So if the pound appreciated in the interim, then \boldsymbol{I}

owe the foreigners less.

Right.

So this is why some developing countries, um, say, okay,

I'm an overvalued exchange rate.

Well, uh, I owe the, you know, the cost of

borrowing also, um, uh, is is smaller now, on the

other hand, and this is a problem, had the pound

depreciated against the dollar again, pound UK still owed \$12 $\,$

billion of debt.

The pound depreciates then it's going to cost more than

£10 billion to repay the \$12 billion.

Right.

So once the local currency has depreciated you borrowed in

foreign currency.

Guess what?

You owe more to foreigners, right?

And so this has been a big problem with emerging

 $markets, especially \ after \ these \ currency \ regimes \ collapse.$

Okay.

The currencies depreciate by 60%.

Guess what?

Your dollar borrowing the dollars that you have borrowed are

now 60% more expensive.

Right.

That's another problem with these mega currency collapses okay.

So that's the exchange rate crisis I was talking about.

Just very briefly.

Um, uh, this is the Mexican peso.

When the peg, uh, when the peg, uh, broke, it

depreciated by more than 50%.

Over in the following year, Thailand depreciated by 50%.

Indonesia currency, 80% ruble, Brazil, Korean one, Argentinian peso, etc.,

etc..

Right.

Again, when you owe dollars, you owe them that much

more once you your pegs break.

Now this is not true only of emerging markets, but

also true of advanced economies.

Now look at Britain, right?

Britain was a um.

Pegged to the German mark.

A depreciation of above 15% is a major big deal

for advanced economies.

For other countries Italy, Sweden, Spain, Finland and by almost

That's the exchange rate crises of the European um exchange rate mechanism in the 1990s.

Again, pegs can break.

And again, there are some major consequences of that.

Um, in the remaining minutes.

And we'll pick up next lecture where we won't finish

off, um, speculative attacks on pigs.

Right.

This is the famous Black Wednesday of George Soros.

I don't know if he's a paragon of success and

inspiration or otherwise in this lecture, but, you know, let's

just discuss what he did.

Okay?

So the UK was tied to the German mark and

it was considered to be overvalued.

Okay.

Which means what?

Which means that if it's in a floating exchange rate,

it would just have a depreciation.

Right?

That's what it means when it was overvalued.

Now think about what the Bank of England has to

do.

It's overvalued, which means what lots of people are going

to try to sell sell pounds.

Right.

It's overvalued and they want to have other currencies.

So the Bank of England will hence have to sell

foreign reserves.

Okay.

I'll give you back the, the foreign currency, take in

the pounds.

But remember central banks don't have an infinite amount of

foreign reserves.

They can also they can always create domestic currency like

printing money as we mentioned.

Right.

The digital um button that creates central bank money currency,

they can't do that with foreign currency.

So when they have to sell at some point, if

you're continuing to sell, you might be.

You might have, you might have no reserves left.

And investors can see that sometimes.

Right.

This often happen in very fiscally irresponsible, irresponsible developing countries

when they're doing this massive, you know, government deficit and

spending.

And central bank is creating lots of money and then

it's selling these reserves.

Okay.

Um, so when the pound became overvalued and lots of,

you know, there was a lot of a kind of

pressure for the central bank, Bank of England, to defend.

The exchange rate is spent about \$26 billion, £26 billion

or something, in the summer of 1992, to defend the

exchange rate again, I have to sell the foreign reserves,

taking the pounds right to defend the exchange rate.

Okay, so in September, George Soros sees that this is not going to last.

Okay.

It's not going to last.

So what does he do?

He borrows pounds.

And he exchanged them for Marx.

Okay, he borrows £10 billion.

So that's £10 billion additional pressure for the Bank of

England, right?

Because we're selling your pounds.

You have to give us the German marks.

And guess what happened?

Well, it's not necessarily that the Bank of England did

not have £10, £10 billion worth of German marks anymore,

but it decided that it was just way too costly

to try to defend this pound.

And so it gave up.

The pound floated.

And a depreciated by I don't know how what, what

percentage that is, but by a huge amount.

And George Soros made a billion, uh, pounds off of

that.

How?

Because he borrowed him pounds, he got $\operatorname{\mathsf{German}}$ marks, and

now he has to he has to repay the German

marks, but the pound has depreciated, so it has to

repay less in pounds.

Right.

Um, so that is just all happened in kind of

one day.

Now, you can imagine that when this kind of speculative attacks happened during the East Asian crisis in 1997, there

was a very famous speech by the Prime Minister of

Malaysia, I believe, kind of berating these hedge funds for

doing things like breaking countries and profiting themselves. Right.

Again, there's a debate about this because again, it's a

you know, it's a market, their market transactions.

Look, hey, if you didn't peg it in the first

place, you won't be overvalued and there won't be speculative attacks.

Right?

So who can you blame for these things to happen

again?

A very, um, a very a heated debate.

Um, so, so this is oh, so the British pound

depreciate by 12%, but in Thailand depreciated by 60%.

And some of it was forced by the speculative attack,

especially towards the end.

Right.

They don't wait for the central bank to run out

of reserves.

They attack close enough before you run out of reserves

and suddenly your reserves are completely gone.

So that is one of the challenges of the fixed

exchange rate regime.

We mentioned that there were some benefits, like Iceland can

depreciate 30% and get themselves out of a crisis.

But often if your peg is too far off, like

for instance, you know, China has been successfully fixing their $\,$

exchange rate, roughly speaking, for the last 20,30 years,

no speculative attacks happened.

Why?

First of all, maybe not irresponsible fiscal spending.

Second, maybe the R&B is not so overvalued right now.

You can say it's undervalued.

It's not too far from the equilibrium.

There are a variety of reasons why some speculative attacks

happen successfully, and some speculative attacks happen and they fail.

Okay.

Next lecture, real exchange rate and concluding remarks that ties in all these themes together.

See you Thursday.

Thank you.

Uh.

Uh.

Uh, just.

Physical distancing and.

So.

Oh.

Really.

You.

And.

And.

But.

Think about.

Yes.

Yeah.

But by.

The way.

Okay, everybody, um, let's get started.

I think it's just us.

So, um, last, uh, last lecture.

Congratulations on almost finishing the course.

Um, I want to talk about the last topic, but

also what we can learn, what we have learned and

use, what we have learned to analyse some of the

real world events that that seem to kind of endlessly

surround us with excitement.

So I'm going to take the euro crisis, um, as $\,$

an example.

Now, last, uh, lecture, we talked about the nominal exchange rate

Nominal exchange rate is, you know, how many dollars you exchange for pounds and vice versa.

And it's determined by, um, the foreign exchange market based on demand and supply, except that some countries choose to

fix their exchange rate, and then they kind of pick

an exchange rate, nominal exchange rate that is either undervalued or overvalued, or it could be close to, um, the,

the, the prevailing exchange rate.

Now there's a really important concept that is different from the nominal exchange rate, which is called the real exchange rate.

Real.

Whenever we here real here real, we understand that, um, it's kind of devoid of prices or it takes into

account the changes in levels of prices.

Right.

Real GDP per capita, uh, remind us that nominal GDP, uh, divided by GDP deflator gives you the real, uh,

Real exchange rate is something similar.

Now.

By definition, the real exchange rate is when you convert a common basket of goods across countries into the same currency.

What is that price ratio?

So for example, a US common, a common basket of goods in the US converted in dollars versus the same common basket of goods in the UK, convert it into dollars in that ratio gives you an indication of which country is more expensive, right.

Real exchange rate tells you which country is cheaper, which country is more expensive because it's really comparing. Remember when we did, um, a kind of pptp, we were looking at similar concepts, but this is comparing the basket of goods across countries once converted into the same, uh, currency.

Uh, now the real exchange rate, for example, of a particular good.

Let's take one particular good.

Okav.

When we think about trade and obviously we're trading individual goods, what matters is not really just the nominal exchange rate but actually the real exchange rate.

Why?

Let's take um, the example of a toy.

Okay.

Now we all know that Chinese toys are cheaper, so

they are flooding the markets.

And you know, being in places like Walmart.

But what do what does Walmart compare.

It compares the price of a US toy.

With the price of a Chinese toy once converted into

the same currency, which is now the dollars.

Okay, so this is the real exchange rate for a

toy, right?

The dollar price of a US toy and the dollar

price of a Chinese toy.

Okav.

If they're equal, we say that there is purchasing power parity.

So purchasing power is exactly the same.

And the real exchange rate is equal to one.

Sorry.

Sorry if if the purchasing power parity holds.

So um purchasing power is the same then what it

implies is that real exchange rate is equal to one for this real exchange rate of the toy.

If the price are the same ones converted to the dollars, we say the real exchange rate is equal to

So how does Walmart make that comparison?

Okay, so the dollar price of a US toy.

And then you get the yuan price of a Chinese

toy in Chinese currency.

We have to multiply it by an exchange rate that

converts yuan into dollar.

Right.

So this is \$1 over yuan.

Yuan is down here.

Um, it you, um, you eliminate with the yuan here,

so you end up with dollars here.

Okay.

And this is in dollars and you have a ratio.

In other words, one over e becomes multiplied by e on the numerator.

So that's a real exchangeable for particular good.

That is actually what matters when it determines when we think about exports.

Right.

It's not the nominal exchange rate.

That's a very, very broad thing.

It's when guys like Walmart, they're going to compare the dollar price of the Chinese import with the dollar price of an American good and decide which one it's going to sell

But real exchange rate in general, not for a particular

good, but for an economy, is, as we mentioned in the beginning, that it indicates how expensive a country is. So in that sense, the real exchange rate is, um, defined by the dollar price of a US basket basket divided by, um, the dollar price of a Chinese basket, right.

Which is yuan over times one over E.

So one of the interesting phenomenon is that, um, rich countries, right?

Rich countries, which we mean by per capita GDP being high, have are more expensive.

Right.

Look at the UK, very expensive US, uh, France, Germany, etc. compared to poorer countries.

But why is it right?

Why is it necessarily the case that the real exchange rate tends to appreciate over time as you get richer? Right.

This is not something we're going to explore in this in this class, but this is something which you would learn more about if you're studying international macro is that as countries get richer per capita GDP, they also become more expensive.

And this is a lot to do with the cost

of services.

Right.

Wages rise.

You know, think about the price of our haircuts in the UK compared to one in India.

And a lot of that is driven by by the

prices of the non-tradable good.

But the real exchange rate is important so far as it matters.

Um, it is what it actually determines net exports. So let's look at a graph of the nominal exchange rate between the dollar and the pound and the real exchange rate, which is in the red okay. So again nominal exchange rate as as we all know it, what is the market price of the exchange rate. So for a period of time since 1950 to the mid 1960s or before 1970, UK and US were on a fixed exchange rate because they were fixed at the

dollar. Sorry.

They were um, yeah.

So, um, the Bretton Woods system pretty much tied to the dollar.

So nominal exchange rate is of course flat.

And afterwards the Bretton Woods system broke the Nixon shock and dollar was allowed to float.

So then you can see that the pound and the

dollar exchange rate, um, were uh, were a fluctuating and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

this is going up means a dollar, um, appreciation.

Right.

Uh, and so dollar appreciated generally uh, over time and then depreciate and then went back and appreciated uh, since recently.

Now look at the real exchange rate okay.

So, so when we fix the nominal exchange rate.

Um, when we fixed the nominal exchange rate.

The real exchange rate is simply the changes in the price levels.

Correct?

See, this is not.

This is the real exchange rate.

This is the nominal exchange rate.

So this is a price levels.

What does that mean?

That means that if the fixed exchange rate is fixed, nominal is fixed, then any change in the real exchange rate must be due to inflation differentials between the two countries.

Right.

Since we know that nominal exchange rate is not moving between US and UK during the fixed exchange rate period, then it must be the relative prices are moving.

And this is what explains this real exchange rate move.

Right

So prices inflation was lower in the US and in the UK which is indicated by the real exchange rate depreciation.

Correct.

Once your normal exchange rate is fixed, your real exchange rate depreciates means that prices are falling or inflation is slower than in the UK.

So that's the fixed exchange rate period.

You can see the gap is solely determined by inflation. But then afterwards the you know when you have nominal exchange rate fluctuations as well, then all of this gap could be due to both nominal exchange rate and inflation differences.

And you can see that nominal and real tracks each other pretty closely.

After the US dollar or the fixed exchange rate came to a halt.

And these move along pretty well with the gap being an inflation gap.

And there are some episodes of divergence.

So that's just a graphical look at the difference between real and nominal exchange rate.

Again, the difference is the difference in the price levels or inflation's right.

Um.

So first of all, why is it that the real exchange rate is what we care about when we think about exports or trade balances, right? This is, as we can see from this, uh, this graph, um, if a dollar appreciates, then you would import less from the China imports, less from the United States because US goods become more expensive. Right.

And x more to the United States.

If dollar appreciates, then US becomes more or less competitive.

So you export less to China and Chinese goods become cheaper.

So you import more.

Right.

And the inverse is true when the dollar depreciates. So this is the relationship between the real exchange rate

and net exports.

So when we hear a lot about, you know in

the news oh this currency depreciated.

So it's going to export more.

That's a shortcut right.

Shortcut way of saying the real exchange rate is depreciating. Because you know once the nominal exchange rate depreciates and your prices don't move that much, then that must mean

that you have you have a real depreciation.

Right

And so when we hear in the news, oh, this country depreciates and you export more.

This is captured by this, this graph.

So what does this say on the x axis is

net exports.

So to the right of zero net exports is greater

than zero obviously.

So that means you're running a surplus trade surplus.

And to the left of zero you are importing more

than you're exporting.

So you're running a trade deficit.

Correct.

And this is a downward sloping curve with the relationship

between the real exchange rate and net exports.

Because as the real exchange rate depreciates.

You can see going down this curve.

Then you export more.

Your net export rises.

Right.

So that's why it's a downward sloping curve.

Again if you want to think about this as a

price, um, this is um, demand.

Uh, the demand for net exports is a downward sloping

curve, uh, of the real exchange rate, not the nominal.

Right.

It's real exchange rate, to be precise.

But again, nominal and real could move quite a bit.

Okav.

E star is simply an equilibrium nominal exchange rate, sorry

equilibrium real exchange rate corresponding at a nominal exchange rate

for which there is no trade imbalance.

Okay, so this is why when we look at certain

governments, we talked about Western governments want to undervalue their

nominal exchange rate or overvalue their nominal exchange rate for

different reasons.

So one of the reasons why they want undervalued nominal

exchange rate, and this is true for many Asian economies,

is that they would like to promote their exports.

Right.

So by undervaluing the nominal exchange rate, how do we

do that?

How do we keep a currency low.

You sell that currency and you buy dollars, right?

You buy dollar, you sell that country, keep that currency

at a more depreciate or undervalued exchange rate.

That's what we talked about last time.

Okav.

Um, so a weaker domestic currency, let's say yuan leads

to an overvalued yuan dollar exchange rate.

So that means that you get to export more as

China okay.

China exports more than it imports because of the this

table that we talked about here.

And that leads to a trade surplus for China and

a trade deficit for the US.

You can see that this is US trade balance with

China.

Okay.

Now in the textbook, it's explained as if it's all

driven by this undervalued exchange rate. $% \label{eq:condition}%$

In reality it's not that simple, right.

Chinese goods are cheaper.

Not because the exchange rate is at a low level,

but also because Chinese goods, uh, Chinese labour is cheaper.

Um, supply chain is efficient and so forth.

Right.

So just to say that it's because of undervalued exchange

rate doesn't paint the whole picture.

Usually developing countries are cheaper in general, whether they have

a floating or fixed exchange rate.

Right

But just to take this as an example, illustrating one

thing, following the textbook and undervalued exchange rate can lead

to a larger deficit in the US, a larger surplus

in China.

And this is the US deficit.

Okav.

And then a reversed starting from 2016.

Exactly when Trump came in and was saying that the

trade deficit was too large for the US and China

was undervaluing the exchange rate, the exchange, the the trade

surplus actually reversed, right?

It became, uh, Chinese trade surpluses started to fall.

Now.

Um.

Using what we have learned in the closed economy setting,

let's try to apply it to the open economy setting.

Some things change.

Some things remain the same.

So let's consider the case of what happens when there's

a real exchange rate appreciation.

Now we're going to appreciate appreciate sorry no pun intended.

This this example a lot more when we discuss the

euro crisis which is coming up.

But um, let's say that a country just experiences an

appreciation.

Why is that bad for the economy?

Could potentially could be bad.

Well, if it's a real appreciation.

What you do is your net.

Your exports fall right?

You export less if exports is basically your domestically produced

So that means that the labour demand falls because the

demand for your stuff.

Right.

Let's say to the US, US appreciates in real terms

the demand for US goods falls.

So labour demand in the US shifts to the left.

Correct.

And we're back into familiar territory which says that this

can lead to lower employment.

And it's exacerbated by the downward wage rigidity because if

it were upward sloping, then we would have a smaller

fall in employment or a larger, a smaller rise in

unemployment.

But because of downward wage rigidity, that's amplified.

Um, and so that obviously leads to sequence of sequence

of multiplier problems.

Right.

Once they just refresh our memory, once, um, uh, unemployment

rises or employment falls, these households start to cut spending,

Once the house will start to cut spending, the firms,

because the demand for their goods and services has fallen,

they will start to cut more people employment.

Right.

Because demand has fallen.

And once that gets going, it's a it's a multiplier

effect.

Right.

So we're down to the multiplier.

So you can see that in the open economy there

are some external factors that could cause a recession.

For instance, a large real appreciation that shifts the labour

curve to the labour demand curve to the left is

an onset of a potential recession.

Right.

That's the trigger being the open economy.

Then a feeds back into the closed economy mechanisms that

we have studied.

So whenever there is a crisis or a recession, we

all know, let's turn to policy.

Okay.

What can we do here?

Um, what can the US monetary policy do?

What can the US fiscal policy do?

Right.

So in the open economy, one difference is that $\ensuremath{\mathsf{US}}$

monetary policy not only lowers interest rates.

But it also affects the exchange rate and hence the

real exchange rate.

Okay.

So how does that work?

So remember that just in the closed economy setting, lowering

your interest rate leads to higher borrowing, right?

For investment investment rises because interest rates are lower.

Consumers might be borrowing more to purchase housing or durable goods.

And then you kind of get the economy going right.

But the difference is what is the connection between monetary policy and the exchange rate.

Okav.

So let's look at um this graph here.

This basically explains the verbal the verbal explanation um above okav.

So we are expanding monetary policy right.

This is the credit market.

Okay.

Um, this is the demand for credit.

This is a supply of credit.

So expansionary monetary policy expands or increases the supply of funds.

So supply of credit.

So this curve shifts to the right.

And this reduces the interest rate right.

So classic um uh credit market uh transmission mechanism okay.

Now the key is here, right?

This is what connects to the open economy.

When the interest rate falls, let's say in the US

what happens is that.

The US interest rate falls, we're going to demand less

of US assets, right?

US government bonds returns are lower.

And that capital is going to flow out of the

US to other countries that have a higher interest rate.

Right.

Chasing that, that return.

So this is captured by a downward sloping demand curve

for US assets against the real interest rate.

Okay.

So as the real interest rate falls sorry, this is

this is the um, a let me correct myself.

This is the this is the net capital outflow curve

against the real interest rate.

So when interest rate falls, capital flows, more capital flows $% \left(x\right) =\left(x\right) +\left(x\right) +\left($

out of the US on net.

Okay.

Um, this is again, very easy to understand.

Your interest rate drop.

Think about US treasuries buying US treasuries or UK treasuries.

Right?

US interest rate falls US leaves and buys UK interest rates, which are treasuries which have a higher rate of return

So net capital outflows increase when domestic interest rates fall.

But now let's connect what we have learned in the

open economy and think about what that means for exports.

Remember that this is the financial account okay.

The flip side of the financial account with assets is

the current account.

Right.

So net capital outflows is a reflection or this is a current account surplus is simply a reflection of an increase in net exports.

Right.

Once you increase your net exports you are also lending more to foreigners.

Right.

Because they are importing more from you.

So you lend to them to buy from you.

Remember that idea that that graph about, you know, once we export we're accumulating US treasuries, right.

That's exactly that notion.

So the net export net net capital outflow increase is exactly coincides with a trade surplus okay.

And trade surplus is um, uh, a trade surplus is

also consistent with an exchange rate depreciation.

Okay, so that's the link between a monetary policy expansion and a depreciation.

Um, the short cut of this is you want to

think about, you know, US interest rate falls because the

monetary expansion capital leaves the demand for your US assets

So your exchange rate depreciates.

Okay.

You don't have to think about in terms of trade,

but the trade is just the mirror image of that.

Right?

The demand for your own assets falls as your interest rate falls.

So your exchange rate tends to depreciate.

Okay.

So there's this one missing link that we haven't talked about.

And this is that which is how do you link

exchange rates with policy.

Um, so we didn't talk about fiscal policy here, where

we'll get to that with a with an example.

Um, but let's revisit what happened to Black Wednesday, George Soros.

Right.

Um, personally made more than £1 billion induce the the Bank of England to, uh, defend the exchange rate with billions lost billions of pounds in the banking.

And that must have been bad, except that it was good.

Why was it good?

Because it led to a very, um, uh, significant depreciation of the pound.

Remember that once the German mark and the pound peg was broken, the pound depreciated.

And the depreciation of the real exchange rate.

Um, induced or caused a much more, um, a higher expansion in net exports.

So the UK was actually doing very well.

Now, the backdrop of this is that of course, the

pound was overvalued, right?

That's what everybody was seeing overvalued.

And so then you bet against the pound and it

broke because in the end the central bank is going

to run out of reserves to defend the the pound.

And so if we look at the.

Real exchange rate.

Remember that it's not just determined by nominal exchange rate,

but also by prices.

Right?

So the UK government was saying, okay, we have a

fixed exchange rate.

We can't do anything about that.

And we know that we're overvalued in real terms.

So let's let's bet that the British business will start

to cut their prices, right.

By cutting prices.

You can also depreciate the real exchange rate.

Correct.

Um, even even when nominal exchange rate is not changing.

So again, real depreciation comes from nominal depreciation or from prices falling.

So they were saying, well, companies, if they're going to,

they realise they're very uncompetitive, they will slash prices and

then the real exchange will depreciate even if the nominal

doesn't depreciate.

But guess what?

That doesn't really that didn't really happen and took a

long period of time.

I mean, it would take a long period of time

for business to want to do that.

And they don't really like to cut prices.

So that's that's why the pound being overvalued for a

long period of time was ultimately broken.

But once you devalued or depreciated your nominal exchange rate,

you had a massive real depreciation.

And that actually boosted UK exports enough to actually make

it grow at a significantly higher rate post $\mbox{\it George}$ Soros.

Okay.

So you can now also understand the problems of a

fixed exchange rate.

Now there are benefits right.

Like we said trade stability investment stability.

But if you're too overvalued to out of line then

especially overvalued then these you are also vulnerable to speculative

attacks and then ultimate collapses of these regimes.

Okay, so what I want to do now before we

turn to a whirlwind tour of kind of what we

have learned so far, is to use some of the

tools, hopefully all of the tools that we have learned

to start thinking about real world events.
Okay, so I want to walk you through an example,

and hopefully you'll at least retain something from this lecture.

And if you retain something as much as what we

were talking about in the last lecture, that would be

plenty for you to go out and understand some of

understand a deeper level, the things that are happening and

the world is not, um, going to be in a

deficit of crises for you to learn about in the

future, I'm sure.

So this would be a good exercise.

Okay, so let's take the euro crisis, for example.

Okav.

Now, um, and again, I'm going to try to bring

in some of the stuff that we've learned.

Now Euro general idea.

Everybody understand what it is.

You know, European Union more integration, more political stability a

big, big market, single market that can rival that of

the US.

So a very, very good plan in principle.

However, the euro um, after the EU, after the creation

of the eurozone, uh, a series of things happened.

First, a lot of these countries, let's call it, um,

the periphery.

Okav.

No, no.

Um, uh, periphery, like Greece, Portugal, um, uh, Spain, if

you will.

Um, they started to borrow a lot.

Okay.

Why?

Because the interest rates started to fall right again, linking

to what we know when interest rate fall, people borrow

more, companies borrow more.

Governments can also borrow more.

Right.

Why was the interest rate wrong?

Well, because it was an integrated eurozone.

So they were able to borrow interest rates at the

same interest rate levels as those like France and Germany.

Right.

The core companies.

So what happens when you borrow a lot?

Let's just use what we have learned before.

Well, some of that went into consumption okay.

As we said, you know, you borrowed to consume.

A lot of it went into property in the case

of Spain.

Property prices really ballooned.

Again, reminding us of the initial onslaught of the onset

of the US financial crisis was a housing boom and

a housing collapse.

Right.

So you can think about this eurozone initial shock as

one that has capital flows from Greece, I'm sorry, from

Germany and France, the core into the periphery, uh, Greece,

Spain, Portugal, Ireland and so forth.

And so that finance not only more, um, more consumption,

 $high\ property, but\ also\ greater\ government\ budget\ deficits.$

Right

But the deficit is basically just borrowing, right?

Government borrowing, the public borrowing, not just private borrowing and public borrowing.

Okay.

When interest rate falls, you expand borrowing.

Um, not only were governments borrowing, banks were also doing

much more borrowing and lending.

Okay, so a lot of these banks borrowed a low

interest rate.

That's short term, right?

Think about their liabilities being very short term.

And they're invested in long term assets.

Think about the balance sheet thing that we have learned

in class.

What are these long term assets?

Well housing.

Okay or financing kind of unproductive, not necessarily productive activities.

So if they go into housing, that also becomes, you

know, a potential, um, a risk when housing prices fall.

Now here is something that now it relates to very

 $\label{eq:much is at the core of what we learn, right?}$

Once you have this massive capital inflow in these periphery countries, these capital inflows turn into a rise in demand, correct?

Whether it's consumption or investment or, you know, more government spending or more property, that's all demand.

So what does that mean?

The labour demand curve shifts to the right.

Okay, again, what we have learned.

Now, we know that when labour demand curve shifts to

the right.

It can cause what?

Not only higher employment, more GDP, but also more inflation.

Okay, so prices started to rise in these country as

well as wages.

So wages started climbing up.

Okay because of these massive capital inflows that drove up

demand, but because these capital inflows weren't necessarily invested in really productive things, right, like making your economy more, you

know, I don't know, infrastructure or good infrastructure or productivity,

but it was invested in housing and consumption.

That meant that these rising prices simply made you more uncompetitive.

Think about the real exchange rate.

Okav.

Now everybody's in the euro, right?

So the euro, the nominal exchange rate is not changing.

But when prices rise, what happens to the real exchange

rate?

The real exchange rate appreciates.

What happens to real exchange rate depreciation?

We saw this.

Labour curve's labour demand curve starts to move to the left.

Okay, so once you become more uncompetitive then you can

export less, right, because the prices are higher and that would lead to more current account deficit rather than current account surplus.

Okay.

So that kind of perpetuates the borrowing.

Okay.

Now, at this point, you're in a very kind of

risky circumstance.

What would you do if you had labour demand curve

starting to shift to the left.

Right.

Okay.

So before we get to what would you do?

Um, what was the trigger of the eurozone crisis?

Was harking back to what we have learned in, um,

uh, in the financial crisis, the US financial crisis.

Remember that the US financial crisis, Lehman fell and all

that started, you know, the banking sector and the financial

system started to, uh, go into a credit freeze.

So then they turn to Europe.

These investors say, hey.

Maybe we should be careful about these countries as well.

Started with Greece.

Okay.

Greece.

Um, first of all, kind of did a very did

a self disservice by announcing that actually the previous government lied about its deficit.

It was not 6% of GDP, was actually 12% of

GDP.

Okay.

Government deficit.

And then these um, these investors like, whoa, is this sustainable?

Are you going to be able to repay your debt?

Okay.

So that started from Spain, Greece.

And then they start to look at our Spain and

Portugal and Ireland Ireland.

Ireland's banking system is 700% of GDP.

Okay.

So again coming back to what we're saying, these bank borrowed a lot short term and massively long term.

So it was very, very fragile at that point.

Because remember what we when we learned about the banking system.

There's a mismatch right.

Mis maturity mismatch which is you borrow short term and you have to lend long term.

And guess what.

You have to keep on constantly rolling over your short

term debt, right?

One year or two year every time you have to

roll.

But then your long term investments like in housing and $% \left(x\right) =\left(x\right) +\left(x\right)$

infrastructure, you can't take that back.

Okay, so they look at the banks and like, whoa,

this is.

This is very dangerous, right?

So there was a sudden stop of capital flows.

They stopped lending, or it was much harder to roll over their debt.

And these banks in Greece, Italy, Spain and so forth.

Okay.

Or they were charging really high interest rates for you to row over because that's the risk premium they want

to charge for the greater risk.

So when you have the sudden stop of capital flows,

what does that mean?

Linking back to what we said.

Less credit in the system, less lending, less economic activity.

Right.

Less economic activity because there's less bank lending and credit.

Um, government lost the tax revenue base, and so they

had to borrow more from banks.

Okay, so this government and banking relationship also something we

have learned is at the very also at the very

core of the euro crisis.

Why?

Because banks lend to the government.

Right.

So banks were holding sovereign debt of these or government

debt.

If these debt.

Become problematic, the banks become problematic.

And guess what?

The governments have to go out and bail the bank.

So that doesn't make any any sense, right?

It's a doom loop.

So again, that's all connecting to what we have learned $% \left(x\right) =\left(x\right)$

also in the banking system now.

Banks were highly leveraged.

So they found themselves difficult with this capital inflows.

And that led to an economic crisis in these countries,

um, and many and many unemployment rates in Greece, Italy and Spain reached 27%.

The crisis didn't end here and then started to spread into the core economies that didn't have a problem.

Why?

Because the likes of France, Germany, Belgium, they were fine.

They weren't borrowing that much, right?

They were actually the current account surplus holders lending to these countries.

But they held their banks, held a lot of the

Greek debt and the Spanish debt and the Italian debt.

So then you're an investor in the U.S., you're thinking,

hmm, um, well, these countries, they're fine, but their banks

are holding all these Greek debt.

So if we have a Greek debt write off that's

going to put the French banks and German banks into trouble.

So they become risky.

So we're going to charge a higher interest rate on

their banks as well.

So you see that all these macro things are connected

right.

For the sentiment through these bank, um, and lending connections

so that then can potentially spread to even the countries

that didn't have a problem in the first place.

Okav.

So first of all let's look at the multiplier effects.

Freshen up on our multiplier effects.

Okav

So economic crisis that occurred in these countries.

Worsened the debt problems, right.

Because they're looking at these country and say, oh, you

guys have a really high debt to GDP ratio.

But when there's an economic contraction, GDP falls.

And this debt to GDP ratio actually rises even more.

Right.

So then investors actually charge a higher interest rate.

And the debt becomes even more sustainable because you have to service pay a higher interest.

So then it becomes this circle, right?

That becomes even larger, GDP falls even more and you're even more risky.

They're going to charge even higher interest rate.

And we know from what we have learned, higher interest rate can cause investment to fall and GDP to fall,

riaht

Now, the second kind of multiplier effect is something what we talked about just mentioned is that close link between government and banks.

So banks held government debt.

So for a while think about it.

If you're a kind of a fiscally irresponsible, responsible country,

you're borrowing a lot as a government.

You have a populist government and you want to borrow.

Who's going to lend to you?

Well, either the central bank or the private banks will

lend to you.

So the banks were holding a lot of the government

debt.

Now the banks were holding Greek debts became a um

or a or a um were uh, were questioned in

terms of their viability.

So then the government has to bail them out.

Right.

But the governments, while bailing them out, can actually go down with them.

Why?

Because you're going to spend a lot of government resources trying to bail out the bank, and then you're bankrupt.

So the irony, I don't know if everybody will get

this.

Is that the rescue?

The rescue refers to the.

And the government bailing out the banks.

That rescue involves the rescuer, the government borrowing from the rescued the bank to do the rescue.

Okay, so that tight link makes the problem much worse.

You're borrowing from the banks to bail them out.

You know how how great is that, right?

So that created a doom loop in Ireland was the

first to fall from that.

And many other countries flirted with this crisis, was ultimately able to avoid it.

So again, that close link.

This is why we study central bank balance sheet and

bank balance sheets and how monetary policy works to understand some of these mechanisms.

And so when the credit market comes or the financial

system becomes problematic, that spills onto the real economy.

Right.

When credit contracts, then nobody can do business.

I'm exaggerating here.

There's less business activity and and the real economy goes into recession.

This is exactly how the financial crisis in the US,

which started from the financial system, ultimately trickled to the

real economy, right, because banks were not able to lend.

Now, what makes it another source of multiplier is the wage rigidity.

Okay.

Now, if you could just lower wages, right, you would

be able to stem a bit at least the degree

of the crisis.

But because of wage rigidity, when your labour demand curve

shifts to the left and worsens the problem, you can't

adjust through falling wages.

Unemployment becomes even higher and even worse than that.

And this is part about the euro, is that you

can't devalue your currency.

You could have simply if you were Iceland.

Right?

In Iceland did exactly that.

Iceland had a banking crisis that was bailed out.

It depreciated by something like 30%.

And what happened?

Well, it was exporting like crazy.

And the demand for its goods and services and tourism

rose, and it was able to get itself out of

crisis.

You can't do that when you're in the eurozone.

Right.

So that links to our policy choice to the open $% \left\{ \mathbf{n}_{1}^{\left(n\right) }\right\} =\mathbf{n}_{1}^{\left(n\right) }$

economy.

Now.

What could have these countries done right?

In the absence of a eurozone, your real exchange rate depreciate.

There's a bad shock.

There's a pandemic shock.

First of all, you do countercyclical policy.

You can do countercyclical monetary policy, right.

You can lower interest rates.

Can you do that in the eurozone?

No, because you don't have a national central bank.

The central bank is called the ECB, ECB run by

Christine Lagarde.

She doesn't.

She can't put money for you, right?

You're in Greece.

ECB is not going to print money for you to

repay Greek debt.

But if you were Greece and you have your own

national central bank again one click create central bank money

service the debt.

Right.

That's what the UK was able to do.

UK, the Bank of England was able to buy up

its own debt.

Depreciate the pound no problem.

No euro.

And that's because it's not part of the euro.

Right.

So countercyclical monetary policy when you have only one central

bank for the eurozone.

Difficult.

Why?

Because not every country is the same, right?

If every country was the same, like in the US,

you have lots of regions under one umbrella.

That would be fine.

But Greece and Greece and Italy and Spain were very

different from France and Germany and Netherlands and northern Europe.

Right.

You can't have one monetary policy that's going to fit

everybody.

That's one limitation.

Second, could you do countercyclical fiscal policy?

That's what you would also do in the in times

of crisis.

Right.

Usually yes.

Okay.

That's one tool that's afforded to you in the eurozone.

But it was quite the opposite.

Not only did they not do counter-cyclical fiscal fiscal policy,

they did pro cyclical fiscal policy.

Why in the recession or when times were really bad,

they actually contracted their fiscal deficit okay.

So they spent less or in raise taxes.

You don't raise taxes when you're in recession.

You're supposed to lower taxes.

But why do they have to do that?

Well, either because they were in bailout and bailout had

some prescriptions about fiscal basically austerity, right?

Austerity.

Austerity is not the right policy in a recession.

But that's what was prescribed to them when they had

the bailout, or they were just voluntarily contracting their fiscal

deficit because they want to avoid that doom loop of

sovereign debt crises leading to bank crises.

But again, that worsened the situation because you can't do countercyclical policy when you don't do count when you do

austerity in a recession, that's pro cyclical fiscal policy rather

than countercyclical fiscal policy.

And third, they couldn't devalue their currency.

So what's left?

Well, what's left.

Left is a very long period of time of internal

devaluation.

Internal devaluation just means you become cheaper within the country.

You can't be cheaper by devaluing your nominal exchange rate.

So how do you become cheaper?

Well, you have very painful either wage cuts or slow wage growth, slower wage growth and price growth compared to other countries.

And we know that because of downward wage rigidity, it's very hard to cut wages.

Right.

You can't simply just be 30% cheaper by cutting wages by something of that significant amount. So it was exacerbated by this rigidity both in price, you know, kind of factor markets the labour and capital. And so then ultimately you had to do these structural reforms, which is why if you look at a graph of, you know, the eurozone growth, UK growth, US growth, eurozone growth is post-crisis.

The the slowest.

I'm not saying this is the problem, but at least looking at the data.

Um, there is a sense in which these restrictions, uh, could, um, could, could be quite painful.

And, and the lesson here is, you know, we need to have flexibility, right, to counter these shocks.

And again, these shocks can come from a variety of things.

You need to have policy tools.

And this is exactly why the boring second part of this course was talking about.

Right.

You need these tools to deal with these various shocks as they come along.

But by being in a currency union with very heterogeneous countries with different conditions, you're losing or giving up a substantial number of these tools.

Okay.

So.

Lastly, in conclusion, um, the last few minutes, I want to give an overall or overarching view of what we have learned so that once you go back and review, hopefully conceptually, you'll be able to link things together and understand why we spent so much time talking about one thing over another and use these tools to analyse things like the euro crisis, the US financial crisis, the pandemic, and see, see how far you can get right.

These are enough tools for you to get get out

These are enough tools for you to get get out there and educate people about what has happened. So we started out with some basic basics, right? The founding building blocks of what we need from GDP measurement to understand really what goes into GDP. Um, uh, a key equation here is the production equals expenditure equals income.

So we can measure GDP through the value added, um approach and a GDP income approach.

Very very important C plus I plus G plus net

exports tell us demand.

Demand is coming from these factors.

Rising demand leads to rise in income.

And as we mentioned nominal versus real GDP P adjusted so as to compare income across countries.

Right.

These are all things that we needed to do.

Um prices involved inflation.

Obviously we know why inflation is really important because inflation affects real wages.

Real interest rate, um, which is what ultimately drives, uh,

things, um, in, in the real economy.

Okay.

Of course it produces winners and losers.

If you're a borrower, you like inflation.

If you're a lender, you don't like inflation and things

like that.

Quantity money.

A quantity theory of money tells us that, um, money

supply is roughly, uh, going to grow in line with

nominal GDP, right?

If there's too much money, uh, for the level of

GDP that produces inflation, if there's too little money that produces deflation.

So policymakers have to make sure that money supply, uh,

is roughly in line with economic activity of, of the

country.

So once we trended.

A GDP series.

Okav.

We know that there's trend growth.

And that comes back to our first topic, which is

what drives growth, right?

The trend that's growth.

And then the cycles, the short run fluctuations is the

business cycle, the stuff that we have talked about pandemic,

real estate, you know, real estate crisis, housing crisis, euro

crisis, etc..

And the second element that's important is that there are

three or a few more, but three key markets that

we've learned.

Again, building blocks to understand how the whole economy works,

we need to understand these building blocks right.

Labour markets.

Determining wages, unemployment, equilibrium, employment, voluntary unemployment and things like voluntary unemployed and things like that.

And this is very important for the multiplier effect or

 $amplification \ effect \ of \ the \ business \ cycle.$

Okay.

Labour markets determine labour.

Capital markets determine capital.

Those are elements that go into the solo growth model

or long term growth.

And we had a deep dive in what determines the

demand and supply of credit and labour.

And the foreign exchange rate markets determine the price, which

is exchange rates, a key determinant for things like net

exports.

Okay, the real exchange rate.

But that's very much linked to the nominal exchange rate.

So it's roughly just three topics right.

Growth is about that trend growth that we talked about.

And because there's roughly constant growth we have exponential growth.

And exponential growth is very powerful.

Remember that once you exponentially, uh compound something it becomes

very large very quickly.

And that is the power of constant growth.

So when we look at growth in the future and

again that trend.

We're looking at long term income per capita, right?

Not the demand side.

 $Demand\ side\ is\ short\ term\ consumption\ investment\ net\ exports.$

Government spending determines income in the short run because that's

demand

And the long term income is determined by real stuff

like capital, labour and productivity.

That's what we've seen in the solo model.

And the solo model tells us that long run product,

long run growth is either zero if you don't have

any productivity growth or is equal to productivity growth, you

cannot grow in the long run by accumulating capital.

Why?

Because of the diminishing returns to capital.

Okay, so solo model tells you that if you want

to grow perpetually, it has to come from, um, productivity growth.

But in the short term.

If you have a lower capital stock to labour ratio

compared to the steady state, you can grow by capital

accumulation, but that doesn't last.

And that leads to the distinction between ketchup growth, which

is, you know, catching up and sustained growth, which is

driven by a productivity growth.

And that implies that there are things like convergence, right.

Poor countries tend to grow faster than richer countries, conditional

 $upon\ things\ like\ saving\ education\ and, you\ know, things\ like$

that. Right.

Nigiit.

Important factors.

And there are some different debates about what causes growth

that are going beyond just the solo model or Malthus.

Geography, institutions, culture and all that.

But remember that solo model where.

Note that solo model.

This does not have any role for globalisation, right?

Which is what we touched upon the last part of

the course.

Trade.

FDI.

Foreign capital flows can also enhance a nation's GDP if

it raises its productivity, if it stimulates their demand, and

lots of things that we have not really explored in detail.

But so far in topic one, we haven't addressed this

and this is something we have a taste of this

globalisation in the very final part of the course.

Topic two fluctuations.

Once we looked at the trend, which is growth.

Let's look at the cycle.

Fluctuations are just natural outcomes of the market economy, right?

Booms and busts and the shocks could be animal spirits.

It could be driven by productivity shocks.

It could be driven by money, monetary value and the

multiplier effect, as we talked about at length, coming from $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

downward wage rigidity or the relationship to consumers and banks

and affirms that goes in that circle, the multiplier effect.

And what we do with it is countercyclical policy, whether

it's monetary policy, changing interest rate or the federal funds

rate, which is the rate at which banks interest rate,

which banks lend to each other.

That's the supply part and the demand part.

And through lower interest rates.

We just mentioned about how that can stimulate the economy,

but is too much stimulus would generate inflation.

So the central bank has to be very careful in

terms of how much money is out there.

That's policy and quantitative easing when you hit zero.

Finally.

Lastly.

Fiscal policy.

Fiscal policy has the additional benefit of automatic stabilisers.

But government spending.

We don't know how much it multiplies, right?

It could be larger than one, or it could be

less than one.

If it's less than one, it means that government is

crowding out something could be crowding out private investment.

It also has long lags and there's potential waste.

But fiscal monetary policy is usually just part of the

tools, along with exchange rate policy, part of the tools

with dealing with these booms and busts.

0kay

And in the last, last lecture we talked a lot.

Last few lectures, we talked about the open economy.

And this I won't repeat because we talked about this,

this course.

So this kind of broadly summarises what we have learned.

And hopefully that will be enough for you to retain

some of this after you leave this course to look

at economics more in depth, or to read more newspapers

with a greater understanding of what's going on.

Okav.

So thank you and congratulations on being a macro expert.

All right.

See you guys in the summer.

Thank you.

And please, please.

Do.

Fill out the survey.

We have a very low response rate for this course.

Thank you.

That's.

I want.

To.

Yes.

Oh, yes.

Yes.

Yes.

What we did.

You guys?

Yeah.

Uh, yeah.

I mean.

And I don't.

Right?

Huh?

Wow.