

2023 October 11

Deep Learning for Music Analysis and Generation

MIDI Generation (I)

($x \rightarrow \text{score}$)



Yi-Hsuan Yang Ph.D.
yhyangtw@ntu.edu.tw

Objectives

- **Language modeling (LM)** for symbolic-domain music generation
 - To account for *long-range dependency*
 - Consider “musical events” (e.g., beginning and releasing of a note) as a **token**
 - Then build an LM
 - By **RNN**
 - By **Transformer**
- **Token design**
- Symbolic-domain music generation with song-level structure: next lecture

```
bach piano_strings start tempo90 piano:v72:G1
piano:v72:G2 piano:v72:B4 piano:v72:D4 violin:v80:G4
piano:v72:G4 piano:v72:B5 piano:v72:D5 wait:12
piano:v0:B5 wait:5 piano:v72:D5 wait:12 piano:v0:D5
wait:4 piano:v0:G1 piano:v0:G2 piano:v0:B4 piano:v0:D4
violin:v0:G4 piano:v0:G4 wait:1 piano:v72:G5 wait:12
piano:v0:G5 wait:5 piano:v72:D5 wait:12 piano:v0:D5
wait:5 piano:v72:B5 wait:12
```

Reference: Three ISMIR Tutorials


Tutorials at the International Society for Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR)

- “**Machine-Learning for Symbolic Music Generation**” by Pierre Roy (Spotify) and Jean-Pierre Briot (Sony CSL) at **ISMIR 2017**
<https://ismir2017.ismir.net/tutorials/index.html#T4>
- “**Generating Music with GANs—An Overview and Case Studies**” by Hao-Wen Dong and Yi-Hsuan Yang (Academia Sinica) at **ISMIR 2019**
<https://salu133445.github.io/ismir2019tutorial/>
- “**Designing Generative Models for Interactive Co-creation**” by Anna Huang (Google), Jon Gillick (UC Berkeley), Chris Donahue (Stanford) at **ISMIR 2021**
<https://github.com/chrisdonahue/music-cocreation-tutorial>

Outline

- **General ideas**
- Image-based approach for symbolic-domain music generation
- Text-based approach for symbolic-domain music generation
 - RNN
 - Transformer
- Token design
- Building your first MIDI Transformer

Music Production Pipeline



Types of Music Generation Research


1. **Composition (symbolic)**: MIDI scores (or other types of symbolic format)
2. **Performance (symbolic)**: MIDI performances (scores + expression)
 - a. Generate MIDI performances *from scratch* (i.e., generate scores and their expression at the same time)
 - b. Given MIDI score, generate MIDI performance (e.g., VirtuosoNet [1])
3. **Audio**
 - a. Generate audio *from scratch*
 - b. Given MIDI, generate audio

Will talk about **1** and **2a** in this lecture

[1] Jeong et al, “VirtuosoNet: A hierarchical RNN-based system for modeling expressive piano performance,” ISMIR 2019

Unconditional or Conditional Generation

- The generation of MIDI scores can also be conditional



(Figure made by Hao-Min Liu)

Lead Sheet Generation

- Lead sheet
 - melody
 - chord
 - (lyrics)

- Possible generation tasks
 - Given chord, generate melody
 - Given melody, generate chord (melody harmonization)
 - Or, generate both from scratch


015 一件美事

D 4/4

C E_m A_m D_m F
5 | 1 1 2 3 5 5 3 2 | 2 1 --- | 0 2 2 3 4 3 2 |
已 過 二十 世紀 以 來， 千 千 萬 萬 寶 貴
A_m G₇ E_m A_m D_m G₇ E_m
1. 2 2 3 4 | 5. 1 1 1 2 3 | 4. 3 2 3 4 | 5 5 5 1 |
的 性 命 心 愛 的 奇 珍， 崇 高 的 地 位 以 及 燦 級 的 前
F E_m A_m D_m G₇ C F G₇
6 6 5 4 | 5. 1 1 2 3 | 4. 3 2 1 7 | 1 --- 1 1 | 6. 6 5 |
途， 都 曾 枉 費 在 主 耶 穌 身 上， 對 這 些 愛 主
C F G₇ C D_m G₇
2 | 3 --- 1 1 | 6 - 5 2 | 3 --- 2 3 | 4 4 4 3 2 2 2 3 |
的 人， 祂 是 全 然 可 愛， 祂 是 全 然 可 愛 配
D_m G₇ F G₇ C A_m
4 4 3 4 5 5 6 | 5 . 0 1 ||: 6 . 6 7 . 7 | 1 7 6 6 6 7 |
得 我 們 獻 上 一 切。 我 們 洩 在 主 身 上 的 不 是
C D_m G₇ D_m G₇ C C
1 5 5 - 4 3 | 4 4 4 3 2 2 2 3 | 4. 3 2 2 | 3 -- 0 1 :|| 1 -- ||
枉 費， 乃 是 馨 香 的 見 證， 見 證 祂 的 甘 甜。 我 甜。

Task Complexity: From Composition to Performance

- Lead sheet



A diagram showing seven different voicings for a Cmaj7 chord. The top row shows the chord name 'Cmaj7' followed by seven diagrams of the chord on a treble clef staff. The bottom row provides labels for each: 'closed voicing', 'open voicing', 'open voicing', 'rootless voicing', 'rootless voicing', 'Kenny Barron voicing', and '4th voicing'. The website 'www.JAZZTUTORIAL.COM' is at the bottom right.

Broken Chords / Arpeggio


Two diagrams illustrating chord performance. The top diagram, labeled 'Harmonic Chords', shows a treble clef staff with a single vertical bar line and three horizontal bar lines above it, representing a harmonic chord. The bottom diagram, labeled 'Broken Chords (Arpeggio)', shows a treble clef staff with a series of eighth-note strokes moving up and down the staff, representing an arpeggio. Both diagrams have a '3' written below them, likely indicating a triplet feel.

Figure 9

- Performance: expressive timing and dynamics

Task Complexity: From Single Track to Multiple Tracks

- Melody generation → piano generation → accompaniment generation




(Figure made by Hao-Min Liu)



Algorithmic Composition in the Old Days


- Based on **probabilities**
- Given “ x_{t-1} ” (the last one), predict “ x_t ” (the current one)



<https://towardsdatascience.com/markov-chain-for-music-generation-932ea8a88305>

Algorithmic Composition in the Old Days


- Rule-based
 - safe but rigid
- Statistical-based (e.g., Markov chain)
 - limited flexibility



<https://towardsdatascience.com/markov-chain-for-music-generation-932ea8a88305>

Automatic Music Composition by Neural Networks


- The relationship between the input and output is modeled through a large number of layers, with “hidden states” that may not have a physical meaning



<https://towardsdatascience.com/training-deep-neural-networks-9fdb1964b964>

Autoregressive Models for Music Generation

- Based on **probabilities**
- Given " x_{t-1} " (the last one), predict " x_t " (the current one)



- Given " $x_1, \dots, x_{t-2}, x_{t-1}$ " (all the past), predict " x_t " (the current one)

Autoregressive Models for Music Generation

- A piece of music is considered as a sequence of “events”
- A model is trained to predict “what comes next”, given the history of previous events

$$P(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, x_{t-3}, \dots), \quad \underline{x_t \in S}$$

a finite collection of possible events

“As we listen to melodies, our brain also guesses what's next”
<https://bigthink.com/surprising-science/music-brain-predict>


- Given a **prompt**, generate **continuation**
- But there are also *non-autoregressive* approaches

Outline

- General ideas
- **Image-based approach for symbolic-domain music generation**
- Text-based approach for symbolic-domain music generation
 - RNN
 - Transformer
- Token design
- Building your first MIDI Transformer

Two Main Approaches


(1) Consider MIDI as **image** using the piano roll representation



(image from the internet)

Piano Roll


Represent each bar of a single-track MIDI as a fixed-size matrix (thus an image)




Coconet & Bach Doodle: Non-GAN CNN-based Approach

<https://magenta.tensorflow.org/coconet>

- For 2-bar piano roll “**infilling**”: to complete *partial* scores
- Blocked-Gibbs sampling (non-autoregressive)




Ref: Huang et al, “Counterpoint by convolution”, ISMIR 2017




Coconet & Bach Doodle: Non-GAN CNN-based Approach

<https://magenta.tensorflow.org/datasets/bach-doodle>


- “In three days, people spent 350 years worth of time playing with the Bach Doodle, and Coconet received more than 55 million queries”



Top overall repeated melodies



Top repeated melodies per country




Unique regional hits

Ref: Huang et al, “The Bach Doodle: Approachable music composition with machine learning at scale”, ISMIR 2019

MidiNet [yang17ismir]

- Convolutional GAN for **one-bar melody** generation
 - Turn a random vector into a piano-roll like matrix (non-autoregressive note-wise)
 - Conditioned on the previous bar (2D condition), or on the chord (1D condition)



Ref: Yang et al, "MidiNet: A convolutional generative adversarial network for symbolic-domain music generation", ISMIR 2017

MidiNet: Examples

https://richardyang40148.github.io/TheBlog/midinet_arxiv_demo.html

- Variants of MidiNet



(a) MidiNet model 1



(b) MidiNet model 2




(c) MidiNet model 3

- With drums

Ref: Yang et al, "MidiNet: A convolutional generative adversarial network for symbolic-domain music generation", ISMIR 2017

Multi-track Piano Roll

- Represent different voices (tracks) in different channels of a fixed-size tensor




(different colors represent different tracks in this visualization)

MuseGAN [dong18aaai]

<https://salu133445.github.io/musegan/>

- Convolutional GAN, for **multi-track** MIDI generation
 - 5 tracks, 4 bars
 - Sophisticated design for cross-track, cross-bar dependency




Ref: Dong et al, “MuseGAN: Multi-track sequential generative adversarial networks for symbolic music generation and accompaniment”, AAAI 2018

MuseGAN: Data

<https://salu133445.github.io/musegan/dataset>


- LPD dataset: **128K MIDIs (piano-rolls) from LMD** (<http://colinraffel.com/projects/lmd/>)




Ref: Dong et al, "MuseGAN: Multi-track sequential generative adversarial networks for symbolic music generation and accompaniment", AAAI 2018

MuseGAN: Intra- & Inter-tracks


- Multi-track
 - Piano, guitar, bass, strings, drums
- **Hybrid model**
 - One “**shared**” (inter) z
 - Five “**private**” (intra) z_i
 - **Five** generators
 - **One** discriminator



(a) the jamming model





(b) the composer model



(c) the hybrid model


Ref: Dong et al, “MuseGAN: Multi-track sequential generative adversarial networks for symbolic music generation and accompaniment”, AAAI 2018

MuseGAN: Temporal Model



Ref: Dong et al, “MuseGAN: Multi-track sequential generative adversarial networks for symbolic music generation and accompaniment”, AAAI 2018

MuseGAN: WGAN-gp



Ref: Dong et al, "MuseGAN: Multi-track sequential generative adversarial networks for symbolic music generation and accompaniment", AAAI 2018

MuseGAN: G & D

Input: $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{128}$					
reshaped to $(1, 1) \times 128$ channels					
transconv	1024	2×1	(2, 1)	BN	ReLU
transconv	256	2×1	(2, 1)	BN	ReLU
transconv	256	2×1	(2, 1)	BN	ReLU
transconv	256	2×1	(2, 1)	BN	ReLU
transconv	128	3×1	(3, 1)	BN	ReLU
transconv	64	1×7	(1, 7)	BN	ReLU
transconv	K_{bar}	1×12	(1, 12)	BN	tanh
Output: $G_{\text{bar}}(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^{96 \times 84 \times K_{\text{bar}}}$ (K_{bar} -track piano-roll)					

(b) the bar generator G_{bar}

Ref: Dong et al, “MuseGAN: Multi-track sequential generative adversarial networks for symbolic music generation and accompaniment”, AAAI 2018

- **Grow time steps first**
 - $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 32 \rightarrow 96$
- **Then notes (freq)**
 - octave (7)
 - then, pitch (84)


Input: $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 96 \times 84 \times 5}$ (real/fake piano-rolls of 5 tracks)					
reshaped to $(4, 96, 84) \times 5$ channels					
conv	128	$2 \times 1 \times 1$	(1, 1, 1)	LReLU	
conv	128	$3 \times 1 \times 1$	(1, 1, 1)	LReLU	
conv	128	$1 \times 1 \times 12$	(1, 1, 12)	LReLU	
conv	128	$1 \times 1 \times 7$	(1, 1, 7)	LReLU	
conv	128	$1 \times 2 \times 1$	(1, 2, 1)	LReLU	
conv	128	$1 \times 2 \times 1$	(1, 2, 1)	LReLU	
conv	256	$1 \times 4 \times 1$	(1, 2, 1)	LReLU	
conv	512	$1 \times 3 \times 1$	(1, 2, 1)	LReLU	
fully-connected	1024			LReLU	
fully-connected	1				
Output: $D(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \in \mathbb{R}$					

(c) the discriminator D


MuseGAN: Objective Metrics

(implemented in <https://github.com/salu133445/muspy>)


- EB: ratio of empty bars (in %)
- UPC: number of used pitch classes per bar (from 0 to 12)
- QN: ratio of “qualified” notes (in %); we consider a note no shorter than three time steps (i.e. a 32th note) as a qualified note; QN shows if the music is overly fragmented
- DP, or **drum pattern**: ratio of notes in 8- or 16-beat patterns, common ones for Rock songs in 4/4 time
- TD: or **tonal distance**; the hamornicity between a pair of tracks; larger TD implies weaker inter-track harmonic relations



LeadsheetGAN [liu18icmla]




- Extension of MuseGAN
- Generate the lead sheet first
- Use features extracted from the lead sheet as condition to generate the multi-track arrangement



Ref: Liu & Yang, "Lead sheet generation and arrangement by conditional generative adversarial network", ICMLA 2018

RhythmCAN [tokui20arxiv]




Tokui, “Can GAN originate new electronic dance music genres?—Generating novel rhythm patterns using GAN with genre ambiguity loss”, arXiv 2020

UNAGAN [liu20interspeech]

Unconditional generation of spectrograms

<https://github.com/ciaua/unagan>



-  singing
-  speech
-  violin
-  piano

Two Main Approaches

(1) Consider MIDI as **image** using the piano roll representation

- Works better for generating **patterns** (and spectrograms)
- **Less effective for modeling long sequences**

Outline

- General ideas
- Image-based approach for symbolic-domain music generation
- **Text-based approach for symbolic-domain music generation**
 - RNN
 - Transformer
- Token design
- Building your first MIDI Transformer

Two Main Approaches

(2) Consider music as **text**

- Use “tokens” to represents “events” in music
- Becomes a “natural language generation” (NLG) problem
 - e.g., Folk RNN [sturm15ismir-lbd]



M:4/4
K:Cmaj

```
|: G 2 E > C G > C E > C | G 2 E > G c > G E > C | D 2 D > F (3 D E D [ B, C ] > E | C 2 (3 E C C B > F D > A |
G 2 E > C E > C E > C | G 2 E 2 G > C E > C | D 2 d 2 G > B d > B |1 c 4 c 2 (3 G A B :| |2 c 2 B > A C 3 G /2 A /2
|: B > c d > e f > d B > c | d > e d > e c > A (3 G A B | c 2 e > c c 4 | e > a (3 e e e c 2 (3 G A B |
c 2 c > e f > e d > c | _B 2 B 2 A < B d < c | B > G F > D D > _B B < d |1 c 2 B 2 c > A G < B :| |2 c 2 B 2 c 2 c 2 |
```

Ref: Sturm et al, “Folk music style modelling by recurrent neural networks with long short term memory units,” ISMIR-LBD 2015

RNNs

RNN based Encoder



- Text tokens: “The”, “Cat”, “Is”, “Black”
- Music tokens: “C4”, “E4”, “G4”, ...

RNN-based Symbolic Music Generation

- Used to be the state-of-the-art
- Good for not-very-long sequences (e.g., 16 bars)
- Examples
 - **MelodyRNN** (2016): <https://magenta.tensorflow.org/2016/06/10/recurrent-neural-network-generation-tutorial>
 - **C-RNN-GAN** (2016)
 - **Song From PI** (2017)
 - **DeepBach** (ICML 2017)

RNN Example 1: DeepBach

<https://replicate.com/ghadjer/deepbach>

- Notes, voices, **hold** (`_)
- **Fermeta** \mathcal{F}
 - End of a musical phrase
- **Subdivision** \mathcal{S}
 - Subdivision indexes of a beat
- Dataset
 - 352 chorale harmonizations by J.S. Bach included in the music21 toolkit
 - Data augmentation by key transposition





Figure 3. Extract from a Bach chorale and its representation as four voice lists and two metadata lists (\mathcal{S} and \mathcal{F}). The hold symbol is displayed as “_” and considered as a note.

DeepBach

- Four sub-networks
 - One RNN for the past
 - One RNN for the future (writing backward)
 - One for the concurrent notes
 - One that summarizes information from the above three
- Softmax
 - to turn the output into probabilities

$$\text{Softmax}(z)_j = \frac{e^{z_j}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{z_k}} \quad \text{for } j \in [K].$$



Ref: Hadjeris et al, “DeepBach: a steerable model for bach chorales generation”, ICML 2017

DeepBach

- Pseudo-Gibbs sampling
 - Non-autoregressive
 - Can be used for infilling
 - But may be less effective for prompt continuation


Algorithm 1 Pseudo-Gibbs sampling

- 1: **Input:** Chorale length L , metadata \mathcal{M} containing lists of length L , probability distributions (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) , maximum number of iterations M
- 2: Create four lists $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{V}_3, \mathcal{V}_4)$ of length L
- 3: {The lists are initialized with random notes drawn from the ranges of the corresponding voices (sampled uniformly or from the marginal distributions of the notes)}
- 4: **for** m from 1 to M **do**
- 5: Choose voice i uniformly between 1 and 4
- 6: Choose time t uniformly between 1 and L
- 7: Re-sample \mathcal{V}_i^t from $p_i(\mathcal{V}_i^t | \mathcal{V}_{\setminus i, t}, \mathcal{M}, \theta_i)$
- 8: **end for**
- 9: **Output:** $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{V}_3, \mathcal{V}_4)$

RNN Example 2: Music VAE [roberts18icml]



<https://magenta.tensorflow.org/music-vae>

- For **melody** generation
- **Bidirectional encoder**
- **Hierarchical decoder**
 - Bar-level conductor
 - Note-level decoder
- **Recurrent VAE**
 - Two-layer LSTM for the encoder, conductor, decoder
 - Spherical Gaussian prior for the latent code z



Music VAE [roberts18icml]

- Latent space manipulation
 - Attribute vectors
 - **Spherical interpolation**



Music VAE [roberts18icml]


- Data representation
 - 2- and 16-bar melodies (monophonic note sequences)
 - **130-dimensional output space** (categorical distribution over tokens)
 - 128 “note-on” tokens for the 128 MIDI pitches
 - plus single tokens for “note-off” and “rest”



- Model the melodies as sequences of 16th note events
 - Only consider 4/4 signature—each bar consists of 16 events
 - 2-bar data: sequence length 32
 - 16-bar data: sequence length 256



Music VAE [roberts18icml]

- Training
 - Cross-entropy loss
 - For each time step (token)
 - Teacher forcing
 - During *training* time, use observed sequence values (i.e. ground-truth samples) back into the RNN after each step
 - Scheduled sampling
 - To reduce “**exposure bias**,” the discrepancy between training time and inference time sampling



Music VAE [roberts18icml]

- Inference
 - Softmax temperature
 - <https://medium.com/mlearning-ai/softmax-temperature-5492e4007f71>




Training/Inference for Sequence Models (RNNs, Transformers)

- **Training**
 - Output a **softmax** distribution (sum-to-one)
 - Compare that softmax distribution (continuous-valued) with the groundtruth one-hot distribution (discrete) using **cross entropy**
 - *Teacher forcing:* **Do NOT really sample from that distribution**, but use the **groundtruth token** as the input for the next time step
- **Inference**
 - Output a **softmax** distribution (sum-to-one)
 - **Do sampling**
 - There are many sampling methods
 - May apply temperature
 - Use the **sampled token** as the input for the next time step
 - *Exposure bias:* The discrepancy between training time and inference time sampling


Music VAE [roberts18icml]

- Training
 - Cross-entropy loss
 - Teacher forcing
 - Avoid “**posterior collapse**”
 - Where the model learns to ignore the latent state
 - Reduce the effective scope of the decoder RNN by only allowing it to propagate state within an output subsequence
 - VAE training techniques:
 β -VAE and ***free bits***



Music VAE [roberts18icml]

- For **melody** generation
- For **bass** generation
- For **drum** generation
 - “For drum patterns, we mapped the 61 drum classes defined by the General MIDI standard to **9 canonical classes** and represented **all possible combinations of hits with 512 categorical tokens**”



Applications of MusicVAE

- Melody mixer

<https://experiments.withgoogle.com/ai/melody-mixer/view/>

- Beat blender

<https://experiments.withgoogle.com/ai/beat-blender/view/>

- Latent loops

<https://teampieshop.github.io/latent-loops/>


RNN Example 3: Jazz RNN

https://soundcloud.com/yating_ai/sets/ismir-2019-submission


- An RNN-based model that takes a random 8-bar chord sequence as condition to generate an **8-bar Jazz piano performances**
- Can also do **Pop-to-Jazz style transfer**
 - “This is done by using JazzRNN to pre-generate a large collection of note sequences and then finding the one (by machine) with the closest rhythmic pattern to that of the melody line extracted from the separated vocal part of a given Pop song.”

Extension of Jazz RNN: Jazz Bass Player

<https://ailabs.tw/human-interaction/ai-jazz-bass-player/>




Extension of Jazz RNN: Jamming with Yating



Ref 1: Yeh et al., "Learning to generate Jazz and Pop piano music from audio via MIR techniques," ISMIR-LBD 2019
Ref 2: Hsiao et al, "Jamming with Yating: Interactive demonstration of a music composition AI", ISMIR-LBD 2019

Jamming with Yating Demo




Outline

- General ideas
- Image-based approach for symbolic-domain music generation
- Text-based approach for symbolic-domain music generation
 - RNN
 - **Transformer**
- Token design
- Building your first MIDI Transformer


Transformers

- Attention mechanism
 - Maintain a latent vector for **every** token in the history
 - Compute the correlation between the input with all the latents in the history
- In contrast, there is only **one** latent vector for the entire sequence in RNNs




RNNs vs. Transformers

RNN based Encoder



Transformer's Encoder



- **RNNs**


- use only a latent vector
- $y_t = f(y_{t-1}, h_{t-1})$
 - current output
 - last output
 - latent representation of the “history”

- **Transformers**

- multiple latent vectors
- $y_t = f(y_{t-1}, [h_{t-1}, h_{t-2}, \dots, h_{t-L}])$
 - latent representation of $t-1$
 - latent representation of $t-L$

Transformers


- There are encoders & decoders
 - Encoder: cross-attention
 - Decoder: self-attention
- **Decoder-only** architecture
 - Usually used for unconditional, or prompt-based generation
 - Mainly talk about this one today
- **Encoder/decoder** architecture
 - Usually used for sequence-to-sequence generation



Transformer Example 1: Music Transformer [huang19iclr]


<https://magenta.github.io/listen-to-transformer/>

- Generate full piano performances (one note at a time)
 - “... can generate **minute-long** compositions (thousands of steps) with compelling structure, generate continuations that coherently elaborate on a given motif, and in a seq2seq setup generate accompaniments conditioned on melodies.”



Music Transformer [huang19iclr]

<https://magenta.tensorflow.org/piano-transformer>



Transformer Example 2: MuseNet [payne19]

<https://openai.com/blog/musenet/>

- Generate multi-track MIDIs by a **72-layer** sparse Transformer decoder
 - *Instrument-related* tokens

```
bach piano_strings start tempo90 piano:v72:G1 piano:v72:G2
piano:v72:B4 piano:v72:D4 violin:v80:G4 piano:v72:G4
piano:v72:B5 piano:v72:D5 wait:12 piano:v0:B5 wait:5
piano:v72:D5 wait:12 piano:v0:D5 wait:4 piano:v0:G1 piano:v0:G2
piano:v0:B4 piano:v0:D4 violin:v0:G4 piano:v0:G4 wait:1
piano:v72:G5 wait:12 piano:v0:G5 wait:5 piano:v72:D5 wait:12
piano:v0:D5 wait:5 piano:v72:B5 wait:12
```

- Another early multitrack Transformer: LakhNES [donahue19ismir]



Ref 1: Payne et al, “MuseNet,” OpenAI blog, 2019

Ref 2: Donahue et al, “LakhNES: Improving multi-instrumental music generation with cross-domain pre-training”, ISMIR 2019

Transformer Example 3: SymphonyNet [liu22ismir]

<https://symphonynet.github.io/>

- 12-layer Transformer decoder trained on 46,359 symphonic MIDI music





Ref: Liu et al, "Symphony generation with permutation invariant language model", ISMIR 2022

RNNs vs. Transformers

- RNNs work well when the sequence is *not that long*
 - Melodies (e.g., Folk RNN, MusicVAE)
 - 8-bar piano performance (e.g., JazzRNN)
- Transformers work better for *longer sequences*
 - At the expense of computational power
 - An RNN-based model may contain **3** layers or so
 - A Transformer-based model may use up to **>72** layers ... (e.g., MuseNet)
 - Usually, a **12**-layer Transformer decoder (with about 40M learnable parameters) works okay
 - Represent **the SOTA** approach for sequence modeling, and for building **LLMs**

RNNs vs. Transformers



- **RNNs**
 - use only a latent vector
 - $y_t = f(y_{t-1}, h_{t-1})$
 - **training: slower**
 - **inference: faster**
- **Transformers**
 - multiple latent vectors
 - $y_t = f(y_{t-1}, [h_{t-1}, h_{t-2}, \dots, h_{t-L}])$
 - **training: faster** (teacher forcing → parallel training)
 - **inference: slower**

Key to the Text-based Approach


- **Neural sequence model**
 - RNNs, hierarchical RNN, Transformers
 - *Attention mechanism design*
- **Token representation of music**
 - MIDI-like, ...
 - *Token design*

Outline

- General ideas
- Image-based approach for symbolic-domain music generation
- Text-based approach for symbolic-domain music generation
- **Token design**
- Building your first MIDI Transformer

Representing Music as Text

- Representing melody is easy




- How about??

A piano sheet music example. The top staff is in treble clef and the bottom staff is in bass clef, both in 4/4 time with a key signature of one sharp. The piano part consists of eighth-note patterns in the bass and sixteenth-note patterns in the treble, separated by vertical bar lines.


MIDI-like Representation

- Represent a music piece as a *token sequence*
- Describe a musical note of a MIDI performance by **three** tokens




MIDI-like Representation

- And, one additional token to mark “**time-shift**” (i.e., ΔT ; interval time)
 - To deal with concurrent notes



MIDI-like Representation

- And, one additional token to mark “**time-shift**” (i.e., ΔT ; interval time)
 - To deal with concurrent notes




$\Delta T = 50\text{ms}$

An Example: PerformanceRNN [simon17]


<https://magenta.tensorflow.org/performance-rnn>

- Generate piano performances with **expressive timing + dynamics**
 - 128 **note-on** events + 128 **note-off** events: start or release a MIDI note
 - 100 **time-shift** events in increments of 10 ms up to 1 second; move forward in time to the next note event
 - 32 **velocity** events, corresponding to MIDI velocities quantized into 32 bins



Issues of the “Time-Shift” Token


- There is a “**sampling**” mechanism at Transformers’ output
 - **Errors in Time-Shift accumulate** → the generated music lacks stable rhythmic structure
 - The model does not have built-in notion of beats/downbeats



(images from the internet)


REMI: Revamped MIDI Representation

- REMI: An alternative token representation of music
 - Mark the bar lines by “**bar**” tokens
 - Indicate the position of a note within a bar by “**sub-beat**” tokens




REMI: Revamped MIDI Representation

- REMI: An alternative token representation of music
 - Mark the bar lines by “**bar**” tokens
 - Indicate the position of a note within a bar by “**sub-beat**” tokens




REMI: Revamped MIDI Representation

- REMI: An alternative token representation of music
 - Add **bar-wise tempo-change** tokens when needed to account for **tempo variations within a song** (the “tempo-change” tokens are after “bar” tokens)



REMI: Revamped MIDI Representation

- REMI: An alternative token representation of music
 - **Mark the bar lines by “bar” tokens and divide a bar into 16 discrete sub-beats**
 - **Symbolic timing + explicit time grid** (instead of using relative absolute time intervals)



- **“Tempo-change” tokens** to facilitate **symbolic-to-absolute timing conversion**
- How to get bar/sub-beat info?
 - Scores: already there
 - Transcribed performances: use beat/downbeat tracking

Ref: Huang et al, “Pop Music Transformer: Beat-based modeling and generation of expressive Pop piano compositions”, ACM Multimedia 2020

REMI: Revamped MIDI Representation

- REMI: An alternative token representation of music

- Use `Sub-beat, Bar, and Tempo` instead of **Time-Shift**
- Use `Note-Duration` instead of **Note-Off**
 - note-on and note-off are native MIDI events
 - but using note-duration seems to have better musical meaning
- Add `Chord` tokens

	MIDI-like	REMI
Note onset	NOTE-ON (0-127)	NOTE-ON (0-127)
Note offset	NOTE-OFF (0-127)	NOTE DURATION (32th note multiples)
Note velocity	NOTE VELOCITY (32 bins)	NOTE VELOCITY (32 bins)
Time grid	TIME-SHIFT (10-1000ms)	POSITION (16 bins) & BAR (1)
Tempo changes	X	TEMPO (30-209 BPM)
Chord	X	CHORD (60 types)

Table 1: A comparison of the commonly-used MIDI-like event representation with the proposed one, REMI. In the brackets, we show the corresponding ranges.

REMI: Modeling the Chord

Before (no chord information)



After (with chord information)



* Both encode and generate the chord information

Ref: Huang et al, "Pop Music Transformer: Beat-based modeling and generation of expressive Pop piano compositions", ACM Multimedia 2020

piano




Pop Music Transformer [huang20mm]


<https://github.com/YatingMusic/remi>

- Adopt the **REMI** representation
- Outperforms the Music Transformer (“MIDI-like”) for generating pop piano performances

Music
Transformer



Pop Music
Transformer




Ref: Huang et al, “Pop Music Transformer: Beat-based modeling and generation of expressive Pop piano compositions”, ACM Multimedia 2020

Pop Music Transformer



- **Easier to add drums** (via structure analysis and grooving analysis)
 - https://soundcloud.com/yating_ai/sets/ai-piano-generation-demo-202004
 - https://soundcloud.com/yating_ai/sets/ai-pianodrum-generation-demo-202004



(Figure made by Wen-Yi Hsiao)


- Extensions can also generate **guitar tabs** [chen20ismir]
 - https://soundcloud.com/yating_ai/ai-guitar-tab-generation-202003/s-KHozfWOPTv5

Ref 1: Huang et al, “Pop Music Transformer: Beat-based modeling and generation of expressive Pop piano compositions”, ACM Multimedia 2020

Ref 2: Chen et al, “Automatic composition of guitar tabs by Transformers and groove modeling”, ISMIR 2020

But...


- Both MIDI-like and REMI use multiple tokens to represent a musical note; isn't that inefficient?



The Problem: Transformers are Expensive

- **Transformers**

- multiple latent vectors
- $y_t = f(y_{t-1}, [h_{t-1}, h_{t-2}, \dots, h_{t-L}])$
- Memory complexity: **$O(L^2)$** , where L denotes the length of the attention window (i.e., the history) because of the $L \times L$ similarity matrix
- Need to cut a music pieces into **segments** to fit the VRAM



(Figure from the Performer paper)

The Problem: Transformers are Expensive

	Representation	Model	Attn. window
Music Transformer (Huang et al. 2019)	MIDI-like	Transformer	2,048
MuseNet (Payne 2019)	MIDI-like*	Transformer	4,096
LakhNES (Donahue et al. 2019) (Choi et al. 2020)	MIDI-like*	Transformer-XL	512
Pop Music T. (Huang and Yang 2020)	MIDI-like	Transformer	2,048
Transformer VAE (Jiang et al. 2020)	REMI	Transformer-XL	512
Guitar Transformer (Chen et al. 2020)	MIDI-like	Transformer	128
Jazz Transformer (Wu and Yang 2020)	REMI*	Transformer-XL	512
MMM (Ens and Pasquier 2020)	MIDI-like*	Transformer	2,048

- Most people use **512-token** attention window
 - But, a piano cover of a pop song can contain up to **5k** tokens
 - **Segmentation** of music pieces makes it hard to learn **long-term patterns**

Compound Word Transformer [hsiao21aaai]

<https://github.com/YatingMusic/compound-word-transformer>


	GPU memory	Training time (single GPU)	Inference time (on GPU)	Quality MOS
Transformer-XL	4-17 GB	3-7 days	2x real time	3.0-3.3
CP Transformer (ours)	4 GB	1 day	8x real time	3.3

- **Compound Word:** grouping of tokens
- Shorter training time → easier to try different ideas
- Shorter inference time → good for real-time applications

Ref: Hsiao et al, “Compound Word Transformer: Learning to compose full-song music over dynamic directed hypergraphs,” AAAI 2021

Compound Word Transformer [hsiao21aaai]


- Unlike the case in text, the vocabulary of music involves tokens of various token types (e.g., note-related, metric-related)
- Therefore, we can
 1. **group tokens** into “compound words” (e.g., pitch + duration + velocity)
 2. **predict multiple tokens** of various types **at once in a single time step**
 3. the embeddings of the predicted tokens are combined to be used as input to the next time step



Ref: Hsiao et al, “Compound Word Transformer: Learning to compose full-song music over dynamic directed hypergraphs,” AAAI 2021

Original Transformer


single output at each time step



single input at each time step

Compound Word Transformer

multiple output at each time step



multiple input at each time step

Transformer vs. CP Transformer

- **Transformer**
 - $y_t = f(y_{t-1}, [h_{t-1}, h_{t-2}, \dots, h_{t-L}])$
 - attention window: **L tokens**
- **CP Transformer**
 - multiple output: $\text{cp}_t = [y_t^{(1)}, y_t^{(2)}, \dots, y_t^{(K)}]$
 - multiple input: $\text{cp}_{t-1} = [y_{t-1}^{(1)}, y_{t-1}^{(2)}, \dots, y_{t-1}^{(K)}]$
 - $\text{cp}_t = f(\text{cp}_{t-1}, [h_{t-1}, h_{t-2}, \dots, h_{t-L}])$
 - `cp` is a super token
 - The latent vectors `h` are associated with each `cp`
 - Attention window: **L super-tokens**, or LK tokens

Compound Word Transformer [hsiao21aaai]

- We can now feed a **whole song** (up to 10,240 tokens) to our Transformer decoder for training on a single GPU with **11GB VRAM**
- The model converges within 1.5 days using an NVIDIA RTX 2080 Ti

Task	Representation + model@loss	Training time	GPU memory	Inference (/song) time (sec)	tokens (#)
Conditional	Training data	—	—	—	—
	Training data (randomized)	—	—	—	—
	REMI + XL@0.44	3 days	4 GB	88.4	4,782
	REMI + XL@0.27	7 days	4 GB	91.5	4,890
	REMI + linear@0.50	3 days	17 GB	48.9	4,327
	CP + linear@0.27	0.6 days	10 GB	29.2	18,200
Unconditional	REMI + XL@0.50	3 days	4 GB	139.9	7,680
	CP + linear@0.25	1.3 days	4 GB	19.8	9,546

Ref: Hsiao et al, “Compound Word Transformer: Learning to compose full-song music over dynamic directed hypergraphs,” AAAI 2021

Compound Word Transformer [hsiao21aaai]

- **Linear Transformer** [katharopoulos20icml]: $O(L)$
→ save GPU space
- **CP**: shorter sequence length
→ save GPU space further, and converge much faster

Representation + model@loss	Training time	GPU memory
Training data	—	—
Training data (randomized)	—	—
REMI + XL@0.44	3 days	4 GB
REMI + XL@0.27	7 days	4 GB
REMI + linear@0.50	3 days	17 GB
CP + linear@0.27	0.6 days	10 GB

Ref: Katharopoulos et al, “Transformers are RNNs: Fast autoregressive Transformers with linear attention”, ICML 2020

Customed Design for Different Token Types

Different embedding size & sampling policy

Repre.	Token type	Voc. size $ \mathcal{V}_k $	Embed. size (d_k)	Sample _k (\cdot)	
				τ	ρ
CP	[track]	2 (+1)	3	1.0	0.90
	[tempo]	58 (+2)	128	1.2	0.90
	[position/bar]	17 (+1)	32	1.2	0.90
	[chord]	133 (+2)	256	1.0	0.90
	[pitch]	86 (+1)	512	1.0	0.90
	[duration]	17 (+1)	128	2.0	0.90
	[velocity]	24 (+1)	128	5.0	1.00
	[family]	4	32	1.0	0.99
	total	341 (+9)	—	—	—
	REMI	total	338	512	1.2 0.90

Table 3: Details of the CP representation in our implementation, including that of the sampling policy (τ -tempered top- ρ sampling). For the vocabulary size, the values in the parentheses denote the number of special tokens such as [ignore].

Library: MidiTok

<https://github.com/Natooz/MidiTok>

REMI



```
class miditok.REMI(tokenizer_config: TokenizerConfig = None, max_bar_embedding: int | None = None,  
params: str | Path = None)
```

REMIPplus (multi-track extension of REMI)



```
class miditok.REMIPplus(tokenizer_config: TokenizerConfig = None, max_bar_embedding: int | None = None,  
params: str | Path = None)
```

MIDI-Like

```
class miditok.MIDILike
```

CPWord

```
class miditok.CPWord
```

Octuple

```
class miditok.Octuple
```

Outline

- General ideas
- Image-based approach for symbolic-domain music generation
- Text-based approach for symbolic-domain music generation
- Token design
- **Building your first MIDI Transformer**

Building Your First MIDI Transformer Decoder

- Step (1): Task & Data
- Step (2): Token representation
- Step (3): Choose a model
- Step (4): Train the model till the loss is sufficiently low
- Step (5): Do inference
- Step (6): Listen to the generated music!
- Step (7): Do evaluation


DIY Step (1): Task & Data

- Choose a task, and a dataset
 - https://github.com/affige/genmusic_demo_list
- List of symbolic musical datasets
 - <https://github.com/wayne391/symbolic-music-datasets>
- Three relatively more frequently studied cases
 - **Lead sheets** (score) — Jazz Transformer (ISMIR'20)
 - **Multi-track MIDI** (score) — Multitrack Music Transformer (ICASSP'23)
 - **Piano performance** — Pop Music Transformer (MM'20), Compose & Embellish (ICASSP'23)

DIY: To Prepare a Dataset of Piano Performances

<https://github.com/YatingMusic/compound-word-transformer/blob/main/dataset/Dataset.md>

- Piano transcription
 - (“Onsets and frames” is no longer the SOTA → lecture 7)
- Beat and downbeat tracking
- Melody extraction
- Chord recognition
- Quantization
- Additional steps
 - Auto-tagging
 - Source separation



DIY Step (2): Choose A Token Representation

- Single-track
 - MIDI-like, REMI, CP, etc
- Multi-track
 - REMI+, Octuple, MMM, MuMIDI, etc
- Depend on your VRAM, you may need to decide a sequence length and cut your musical pieces into segments

DIY Step (3): Choose A Model

- PyTorch 2 Transformers

<https://pytorch.org/blog/accelerated-pytorch-2/>

- Huggingface Transformer

<https://huggingface.co/docs/transformers/index>

- x-Transformers

<https://github.com/lucidrains/x-transformers>

DIY Step (4): Train the Model

- Train the model till the loss is *sufficiently* low
- Our experience on pop piano
 - Training loss around 0.30 gives better result
 - The model overfits and generates overly repeating content when the loss is too low
 - Keep several checkpoints and listen to the result to choose one
- Not that needed to consider validation data
 - Unless you are building a conditional generation model with, for example, an encoder/decoder architecture

DIY Step (5): Do Inference

- **Tweak the sampling parameters** to strike a better balance between **diversity and quality**
 - The sampling parameters can influence the generation result **A LOT**
 - But, oftentimes people did not describe how they choose the sampling parameters for their proposed models and the evaluated baseline models, leading to unfair performance comparison
 - **A good practice in our Compose & Embellish (ICASSP'23) paper**

Nucleus sampling [21] with tempered softmax is employed during inference. We discover that the temperature τ and probability mass truncation point p greatly affect the intra-sequence repetitiveness and diversity of generated lead sheets. Thus, we follow [22] and search within $\tau = \{1.2, 1.3, 1.4\}$ and $p = \{.95, .97, .98, .99\}$ to find a combination with which our lead sheet model generates outputs with the closest mean perplexity (measured by the model itself) to that of validation real data. Finally, $\tau = 1.2$ and $p = .97$ are cho-

DIY Step (5): Do Inference

<https://towardsdatascience.com/decoding-strategies-that-you-need-to-know-for-response-generation-ba95ee0faadc>

- Greedy
- Beam search
- Random sampling
- Temperature
- **Top-K Sampling**
 - Only top K probable tokens should be considered for a generation
- **Nucleus Sampling**
 - Focuses on the smallest possible sets of Top-V words such that the sum of their probability is $\geq p$

DIY Step (6): Listen to the Generated Music!

<https://www.fluidsynth.org/>

<https://github.com/bzamecnik/midi2audio>

- Use a synthesizer if you prefer to listen to audio

```
from midi2audio import FluidSynth
```

Play MIDI:

```
FluidSynth().play_midi('input.mid')
```

Synthesize MIDI to audio:

```
# using the default sound font in 44100 Hz sample rate
fs = FluidSynth()
fs.midi_to_audio('input.mid', 'output.wav')
```

FluidSynth

A SoundFont Synthesizer

FluidSynth is a real-time software synthesizer based on the SoundFont 2 specifications and has reached widespread distribution. FluidSynth itself does not have a graphical user interface, but due to its powerful API several applications utilize it and it has even found its way onto embedded systems and is used in some mobile apps.

DIY Step (7): Do Evaluation

- More on this in the next lecture