#### 2025 edition

Deep Learning for Music Analysis and Generation

# **Source Separation: Quick Notes**

(audio → audio)



**Yi-Hsuan Yang** Ph.D. yhyangtw@ntu.edu.tw

### **Objectives**

- To be familiar with how people work on spectrograms
- It's a type of conditional audio generation task (with strong condition)
  - "audio (mixture) → audio (stem)"
  - Will talk about other types of audio generation tasks in the forthcoming lectures

### **Reference 1: FMP Notebook**

### https://www.audiolabs-erlangen.de/resources/MIR/FMP/C8/C8.html

| Part      | Title                          | Notions, Techniques &<br>Algorithms   | HTML   | IPYNB   |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---|--------|---------|
| B impyler | Basics                         | Basic information on Python, Jupyter notebooks, Anaconda package management system, Python environments, visualizations, and other topics | [html] | [ipynb] |
| 0         | <u>Overview</u>                | Overview of the notebooks (https://www.audiolabs-erlangen.de/FMP)   | [html] | [ipynb] |
| 1         | Music<br>Representations       | Music notation, MIDI, audio signal, waveform, pitch, loudness, timbre   | [html] | [ipynb] |
| 2         | Fourier Analysis<br>of Signals | Discrete/analog signal, sinusoid,<br>exponential, Fourier transform,<br>Fourier representation, DFT, FFT,<br>STFT                         | [html] | [ipynb] |
| 3         | Music<br>Synchronization       | Chroma feature, dynamic programming, dynamic time warping (DTW), alignment, user interface  | [html] | [ipynb] |


| Part          | Title  | Notions, Techniques &<br>Algorithms   | HTML   | IPYNB   |
|---------------|--|---|--------|---------|
| 4             | Music Structure<br>Analysis                  | Similarity matrix, repetition,<br>thumbnail, homogeneity, novelty,<br>evaluation, precision, recall, F-<br>measure, visualization, scape plot | [html] | [ipynb] |
| 5             | Chord<br>Recognition                         | Harmony, music theory, chords,<br>scales, templates, hidden Markov<br>model (HMM), evaluation   | [html] | [ipynb] |
| 6 <b>A+++</b> | Tempo and Beat<br>Tracking                   | Onset, novelty, tempo, tempogram, beat, periodicity, Fourier analysis, autocorrelation  | [html] | [ipynb] |
| 7             | Content-Based<br>Audio Retrieval             | Identification, fingerprint, indexing, inverted list, matching, version, cover song   | [html] | [ipynb] |
|               |  | Harmon to transmit and a second   |        |         |
| 8             | Musically<br>Informed Audio<br>Decomposition | signal reconstruction, instantaneous frequency, fundamental frequency (F0), trajectory, nonnegative matrix factorization (NME)                | [html] | [ipynb] |

### Reference 2: ISMIR 2020 Tutorial

https://source-separation.github.io/tutorial/landing.html

# Open Source Tools & Data for Music Source Separation

By Ethan Manilow, Prem Seetharaman, and Justin Salamon



## **Outline**

- Basics
- Tools


### What is Source Separation?

https://source-separation.github.io/tutorial/intro/src\_sep\_101.html

- The process of isolating individual sounds (sources) in an auditory mixture of multiple sounds
- Underdetermined problem
  - Fewer observations y(t) (1 or 2; mono or stereo) than the required outcomes  $x_i(t)$  (e.g., 4)

$$y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i(t).$$


In speech: cocktail party effect



### **Demo: Source Separation**

### https://www.gaudiolab.com/technology/source-separation

№ Eagles 'Hotel California'



**GAUDIO** 

### Why Source Separation?


https://source-separation.github.io/tutorial/intro/src\_sep\_101.html

- Benefit downstream MIR problems (e.g., singer classification)
  - automatic music transcription [PAB+02,MSP20],
  - lyric and music alignment [FGO+06],
  - musical instrument detection [HKV09],
  - lyric recognition [MV10],
  - automatic singer identification [WWollmerS11,HL15,SDL19],
  - vocal activity detection [SED18a],
  - fundamental frequency estimation [JBEW19], and
  - understanding the predictions of black-box audio models.
     [HMW20a,HMW20b]

### Why Source Separation?

https://source-separation.github.io/tutorial/intro/src\_sep\_101.html


- Benefit music generation
  - Re-mix of the sources
  - Up-mix: stereo to 5.1-channel
  - Replacement of some of the sources
  - Audio editing
- Active music listening



### Why Source Separation is Difficult?


https://source-separation.github.io/tutorial/intro/src\_sep\_101.html

- Sources in music are highly correlated (harmonically and rhythmically)
- The mixing of music signals is complex and non-linear
  - Reverb, EQ, ...
  - Don't know how the mixing was done
- It's actually an audio-domain music generation problem
  - Instrument recognition (discriminative)
     vs. instrument separation (generative)
  - The bar for quality can be high



### Why Source Separation is Difficult?

Sources in music are highly correlated (harmonically and rhythmically)



### Why Source Separation is Difficult?





Figure 1: Representation of a music mixture in the time-frequency domain. The dominant musical source in each time-frequency bin is displayed with a different color.

### **Types of Separation Problems**

- #sources vs. #output channels
  - Overdetermined vs underdetermined
- Amount of side information
  - Blind source separation vs.
     informed source separation
    - Score informed
    - Lyrics informed
    - Melody informed
  - We mainly talk about blind & underdetermined source separation
- Online or offline






### **Types of Separation Problems**

- What the #output channels are
  - **Two** stems: vocal vs. non-vocal
    - or: lead vs. *accompaniments*
  - Four stems: vocal, drums, bass, and others
  - Beyond four stems
  - Uncertain number and class of output channels
- Do different output channels correspond to different instruments?
  - Not always
    - Choral music separation (soprano, alto, tenor, and bass)
    - Speaker separation


### **Clues for Stereo Source Separation**

- Utilizing spatial position for separation
  - Vocal are usually in the middle



### **Clues for Monaural Source Separation**

• Different sound sources may have different *time-frequency* characteristics (timbre, pitch range, etc)




Ref: Cano et al, "Musical source separation: An introduction," IEEE Signal Processing Magazine 2019

### Spectrogram-based and Waveform-based

https://paperswithcode.com/sota/music-source-separation-on-musdb18

- STFT = magnitude + phase
- People tend to use the magnitude STFT
  - provides rich info as a time-frequency representation
- Phase is hard to model, but phase is needed here
- Also hard model waveforms (in early days)



**Fig. 17** The structure of phase within an STFT makes it hard to model. One of these two images shows the phase component of an STFT and another shows random noise. Can you guess which is which?

### **Approach**

#### Traditional methods

- *Unsupervised*: rule-based, model-based
- Faster, light-weight, but limited performance
- Usually work on spectrograms


#### Deep learning based methods

- Supervised: learn from "clean sources"
- Mixture in, clean sources out
- Better result
- Work on spectrograms, waveforms, or both

### ML/DL Viewpoint: Time-frequency Classification

- Per song: genre classification
- Per short-time chunk: instrument activity detection
- Per time-frequency point:

   f0 estimation, multi-pitch estimation,
   source separation
- Input and output are of the same shape
- But, how about phase?




### **Deal with Phase: Approaches**

https://source-separation.github.io/tutorial/basics/phase.html

- Copy the phase from the mixture
- Given the magnitude, estimate the phase (this is called a "vocoder")
- Work on complex-valued spectrograms
- Work on audio waveforms, not magnitude spectrograms


### **Supervised Approach**

Learn from paired data of {mixture, stems}



### **Benchmark**

https://paperswithcode.com/sota/music-source-separation-on-musdb18



#### **Evaluation Metrics**

https://source-separation.github.io/tutorial/basics/evaluation.html

- Computed in the time-domain
- Source-to-Distortion Ratio (SDR)
- Source-to-Interference Ratio (SIR)
- Source-to-Artifact Ratio (SAR)
  - true sources: a, b
  - estimated sources: ae, be
  - SDR(a): how ae is similar to a
  - SIR(a): how ae is similar to b
  - SAR(a): how ae is not similar to either a or b

#### **Evaluation Metrics**

https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1XLC7SyGMRfOj3WwJaiyaYFOwCl69w4aXWLYl7UEsvXQ/

#### Source Separation Metrics: What are they really measuring?

Keynote presentation at the 2021 Music Demixing Workshop

$$\hat{s} = s_{target} + e_{interf} + e_{artif}$$

$$s_{target} = P_s \hat{s} = \frac{<\hat{s}, s>}{< s, s>} s$$
 A rescaled s, which is as close as possible to s-hat

$$e_{interf} = P_n \hat{s} = \frac{<\hat{s}, n>}{< n, n>} n$$
 A rescaled n, which is as close as possible to s-hat

$$e_{artif} = \hat{s} - s_{target} - e_{interf} = \hat{s} - \frac{<\hat{s}, s>}{< s, s>} s - \frac{<\hat{s}, n>}{< n, n>} n \quad \blacktriangleleft \quad \text{What remains of s-hat}$$

### **Outline**

- Basics
- Tools

### **Library: Demucs**

### https://github.com/facebookresearch/demucs

| Model                 | Domain      | Extra data? | Overall<br>SDR |  |  |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--|--|
| Wave-U-Net            | waveform    | no          | 3.2            |  |  |
| Open-Unmix            | spectrogram | no          | 5.3            |  |  |
| Demucs (v2)           | waveform    | no          | 6.3            |  |  |
| Band-Spit RNN         | spectrogram | no          | 8.2            |  |  |
| Hybrid Demucs<br>(v3) | hybrid      | no          | 7.7            |  |  |
| MMDenseLSTM           | spectrogram | 804 songs   | 6.0            |  |  |
| Spleeter              | spectrogram | 25k songs   | 5.9            |  |  |
| HT Demucs f.t. (v4)   | hybrid      | 800 songs   | 9.0            |  |  |


Audiostrip is providing free online separation with Demucs on their website https://audiostrip.co.uk/.

Neutone provides a realtime Demucs model in their free VST/AU plugin that can be used in your favorite DAW.

Other pre-trained models can be selected with the -n flag. The list of pre-trained models is:

htdemucs\_6s: 6 sources version of htdemucs,
 with piano and guitar being added as sources. Note that the piano source is not working great at the moment.

### **Model Size vs Separation Quality**



# **Extra (Private) Datasets**

| Rank | Model                            | SDR 1 | SDR<br>(vocals) | SDR<br>(drums) | SDR<br>(bass) | SDR<br>(other) | Extra<br>Training<br>Data | Paper  | Code | Result | Year |
|------|----------------------------------|-------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|--|------|--------|------|
| 1    | Sparse HT Demucs<br>(fine tuned) | 9.20  | 9.37            | 10.83          | 10.47         | 6.41           | ✓                         | Hybrid Transformers for Music Source<br>Separation   | 0    | Ð      | 2022 |
| 2    | Hybrid Transformer Demucs (f.t.) | 9.00  | 9.20            | 10.08          | 9.78          | 6.42           | 1                         | Hybrid Transformers for Music Source<br>Separation   | 0    | Ð      | 2022 |
| 3    | Band-Split RNN<br>(semi-sup.)    | 8.97  | 10.47           | 10.15          | 8.16          | 7.08           | ✓                         | Music Source Separation with Band-<br>split RNN  | 0    | Ð      | 2022 |
| 4    | TFC-TDF-UNet (v3)                | 8.34  | 9.59            | 8.44           | 8.45          | 6.86           | ×                         | Sound Demixing Challenge 2023<br>Music Demixing Track Technical<br>Report: TFC-TDF-UNet v3 | 0    | Ð      | 2023 |
| 5    | Band-Split RNN                   | 8.23  | 10.21           | 8.58           | 7.51          | 6.62           | ×                         | Music Source Separation with Band-<br>split RNN  | 0    | Ð      | 2022 |
| 6    | Hybrid Demucs                    | 7.72  | 8.04            | 8.58           | 8.67          | 5.59           | ×                         | Hybrid Spectrogram and Waveform<br>Source Separation                                       | 0    | Ð      | 2021 |
| 7    | KUIELab-MDX-Net                  | 7.54  | 9.00            | 7.33           | 7.86          | 5.95           | ×                         | KUIELab-MDX-Net: A Two-Stream<br>Neural Network for Music Demixing                         | 0    | Ð      | 2021 |

### **Libraries Collected by ZFTurbo**

### https://github.com/ZFTurbo/Music-Source-Separation-Training

#### Available models for training:

- MDX23C based on KUIELab TFC TDF v3 architecture. Key: mdx23c.
- Demucs4HT [Paper]. Key: htdemucs.
- VitLarge23 based on Segmentation Models Pytorch. Key: segm\_models .
- TorchSeg based on TorchSeg module. Key: torchseg.
- Band Split RoFormer [Paper, Repository] . Key: bs\_roformer .
- Mel-Band RoFormer [Paper, Repository]. Key: mel band roformer.
- Swin Upernet [Paper] Key: swin\_upernet .
- BandIt Plus [Paper, Repository] Key: bandit .
- SCNet [Paper, Official Repository, Unofficial Repository] Key: scnet .
- BandIt v2 [Paper, Repository] Key: bandit\_v2.
- Apollo [Paper, Repository] Key: apollo .
- TS BSMamba2 [Paper, Repository] Key: bs\_mamba2.
- Conformer [Paper, Repository] Key: conformer .
- SCNet Tran Key: scnet tran.
- SCNet Masked Key: scnet\_masked.

#### Inference example

```
python inference.py \
    --model_type mdx23c \
    --config_path configs/config_mdx23c_musdb18.yaml \
    --start_check_point results/last_mdx23c.ckpt \
    --input_folder input/wavs/ \
    --store_dir separation_results/
```

### **Library: Ultimate Vocal Remover**

https://github.com/Anjok07/ultimatevocalremovergui

- GUI & batch processing
- Can separate lead vocal from backing vocal harmonies

