1 Unix Command Terminal

1.1 5 October 2017

- mk dir : make a file in directory

- ls : file list in directory

- cd : change directory

- pwd : parent working directory

- cp -r : copy

- rm : remove

- open ... : open ... file

- . : here

- cd .. : back to previous

2 Gnuplot

2.1 16 October 2017

2.1.1 Plot from terminal

$\begin{cases} \text{gnuplot} \\ \text{set terminal x11 (for 2D and 3D)} \\ \text{plot} sin(x) \\ \text{plot} sin(x), cos(x) \\ \text{set hidden3d} \\ \text{splot} sin(x) * sin(y) \\ \text{set xrange}[-5:5] \\ \text{set yrange}[-5:5] \\ \text{q quit} \end{cases}$

2.1.2 Plot from file

We have file **plot.dat or .txt** contains list point of triangular format for 2D as shown below:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
x_1^{(i)} & x_2^{(i)} & u^{(i)} \\
x_1^{(j)} & x_2^{(j)} & u^{(j)} \\
x_1^{(k)} & x_2^{(k)} & u^{(k)} \\
x_1^{(i)} & x_2^{(i)} & u^{(i)}
\end{array}
$$

(leave blank)

There are some "blank" on line. In 1D case, we will have two lines of points and 1 blank line. Then, for 2D case, we have four llines of points and two blank (anw: I think it still work for one blank thought).

$\Big\{$ splot 'plot.dat' u 1:2:3 w l pallete

where **u** means using, **1:2:3** means the column we wish to plot, **w** means with, **l** means line, and **pallete** means color.

Other command that maybe used is,

$\Big\{$ set pm3d map

is used for mapping 3D to 2D. Other is,

$\Big\{$ set size ratio -1

then de data will be integers.

Figure 1:

3

3 FreeFEM++

3.1 16 October 2017

Example 1 :

$$
\begin{cases}
\text{int } n = 50; \\
\text{real } x0 = 0.0, \ y0 = 0.0, \ Lx = 1.0, \ Ly = 1.0, \ z = 1; \\
\text{border } a1(t = 0, 2*pi)\{x = z*cos(t); \ y = z*sin(t); \}
\end{cases}
$$

Example 2:

$$
\begin{cases}
\text{border } a1(t = 0, 1)\{x = t; y = 0; \} \\
\text{border } a2(t - 0, 1)\{x = 1; y = t; \}
\end{cases}
$$

From file : **membrane.edp**. Find $\phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}
-\triangle\, \phi = f(= 1) & \text{in } \Omega \\
\phi = z(= x_1) & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \\
\dfrac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_2
\end{cases}
\tag{1}
$$

where $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, given $f(x) = 1$ and $z : \Gamma_1 \to \mathbb{R}$, given $z(x) = x_1$. The equation (1) is called **strong form**, because it contain second derivative.

From equation (1) first line, we multiple it by smooth test function w and integrate over Ω such that $\forall w(w|_{\Gamma_1} = 0)$

$$\int_\Omega - \triangle \phi(x)w(x) \ dx = \int_\Omega f(x)w(x) \ dx$$

using integration by parts,

$$- \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}(x)w(x) \ dx + \int_\Omega \triangledown\phi(x) \cdot \triangledown w(x) \ dx = \int_\Omega f(x)w(x) \ dx$$

then we can devide the boundary such that

$$- \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}(x)w(x) \ dx - \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}(x)w(x) \ dx + \int_\Omega \triangledown\phi(x) \cdot \triangledown w(x) \ dx = \int_\Omega f(x)w(x) \ dx$$

Because on Γ_1, smooth function $w(x)$ value is equal to 0. And on Γ_2 by equation (1) line 3 we obtain that $\dfrac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}(x)$ is equal to 0. Then, we conclude that

$$\int_\Omega \triangledown\phi(x) \cdot \triangledown w(x) \ dx - \int_\Omega f(x)w(x) \ dx = 0 \tag{2}$$

is the **weak form**. Because it only contain first derivative.

3.2   6 November 2017

3.2.1   Gauss-Green Formula

In **2D Case**, we have $f, g : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_\Omega \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x)g(x) \ dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x)g(x)n_i \ ds - \int_\Omega f(x)\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(x) \ dx, \ (i = 1, 2) \tag{3}$$

In **1D Case**,

$$\int_a^b f(x)g(x) \ dx = [f(x)g(x)]_{x=a}^b - \int_a^b f(x)g'(x) \ dx \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial\Omega} f(x)g(x)n(x) \ dx &= f(a)g(a)n(a) + f(b)g(b)n(b) \\
&= [f(x)g(x)]_{x=a}^b
\end{aligned}$$

3.2.2 Strong form

Consider problem to find $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ with **strong form** such as

$$
\begin{cases}
-\triangle u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = g_0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \text{ Dirichlet (or essential) B.C.} \\
\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g_1 & \text{on} \Gamma_1 \text{ Neumann (or natural) B.C.}
\end{cases}
\tag{5}
$$

where $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, $g_0 : \Gamma_0 \to \mathbb{R}$, and $g_1 : \Gamma_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ is given.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega \quad &\subset \quad \mathbb{R}^2 \\
n \quad &: \partial\Omega \quad \to \mathbb{R}^2 \\
x \quad &\mapsto n(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have notation $\triangle = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \dfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}$ such that $-\triangle u(x) = -\left(\dfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} u(x) \right)$. So we have

$$
-\triangle u(x) = f(x)
$$
$$
\leftrightarrow \quad -\left(\dfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) u(x) = f(x)
$$

For all smooth test function $v(x)$, where $v|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$ and $\int_\Omega \; dx$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega (-\Delta u)(x)v(x)dx &= \int_\Omega \left(-\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1{}^2}(x)v(x) - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2{}^2}(x)v(x) \right) \, dx \\
&= -\int_\Omega \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2}(x)v(x) \, dx - \int_\Omega \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2}(x)v(x) \, dx \\
&= -\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}(x)v(x)n_i \, ds - \int_\Omega \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}(x)\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}(x) \, dx \right) \\
&\quad -\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}(x)v(x)n_i \, ds - \int_\Omega \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}(x)\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2}(x) \, dx \right) \\
&= \left(\int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}(x)v(x)n_i + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}(x)v(x)n_i \, ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}(x)v(x)n_i + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}(x)v(x)n_i \, ds \right) \\
&\quad + \int_\Omega \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}(x)\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}(x) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}(x)\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2}(x) \, dx \\
&= \int_\Omega \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \, dx - \int_{\Gamma_1} (\nabla u(x) \cdot n(x))v(x) \, ds \\
&= \int_\Omega \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \, dx - \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(x)v(x) \, ds \\
&= \int_\Omega \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \, dx - \int_{\Gamma_1} g_1(x)v(x) \, ds \\
&= \int_\Omega f(x)v(x) \, dx
\end{aligned}
$$

such that

$$
\int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_\Omega fv \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_1} g_1 v \, ds
$$

Note : Reason why $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(x) = (\nabla u) \cdot n$ In 1D Case,

$$
u'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{u(x+h) - u(x)}{h}
$$

In 2D Case

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(x) &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{u(x + hn) - u(x)}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \big[u(x_1 + hn_1,\ x_2 + hn_2) - u(x_1, x_2) \big] \\
&= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \big[u(x_1 + hn_1,\ x_2 + hn_2) - u(x_1, x_2 + hn_2) \\
&\quad + u(x_1, x_2 + hn_2) - u(x_1, x_2) \big] \\
&= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{u(x_1 + hn_1,\ x_2 + hn_2) - u(x_1, x_2 + hn_2) + u(x_1, x_2 + hn_2) - u(x_1, x_2)}{hn_1} n_1 \\
&\quad \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{u(x_1 + hn_2,\ x_2 + hn_2) - u(x_1, x_2 + hn_2) + u(x_1, x_2 + hn_2) - u(x_1, x_2)}{hn_2} n_2 \\
&= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}(x_1, x_2) n_1 + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}(x_1, x_2) n_2 \\
&= (\nabla u) \cdot n
\end{aligned}
$$

3.2.3 Weak form

We want to find $u \in V(g_0)$ such that

$$a(u, v) = l(v),\ \forall v \in V$$

where

$$V(g_0) \equiv \{v \in H^1(\Omega);\ v|_{\Gamma_0} = g_0\},\ V \equiv V(0).$$

There are some notation we need to know beforehand,

$$L^2(\Omega) \equiv \{v : \Omega \to \mathbb{R};\ \int_\Omega v^2(x)\ dx < \infty\}.$$

For examples,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega &= (1, \infty) \\
f(x) &= \frac{1}{x} \in L^2(1, \infty);\ \int_1^\infty f^2(x)\ dx = [-x^{-1}]_1^\infty = 1 \\
f(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} not \in L^2(1, \infty);\ \int_1^\infty dx = [logx]_1^\infty = \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, $L^2(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space or complete space with inner product.

$$H^1(\Omega) \equiv \{v \in L^2(\Omega);\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \in L^2(\Omega),\ i = 1, 2.\}$$

Inner product is defined by

$$(f, g) \equiv \int_\Omega f(x)g(x)dx.$$

Back to the problem, we have bilinear form $a(u, v)$ and linear form $l(v)$ as shown below.

$$a(u, v) = \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \; dx$$

$$l(v) = \int_\Omega fv \; dx + \int_{\Gamma_1} g_1 v \; ds.$$

$l(v)$ is called linear form, because it holds that

$$l(\alpha v + \beta w) = \alpha l(v) + \beta l(w).$$

Then $a(u, v)$ is called bilinear form because if u is fixed, them v is linear form respect to u, and vice versa.

3.2.4 Discretization

We approach value of smooth function $u(x)$ by piecewise linear function $u_h(x)$ as

$$u(x) \; u_h(x) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{Np} c_i \varphi_i(x)$$

where Np is total number of nodal points.

For case as shown by picture below,

we choose basis function

$$\varphi \quad : \quad \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$\varphi_i(P_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & , i = j, \\ 0 & , i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Then, in each triangle,

$$\varphi_i(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_1 x_2.$$

Then, the problem becomes we want to find $u_h \in V_h(g_0)$ such that

$$a(u_h, v_h) = l(v_h), \ \forall v_h \in V_h$$

where

$$V_h(g_0) \equiv \{v_h \in V(g_0); \ v_h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{Np} c_i \varphi_i(x), \ c_i \in \mathbb{R}, \ \varphi_i \text{ is basis function}\}, \ V_h \equiv V_h(0).$$

3.2.5 Problem

For simplicity, assume $\Omega = (0,1)^2$ and $g_0 = 0$. We want to find $u \in V \equiv H_0^1(\Omega)$ or Sobolev space where the boundary is 0.
$\{P_i\}_{i=1}^{Np}$ is set of nodal points. For example,

with nodal point $Np = 11$ and elements $Ne = 13$. The domain $\tau_h = \{K_k\}_{k=1}^{13}$ or devided into 13 triangle area with point $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^{11}$. Using file Square.edp and mesh.msh, we can read the mesh grid as shown below.

. .

Result : Master/Seminar/1.Read_mesh/test.c

3.3 13 November 2017 (LINEAR ELASTICITY)

3.3.1 Basic Equations

Let Ω be a non-deformed reference configulation of an elastic body in 3d. We assume Ω is a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The position vector in $\overline{\Omega}$ is denoted by $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T \in \overline{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}$. For other notation, we use $\partial_j := \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, $\bigtriangledown = (\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3)^T$, $\bigtriangledown^T u = (\partial_j u_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$, and $\bigtriangledown u^T = (\bigtriangledown^T u)^T$ for $u(x) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

3.4 20 November 2017

3.5 4 December 2017

3.5.1 Continuous (Partial Differential Equation)

To show that there is exist unique solution u, we can use the Remark below.

Remark 1. $\exists! u = \underset{v \in V(g)}{argmin}\ (\dfrac{1}{2} a(v,v) - l(v)) = \underset{w \in V(g)}{argmin}\ J(w)$

minimizer or $argmin$ is value of x where the function $f(x)$ is minimum. For example, for function $f(x) = 1 + x^2$, $\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}}{min} f(x) = f(0) = 1$. Then, the minimizer, $\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}}{argmin} f(x) = 0$.

Using this Remark, the Proposition below is given with proof.

Proposition 1. *For* $J(v) := \dfrac{1}{2} a(v,v) - l(v)$, $u = \underset{v \in V(g)}{argmin}\ J(v) \iff a(u,v) = l(v)$

Proof:
$(\Rightarrow)$ if $u = argmin\ J$ then $u + tv \in V(g)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall v \in V = H_0^1(\Omega)$. Since it is on boundary Γ, then $g = u = u + tv$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u) &\leq J(w) &&, \forall w \in V(g),\ w = u + tv \in V(g) \\
J(u) &\leq J(u + tv) &&, \forall t \in \mathbb{R},\ \forall v \in V
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u + tv) &= \frac{1}{2} a(u + tv, u + tv) - l(u + tv) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} a(u,u) + t a(u,v) + \frac{t^2}{2} a(v,v) - l(u) - t l(v) \\
&= \frac{t^2}{2} a(v,v) + t(a(u,v) - l(v)) + J(u) \\
&=: \varphi(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\varphi(t)$ is in quadratic form, then its minimum obtained at $t = 0$. So that $\varphi = 0$ such that $a(u,v) - l(v) = 0$.

$(\Longleftarrow)$ $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall v \in V$ we have

$$J(u, tv) = J(u) + \frac{t^2}{2} a(v, v) \geq J(u).$$

$\forall w \in V(g)$, we set $v := w - u \in V$, $t := 1$, $w = u + tv$

$$J(w) = J(u + tv) \geq J(u)$$

3.5.2 Discrete (Finite Element Method)

Here introduced some notation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_h &\subset X \text{ (usually dim } X_h < \infty) \\
V_h &= X_h \cap V \\
g_h &\in X_h (\text{approximation of } g) \\
V_h(g_h) &= \{v_h \in X_h; v_h - g_h \in V_h\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the weak form is approximated with

$$
\begin{cases}
a(u_h, v_h) &= l(v_h), \ \forall v_h \in V_h \\
u_h &\in V_h(g_h)
\end{cases}
\iff u_h = \underset{w_h \in V_h(g_h)}{argmin} J(w_h)
$$

Using Finite Element Method,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_h &= \{v_h \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}); \ v_h|_K \text{ is linear}\} \\
V_h &= X_h \cap H_0^1(\Omega),
\end{aligned}
$$

or we could write

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_h &= \langle \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{Np} \rangle \\
&= \{\sum_{i=1}^{Np} c_i \varphi_i \ ; \ c_i \in \mathbb{R}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^{Np}$ become a basis of the vector space X_h. For nodal points $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^{Nfp}$ and $\varphi_i \in X_n$; $\varphi_i(P_j) = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$.

For $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\forall v_h \in X_h$, we will have

$$v_h(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^{Np} v_h(P_i) \varphi_i(\cdot) \quad \in \quad X_h$$

$$w_h := \sum_{i=1}^{Np} v_h(P_i) \varphi_i \quad \in \quad X_h$$

13

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_h(P_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^{Np} v_h(P_i)\varphi_i(P_j) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{Np} v_h(P_i)\delta_{ij} \\
&= v_h(P_j)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we consider basis of V_h for

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega \cap \Gamma &= \emptyset \\
\{\varphi_i; P_i \in \Omega\} &\subset \{P_i\}_{i=1}^{Np}.
\end{aligned}
$$

For simplicity, we assume $\{\varphi_i; P_i \in \Omega\} = \{P_i\}_{i=1}^{N}$ for $(N < Np)$, such that $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^{N} \subset \Omega$ and $\{P_i\}_{i=N+1}^{Np} \subset \Gamma$.

Let $V_h = \langle \varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_N \rangle$, then

$$
a(u_h, v_h) = l(v_h),\ (\forall v_h \in V_h) \Leftrightarrow a(u_h, \varphi_i) = l(\varphi_i),\ (i = 1, \cdots, N).
$$

If we choose $v_h = \varphi_i \in V$, then $\forall v_h \in V_h$ with $c_i = v_h(P_i)$ and $v_h = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \varphi_i$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(u_h, v_h) &= a(u_h, \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \varphi_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i a(u_h, \varphi_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i l(\varphi_i) \\
&= l(\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \varphi_i) \\
&= l(v_h)
\end{aligned}
$$

We set $u_j := u_h(P_j)$ for $j = 1, \cdots, Np$ such that at the boundary $P_j \in \Gamma$ or for $j = N+1, \cdots, Np$

$$
u_j = g_j = g_h(P_j),\ u_h \in V_h(g_h)
$$

with $u_1, \cdots, u_N$ is unknown.

Then, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{cases}
a(u_h, \varphi_i) = l(\varphi_i),\ (i = 1, \cdots, N) \\
u_h = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_j \varphi_j + \sum_{j=N+1}^{Np} g_j \varphi_j,\ (u_h \in V_h(g_h))
\end{cases}
.
$$

For simplicity, we set notation $a_{ij} := a(\varphi_i, \varphi_j) = a(\varphi_j, \varphi_i)$ such that for $i = 1, \cdots, N$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} u_j + \sum_{j=N+1}^{Np} a_{ij} g_j = l(\varphi_i)$$

As conclusion,

$$a(u_h, v_h) = l(v_h), \; (\forall v_h \in V_h) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A &:= (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}_{\text{sym}} \\
\mathbf{u} &:= \begin{pmatrix} u_i \\ \vdots \\ u_N \end{pmatrix} \\
\mathbf{b} &:= \left(l(u_i) - \sum_{j=N+1}^{Np} a_{ij} g_j \right), \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, N
\end{aligned}
$$

3.5.3 GIT

3.6 25 Desember 2017

3.6.1 Calculate matrix A

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{ij} &= a(\varphi_j, \varphi_i) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi_j(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi_i(x) \; dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{Ne} \int_{K_k} \nabla \varphi_j(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi_i(x) \; dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{Ne} \int_{K_k} 1 \; dx \; \left(\nabla \varphi_j(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi_i(x) \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

To calculate it, usually we need N^3 computation for code like

```
>        for i = 1, ..., Np {
>            for j = 1, ..., Np {
>                for k = 1, ..., Ne {
>                    A_ij = A_ij + ∫_{K_k} ∇φ_j(x) · ∇φ_i(x)dx
>                }
>            }
>        }
```

But, we can simplify it into N^2 computation using local matrix and global matrix as shown below.

```
>        for k = 1, . . . , Ne {
>            (local matrix)
>            A_{11}^k = ∫_{K_k} ∇φ_1(x) · φ_1(x) dx
>            A_{12}^k = ∫_{K_k} ∇φ_2(x) · φ_1(x) dx
>                          ⋮
>            A_{33}^k = ∫_{K_k} ∇φ_3(x) · φ_3(x) dx
>            (global matrix)
>            A_{44} = A_{44} + A_{11}^k
>            A_{47} = A_{47} + A_{12}^k
>                          ⋮
>            A_{73} = A_{73} + A_{23}^k
>                          ⋮
>            A_{33} = A_{33} + A_{33}^k
>        }
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
> \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, Ne \ \{ \\
> \quad\quad \text{(local matrix)} \\
> \quad\quad A_{11}^k = \int_{K_k} \nabla\varphi_1(x) \cdot \varphi_1(x) \ dx \\
> \quad\quad A_{12}^k = \int_{K_k} \nabla\varphi_2(x) \cdot \varphi_1(x) \ dx \\
> \quad\quad\quad\quad\quad \vdots \\
> \quad\quad A_{33}^k = \int_{K_k} \nabla\varphi_3(x) \cdot \varphi_3(x) \ dx \\
> \quad\quad \text{(global matrix)} \\
> \quad\quad A_{44} = A_{44} + A_{11}^k \\
> \quad\quad A_{47} = A_{47} + A_{12}^k \\
> \quad\quad\quad\quad\quad \vdots \\
> \quad\quad A_{73} = A_{73} + A_{23}^k \\
> \quad\quad\quad\quad\quad \vdots \\
> \quad\quad A_{33} = A_{33} + A_{33}^k \\
> \quad \}
\end{aligned}
$$

3.6.2   Calculate vector B

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_i &= \int_\Omega f(x)\varphi_i(x) \ dx - \sum_{j=1}^{Np} g_h(P_j) \int_\Omega \nabla\varphi_j(x) \cdot \nabla\varphi_i(x) \ dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{Ne} \int_{K_k} f(x)\varphi_i(x) \ dx - \sum_{j=1}^{Np} g_h(P_j) \sum_{k=1}^{Ne} \int_{K_k} \nabla\varphi_j(x) \cdot \nabla\varphi_i(x) \ dx
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $g_h(P_j) = 0$, with $f_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{Np} f(P_j)\varphi_j(x)$ then for each element,

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_i &= b_i + \int_{K_k} f(x)\varphi_i(x) \ dx \\
&= b_i + \int_{K_k} \Big(f(P_1)\varphi_1(x) + f(P_2)\varphi_2(x) + f(P_3)\varphi_3(x) \Big)\varphi_i(x) \ dx \\
&= b_i + \int_{K_k} f(P_1)\varphi_1(x) \cdot \varphi_i(x) + f(P_2)\varphi_2(x) \cdot \varphi_i(x) + f(P_3)\varphi_3(x) \cdot \varphi_i(x) \ dx \\
&= b_i + f(P_1) \int_{K_k} \varphi_1(x) \cdot \varphi_i(x) \ dx + f(P_2) \int_{K_k} \varphi_2(x) \cdot \varphi_i(x) \ dx + \\
&\quad\ f(P_3) \int_{K_k} \varphi_3(x) \cdot \varphi_i(x) \ dx
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\int_{K_k} \varphi_i(x)\varphi_j(x) =
\begin{cases}
\dfrac{meas(K_k)}{6}, & for \ i = j \\[2mm]
\dfrac{meas(K_k)}{12}, & for \ i \neq j
\end{cases}
$$

(way to calculate in program)

3.6.3 Other calculation

For any points $P_i(x_1, x_2)$ in triangle K,

$$\varphi_i(x) = c_0 + c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2, \ c_j \in \mathbb{R}$$

such that

$$\nabla \varphi_i(x) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To compute the triangle area $\int_{K_k} 1 \ dx$,

3.7   5 January 2018 (Error Estimate)

3.7.1 Norm

After find the solution $u(x)$, we should check if the solution we found is close enough to the exact solution. For numerical solution u_h, we check for $h = \dfrac{1}{N}$ where N or devider of each boundary side is set to $N = 4, 8, 16, 32$.

In this problem we set $f(x) = -\nabla u(x) = 2\pi^2(sin(x\pi)sin(y\pi))$ with exact solution $u_e(x) = sin(x\pi)sin(y\pi)$. We want to calculate $\|u_h - \Pi_h u_e\|_X, s \ X = L^2(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)$. Here, Π_h is function that mapping continuous function $C(\bar{\Omega})$ into piecewise linear finite element space.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_h : \quad C(\bar{\Omega}) \quad &\to P1 - FEsp \\ v \quad &\mapsto \Pi_h v \end{aligned}$$

such that $(\Pi_h v)(P) := v(P)$, P is nodes.

3.7.2 $L^2(\Omega)$ norm

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &= \sqrt{\int_\Omega \left(v_h(x)\right)^2 \, dx} \\
&= \sqrt{\sum_K \int_K \left(v_h(x)\right)^2 \, dx} \\
&= \sqrt{\sum_K \int_K \left(v_i \varphi_i^{(K)}(x) + v_j \varphi_j^{(K)}(x) + v_k \varphi_k^{(K)}(x)\right)^2 \, dx} \\
&= \sqrt{\sum_K \int_K \left(\begin{pmatrix} v_i & v_j & v_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_i^{(K)}(x) \\ \varphi_j^{(K)}(x) \\ \varphi_k^{(K)}(x) \end{pmatrix}\right)^2 \, dx} \\
&= \sqrt{\sum_K \int_K \begin{pmatrix} v_i & v_j & v_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_i^{(K)}(x) \\ \varphi_j^{(K)}(x) \\ \varphi_k^{(K)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_i^{(K)}(x) & \varphi_j^{(K)}(x) & \varphi_k^{(K)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_i \\ v_j \\ v_k \end{pmatrix}} \, dx \\
&= \sqrt{\sum_K \begin{pmatrix} v_i & v_j & v_k \end{pmatrix} \int_K \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_i^{(K)} \cdot \varphi_i^{(K)} & \varphi_i^{(K)} \cdot \varphi_j^{(K)} & \varphi_i^{(K)} \cdot \varphi_k^{(K)} \\ \varphi_j^{(K)} \cdot \varphi_i^{(K)} & \varphi_j^{(K)} \cdot \varphi_j^{(K)} & \varphi_j^{(K)} \cdot \varphi_k^{(K)} \\ \varphi_k^{(K)} \cdot \varphi_i^{(K)} & \varphi_k^{(K)} \cdot \varphi_j^{(K)} & \varphi_k^{(K)} \cdot \varphi_k^{(K)} \end{pmatrix} \, dx \begin{pmatrix} v_i \\ v_j \\ v_k \end{pmatrix}} \\
&= \sqrt{\sum_K \begin{pmatrix} v_i & v_j & v_k \end{pmatrix} \frac{|K|}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_i \\ v_j \\ v_k \end{pmatrix}} \\
&= \sqrt{\sum_K \frac{|K|}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} v^T \cdot M \cdot v}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
v = \begin{pmatrix} v_i \\ v_j \\ v_k \end{pmatrix} \qquad\qquad M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

In our problem, we need to find $\|v_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \|u_h - \Pi_h u_e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Then we subtitute

$$
v_h(P) = u_h(P) - u_e(P)
$$

for every point P.

3.7.3 $H_0^1(\Omega)$ **norm**

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v_h\|_{H_1^0(\Omega)} &= \sqrt{\int_\Omega \nabla v_h(x) \cdot \nabla v_h(x) \; dx} \\
&= \sqrt{\int_\Omega |\nabla v_h(x)|^2 \; dx} \\
&= \sqrt{\sum_K |\nabla v_h|_K(x)|^2 |K|}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\nabla v_h|_K(x)$ does not depend on x

$$
\nabla v_h|_K(x) = v_i \begin{pmatrix} c_1^{(i)} \\ c_2^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} + v_j \begin{pmatrix} c_1^{(j)} \\ c_2^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} + v_k \begin{pmatrix} c_1^{(i)} \\ c_2^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}
$$