

Data Structures and Algorithms

Running time, Divide and Conquer

February 26, 2016

Example. Consider the following code fragment.

```
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
    for (j = 0; j < i; j=j+10)
        print ("run time analysis")
```

Give a tight bound on the running time of this code fragment.

Solution. For each value of i , the body of the inner loop executes $i/10$ times. Thus the running time of the body of the outer loop is at most $c(i/10)$, for some positive constant c . Hence the total running time of the code fragment is given by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c \lceil \frac{i}{10} \rceil = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c \left(\frac{i}{10} + 1 \right) = \frac{c(n-1)n}{20} + cn \leq 2cn^2 = O(n^2)$$

We will now show that $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c \lceil \frac{i}{10} \rceil = \Omega(n^2)$. Note that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c \lceil \frac{i}{10} \rceil \geq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{ci}{10} = c(n-1)n/20$$

We want to find positive constants c' and n_0 , such that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$\frac{c(n-1)n}{20} \geq c'n^2$$

This is equivalent to showing that $n(c - 20c') \geq c$. This is true when $c' = c/40$ and $n \geq 2$. Thus, the running time of the code fragment is $\Omega(n^2)$.

Example. Consider the following code fragment.

```
i = n
while (i >= 10) do
    i = i/3
    for j = 1 to n do
        print ("Inner loop")
```

What is an upper-bound on the running time of this code fragment? Is there a matching lower-bound?

Solution. The running time of the body of the inner loop is $O(1)$. Thus the running time of the inner loop is at most $c_1 n$, for some positive constant c_1 . The body of the outer loop takes at most $c_2 n$ time, for some positive constant c_2 (note that the statement $i = i/3$ takes $O(1)$ time). Suppose the algorithm goes through t iterations of the while loop. At the end of the last iteration of the while loop, the value of i is $n/3^t$. We know that the code fragment surely finishes when $n/3^t \leq 1$, solving which gives us $t \geq \log_3 n$. This means that the number of iterations of the while loop is at most $O(\log n)$. Thus the total running time is $O(n \log n)$.

We will now show that the running time is $\Omega(n^2)$. We will lower-bound the number of iterations of the outer loop. Note that when the value of i is more than 10 (say, 3^3), the outer loop has not terminated. Solving $n/3^t \geq 3^3$, gives us that $\log_3 n - 3$ is a lower bound on the number of iterations of the outer loop. For each iteration of the outer loop, the inner loop runs n times. Thus the total running time is at least $cn(\log_3 n - 3)$, for some positive constant c . Note that $cn(\log_3 n - 3) \geq c'n \log n$, when $c' = c/2$ and $n \geq 3^6$. Thus the running time is $\Omega(n \log n)$.

Example. Consider the following code fragment.

```
for i = 0 to n do
  for j = n to 0 do
    for k = 1 to j-i do
      print (k)
```

What is an upper-bound on the running time of this algorithm? What is the lower bound?

Solution. Note that for a fixed value of i and j , the innermost loop goes through $\max\{0, j - i\} \leq n$ times. Thus the running time of the above code fragment is $O(n^3)$.

To find the lower bound on the running time, consider the values of i , such that $0 \leq i \leq n/4$ and values of j , such that $3n/4 \leq j \leq n$. Note that for each of the $n^2/16$ different combinations of i and j , the innermost loop executes at least $n/2$ times. Thus the running time is at least

$$(n^2/16)(n/2) = \Omega(n^3)$$

Discussion: Consider a problem X with an algorithm A .

- Algorithm A runs in time $O(n^2)$. This means that the worst case asymptotic running time of algorithm A is upper-bounded by n^2 . Is this bound tight? That is, is it possible that the run-time analysis of algorithm A is loose and that one can give a tighter upper-bound on the running time?
- Algorithm A runs in time $\Theta(n^2)$. This means that the bound is tight, that is, a better (tighter) bound on the worst case asymptotic running time for algorithm A is not possible.
- Problem X takes time $O(n^2)$. This means that there is an algorithm that solves problem X on *all* inputs in time $O(n^2)$.

- Problem X takes $\Theta(n^{1.5})$. This means that there is an algorithm to solve problem X that takes time $O(n^{1.5})$ and no algorithm can do better.

Consider the problem of computing 2^n for any non-negative integer n . Below are four similar looking algorithms to solve this problem.

```
powerof2(n)
  if n = 0
    return 1
  else
    return 2 * powerof2(n-1)
```

```
powerof2(n)
  if n = 0
    return 1
  else
    return powerof2(n-1)+ powerof2(n-1)
```

```
powerof2(n)
  if n = 0
    return 1
  else
    tmp = powerof2(n-1)
    return tmp + tmp
```

```
powerof2(n)
  if n = 0
    return 1
  else
    tmp = powerof2(floor(n/2))
    if (n is even) then
      return tmp * tmp
    else
      return 2 * tmp * tmp
```

The recurrence for the first and the third method is $T(n) = T(n-1) + O(1)$. The recurrence for the second method is $T(n) = 2T(n-1) + O(1)$, and the recurrence for the last method is $T(n) = T(n/2) + c$ (assuming that n is a power of 2). In all cases the base case is $T(0) = 1$. We solve both these recurrences below. The recurrence for the first and the third method can be solved as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= T(n-1) + c \\
&= T(n-2) + 2c \\
&= T(n-3) + 3c \\
&\dots \\
&\dots \\
&= T(n-k) + kc
\end{aligned}$$

The recursion bottoms out when $n - k = 0$, i.e., $k = n$. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= T(0) + nc \\
&= 1 + nc \\
&= \Theta(n)
\end{aligned}$$

The recurrence for the second method can be solved as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= 2T(n-1) + c \\
&= 2^2T(n-2) + (2^0 + 2^1)c \\
&= 2^3T(n-3) + (2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2)c \\
&\dots \\
&\dots \\
&= 2^kT(n-k) + c \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2^i
\end{aligned}$$

The recursion bottoms out when $n - k = 0$, i.e., $k = n$. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= 2^nT(0) + c \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^i \\
&= 2^n + c(2^n - 1) \\
&= \Theta(2^n)
\end{aligned}$$

The recurrence for the fourth method can be solved as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= T(n/2) + c \\
&= T(n/2^2) + 2c \\
&= T(n/2^3) + 3c \\
&\dots \\
&\dots \\
&= T(n/2^k) + kc
\end{aligned}$$

The recursion bottoms out when $n/2^k < 1$, i.e., when $k > \lg n$. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= T(0) + c(\lg n + 1) \\ &= 1 + \Theta(\lg n) \\ &= \Theta(\lg n) \end{aligned}$$