



SAPIENZA
UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA

“SAPIENZA” UNIVERSITY OF ROME
FACULTY OF INFORMATION ENGINEERING,
INFORMATICS AND STATISTICS
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

Network Algorithms

Lecture notes integrated with the book TODO

Author
Alessio Bandiera

September 29, 2024

Contents

Information and Contacts	1
1 TODO	2
1.1 TODO	2
1.1.1 Classical solutions	2
1.2 Interconnection topologies	3
1.2.1 Bufferfly network	4

Information and Contacts

Personal notes and summaries collected as part of the *Network Algorithms* course offered by the degree in Computer Science of the University of Rome "La Sapienza".

Further information and notes can be found at the following link:

<https://github.com/aflaag-notes>. Anyone can feel free to report inaccuracies, improvements or requests through the Issue system provided by GitHub itself or by contacting the author privately:

- Email: alessio.bandiera02@gmail.com
- LinkedIn: [Alessio Bandiera](#)

The notes are constantly being updated, so please check if the changes have already been made in the most recent version.

Suggested prerequisites:

- Progettazione di Algoritmi

Licence:

These documents are distributed under the [GNU Free Documentation License](#), a form of copyleft intended for use on a manual, textbook or other documents. Material licensed under the current version of the license can be used for any purpose, as long as the use meets certain conditions:

- All previous authors of the work must be **attributed**.
- All changes to the work must be **logged**.
- All derivative works must be **licensed under the same license**.
- The full text of the license, unmodified invariant sections as defined by the author if any, and any other added warranty disclaimers (such as a general disclaimer alerting readers that the document may not be accurate for example) and copyright notices from previous versions must be maintained.
- Technical measures such as DRM may not be used to control or obstruct distribution or editing of the document.

1

TODO

1.1 TODO

1.1.1 Classical solutions

Algoritmo 1.1.1.1 *Bellman-Ford*: TODO

```
1: function BELLMANFORD( $G$ )  
2:   TODO  
3: end function
```

Algoritmo 1.1.1.2 *Dijkstra*: TODO

```
1: function DIJKSTRA( $G$ )  
2:   TODO  
3: end function
```

Algoritmo 1.1.1.3 *Floyd-Warshall*: Given a directed graph G , and an unconstrained weight function w for the edges, the algorithm returns a matrix `dist` such that `dist[u][v]` is the weight of the least-cost path from u to v .

```
1: function FLOYDWARSHALL( $G, w$ )
2:   Let dist[n][n] be an  $n \times n$  matrix, initialized with every cell at  $+\infty$ 
3:   for  $u \in V(G)$  do
4:     dist[u][u] = 0
5:   end for
6:   for  $(u, v) \in E(G)$  do
7:     dist[u][v] =  $w(u, v)$ 
8:   end for
9:   for  $k \in V(G)$  do
10:    for  $u \in V(G)$  do
11:      for  $v \in V(G)$  do
12:        dist[u][v] =  $\min(\text{dist}[u][k], \text{dist}[k][v])$ 
13:      end for
14:    end for
15:   end for
16: end function
```

Idea. The core concept of the algorithm is to construct a matrix using a [dynamic programming](#) approach, that evaluates all possible paths between every pair of vertices. Specifically, to determine the shortest path from a vertex u to a vertex v , the algorithm considers two options: either traveling directly from u to v , or passing through an intermediate vertex k , potentially improving the path.

Cost analysis. The `for` loop in line 3 has cost $\Theta(n)$, the `for` loop in line 6 has cost $\Theta(m) = \Theta(n^2)$ and the cost of the triple nested `for` loop is simply $\Theta(n^3)$. Therefore, the cost of the algorithm is

$$\Theta(n) + \Theta(n^2) + \Theta(n^3) = \Theta(n^3)$$

1.2 Interconnection topologies

Up to this point, the routing problem has considered the network as a graph where **the structure is not known to the nodes**, and can change over time due to factors like *faults* and *variable traffic*. However, when the network represents an **interconnection topology**, such as one connecting processors, the structure of the network is known and remains fixed. This characteristic can be leveraged in the packet-routing algorithms.

While the fixed nature of the network topology can be used to develop more efficient routing strategies, efficiency becomes a critical concern in interconnection topologies. As a result, solutions with stronger properties than basic shortest-path algorithms are required.

There are many types of routing models. In this notes, the focus will be on the [store-and-forward](#) model:

- data is divided into *discrete packets*;
- each packet contains *control information* (such as source, destination, and sequence data) and is treated as an independent unit that is forwarded from node to node through the network;
- packets may be temporarily stored in **buffer queues** at intermediate nodes if necessary, due to link congestion or busy channels;
- each node makes a **local routing decision** based on the packet's destination address and the chosen routing algorithm;
- during each step of the routing process, **a single packet can cross each edge**;
- additionally, mechanisms for error detection and recovery may be employed to ensure reliable packet delivery, and flow control and congestion management may be applied to optimize network performance.

1.2.1 Butterfly network

Definition 1.2.1.1: Butterfly network

Let n be an integer, and let $N := 2^n$; an n -**butterfly network** is a *layered graph* defined as follows:

- there are $n + 1$ layers of N nodes each, for a total of $N(n + 1)$ nodes;
- each node is labeled with a pair (w, i) , where i is the *layer of the node*, and w is an n -bit binary number that denotes the *row of the node*;
- there are $2Nn = 2 \cdot 2^n \cdot n = n2^{n+1}$ edges;
- two nodes (w, i) and (w', i') are linked by an edge if and only if $i' = i + 1$ and either $w = w'$ (which is a *straight edge*) or w and w' differ in only the i -th bit (which is a *cross edge*).

Example 1.2.1.1 (Butterfly network). TODO

The nodes of a butterfly are **crossbar switches**, which have two input and two output ports and can operate in two states, namely *cross* and *bar* (shown below, respectively).

It can be shown that each node (except those in the first and the last layers) has degree 4. Therefore, $4N$ additional nodes are typically added ($2N$ for the input, and $2N$ for the output) such that $\deg(u) = 4$ for each $u \in V(G)$ — these nodes will not be considered in the networks analyzed in this notes.

As a result, a butterfly network can be viewed as a *switching network* that connects $2N$ input units to $2N$ output units, through a layered structure divided into $\log N + 1 = \log 2^n + 1 = n + 1$ layers, each consisting of N nodes.

Moreover, butterfly networks have a recursive structure, which is highlighted in the fol-

lowing figure. Specifically, one n -dimensional butterfly contains two $(n - 1)$ -dimensional butterfly networks as subgraphs.

The topology of the butterfly network can be leveraged as stated in the following proposition.

Proposition 1.2.1.1: Greedy path

Given a pair of rows w and w' , there exists a *unique path of length n* , called **greedy path**, from node $(w, 0)$ to node (w', n) . This path passes through each layer exactly once, and it can be found through the following procedure:

```
1: function GREEDYPATH( $w, w'$ )
2:   for  $i \in [1, n]$  do
3:     if  $w_i == w'_i$  then
4:       Traverse a straight edge
5:     else
6:       Traverse a cross edge
7:     end if
8:   end for
9: end function
```

aggiungi
foto