

News ‡

Search





About BrothersTrial



Member Since: February 13, 2007 Last Signed In: September 27, 2007 Profile Views: 4077 Blog Views:

W View Profile

80658

Send a Message

Send To A Friend

Sign Cuarthack

Add as a Friend

Previous Posts

Brothers' attorneys face contempt hearing Judge: Brothers deserves to die Jury recommends Brothers should receive the death penalty VERDICT IN DEATH **PENALTY** No Verdict This Week Jury begins deliberations in Brothers penalty phase Defense attorney finishes closing argument Defense continues closing argument Defense attorney begins his closing argument Prosecutor finishes closing

argument

BrothersTrial - > The People vs. Vincent Brothers -> Investigators details activity from Brothers' cellular phone

Investigators details activity from Brothers' cellular phone

The investigator pulled records from July 2, 2003, to July 7, 2003. The first day is the day Brothers flew from Bakersfield to Ohio.

Brothers called his brother in Ohio at 9:14 p.m. on July 1, 2003. The call was generated from Bakersfield.

On July 1, 2003, 22:30 pacific daylight time, originating from Melvin Brothers' home phone to Vincent Brothers' phone. There was no record of that call on Brothers' telephone so it must have gone to voice mail.

A third call was generaged 21:13 or 9:13 p.m. EDT or 6:13 p.m. PDT. The call was placed to Joanie Harper's cellular telephone on July 2, 2003. It was placed from AT and T wireless system in Columbus Ohio.

A fourth call 21:14 EDT on July 2, 2003, from Brothers' phone to the landline of Earnestine and Joanie Harper. The call was generated in Columbus Ohio as well.

On July 3, 2003, at 23:44, 11:44 PDT from the hoome phone of Carla Tafoya to Vincent Brothers' cellular telephone. It went to voice mail of Vincent Brothers. This call registered off the Indianapolis, Indiana switch.

12:01 July 4 PDT from Brothers' phone to his voice mail phone number in Fullerton California. This notified Brothers that he had a stored voice mail message.

July 4, 2003, EDT from Indianapolis. In Ohio this is 9:43 hours EDT. This is a call to Brothers' cellular telephone, which was from Margaret Brothers to Vincent Brothers and it was answered. The cellular phone was near Columbus, Ohio. The call lasted 177 seconds.

July 4, 2003, 12:40 PDT telephone in Ohio, this call went to voice mail. There is no way to know if there was a call placed or if this was a message to locate the phone.

A call from Brothers cell phone on July 4, 2003 at 16:30 EDT made to Joanie Harper's cellular telephone at 13:29 PDT or 4:30 in the afternoon in Columbus, Ohio. This call lasted just over 4 minutes. This is likely a completed phone call because of the length.

The tenth call is a "short message service." This appears to be another notification that Brothers has voice mail. This was an incoming message that occurred from Indianapolis call at 1:41 p.m. July 6, 2003.

The eleventh call is a call from Brothers' cellular telephone on July 6, 2003, at 13:41 EDT or 10:41 PDT to Bakersfield phone of Charles Pilley. It was a 9 second non-answered disconnect call. He guesses that is one or two rings because the call is so short. Someone pressed the end button on the hand set.

Twelth call was a message to Brothers that he had message.

Thirteenth call from Brothers phone on July 6, 2003, at 13:45 EDT, 10:45 a.m. Bakersfield from Brothers' phone to Earnestine and Joanie Harper's home telephone number. Brothers' phone is still in Ohio. The call was not answered after 29 seconds.

Fourteenth call

16:05 hours, 4:05 PDT on July 6, 2003, from Earnestine Harper's phone to Vincent Brothers' cellular telephone. "This is an unusual phone record to have,"

This is from Brothers' telephone at 2:40 a.m. EDT in Columbus on July 8, 2003, shortly after midnight.

11:40 p.m. on July 7, 2003, Bakersfield time on the AT and T wireless network on Columbus Ohio. It could be 10-59 seconds.

Archives

February 07 March 07 April 07 May 07 June 07 July 07 August 07 September 07 October 07 November 07 December 07

Click here for more on the Brothers trial

Subscribe!

RSS 2.0







How does this work?

30007

Topics

posted by **BrothersTrial** on Monday, April 9, 2007 at 03:47 PM **Report a Violation**

Viewed 124 times





 $\leftrightarrow \leftarrow 1 \rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow$

<Reverse Sort Order>

posted by anonymous on Apr 9, 2007 at 05:04 PM

9 comments from 4 users

So Vincent Brothers left his credit card with his brother Melvin in Ohio to use; sounds like he had his brother make some phone calls from his cell phone too. Nice try Vincent.

Report Violation

posted by anonymous on Apr 9, 2007 at 05:07 PM

If he was like the rest of us, one credit card is not enough, how many do you have Sherlock?

Report Violation

posted by anonymous on Apr 9, 2007 at 05:36 PM

Anon, what the hell are you saying? His dumbass brother has already admitted to using the credit card in Ohio. You are a moron.

Report Violation

posted by anonymous on Apr 9, 2007 at 05:40 PM

Listen genius, you never answered the questions, how many cc cards do you carry? The only difference between you and Brothers is race and you are stingier with your card than he was.

Report Violation

posted by anonymous on Apr 9, 2007 at 05:52 PM

This blog is about the Vincent Brothers trial, not me and my credit cards... Geez.

Report Violation

posted by anonymous on Apr 9, 2007 at 05:58 PM

Thanks Vince, that is very clear. You are a genius, you try convict and probably will administer the needle on this guy, ans all from your living room.

Report Violation

posted by anonymous on Apr 9, 2007 at 06:08 PM

Anon, please proof read your posts before you send them. The last one is all messed up. You can still edit it so others won't know you are a dumbass....

Report Violation

posted by anonymous on Apr 9, 2007 at 09:12 PM

Anyone can dial the phone.

I'm confused about the fourteenth call. Was it from Bakersfield or from Ohio? Is it just me, or is this written stating both scenarios?

Report Violation

posted by sagefever on Apr 10, 2007 at 10:40 AM



The post states they pulled records from July 2~7 th, then the first phone call is dated July 1st??? I am sure they is a simple explanation for this discrepancy~ but is this an indicator of the competency of the investigator, the D.A.?

Report Violation

 $\leftrightarrow \leftarrow 1 \Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow$

Leave a Comment

Ground Rules for posting comments:

- · No profanity or personal attacks.
- Please comment on the subject of the post itself.

If you do not follow these rules we will remove your comment. Please keep it civil.

We're sorry, but your browser is incompatible with this site. If you would like to download a compatible browser, please try out Firefox.



To protect users from spam, we need you to prove that you're a human being. Please enter the text from the image at left.