



## About BrothersTrial



### Member Since:

February 13, 2007

### Last Signed In:

September 27, 2007

### Profile Views:

4077

### Blog Views:


80654

 View Profile

 Send a Message

 Send To A Friend

 Sign Guestbook

 Add as a Friend

BrothersTrial - > The People vs. Vincent Brothers -> The defense further questions a criminalist about blood spatter and other issues

## The defense further questions a criminalist about blood spatter and other issues

Would there be blood transfer to the perpetrator? Gardina asked. Not necessarily, Laskowski replied. What about with Earnestine Harper? Not necessarily. If a person shot another person with a gun, the shooter may be spattered with the blood from the person shot, Laskowski said. Did you examine the defendant's apartment for blood? Yes, on July 24, 2003. Blood was not detected, Laskowski said. Laskowski said his agency also took traces of plants and carpet fibers and other types of trace evidence from the crime scene to see if they would match trace evidence to the perpetrator. Laskowski observed the kitchen has not been cleaned with the breakfast items were still in the kitchen and possible a light lunch was prepared. At the preliminary hearing, Laskowski called the gun Earnestine Harper had as a Smith and Wesson. But Laskowski said he used it to designate the caliber. Three live .38 rounds were also attempted to be fired by Laskowski. Did you look for evidence in Brothers' truck? Yes, on July 11, 2003. Laskowski photographed it, looked for blood stains and collected for trace evidence. He also vacuumed the Harper house for evidence on July 19, 2003.

### Topics:

posted by BrothersTrial on Tuesday, March 20, 2007 at 10:26 AM

[Report a Violation](#)

 Leave Comment

 [Subscribe to comments](#)

Viewed 97 times

## 0 comments from 0 users

## Leave a Comment

Ground Rules for posting comments:

- No profanity or personal attacks.
- Please comment on the subject of the post itself.

If you do not follow these rules we will remove your comment. Please keep it civil.

We're sorry, but your browser is incompatible with this site. If you would like to download a compatible browser, please try out [Firefox](#).



To protect users from spam, we need you to prove that you're a human being. Please enter the text from the image at left.

Submit Comment

## Previous Posts

[Brothers' attorneys face contempt hearing](#)

[Judge: Brothers deserves to die](#)

[Jury recommends Brothers should receive the death penalty](#)

[VERDICT IN DEATH](#)

[PENALTY](#)

[No Verdict This Week](#)

[Jury begins deliberations in Brothers penalty phase](#)

[Defense attorney finishes closing argument](#)

[Defense continues closing argument](#)

[Defense attorney begins his closing argument](#)

[Prosecutor finishes closing argument](#)

.....