

# Advanced Coding Theory and Cryptography

*Notes by: Alex Pellegrini*

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>An introduction to Gröbner bases</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Gröbner bases and 0-dim ideals</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Affine Variety Codes</b>	<b>9</b>

# Chapter 1

## An introduction to Gröbner bases

**Theorem 2.1.10** (Hilbert's Basis Theorem)

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the number of variables. Let  $I \subset A[X]$  be an ideal not finitely generated, we may assume it can be constructed by an infinite sequence  $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of independent polynomials of minimal degree. "Independent" means that  $f_i \in I \setminus J_i$  where we set  $J_i := \langle f_0, \dots, f_{i-1} \rangle$ . Now let  $a_i := lc(f_i)$  be the leading coefficient of  $f_i$  and consider  $J := \langle a_0, a_1, \dots \rangle \subset A$ . We know that  $J$  can be a basis for an ideal in  $A$  but since  $A$  is a Noetherian ring we have that there exists a finite basis for such ideal, say  $J = \langle a_1, \dots, a_N \rangle$ . We claim that  $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_N \rangle =: I'$ . Suppose by contrary that this is not true then take a polynomial  $f_{N+1} \in I$ , we want to show that it is a linear combination of elements of  $I'$ , so first of all let's look at the leading coefficient:

$$a_{N+1} = u_1 a_1 + u_2 a_2 + \dots + u_N a_N$$

this is true since  $A$  is Noetherian ring. Consider

$$g := \sum_{i=1}^N u_i f_i x^{\deg(f_{N+1}) - \deg(f_i)} \in I'$$

it has the same degree and same leading coefficient as  $f_{N+1}$ . Now  $f_{N+1} - g \notin I'$  and has degree strictly less than  $f_{N+1}$  contradicting its minimality. Therefore  $f_{N+1} - g$  must be 0 and  $f_{N+1} \in I'$ .

The induction follows since we can consider  $A[X_1, \dots, X_m] = A'[X_m]$  where  $A' := A[X_1, \dots, X_{m-1}]$  which we know is a Noetherian ring.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.1.13** (Dickson's Lemma)

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the number of variables, by first proving the case with one variable. So we are considering  $\mathcal{M} = \{X_1^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and  $T \subset \mathcal{M}$  a semigroup ideal. Since every  $t_i \in T$  is of the form  $t = X_1^{\alpha_i}$  we consider  $\beta = \min\{\alpha_i \mid X_1^{\alpha_i} \in T\}$ . We claim that  $T = \langle X_1^\beta \rangle$ . Indeed let  $t_j \in T$  then it is of the form  $t_j = X_1^{\alpha_j}$  so  $\frac{t_j}{t_i} = X_1^{\alpha_j - \beta}$  is well defined where  $\alpha_j - \beta > 0$  by minimality of  $\beta$ . We can take  $\gamma = \alpha_j - \beta$  hence:

$$t_j = X_1^\beta \cdot X_1^\gamma \in \langle X_1^\beta \rangle = T$$

We prove the more general case so let be  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  arbitrary and assume the lemma proved for  $m - 1$ .

Let  $T \subset \mathcal{M} = \{X_1^{a_1} \cdots X_m^{a_m} \mid (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m\}$ . Consider also the projection map  $\pi(X_1^{a_1} \cdots X_m^{a_m}) = X_1^{a_1} \cdots X_{m-1}^{a_{m-1}}$ . By induction hypothesis  $\pi(T)$  is a finitely generated semigroup ideal so we can find a basis, say  $\pi(T) = \langle t_1, \dots, t_k \rangle$ . Now let:

$$A_i := \min\{a_m \mid X_m^{a_m} t, t \in T, \pi(t) = t_i\} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k$$

and furthermore

$$A := \min\{a_m \mid X_m^{a_m} \in T\}$$

We claim that  $T = \langle t_1 X_m^{A_1}, \dots, t_k X_m^{A_k}, X_m^A \rangle$  which is a finite set.

So pick an arbitrary  $t \in T$  so  $t = \pi(t) X_m^{a_{mt}}$  for some  $a_{mt} \in \mathbb{N}$ , we know that  $\exists t_i$  such that  $\pi(t) = s \cdot t_i$ , therefore  $t = s \cdot t_i \cdot X_m^{a_{mt}}$  and by minimality of  $A_i$  we obtain that for:

$$t = s \cdot t_i \cdot X_m^{a_{mt}} = s \cdot t_i \cdot X_m^{A_i} \cdot X_m^\gamma$$

for  $\gamma = a_{mt} - A_i$ . Now  $\forall t \in T$  we have proved that  $t \in \langle t_i \cdot X_m^{A_i} \rangle$  which is contained in  $\langle t_1 X_m^{A_1}, \dots, t_k X_m^{A_k}, X_m^A \rangle$   $\square$

### Theorem 2.1.14

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  Let  $f \in I$  then we can write:

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \cdot p_i = f_1 \cdot p_1 + f_2 \cdot p_2 + \dots + f_k \cdot p_k, \quad f_i \in \mathcal{P}$$

So evaluating  $f(A)$  means to evaluate every  $p_i$  so:

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= f_1 \cdot p_1(A) + f_2 \cdot p_2(A) + \dots + f_k \cdot p_k(A) = \\ &= f_1 \cdot 0 + f_2 \cdot 0 + \dots + f_k \cdot 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\Leftarrow$  Trivial by setting  $f = p_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k$   $\square$

**Theorem 2.1.17**

*Proof.* We already know that  $I$  and  $J$  are finitely generated so by keeping in mind that  $I \subset J$  we can let:

$$I = \langle p_1, \dots, p_k \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad J = \langle p_1, \dots, p_h \rangle, \quad h \geq k$$

Now pick  $A \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}}(J)$  arbitrary, for every  $g \in I$  we have that  $g \in J$  therefore  $g(A) = 0$  which means that  $A \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}}(I)$  for every  $A$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}}(J) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}}(I)$   $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.6**

*Proof.* Assume that

$$f = h_1 g_{i_1} + h_2 g_{i_2} + \dots + h_s g_{i_s} + r_1 = k_1 g_{j_1} + k_2 g_{j_2} + \dots + k_t g_{j_t} + r_2$$

with  $g_{i_l}, g_{j_l} \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $h_l, k_l, r_1, r_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ . We obtain that neither  $r_1$  nor  $r_2$  are divisible by any  $lm(g), g \in \mathcal{G}$ . Therefore we can write:

$$0 = f - f = (h_1 g_{i_1} + h_2 g_{i_2} + \dots + h_s g_{i_s} + r_1) - (k_1 g_{j_1} + k_2 g_{j_2} + \dots + k_t g_{j_t} + r_2)$$

Hence:

$$r_2 - r_1 = (h_1 g_{i_1} + h_2 g_{i_2} + \dots + h_s g_{i_s}) - (k_1 g_{j_1} + k_2 g_{j_2} + \dots + k_t g_{j_t})$$

Now the LHS belongs to the ideal by definition, i.e.  $\exists g \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $lm(g) | lm(r_2 - r_1)$  but  $lm(r_2 - r_1)$  is  $lm(r_2)$  or  $lm(r_1)$ , so the only way to be divisible is to be 0.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.9**

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{V}(I) = \emptyset$  means that there exists  $f \in I$  that has no roots in  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^m$ , but this is possible only for a polynomial of degree 0, i.e. a constant, say  $c$  in the base field of  $K$ .  $c = X^0 * c = 1 * c$  therefore  $1 \in I$ .

$\Leftarrow$  For  $f = 1 \in I$  we have no roots, therefore  $\mathcal{V}(I) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.13**

*Proof.* Since  $\gcd(lm(p_1), lm(p_2)) = 1$  we can write the S-polynomial as follows:

$$S(p_1, p_2) = p_1 lt(p_2) - p_2 lt(p_1)$$

We assume  $lc(p_i) = 1, i = 1, 2$  therefore  $lt(p_i) = lm(p_i)$  for reading simplicity. Furthermore we write  $p_i = lm(p_i) + r_i$  hence:

$$p_1 lt(p_2) - p_2 lt(p_1) = lm(p_2)(lm(p_1) + r_1) - lm(p_1)(lm(p_2) + r_2) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= lm(p_2)r_1 - lm(p_1)r_2 = r_1(p_2 - r_2) - r_2(p_1 - r_1) = \\
&= r_1p_2 - r_2p_1
\end{aligned}$$

Now since  $lm(r_1) < lm(p_1)$ ,  $lm(r_2) < lm(p_2)$  and  $\gcd(lm(p_1), lm(p_2)) = 1$  we have that  $lm(S)$  is  $lm(r_1p_2)$  or  $lm(r_2p_1)$  but not both.

Assume  $lm(S) = lm(r_1p_2)$  therefore  $lm(S)$  is divisible by  $lm(p_2)$  by a factor of  $lm(r_1)$ . Therefore in the division algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned}
S &\xrightarrow{p_2} r_1p_2 - r_2p_1 - lm(r_1)p_2 = \\
&= (r_1 - lm(r_1))p_2 - r_2p_1
\end{aligned}$$

Which has the same form as the starting point, therefore we can repeat the algorithm til we obtain 0.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.14**

*Proof.* Set  $J_i := lm(g) \mid g \in G_i$ , we want to prove is that  $G_{i+1} \supsetneq G_i$  implies that  $J_{i+1} \supsetneq J_i$ . By construction of the algorithm we have that  $G_{i+1} = G_i \cup \{r\}$  hence  $J_{i+1} = J_i \cup \{lm(r)\}$  because  $lm(g) \nmid lm(r)$  for any  $g \in G_i$ . As we know  $J$  is a semigroup ideal of  $\mathcal{P}$ . But  $\mathcal{P}$  is Noetherian which means that we do not have infinite ideal chains, or in other words  $J$  is finitely generated. So the algorithm stops.  $\square$

## Chapter 2

# Gröbner bases and 0-dim ideals

### Theorem 3.1.4

*Proof.* To check that  $I$  is 0-dimensional we prove that its variety contains a finite number of points. Let  $E := \langle X_i^q - X_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m \rangle$  whose variety is exactly the vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ . Now let  $J := I \setminus E$ , it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{V}(I) = \mathcal{V}(E) \cap \mathcal{V}(J) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$  hence  $\#\mathcal{V}(I) \leq \#\mathbb{F}_q^m = q^m$  which is finite, thus  $I$  is 0-dimensional.

To prove that  $I$  is radical it is sufficient to show that  $\sqrt{I} \subseteq I$  since the other way around is trivial by definition of radical ideal. Given a polynomial  $f \in \sqrt{I}$  this belongs to  $I$  if and only if  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f^n \in I$  or in other words  $f^n \equiv 0 \pmod{I}$ . To begin with notice that  $f^q \equiv f \pmod{I}$ , indeed take:

$$f := a_1 X_1^{\alpha(1,1)} \dots X_m^{\alpha(m,1)} + \dots + a_n X_1^{\alpha(1,n)} \dots X_m^{\alpha(m,n)}$$

Where  $\alpha_{(i,j)} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_j \in \mathbb{F}$ . Now by rising to the power of  $q$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} f^q &= (a_1 X_1^{\alpha(1,1)} \dots X_m^{\alpha(m,1)} + \dots + a_n X_1^{\alpha(1,n)} \dots X_m^{\alpha(m,n)})^q = \\ &= (a_1 X_1^{\alpha(1,1)} \dots X_m^{\alpha(m,1)})^q + \dots + (a_n X_1^{\alpha(1,n)} \dots X_m^{\alpha(m,n)})^q = \\ &= a_1 (X_1^q)^{\alpha(1,1)} \dots (X_m^q)^{\alpha(m,1)} + \dots + a_n (X_1^q)^{\alpha(1,n)} \dots (X_m^q)^{\alpha(m,n)} = \\ &= a_1 X_1^{\alpha(1,1)} \dots X_m^{\alpha(m,1)} + \dots + a_n X_1^{\alpha(1,n)} \dots X_m^{\alpha(m,n)} \\ &= f \pmod{I} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore given  $f \in \sqrt{I}$  then  $f^n \in I \iff f^n \equiv 0 \pmod{I}$  we can have two cases for  $n$ , i.e.  $n < q$  and  $n \geq q$  but we know that  $f^n \equiv f^{n \bmod q} \pmod{I}$  so we can consider only the case  $n < q$ . So we can state the result as follows:

$$f \in \sqrt{I} \Rightarrow f^n \in I \Rightarrow f^n \cdot f^{q-n} \in I \iff f^q \in I \iff f \in I$$

We thus get that  $I = \sqrt{I}$ . □

**Lemma 3.1.9**

*Proof.* Let  $T^* := \{X_1^{z_1}, \dots, X_m^{z_m}\} \subset T$ , it is easy to see that  $\Delta(T^*)$  forms an  $m$ -dimensional rectangle in the space of monomials, therefore we can compute its volume as follows:

$$\#\Delta(T^*) = \prod_{j=1}^m z_j$$

Now the remaining part of  $T$  forms an  $m$ -dimensional polyhedron which is contained in  $\Delta(T)$  and has volume:

$$\prod_{j=1}^m (z_j - i_j)$$

so to compute the actual value of  $\#\Delta(T)$  one must subtract such volume from  $\#\Delta(T^*)$  obtaining:

$$\#\Delta(T) = \prod_{j=1}^m z_j - \prod_{j=1}^m (z_j - i_j)$$

□

**Theorem 3.2.1**

*Proof.* We have  $S := \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$  and want a Gröbner basis  $\mathcal{G}'$  of  $I' := \mathcal{I}(S)$ . If  $S = \{A\}$  with  $A := (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  then  $\mathcal{I}(S) = \langle (X_1 - a_1), \dots, (X_m - a_m) \rangle$ , notice that the leading monomials in the generating basis are relatively coprime therefore  $\mathcal{S}(g_i, g_j) = 0 \ \forall \ i \neq j$  therefore it is also a Gröbner basis. What we want to prove in the general case is that given  $f \in I$  there exist  $g \in \mathcal{G}'$  such that  $lm(g) \mid lm(f)$ .

So let  $f \in \mathcal{I}(S \cup \{B\})$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{K}^m$  this means that  $f(B) = 0 \ \forall \ P_i \in S \cup \{B\}$ . It is easy to see that  $f \in \mathcal{I}(S)$  so given  $\mathcal{G}$  a Gröbner basis of  $\mathcal{I}(S)$  we get that exist  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $lm(g) \mid lm(f)$ . We distinguish three cases here:

1. If  $g(B) = 0$  then  $g \in \mathcal{G}'$  and this case is trivial.
2. Suppose  $g(B) \neq 0$  and  $lm(g) \succ lm(g_*)$ . in this case:

$$g' := g - \frac{g(B)}{g_*(B)} \cdot g_*$$

Now  $g'(B) = 0$  and the leading monomial is left unchanged so  $lm(g') \mid lm(f)$  and so  $g' \in \mathcal{G}'$ .



3. Suppose  $g = g_*$  then  $g(B) \neq 0$ . We claim that there exist  $g_* \cdot (x_i - b_i)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq m$  such that  $lm(g_* \cdot (x_i - b_i)) \mid lm(f)$ . Obviously for every  $i$  it holds that  $(g_* \cdot (x_i - b_i))(B) = 0$ . We see that  $lm(g_* \cdot (x_i - b_i)) = x_i \cdot lm(g_*)$ , if our claim is false then it must be  $lm(g_*) = lm(f)$  (the reasoning is as follows: if  $lm(g_*) \mid lm(f)$  there must exist  $x_i$  such that  $x_i \cdot lm(g) \mid lm(f)$  otherwise  $lm(g_*) = lm(f)$ ) therefore keeping in mind that  $f \in \mathcal{I}(S)$  we have that:

$$f = g_* + h_1 g_1 + \cdots + h_l \cdot g_l$$

with  $g_l \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $lm(g_l) \prec lm(g_*)$  therefore evaluating in  $B$  we obtain:

$$0 = f(B) = g_*(B) + h_1(B)g_1(B) + \cdots + h_l(B) \cdot g_l(B) = g_*(B) \neq 0$$

which is a contradiction. So our claim is true and  $g(x_i - b_i) \in \mathcal{G}'$  allowing  $\mathcal{G}'$  to be a Gröbner basis.

□

### Proposition 3.4.2

*Proof.* Recall that  $N(I)$  is the set of monomials that are not leading monomials of elements of  $I \subseteq \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a Gröbner basis of  $I$ . We want to prove that the elements of the set  $\{M + I \mid M \in N(I)\}$  are linearly independent and they span all  $R$ .

It is easy to prove that they are linearly independent over  $F$  since they differ each other by at least a variable (e.g.  $X_1$  and  $X_1 X_2$ ) or a degree in at least one variable (e.g.  $X_1 X_2$  and  $X_1 X_2^2$ ).

To prove that they span all  $R$  let  $f \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$  with  $f \neq 0$ , it belongs to a nonzero residue class in the quotient algebra  $[f] \in R$  whose representative has leading monomial  $lm(f \bmod I) \in N(I)$  as otherwise there will exist  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $lm(g) \mid lm(f \bmod I)$ . This extends to all the other monomials  $M_i \in \text{Supp}(f \bmod I)$  simply because  $M_i \prec lm(f \bmod I)$ . □

## Chapter 3

# Affine Variety Codes

### Theorem 5.1.1

*Proof.* Write  $\mathbb{F}^* = \mathbb{F}_q^* = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$  where  $n = q - 1$ . Consider the generator matrix of  $RS_k$ :

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{|P_1} & \cdots & 1_{|P_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{|P_1}^{k-1} & \cdots & X_{|P_n}^{k-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ P_1 & P_2 & \cdots & P_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_1^{k-1} & P_2^{k-1} & \cdots & P_n^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that a polynomial evaluation (codeword) in  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  is precise combination of rows of  $G$ . Suppose first that there are two polynomials giving the same codeword:

$$c_1 = (f_1(P_1), \dots, f_1(P_n)) = (f_2(P_1), \dots, f_2(P_n)) = c_2$$

Since  $\deg(f_1), \deg(f_2) < k \leq n$ ,  $f_1 - f_2$  is a polynomial of degree less than  $n$  which has  $n$  zeroes that is impossible unless  $f_1 = f_2 \Rightarrow c_1 = c_2$ . In other words there is no row in  $G$  that is a linear combination of the others. Hence  $\dim(G) = \#rows(G) = k$ .

For the distance we prove both  $\geq$  and  $\leq$ :

Notice that the weight of a codeword:

$$(f_1(P_1), \dots, f_1(P_n)) = (f_2(P_1), \dots, f_2(P_n))$$

is the number of points of  $\mathbb{F}^*$  that are nonzeros of  $f$ . Therefore let  $f$  be a polynomial with as many zeroes as possible, i.e. generating a minimum weight codeword.  $f$  has at most  $k - 1$  zeroes in  $\mathbb{F}^*$  hence  $c$  can have at most  $k - 1$  zero coordinates which means that the code has distance  $d = w_H(f) \geq n - k + 1$ .

On the other hand consider the polynomial:

$$f = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (x - P_i)$$

it has degree  $k - 1$  and  $k - 1$  solutions therefore the codeword it generates has exactly weight  $n - k + 1$ . So the bound is tight.  $\square$