### **Chapter 3** Auction theory

Based on Regis Renault's Slides

Andras Niedermayer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Economics (THEMA), Cergy Paris Université

Winter 2025

#### 1 A simple monopoly problem

- Monopoly seller sells one unit to consumers with unit demand.
- Consumer valuations are i.i.d with standard uniform distributions:  $\epsilon_\ell \sim U[0,1]$ .
- Zero marginal cost.
- With one consumer, the best it can do is post the monopoly price.
- Monopoly price is  $\frac{1}{2}$  with corresponding monopoly profit  $\frac{1}{4}$ .

## 1 A simple monopoly problem Second price auction with two buyers

- Assume now there are two consumers.
- The seller auctions off the good using a *second price/Vickrey* auction.
- Highest bidder gets the good and pays the other consumer's bid.
- In equilibrium consumer  $\ell$  bids  $\epsilon_{\ell}$  (weakly dominant strategy).
- Expected revenue is  $E \min\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\} = \int_0^1 x(2-2x)dx = \frac{1}{3}$ .

# 1 A simple monopoly problem First price auction with two buyers

- suppose a first price auction is used instead.
- Highest bidder gets the good and pays her own bid.
- Bidding own valuation is no more an equilibrium (it is actually weakly dominated by bidding strictly less).
- Bidders engage in *shading* by bidding less than their valuation.

# 1 A simple monopoly problem First price auction with two buyers

- There is no longer a weakly dominant strategy.
- So we look for a Bayes-Nash equilibrium.
- Optimal bidding behavior now depends on the distribution of valuations for the competing bidder.
- More demanding in terms of what information bidders need.

# 1 A simple monopoly problem First price auction with two buyers

- We look for a symmetric equilibrium.
- Bidder  $\ell$ 's behavior characterized by a bidding function  $\beta$  such that if  $\ell$ 's valuation is  $\epsilon_{\ell}$ , she bids  $b_{\ell} = \beta(\epsilon_{\ell})$ .
- Choosing  $b_{\ell} = \beta(\epsilon_{\ell})$  must maximize  $\ell$ 's expected surplus if she expects the other bidder is using bidding function  $\beta$
- We assume  $\beta$  is differentiable: hence it is continuous and there is no tie in the auction.
- Further assume  $\beta$  strictly increasing so it admits an inverse  $\beta^{-1}$  which is also differentiable.
- We must have  $\beta(0) = 0$  (a bidder with zero valuation bids zero).

### 1 A simple monopoly problem First price auction with two buyers

• Bidder 1's expected surplus if she bids  $b_1$  is

$$Pr\{b_2 \le b_1\}(\epsilon_1 - b_1). \tag{1}$$

• Now,  $b_2 = \beta(\epsilon_2)$  so

$$Pr\{b_2 \le b_1\} = Pr\{\beta(\epsilon_2) \le b_1\} = Pr\{\epsilon_2 \le \beta^{-1}(b_1)\} = \beta^{-1}(b_1)$$

.

• Then 1's expected surplus if she bids  $b_1$  is

$$\beta^{-1}(b_1)(\epsilon_1 - b_1). (2)$$

# 1 A simple monopoly problem First price auction with two buyers

Hence b<sub>1</sub> satisfies the FOC

$$\beta^{-1'}(b_1)(\epsilon_1 - b_1) = \beta^{-1}(b_1) \tag{3}$$

• In a symmetric equilibrium we must have  $\epsilon_1 = \beta^{-1}(b_1)$  which yields the differential equation for  $\beta^{-1}$ ,

$$\beta^{-1'}(b)(\beta^{-1}(b) - b) = \beta^{-1}(b). \tag{4}$$

• Alternatively, we must have  $b_1 = \beta(\epsilon_1)$  which yields the differential equation for  $\beta$ 

$$\frac{1}{\beta'(\epsilon)}(\epsilon - \beta(\epsilon)) = \epsilon. \tag{5}$$

# 1 A simple monopoly problem First price auction with two buyers

• (5) can be written as

$$\beta'(\epsilon)\epsilon + \beta(\epsilon) = \epsilon. \tag{6}$$

• Because  $\beta'(x)x + \beta(x)$  is the derivative of  $\beta(x)x$  and  $\beta(0) = 0$ , integrating (6) between 0 and  $\epsilon$  yields

$$\beta(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^{\epsilon} x dx = E[\epsilon_2 | \epsilon_2 \le \epsilon], \tag{7}$$

 $(\frac{1}{\epsilon}$  is the density of  $\epsilon_2$  conditional on  $\epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon$ ).

• Hence  $\beta(\epsilon) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

# 1 A simple monopoly problem Revenue comparison

- In the first price auction the seller earns the highest bid but it is half of the highest valuation.
- Expected revenue is

$$\frac{1}{2}E(\max\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 2\epsilon^2 d\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}.$$
 (8)

- This is an illustration of the *revenue equivalence* principle.
- The strategic behavior of bidders unravels the attempt of the seller to capture more than the second highest valuation.

### 1 A simple monopoly problem Reserve price

- Are these auction formats revenue maximizing?
- Clearly not
  - Seller could post the monop. price (from the one buyer case).  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and sell with prob.  $\frac{3}{4}$ .
  - Expected revenue of  $\frac{3}{8} > \frac{1}{3}$ .
- It could actually earn more by posting a higher price.

### 1 A simple monopoly problem Reserve price

- In the auctions we have considered the good is sold with probability one to the highest valuation buyer: social optimum.
- Selling even when valuations are very low lowers the expected price.
- Revenue can be increased by giving up selling to low valuation buyers.
- This is achieved by using a reservation price r > 0 such that the product is sold only if the price exceeds r.

### 1 A simple monopoly problem Second price auction with reserve price

- Good is sold to the highest bidder only if she bids at least r.
- She pays the max of r and the other bid.
- Bidding own valuation  $\epsilon_{\ell}$  is still a dominant strategy.
- Note that the expected revenue with such an auction is always
   than the expected revenue obtained by posting r:
   probability of selling is the same but there is some probability that the good is sold at a price > r.

### 1 A simple monopoly problem Second price auction with reserve price

- Revenue max reserve price is  $r = \frac{1}{2}$ .
- Note that the hazard rate for the standard uniform is  $h(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}$ .
- The optimal reserve price is such that the *virtual value*  $r \frac{1}{h(\epsilon)}$  is zero.
- Below that value, the seller is giving up too much informational rent to those with valuations above r and it is preferable to give up selling to those below r.
- Also note that the good is not sold with probability  $\frac{1}{4}$ .
  - If seller cannot commit to running an auction again, there is potential for Coasian dynamics as in the durable good problem.

- Assume now there are L buyers with i.i.d. valuations: F is the c.d.f and f the density.
- Values are private because they are drawn independently.
- Setting is symmetric because valuations are identically distributed.
- Let  $Y = \max\{\epsilon_2, ..., \epsilon_L\}$ : it has c.d.f G, where  $G(x) = F(x)^{L-1}$  and density  $g(x) = (L-1)f(x)F(x)^{L-2}$ .
- Again we look for a strictly increasing and differentiable bidding function  $\beta$ .

• Bidder 1's expected surplus if she bids  $b_1$  is

$$Pr\{\beta(Y) \le b_1\}(\epsilon_1 - b_1) \tag{9}$$

$$= G(\beta^{-1}(b_1))(\epsilon_1 - b_1). \tag{10}$$

Hence b<sub>1</sub> satisfies the FOC

$$\beta^{-1'}(b_1)g(\beta^{-1}(b_1))(\epsilon_1 - b_1) = G(\beta^{-1}(b_1)).$$
 (11)

• In a symmetric equilibrium we must have  $b_1 = \beta(\epsilon_1)$  which yields the differential equation for  $\beta$ 

$$\frac{g(\epsilon)}{\beta'(\epsilon)}(\epsilon - \beta(\epsilon)) = G(\epsilon). \tag{12}$$

• (12) can be written as

$$\beta'(\epsilon)G(\epsilon) + \beta(\epsilon)g(\epsilon) = \epsilon g(\epsilon). \tag{13}$$

• Because  $\beta'(x)G(x) + \beta(x)g(x)$  is the derivative of  $\beta(x)G(x)$  and  $\beta(0) = 0$ , integrating (13) between 0 and  $\epsilon$  yields

$$\beta(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{G(\epsilon)} \int_0^{\epsilon} g(s) x dx = E[Y|Y \le \epsilon], \tag{14}$$

 $(\frac{g(x)}{G(x)}$  is the density of Y conditional on  $Y \leq \epsilon$ ).

• The equilibrium bid for a buyer with valuation  $\epsilon$  is the expected max of the valuations of all the other buyers conditional on  $\epsilon$  being the highest valuation.

#### 3 Main extensions

- Interdependent values.
- Multiple objects and sequential auctions.