

# Chapter 5 *Discrete choice random utility models*

Based on Regis Renault's Slides

Andras Niedermayer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Economics (THEMA), Cergy Paris Université

Winter 2025

- ① Background on product differentiation.
- ② Linear random utility model of demand.
- ③ Multinomial logit
- ④ Oligopoly and price competition.
- ⑤ Mixed logit and random coefficients.

# 1 Background on product differentiation

## Horizontal versus vertical differentiation

- Product's attributes often thought of as summarized in its quality (e.g. a better computer has a faster chip, more memory and better video).
- Then consumers would agree on which product is better.
- However consumer tastes are heterogeneous: some might want a big high performance laptop, while others would prefer a small and light one.
- The theory of imperfect competition with product differentiation distinguishes
  - Vertical product differentiation: with equal prices all consumers would prefer one product to the other.
  - Horizontal differentiation: at equal prices, different consumers would select different products.

# 1 Background on product differentiation

## Horizontal versus vertical differentiation

### Three remarks

- The two types of differentiation have different implications for pricing, both in monopoly and oligopoly..
- There can be taste heterogeneity with vertical differentiation (e.g. the Mussa Rosen setting).
- Empirical challenge in identifying and measuring product quality.

# 1 Background on product differentiation

## Localized versus non localized competition

- Product choice is an essential dimension of non price competition (Hotelling, 1929).
- With more than two products each firm might compete only with a subset of other firms (localized competition, e.g. the Vickrey/Salop circle model where each competes with its two neighbors).
- With enough dimensions in the product space, a firm can compete with all others (non localized competition).

# 1 Background on product differentiation

## Discrete choice versus multi homing

- Discrete choice means that each consumer consumes only one of the products.
- A consumer could conceivably purchase multiple products from multiple sellers (situation described as “multi homing” in the platform literature).
- If this is the case then there is some complementarity between products which softens competition.
- Early models of non localized competition assumed a representative consumer that consumes all varieties, rather than discrete choice (Spence, Dixit, Stiglitz). This approach has not been pursued in IO (rather in Trade, macro and growth theory).

## 2 Linear random utility model of demand

- For each consumer  $\ell = 1, \dots, L$  there are  $n$  draws  $\epsilon_{\ell,i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\epsilon_{\ell,i}$  are i.i.d across consumers  $\ell$  and  $E\epsilon_{\ell,i} = 0$  for all  $\ell$  and  $i$ .
- Support of  $(\epsilon_{\ell,1}, \dots, \epsilon_{\ell,n})$  is  $[a, b]^n$ , density is  $f$ .
- Consumer  $\ell$ 's utility if she buys product  $i$  at price  $p_i$  is

$$u_{\ell,i}(p_i) = \alpha_i - p_i + y_\ell + \epsilon_{\ell,i}. \quad (1)$$

where  $y_\ell$  is  $\ell$ 's income and  $\alpha_i$  is a mean valuation for product  $i$  (it could for instance depend on some vector of product characteristics  $X_i$  with  $\alpha_i = X_i\beta$ ).

- Let  $v_i = \alpha_i - p_i$ .

## 2 Linear random utility model of demand

- $\ell$  prefers product  $i$  to product  $j$  if and only if

$$v_i + \epsilon_{\ell,i} > v_j + \epsilon_{\ell,j}. \quad (2)$$

- Consumer  $\ell$  prefers not to buy if

$$\max_{i=1,\dots,n} \{v_i + \epsilon_{\ell,i}\} < 0. \quad (3)$$

- No income effect.
- $\alpha_i + \epsilon_{\ell,i}$  can be interpreted as a measure of the match quality between consumer  $\ell$  and product  $i$ .



## 2 Linear random utility model of demand

### Determination of demand for product $i$ .

- Consider product 1.
- Consumer  $\ell$  buys product 1 if

$$\epsilon_1 > -v_1 \tag{4}$$

and

$$\epsilon_j < \epsilon_1 + v_1 - v_j. \tag{5}$$

## 2 Linear random utility model of demand

### Determination of demand for product $i$ .

Expected demand for product 1 can therefore be written as

$$D_1(p_1, \dots, p_n) = L \int_{-v_1}^b \int_a^{\epsilon_1 + v_1 - v_2} \dots \int_a^{\epsilon_1 + v_1 - v_n} f(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) d\epsilon_n, \dots, d\epsilon_1 \quad (6)$$

The joint distribution could be normal

$(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \sim N((0, \dots, 0), \Sigma)$ , which yields a multinomial probit model.

## 2 Linear random utility model of demand

### Two products

With two products product 1's demand is

$$D_1(p_1, p_2) = L \int_{-v_1}^b \int_a^{\epsilon_1 + v_1 - v_2} f(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) d\epsilon_2 d\epsilon_1. \quad (7)$$

The set over which the integral is calculated can be depicted graphically.

This shows how changes in prices affect product 1's market share.

## 2 Linear random utility model of demand

### Covered market

Because of budget constraints the maximum relevant price is  $\bar{p} = \max\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  (none of the products could be purchased by any consumer at larger prices)

Now assume that  $a + \min_i\{\alpha_i\} > \bar{p}$ , then for any product  $i$ ,  $-v_i = p_i - \alpha_i < a$ .

Then all consumers buy one product with probability one and product 1's demand is.

$$D_1(p_1, \dots, p_n) = L \int_a^b \int_a^{\epsilon_1 + v_1 - v_2} \dots \int_a^{\epsilon_1 + v_1 - v_2} f(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) d\epsilon_n, \dots, d\epsilon_1. \quad (8)$$

Specification is only useful to buy some tractability in the theory (especially when combined with symmetry or duopoly).

## 2 Linear random utility model of demand

### i.i.d. matches across products

Assume now that  $\epsilon_{\ell,i}$ ,  $\ell = 1, \dots, L$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are not only i.i.d. across consumers  $\ell$  but also across products.

$F$  and  $f$  respectively denote the common c.d.f and density of  $\epsilon_{\ell,i}$ . Then product 1's demand is.

$$D_1(p_1, \dots, p_n) = L \int_{-v_1}^b \prod_{i=2}^n F(\epsilon_1 + v_1 - v_i) f(\epsilon_1) d\epsilon_1. \quad (9)$$

Much of existing theoretical work uses variants of this version. The multinomial logit is a special case.

## 2 Linear random utility model of demand

### Symmetric products

Special case of previous one where  $\alpha_i = \alpha_j = \alpha$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

If all products have the same price  $p^*$  then all products have the same demand

$$D_1(p^*, \dots, p^*) = L \int_{p^* - \alpha}^b F(\epsilon_1)^{n-1} f(\epsilon_1) d\epsilon_1 = \frac{L}{n} (1 - F(p^* - \alpha)^n) \quad (10)$$

If market is covered,  $p^* - \alpha$  replaced by  $a$  so each demand is  $\frac{L}{n}$ .

## 2 Linear random utility model of demand

### Price sensitive individual demand.

Rather than assuming unit demand assume that, if consumer  $\ell$  buys product  $i$ , she purchases a quantity  $q_{\ell,i}$  and utility is now given by

$$u_{\ell,i}(p_i) = \alpha_i + v(p_i) + y_{\ell,i}, \quad (11)$$

Where  $v(p_i) + y_{\ell}$  is the indirect utility from consumer product  $i$  at price  $p_i$ , which is strictly decreasing and convex in  $p_i$  (here, since there is no income effect, it is merely consumer surplus).

Then at price  $p_i$  consumer buys  $d_i(p_i) = -v'(p_i)$ .

Letting  $v_i = \alpha_i + v(p_i)$  demand is

$$D_1(p_1, \dots, p_n) \\ = L d_1(p_1) \int_{-v_1}^b \int_a^{\epsilon_1 + v_1 - v_2}, \dots, \int_a^{\epsilon_1 + v_1 - v_n} f(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) d\epsilon_n, \dots, d\epsilon_1$$

### 3 Multinomial logit.

- The multinomial logit is a model of probabilistic choice.
- In our context, with  $n + 1$  alternatives (including the outside “no purchase” option) the probability that a consumer  $\ell$  chooses product  $i$  is

$$P_{\ell,i} = \frac{e^{\frac{v_i}{\mu}}}{\sum_{j=0}^n e^{\frac{v_j}{\mu}}}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\mu > 0$  and the outside option is alternative 0 ( $v_0$  remains to be defined).

- $\mu$  is a scaling parameter that measures how difference in expected utilities affect choice: it can be construed as a measure of product differentiation.



### 3 Multinomial logit

#### The double exponential distribution

- Assume  $\epsilon_{\ell,i}$  is i.i.d across consumers and products with c.d.f.

$$\bar{F}(x) = e^{-e^{-\frac{x}{\mu}-\gamma}}, \quad (13)$$

where  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant ( $\simeq .5772$ ).

- $\epsilon$  has mean zero, variance  $\frac{\mu^2 \pi^2}{6}$  and support  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ .
- Density is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\frac{x}{\mu}-\gamma} e^{-e^{-\frac{x}{\mu}-\gamma}}. \quad (14)$$

### 3 Multinomial logit

#### Covered market

- Relevant demand expression is (9) where the lower bound of the integral  $-v_1$  is replaced by  $a$ .
- Let us define  $t = e^{-\frac{\epsilon_1}{\mu} - \gamma}$  so that  $dt = -\frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\frac{\epsilon_1}{\mu} - \gamma} d\epsilon_1$  and  $f(\epsilon_1) d\epsilon_1 = -e^{-t} dt$  (we use this to make a change of variable in the integral).
- Also define  $Y_i = e^{\frac{v_i}{\mu}}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  so we have

$$F(\epsilon_1 + v_1 - v_i) = e^{-t \frac{Y_i}{Y_1}}. \quad (15)$$

### 3 Multinomial logit

#### Covered market

- Firm 1's demand can be written as

$$D_1(p_1, \dots, p_n) = \int_0^\infty \Pi_{i=2}^n e^{-t \frac{Y_i}{Y_1}} e^{-t} dt \quad (16)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty e^{-t \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{Y_1}} dt \quad (17)$$

$$= \left[ -\frac{Y_1}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i} e^{-t \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{Y_1}} \right]_0^{+\infty} = \frac{e^{\frac{v_1}{\mu}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n e^{\frac{v_i}{\mu}}}. \quad (18)$$

### 3 Multinomial logit

#### Outside option

- To have an outside option and keep the multinomial logit form we need to make the associated utility random: assume that not buying any product yields:

$$u_{\ell,0} = \epsilon_{\ell,0} + y_{\ell}, \quad (19)$$

where  $\epsilon_{\ell,0}$  is i.i.d across consumers and with all product specific random terms  $\epsilon_{\ell,i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

- Then consumer  $\ell$  chooses alternative  $i = 0, \dots, n$  with probability given by (12) with  $v_0 = 0$ , that is

$$P_{\ell,i} = \frac{e^{\frac{v_i}{\mu}}}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\frac{v_j}{\mu}}}, \quad (20)$$

### 3 Multinomial logit

#### Econometric specification

- Assume  $\alpha_i = x_i \bar{\beta}$ , where  $x_i$  is a vector of characteristics for product  $i$ .
- Then we can write

$$\frac{v_i}{\mu} = x_i \beta - \delta p_i, \quad (21)$$

where  $\beta = \frac{1}{\mu} \bar{\beta}$  and  $\delta = \frac{1}{\mu}$ .

- Then individual demand can be estimated using a standard multinomial logit
- Under the assumption that  $\epsilon_{\ell,i}$  is i.i.d across consumers, aggregate demand for product  $i$  is merely  $D_i = LP_{\ell,i}$ .

### 3 Multinomial logit

#### IIA property

- Take a consumer  $\ell$  who consumes either product 1 or product 2: then the conditional probability that 1 is chosen is

$$\frac{P_{\ell,1}}{P_{\ell,1} + P_{\ell,2}} = \frac{e^{\frac{v_1}{\mu}}}{e^{\frac{v_1}{\mu}} + e^{\frac{v_2}{\mu}}}. \quad (22)$$

It does not depend on the number of other products available or on their characteristics ( $v_j$  for  $j \neq 1, 2$ ).

- This property is called “independence of irrelevant alternatives” (IIA)..

# 3 Multinomial logit

## IIA property

- This is an unappealing property: suppose the choice probabilities for 3 different cars are
  - 1 Renault Clio: .5
  - 2 Mercedes S class: .25
  - 3 Porsche Cayenne: .25
- IIA says that if Porsche Cayenne is taken out of the market, probabilities for Renault Clio and Mercedes S Class go up to 2/3 and 1/3 respectively (which were the conditional probabilities when the Porsche was still around).
- At the aggregate level, the market share for the Renault would increase more than that of the Mercedes.
- For a price change we have  $\frac{dP_{\ell,i}}{dp_3} = \frac{1}{\mu} P_{\ell,i} P_{\ell,3}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , so the brand with a large share is more affected by a change in price of the third brand.

## 4 Oligopoly

- Assume now that each product  $i$  is produced at constant marg. cost  $c_i$ .
- Products are sold by  $M \leq n$  firms.
- Assuming  $\epsilon_{\ell,i}$  i.i.d over  $\ell$  and  $i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$  demand for product  $i$  is

$$D_i = L \int_a^b \Pi_{j \neq i} F(\epsilon + v_i - v_j) f(\epsilon) d\epsilon, \quad (23)$$

with  $v_0 = 0$ .

- Firms choose prices simultaneously in a Nash equilibrium.
- $p_i^*$ : equilibrium price of product  $i$ .



## 4 Oligopoly

### First order conditions: single product firms

- Assume now each firm sells one product so  $M = n$  (and we call  $i$  the firm selling product  $i$ ).
- Consider firm  $i$ 's problem: it selects a price  $p_i$  expecting other firms to charge equilibrium prices  $p_j^*$ ,  $j \neq i$ .
- Its demand deriv. with respect to own price  $p_i$  is

$$\frac{\partial D_i}{\partial p_i} = -L \int_a^b \sum_{j \neq i} f(\epsilon + v_i - v_j) \Pi_{k \neq i, j} F(\epsilon + v_i - v_k) f(\epsilon) d\epsilon. \quad (24)$$

## 4 Oligopoly

### First order conditions: single product firms

- As for a single product monopolist the price FOC is

$$p_i^* - c_i = -\frac{D_i}{\partial D_i / \partial p_i}. \quad (25)$$

- Jointly estimating demand and this FOC would yield estimates for demand parameters and marginal costs.
- However actual data concern multi product firms so the price FOCs will be more complex.

## 4 Oligopoly

### First order conditions: multi product firms

- Now assume each firm  $m$  sells several products and denote  $K_m$  the set of products sold by firm  $m$ .
- Firm  $m$ 's profit can be written as

$$\pi_m = \sum_{i \in K_m} (p_i - c_i) D_i. \quad (26)$$

- Hence, FOC for  $p_i$  is

$$p_i^* - c_i = -\frac{D_i}{\partial D_i / \partial p_i} - \sum_{j \in K_m, j \neq i} \frac{\partial D_j / \partial p_i}{\partial D_i / \partial p_i} (p_j^* - c_j). \quad (27)$$

## 4 Mixed logit and random coefficients

### Consumer heterogeneity in the LRUM model

- In the LRUM model, consumer heterogeneity enters in two ways:
  - ① through income  $y_\ell$ .
  - ② through the random term  $\epsilon_\ell$ .
- We noted already that income plays no role because it cancels out in the choice.
  - if we introduce a vector of other individual characteristics  $z_\ell$  that enters additively in utility as  $z_\ell\gamma$  it would also be canceled out.

## 4 Mixed logit and random coefficients

### Consumer heterogeneity in the LRUM model

- The random term  $\epsilon_{\ell,i}$  does not interact with product specific variables summarized in  $v_i$ .
- As a result, market shares are fully determined by the values of  $v_i$  independent of its composition:
  - e.g. demand for a high quality (high  $\alpha_i$ ) product with a high price could be analogous to that of a low quality product with a low price (same market share, same price derivatives).
  - observed product characteristics only matter through their contribution to  $\alpha_i$  but it does not matter whether two products have different or similar characteristics.
- IIA for the logit is an extreme consequence of this.

## 4 Mixed logit and random coefficients

### Mixed logit

- When dealing with individual choice data, individual heterogeneity and individual characteristics can be accounted for through a *mixed logit* model.
- In our econometric specification of the logit model, we could for instance assume that the price parameter is consumer specific and can be written  $\delta_\ell = z_\ell \gamma + \eta_\ell$  where  $\eta_\ell$  is i.i.d across consumers.
- A similar strategy could be used for the parameters in  $\beta$  associated with the various product characteristics.
- Then choice probabilities are obtained by taking the mean of the logit expression over the random terms.

## 4 Mixed logit and random coefficients

### Aggregate demand data and random coefficients

- With aggregate demand data, random coefficients can be introduced with aggregate data on the distribution of individual characteristics (e./g. distribution of income).
- Then the market share is computed as the mean over all realizations of  $z_\ell$  of the mixed logit probabilities.