# ✓ Congratulations! You passed!

Next Item



1/1 point

1.

Suppose we are given a huge dataset. We did a KFold validation once and noticed that scores on each fold are roughly the same. Which validation type is most practical to use?



We can use a simple holdout validation scheme because the data is homogeneous.

#### Correct

Correct! If scores on different folds are similar, we indeed can use holdout split. In fact, this is often the case.

- We should keep on using KFold scheme as the data is homogeneous and KFold is the most computationally efficient scheme.
- Leave-one-out because the data is not homogeneous.



1/1 point

2

Suppose we are given a medium-sized dataset and we did a KFold validation once. We noticed that scores on each fold differ noticeably. Which validation type is the most practical to use?



KFold

## Correct

Correct. This is the most frequent way to deal with this kind of situations. Also, scores deviation in KFold will help you to select statistically significant change in scores while tuning a model.

LOO



1/1 point

3.

The features we generate depend on the train-test data splitting method. Is this true?



True

#### Correct

Correct. For an explanation check out the third video in the module about choosing a train/test split.



False



1/1 point

4.

What of these can indicate an expected leaderboard shuffle in a competition?



Most of the competitors have very similar scores

#### Correct

In this case randomness can shuffle scores on the private leaderboard



Little amount of training or/and testing data

#### Correct

In this case randomness can shuffle scores on the private leaderboard



Different public/private data or target distributions

### Correct

In this case competitors can receive quite unexpected scores on private LB.

# Validation Practice Quiz, 4 questions