

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ClockBoard: a zoning system for urban analysis

Robin Lovelace

Institute for Transport Studies, Leeds, University of Leeds, UK

Martijn Tennekes

Department of Methodology, Statistics Netherlands, The Netherlands

Received: December 24, 2015; returned: February 25, 2016; revised: July 13, 2016; accepted: September 5, 2016.

Abstract: Zones are the building blocks of urban analysis. Fields ranging from demographics to transport planning routinely use zones — spatially contiguous areal units that break-up continuous space into discrete chunks — as the foundation for diverse analysis techniques. Key methods such as origin-destination analysis and choropleth mapping rely on zones with appropriate sizes, shapes and coverage. However, existing zoning systems are sub-optimal in many urban analysis contexts, for three main reasons: 1) administrative zoning systems are often based on somewhat arbitrary factors; 2) evidence-based zoning systems are often highly variable in size and shape, reducing their utility for inter-city comparison; and 3) the resolution of existing zoning systems is often too low for certain urban analysis, especially in low income nations. To tackle these three key issues we developed a flexible, open and scalable solution: the ClockBoard zoning system. ClockBoard consists of 12 segments divided by concentric rings of increasing distance, creating a consistent visual frame of reference for cities that is reminiscent of a clock and a dartboard. This paper outlines the design, potential uses and merits of the ClockBoard zoning system and discusses future avenues for research and development of new zoning systems based on the experience.

Keywords: zoning, areal data, geographic data

1 Introduction

Zoning systems have long been used for practical purposes. They have been integral to land ownership, rents and urban policies for centuries, forming the basis of a range of social

and economic practices. Historical examples highlighting the importance of zone layouts include 'tithe maps' determining land ownership and taxes in 18th Century England [4] and legally defined urban land use zones to tame chaotic urban growth expansion in the exploding US cities in the early 1900s [2].

In the 19th Century, zoning systems became known for political reasons, with 'gerrymandering' entering public discourse and academic research following Elbridge Gerry's apparent attempt to gain political advantage by creating an electoral district in an odd shape that was said to resemble a salamander (hence the term's name) in 1812 [15]. The gerrymandering problem has since been the topic of countless academic papers.

The gerrymandering problem (in itself is a manifestation of the modifiable area unit problem) can be described as a mathematical optimization problem: " n units are grouped into k zones such that some cost function is optimized, subject to constraints on the topology of the zones" [5]. In fact, this problem is concise definition of the broader "zoning problem" that starts from the assumption that zones are to be composed of one or more basic statistical units (BSUs). Although the range of outcomes is a finite combinatorial optimisation problem (which combination of BSU-zone aggregations satisfy/optimize some pre-determined criteria) the problem is still hard: "there are a tremendously large number of alternative partitions, a similar number of different results, and only a slightly smaller number of different interpretations" [14].

The problem that we tackle in this paper is different, however: it is the division of geographic space into zones **starting from a blank slate**, without reference to pre-existing areal units. The focus of much preceding zoning research on BSU partitioning can be explained by the fact that much geographic data available to academics comes in 'pre-packaged' small areas and because creating zones from nothing is a harder problem. We disagree with the statement that "existence of individual or non-spatially aggregated data is rare in geography" [14], pointing to car crashes, shop locations, species identification data and dozens of other phenomena that can be understood as 'point pattern processes'. And with advances in computer hardware and software, the 'starting from scratch' approach to zoning system is more feasible.

A number of approaches have tackled the question of how to best divide up geographical space for analysis and visualisation purposes, with a variety of applications. Functional zone classification is common the field of remote sensing and associated sub-fields involved in analysing and classifying raster datasets [6] [8]. While such pixel-based approaches can yield complex and flexible results (depending on the geographic resolution of the input data), they are still constrained by the building blocks of the pixels, which can be seen as a particular type of areal unit, a uniformly sized and shaped BSU.

In this paper we are interested in the division of *continuous space* into completely new areal systems. This has been done using contour lines to represent lines of equal height, and the concept's generalisation to lines of equal journey time from locations (isochrones) [11], population density (isopleths) [10] and model parameters which continuous geographical space [16]. The boundaries created by these various 'iso' maps are 'procedurally generated' areal units of the type that this paper focuses, but their variability and often irregular shapes make them impractical for many types of urban analysis.

Procedural generation, which involves the generation of data through a repeated and sometimes randomised computational process has long been used to represent physical phenomena [13]. The approach has been used to generate spatial entities including roads [7], indoor layouts of buildings [1] and urban layouts [12]. Algorithms have also been

developed to place linear features on a map, as illustrated by an algorithm that optimizes the placement of overlapping linear features for cartographic visualisation [18].

Robin: add papers outlining previous approaches to 'from scratch' zoning.

Recently, a workflow has been introduced to analyse urban morphology using OpenStreetMap data [3]. In order to compare cities, they proposed rose diagrams.

Martijn: add outline

1.1 Limitations of pre-existing zoning systems

Pre-existing zoning systems are often based on administrative regions. Although those zoning systems are usually in line with the hierarchical organization structure of governmental organizations, and therefore may work well for policy making, there are a couple of downsides to using such zoning systems. First of all, since a city and its politics change over time, the administrative regions often change accordingly. This makes it harder to do time series analysis. Since the administrative regions have heterogeneous characteristics, for instance population size, area size, proximity to the city centre, comparing different administrative regions within a city is not straightforward. Moreover, comparing administrative regions across cities is even more challenging since average scale of an administrative region may vary a lot across cities.

Grid tiles are popular in spatial statistics for a number of reasons. Most importantly the tiles have a constant area size, which makes comparably possible. Moreover, the grid tiles will not change over time like administrative regions. However, one downside is that a grid requires a coordinate reference system (CRS), enforcing (approximately) equal area size. For continents or large countries, a CRS is always a compromise. Therefore, the areas of the tiles may vary, or the shape of the tiles may be sheared or warped.

Another downside from a statistical point of view is that population densities are not uniform within a urban area, but concentrated around a centre. As a consequence, high resolution statistics is preferable in the dense areas, i.e. the centre, and lower resolution statistics in other parts of the city. That is the reason why administrative regions are often smaller in dense areas.

1.2 The rational for new zoning systems

Martijn: Work this out

- Locating cities. Automated zoning systems based on a clear centrepont can support map interpretation by making it immediately clear where the city centre is, and what the scale of the city is.
- Reference system of everyday live. The zone name contains information about the distance to the center as well as the cardinal direction. E.g "I live in C12 and work in B3." or "The train station is in the center and our hotel is in B7". Moreover, the zones indicate whether walking and cycling is a feasibly option regarding the distance.
- Aggregation for descriptive statistics / comparability over cities. By using the zoning system to aggregate statistics (e.g. on population density, air quality, bicycle use, number of dwellings), cities can easily be compared to each other.
- Modelling urban cities. The zoning system can be used to model urban mobility.

A range of techniques have been used to communicate direction, ranging in complexity from ... to The visualisation of direction has been driven by navigational requirements, with circular compasses and displays common in land and sea navigational systems since the mid 1900s [9].

2 The ClockBoard zoning system

The ClockBoard zoning systems tackles the various issues outlined above based on the following desirable criteria for zoning systems from an urban analysis:

- Intuitive naming system for the individual zones within - Easy to visualise without too many (500+) or too few (less than 10) individual zones - Zone sizes decrease with distance from the city centre, highlighting the relative importance and population density towards the centre of many settlements - Can represent a wide range of cities and urban forms ranging from extensive cities such as Mexico City to compact cities such as Hong Kong
- It's a specific implementation of a general concept -

2.1 Annuli distances

The radius of each annuli in the zoning system can be incremented by a fixed amount, as shown in previous figures. In cases where high geographic resolution is important near the centre of the study region, such as when designing transport systems into the central zone of a city planning, increasing distances between each radius may be desirable. We experimented with various ways of incrementing the annuli width and suggest linear increases in width as a sensible default for a simple zoning system. This linear growth leads to distances between each annuli boundary increasing in line with the steps in the triangular number sequence [17].

	Number of rings	Diameter across (km)	Area (sq km)
1	1	2	3.14
2	2	6	28.27
3	3	12	113.10
4	4	20	314.16
5	5	30	706.86
6	6	42	1385.44
7	7	56	2463.01
8	8	72	4071.50
9	9	90	6361.73

2.2 Number of segments

What it looks like with 4 segments.



2.3 City extents

3 Applications

3.1 Navigation and location

3.2 Exploring city scale data

univariate description

- Population density in London - Social (e.g. religion) and demographic distributions

3.3 Inter-city statistical comparison




Figure 1: Cycling accidents compared between eight cities.

3.4 Mobility analysis

4 Discussion and conclusions

4.1 Limitations

Pros:

- Most cities have a radial plan around a central area, which is often a historic centre or a central business area. Typically, this centre is not only the geographic centre, but also the busiest area in terms of daytime population. Often the main nodes in the urban transport network are also located in or near the city centre. Note that many cities already consist of concentric rings, separated by a ring road. (See also https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/City_centre which describes the centre as the heart of the city)

Cons:

- Some cities have two or more centres. Many cities have a central business district or financial district which not always coincides with the historic city centre.
- In urban areas with nearby cities, it may not always be clear where one city ends and another begins. Also, small cities may be located within the metropolitan area of a larger city (e.g. the Dutch cities The Hague/Delft)

References

- [1] ANDERSON, C., BAILEY, C., HEUMANN, A., AND DAVIS, D. Augmented space planning: Using procedural generation to automate desk layouts. *International Journal of Architectural Computing* 16, 2 (June 2018), 164–177. 10.1177/1478077118778586.
- [2] BAKER, N. F. Zoning Legislation. *Cornell Law Review* 11 (1925), 164.
- [3] BOEING, G. Spatial information and the legibility of urban form: Big data in urban morphology. *International Journal of Information Management* 56 (Feb. 2021), 102013. 10/gcg9v.
- [4] BRYANT, V., AND NOKE, M. The Worcestershire Tithe and Enclosure Map Project: Creating a research resource. *Landscape History* 29, 1 (2007), 89–92. 10.1080/01433768.2007.10594591.
- [5] CHOU, C.-I., AND LI, S. P. Taming the Gerrymander—Statistical physics approach to Political Districting Problem. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 369, 2 (Sept. 2006), 799–808. 10/fghzws.
- [6] CIGLIC, R., STRUMBELJ, E., CESNOVAR, R., HRVATIN, M., AND PERKO, D. Evaluating existing manually constructed natural landscape classification with a machine learning-based approach. *Journal of Spatial Information Science* 2019, 18 (2019), 31–56.
- [7] GALIN, E., PEYTAVIE, A., MARÉCHAL, N., AND GUÉRIN, E. Procedural Generation of Roads. *Computer Graphics Forum* 29, 2 (2010), 429–438. 10.1111/j.1467-8659.2009.01612.x.
- [8] HESSELBARTH, M. H., SCIAINI, M., WITH, K. A., WIEGAND, K., AND NOWOSAD, J. Landscapemetrics: An open-source R tool to calculate landscape metrics. *Ecography* 42, 10 (2019), 1648–1657.
- [9] HONICK, K. R. Pictorial Navigation Displays. *The Cartographic Journal* 4, 2 (Dec. 1967), 72–81. 10/gh326k.



- [10] LIN, J., HANINK, D. M., AND CROMLEY, R. G. A cartographic modeling approach to isopleth mapping. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 31, 5 (May 2017), 849–866. 10.1080/13658816.2016.1207776.
- [11] LONG, J. A. Modeling movement probabilities within heterogeneous spatial fields. *Journal of Spatial Information Science* 2018, 16 (2018), 85–116.
- [12] MUSTAFA, A., WEI ZHANG, X., ALIAGA, D. G., BRUWIER, M., NISHIDA, G., DEWALS, B., ERPICUM, S., ARCHAMBEAU, P., PIROTON, M., AND TELLER, J. Procedural generation of flood-sensitive urban layouts. *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science* 47, 5 (June 2020), 889–911. 10.1177/2399808318812458.
- [13] ONRUST, B., BIDARRA, R., ROOSEBOOM, R., AND VAN DE KOPPEL, J. Ecologically Sound Procedural Generation of Natural Environments. *International Journal of Computer Games Technology* 2017 (May 2017), e7057141. 10.1155/2017/7057141.
- [14] OPENSHAW, S. Optimal zoning systems for spatial interaction models. *Environment and Planning A* 9, 2 (1977), 169–184. 10.1068/a090169.
- [15] ORR, D. M. J. The Persistence of the Gerrymander in North Carolina Congressional Redistricting. *Southeastern Geographer* 9, 2 (1969), 39–54. 10/gh326j.
- [16] PÁEZ, A. Exploring contextual variations in land use and transport analysis using a probit model with geographical weights. *Journal of Transport Geography* 14, 3 (May 2006), 167–176. 10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2005.11.002.
- [17] ROSS, H. E., AND KNOTT, B. I. Dicuil (9th century) on triangular and square numbers. *British Journal for the History of Mathematics* 34, 2 (May 2019), 79–94. 10/gh326m.
- [18] TEULADE-DENANTES, J., MAUDET, A., AND DUCHÊNE, C. Routes visualization: Automated placement of multiple route symbols along a physical network infrastructure. *Journal of Spatial Information Science* 2015, 11 (Dec. 2015), 53–79. 10.5311/JOSIS.2015.11.230.