1 Organising Code in Libraries and Application Programs

In this chapter, we will focus on a number of ways to make the code available as library functions in F#. A library is a collection of types, values, and functions that an application program can use. A library does not perform calculations on its own.

F# includes several programming structures to organize code in libraries: Modules, namespaces, and classes. In this chapter, we will describe modules and namespaces. Classes will be described in detail in ??.

1.1 Modules

An F# module, not to be confused with a Common Language Infrastructure module (see ??), is a programming structure used to organize type declarations, values, functions, etc.

Every implementation and script file in F# implicitly defines a module, and the module name is given by the filename. Consider the script file Meta.fsx shown in Listing 1.1.

Listing 1.1 Meta.fsx: A script file defining the apply function. type floatFunction = float -> float -> float let apply (f : floatFunction) (x : float) (y : float) : float = f x y

Here, we have implicitly defined a module with the name Meta. Another script file may now use this function, which is accessed using the "." notation, i.e., Meta.apply will refer to this function in other programs. An application program could be as the one shown in Listing 1.3.

Listing 1.2 MetaApp.fsx: Defining a script calling the module.

```
let add: Meta.floatFunction = fun x y -> x + y
let result = Meta.apply add 3.0 4.0
printfn "3.0 + 4.0 = %A" result
```

In the example above, we have explicitly used the module's type definition for illustration purposes. A shorter and possibly simpler program would have been to define add as let add x y = x + y, since F#'s type system will infer the implied type. However, explicit definitions of types is recommended for readability. Hence, an alternative to the above example's use of anonymous functions is: let add (x: float) (y: float) : float = x + y. To compile the module and the application program, we write as demonstrated in Listing 1.3.

Listing 1.3: Compiling both the module and the application code. Note that file order matters when compiling several files.

Since the F# compiler reads through the files once, the order of the filenames in the compile command is very important. Hence, the script containing the module and function definitions must be to the left of the script containing their use. Notice also that if not otherwise specified, the F# compiler produces an .exe file derived from the last filename in the list of filenames.

We may also explicitly define the module name using the *module* with the following syntax,

Listing 1.4: Outer module.

```
1 module <ident>
2 <script>
```

Here, the identifier <ident> is a name not necessarily related to the filename, and the script <script> is an expression. An example is given in Listing 1.20.

3 1.1 Modules

Listing 1.5 MetaExplicit.fsx:

Explicit definition of the outermost module.

```
module Meta
type floatFunction = float -> float -> float
let apply (f : floatFunction) (x : float) (y : float) :
    float = f x y
```

Since we have created a new file, where the module Meta is explicitly defined, we can use the same application program. This is demonstrated in Listing 1.6.

Listing 1.6: Changing the module definition to explicit naming has no effect on the application nor the compile command.

```
$ fsharpc --nologo MetaExplicit.fsx MetaApp.fsx && mono
    MetaApp.exe
2 3.0 + 4.0 = 7.0
```

Since MetaExplicit.fsx explicitly defines the module name, apply is not available to an application program as MetaExplicit.apply. It is recommended that module names are defined explicitly, since filenames may change due to external conditions. In other words, filenames are typically set from the perspective of the filesystem. The user may choose to change names to suit a filesystem structure, or different platforms may impose different file naming conventions. Thus, direct linking of filenames with the internal workings of a program is a needless complication of structure.

The definitions inside a module may be accessed directly from an application program, omitting the "."-notation, by use of the *open* keyword,

Listing 1.7: Open module.

```
open <ident>
```

We can modify MetaApp.fsx, as shown in Listing 1.9.

Listing 1.8 MetaAppWOpen.fsx: Avoiding the "."-notation by the keyword.

```
open Meta
let add : floatFunction = fun x y -> x + y
let result = apply add 3.0 4.0
printfn "3.0 + 4.0 = %A" result
```

In this case, the namespace of our previously defined module is included into the scope of the application functions, and its types, values, functions, etc. can be used directly, as shown in Listing 1.9.

Listing 1.9: How the application program opens the module has no effect on the module code nor compile command.

```
$ fsharpc --nologo MetaExplicit.fsx MetaAppWOpen.fsx &&
   mono MetaAppWOpen.exe
3.0 + 4.0 = 7.0
```

The open-keyword should be used sparingly, since including a library's definitions into the application scope can cause surprising naming conflicts, because the user of a library typically has no knowledge of the inner workings of the library. E.g., the user may accidentally use code defined in the library, but with different type and functionality than intended, which the type system will use to deduce types in the application program, and therefore will either give syntax or runtime errors that are difficult to understand. This problem is known as namespace pollution, and for clarity, it is recommended to use the open-keyword sparingly. Note that for historical reasons, the phrase 'namespace pollution' is used to cover pollution both due to modules and namespaces.

Modules may also be nested, in which case the nested definitions must use the "="-sign and must be appropriately indented.

Listing 1.10: Nested modules.

```
1 module <ident> = <script>
```

In lightweight syntax, a newline may be entered before the script <script>, and the script must be indented. An example is shown in Listing 1.11.

5 1.1 Modules

Listing 1.11 nestedModules.fsx: Modules may be nested.

```
module Utilities
let PI = 3.1415
module Meta =
   type floatFunction = float -> float
let apply (f : floatFunction) (x : float) (y : float) :
   float = f x y
module MathFcts =
   let add : Meta.floatFunction = fun x y -> x + y
```

In this case, Meta and MathFcts are defined at the same level and said to be siblings, while Utilities is defined at a higher level. In this relation, the former two are said to be the children of the latter. Note that the nesting respects the lexical scope rules, such that the constant PI is directly accessible in both modules Meta and MathFcts, as is the module Meta in MathFcts, but not MathFcts in Meta. The "."-notation is reused to index deeper into the module hierarchy, as the example in Listing 1.12 shows.

Listing 1.12 nestedModulesApp.fsx:

Applications using nested modules require additional usage of the "." notation to navigate the nesting tree.

```
let add : Utilities.Meta.floatFunction = fun x y -> x + y
let result = Utilities.Meta.apply Utilities.MathFcts.add
    3.0 Utilities.PI
printfn "3.0 + 4.0 = %A" result
```

Modules can be recursive using the rec-keyword, meaning that in our example we can make the outer module recursive, as demonstrated in Listing 1.13.

Listing 1.13 nestedRecModules.fsx:

Mutual dependence on nested modules requires the keyword in the module definition.

```
module rec Utilities
module Meta =
    type floatFunction = float -> float
let apply (f : floatFunction) (x : float) (y : float)
: float = f x y
module MathFcts =
    let add : Meta.floatFunction = fun x y -> x + y
```

The consequence is that the modules Meta and MathFcts are accessible in both modules, but compilation will now give a warning since soundness of the code will first be checked at runtime. In general, it is advised to avoid programming constructions whose validity cannot be checked at compile-time.

1.2 Namespaces

An alternative way to structure code in modules is to use a *namespace*, which can only hold modules and type declarations and only works in compiled mode. Namespaces are defined as explicitly defined outer modules, using the *namespace* keyword in accordance with the following syntax.

Listing 1.14: Namespace.

```
namespace <ident>

color="block" color=
```

An example is given in Listing 1.15.

Listing 1.15 namespace.fsx:

Defining a namespace is similar to explicitly named modules.

```
namespace Utilities
type floatFunction = float -> float -> float
module Meta =
let apply (f : floatFunction) (x : float) (y : float) :
float = f x y
```

7 1.2 Namespaces

Notice that when organizing code in a namespace, the first line of the file, other than comments and compiler directives, must be the one starting with namespace.

As for modules, the content of a namespace is accessed using the "." notation, as demonstrated in Listing 1.16.

Listing 1.16 namespaceApp.fsx:

The "."-notation lets the application program access functions and types in a namespace.

```
let add : Utilities.floatFunction = fun x y -> x + y
let result = Utilities.Meta.apply add 3.0 4.0
printfn "3.0 + 4.0 = %A" result
```

Likewise, the compilation is performed in the same way as for modules, see Listing 1.17.

Listing 1.17: Compilation of files including namespace definitions uses the same procedure as modules.

```
$ fsharpc --nologo namespace.fsx namespaceApp.fsx && mono
    namespaceApp.exe
2 3.0 + 4.0 = 7.0
```

Hence, from an application point of view, it is not immediately possible to see that Utilities is defined as a namespace and not a module. However, in contrast to modules, namespaces may span several files. E.g., we may add a third file extending the Utilities namespace with the MathFcts module, as demonstrated in Listing 1.18.

Listing 1.18 namespaceExtension.fsx:

Namespaces may span several files. Here is shown an extra file which extends the Utilities namespace.

```
namespace Utilities
module MathFcts =
let add : floatFunction = fun x y -> x + y
```

To compile, we now need to include all three files in the right order, see Listing 1.19.

Listing 1.19: Compilation of namespaces defined in several files requires careful consideration of order, since the compiler reads once and only once through the files in the order they are given.

```
$ fsharpc --nologo namespace.fsx namespaceExtension.fsx
    namespaceApp.fsx && mono namespaceApp.exe
2 3.0 + 4.0 = 7.0
```

The order matters:namespaceExtension.fsx uses the definition of floatFunction in the file namespace.fsx. You can use extensions to extend existing namespaces included with the F# compiler.

Namespaces may also be nested. In contrast to modules, nesting is defined using the "." notation. That is, to create a child namespace more of Utilities, we must use initially write namespace Utilities.more. Indentation is ignored in the namespace line, thus left-most indentation is almost always used. Namespaces follow lexical scope rules, and identically to modules, namespaces containing mutually dependent children can be declared using the rec keyword, e.g., namespace rec Utilities.

1.3 Compiled Libraries

Libraries may be distributed in compiled form as .dll files. This saves the user from having to recompile a possibly large library every time library functions needs to be compiled with an application program. In order to produce a library file from MetaExplicitModuleDefinition.fsx and then compile an application program, we first use the compiler's -a option to produce the .dll. A demonstration is given in Listing 1.20.

Listing 1.20: A stand-alone .dll file is created and used with special compile commands.

```
$ fsharpc --nologo -a MetaExplicit.fsx
```

This produces the file MetaExplicit.dll, which may be linked to an application by using the -r option during compilation, see Listing 1.21.

Listing 1.21: The library is linked to an application during compilation to produce runnable code.

```
$ fsharpc --nologo -r MetaExplicit.dll MetaApp.fsx && mono
    MetaApp.exe
2 3.0 + 4.0 = 7.0
```

A library can be the result of compiling a number of files into a single .dll file. .dll-files may be loaded dynamically in script files (.fsx-files) by using the #r directive, as illustrated in Listing 1.22.

Listing 1.22 MetaHashApp.fsx:

The .dll file may be loaded dynamically in .fsx script files and in <u>interactive mode</u>. Nevertheless, this usage is not recommended.

```
#r "MetaExplicit.dll"
let add : Meta.floatFunction = fun x y -> x + y
let result = Meta.apply add 3.0 4.0
printfn "3.0 + 4.0 = %A" result
```

We may now omit the explicit mentioning of the library when compiling, as shown in Listing 1.23.

Listing 1.23: When using the #r directive, then the .dll file need not be explicitly included in the list of files to be compiled.

```
$\fsharpc --nologo MetaHashApp.fsx && mono MetaHashApp.exe 2 3.0 + 4.0 = 7.0
```

The #r directive is also used to include a library in interactive mode. However, for the code to be compiled, the use of the #r directive requires that the filesystem path to the library is coded inside the script. As for module names, direct linking of filenames with the internal workings of a program is a needless complication of structure, and it is recommended not to rely on the use of the #r directive.

In the above listings we have compiled *script files* into libraries. However, F# has reserved the .fs filename suffix for library files, and such files are called *implementation files*. In contrast to script files, implementation files do not support the #r directive. When compiling a list of implementation and script files, all but the last file must explicitly define a module or a namespace.

Both script and implementation files may be augmented with *signature files*. A signature file contains no implementation, only type definitions. Signature files offer three distinct features:

- 1. Signature files can be used as part of the documentation of code, since type information is of paramount importance for an application programmer to use a library.
- 2. Signature files may be written before the implementation file. This allows for a higher-level programming design that focuses on *which* functions should be included and *how* they can be composed.
- 3. Signature files allow for access control. Most importantly, if a type definition is not available in the signature file, then it is not available to the application program. Such definitions are private and can only be used internally in the library code. More fine-grained control related to classes is available and will be discussed in ??.

Signature files can be generated automatically using the --sig:<filename> compiler directive. To demonstrate this feature, we will first move the definition of add to the implementation file, see Listing 1.28.

Listing 1.24 MetaWAdd.fs:

An implementation file including the add function.

```
module Meta
type floatFunction = float -> float -> float
let apply (f : floatFunction) (x : float) (y : float) :
    float = f x y
let add (x : float) (y : float) : float = x + y
```

A signature file may be automatically generated, as shown in Listing 1.25.

Listing 1.25: Automatic generation of a signature file at compile time.

```
$ fsharpc --nologo --sig:MetaWAdd.fsi MetaWAdd.fs

MetaWAdd.fs(4,48): warning FS0988: Main module of program is empty: nothing will happen when it is run
```

The warning can safely be ignored, since at this point it is not our intention to produce runnable code. The above listing has generated the signature file in Listing 1.26.

Listing 1.26 MetaWAdd.fsi:

An automatically generated signature file from MetaWAdd.fs.

```
module Meta
type floatFunction = float -> float -> float
val apply : f:floatFunction -> x:float -> y:float -> float
val add : x:float -> y:float -> float
```

We can generate a library using the automatically generated signature file by writing fsharpc -a MetaWAdd.fsi MetaWAdd.fs, which is identical to compiling the .dll file without the signature file. However, if we remove, e.g., the type definition for add in the signature file, then this function becomes private to the module and cannot be accessed outside. Hence, using the signature file in Listing 1.27, and recompiling the .dll with Listing 1.24 does not generates errors.

Listing 1.27 MetaWAddRemoved.fsi: Removing the type defintion for add from MetaWAdd.fsi.

```
module Meta
type floatFunction = float -> float -> float
val apply : f:floatFunction -> x:float -> y:float -> float
```

Listing 1.28: Automatic generation of a signature file at compile time.

```
$ fsharpc --nologo -a MetaWAddRemoved.fsi MetaWAdd.fs
```

However, when using the newly created MetaWAdd.dll with an application that does not itself supply a definition of add, we get a syntax error, since add now is inaccessible to the application program. This is demonstrated in Listing 1.29 and 1.30.

Listing 1.29 MetaWOAddApp.fsx:

A version of Listing 1.3 without a definition of add.

```
let result = Meta.apply add 3.0 4.0
printfn "3.0 + 4.0 = %A" result
```

Listing 1.30: Automatic generation of a signature file at compile time.

\$ fsharpc --nologo -r MetaWAdd.dll MetaWOAddApp.fsx

MetaWOAddApp.fsx(1,25): error FS0039: The value or constructor 'add' is not defined.