

Status of Walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogrammus*) in the  
Eastern Bering Sea for 2021

by  
James N. Ianelli<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>.na.character

May 2021

© Pacific Fisheries Management Council, 2021

Correct citation for this publication:

Ianelli, J.N. 2021. Status of Walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogrammus*) in the Eastern Bering Sea for 2021. Pacific Fisheries Management Council, Portland, Oregon. 8 p.

---

## Contents

<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>1</b>
Stock . . . . .	1
Summary of changes . . . . .	1
Changes in the data . . . . .	1
Changes in the methods . . . . .	1
Summary of results . . . . .	1
Response to SSC and Plan Team comments . . . . .	1
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Basic Information . . . . .	2
1.2 Life History . . . . .	2
1.3 Ecosystem Considerations . . . . .	2
1.4 Historical and Current Fishery Information . . . . .	2
1.5 Summary of Management History and Performance . . . . .	2
1.6 Foreign Fisheries . . . . .	2
<b>2 Data</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Fishery-Dependent Data . . . . .	2
2.2 Fishery-Independent Data . . . . .	3
2.2.1 AFSC Slope Survey . . . . .	3
2.2.2 California Collaborative Fisheries Research Program . . . . .	3
2.2.3 AFSC/NWFSC West Coast Triennial Shelf Survey . . . . .	3
2.2.4 NWFSC West Coast Groundfish Bottom Trawl Survey . . . . .	4
2.3 Biological Data . . . . .	4
2.3.1 Natural Mortality . . . . .	4
2.3.2 Maturation and Fecundity . . . . .	4
2.3.3 Sex Ratio . . . . .	4
2.3.4 Length-Weight Relationship . . . . .	4
2.3.5 Growth (Length-at-Age) . . . . .	4
2.3.6 Ageing Precision and Bias . . . . .	4
2.4 Environmental and Ecosystem Data . . . . .	5

---

<b>3</b>	<b>Assessment Model</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Summary of Previous Assessments and Reviews . . . . .	5
3.1.1	History of Modeling Approaches (not required for an update assessment)	5
3.1.2	Most Recent STAR Panel and SSC Recommendations (not required for an update assessment) . . . . .	5
3.1.3	Response to Groundfish Subcommittee Requests (not required in draft)	5
3.2	Model Structure and Assumptions . . . . .	5
3.2.1	Model Changes from the Last Assessment (not required for an update assessment) . . . . .	5
3.2.2	Modeling Platform and Structure . . . . .	5
3.2.3	Model Parameters . . . . .	5
3.2.4	Key Assumptions and Structural Choices . . . . .	5
3.3	Base Model Results . . . . .	6
3.3.1	Parameter Estimates . . . . .	6
3.3.2	Fits to the Data . . . . .	6
3.3.3	Population Trajectory . . . . .	6
3.3.4	Reference Points . . . . .	6
3.4	Model Diagnostics . . . . .	6
3.4.1	Convergence . . . . .	6
3.4.2	Sensitivity Analyses . . . . .	6
3.4.3	Retrospective Analysis . . . . .	6
3.4.4	Likelihood Profiles . . . . .	6
3.4.5	Unresolved Problems and Major Uncertainties . . . . .	6
<b>4</b>	<b>Management</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1	Reference Points . . . . .	6
4.2	Unresolved Problems and Major Uncertainties . . . . .	6
4.3	Harvest Projections and Decision Tables . . . . .	7
4.4	Evaluation of Scientific Uncertainty . . . . .	7
4.5	Research and Data Needs . . . . .	7
<b>5</b>	<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Tables</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Figures</b>	<b>7</b>

## List of Figures

1	Summary of data sources used in the base model. . . . .	8
---	---	---

## List of Tables

## **Executive Summary**

### **Stock**

This assessment reports the status of Walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogrammus*) off the Eastern Bering Sea coast using data through xxxx.

### **Summary of changes**

Replace text.

### **Changes in the data**

Replace text.

### **Changes in the methods**

Replace text.

### **Summary of results**

Replace text.

### **Response to SSC and Plan Team comments**

Replace text.

## **1 Introduction**

## **1.1 Basic Information**

This assessment reports the status of Walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogrammus*) off the Eastern Bering Sea coast using data through xxxx.

## **1.2 Life History**

Replace text.

## **1.3 Ecosystem Considerations**

Replace text.

## **1.4 Historical and Current Fishery Information**

Replace text.

## **1.5 Summary of Management History and Performance**

Replace text.

## **1.6 Foreign Fisheries**

Replace text.

# **2 Data**

A description of each data source is provided below (Figure 1).

## **2.1 Fishery-Dependent Data**

## **2.2 Fishery-Independent Data**

### **2.2.1 AFSC Slope Survey**

The AFSC Slope Survey (Slope Survey) operated during the months of October to November aboard the R/V *Miller Freeman*. Partial survey coverage of the US west coast occurred during the years 1988-1996 and complete coverage (north of 34°30'S) during the years 1997 and 1999-2001. Typically, only these four years that are seen as complete surveys are included in assessments.

### **2.2.2 California Collaborative Fisheries Research Program**

Since 2007, the California Collaborative Fisheries Research Program (CCFRP) has monitored several areas in California to evaluate the performance of Marine Protected Area (MPA)s and understand nearshore fish populations (Wendt and Starr 2009; Starr et al. 2015). In 2017, the survey expanded beyond the four MPAs in central California (Año Nuevo, Point Lobos, Point Buchon, and Piedras Blancas) to include the entire California coast. Fish are collected by volunteer anglers aboard Commercial passenger fishing vessel (CPFV)s guided by one of the following academic institutions based on proximity to fishing location: Humboldt State University; Bodega Marine Laboratories; Moss Landing Marine Laboratories; Cal Poly San Luis Obispo; University of California, Santa Barbara; and Scripps Institution of Oceanography.

Surveys consist of fishing with hook-and-line gear for 30-45 minutes within randomly chosen 500 by 500 m grid cells within and outside MPAs. Prior to 2017, all fish were measured for length and release or descended to depth; since then, some were sampled for otoliths and fin clips.

### **2.2.3 AFSC/NWFSC West Coast Triennial Shelf Survey**

The AFSC/NWFSC West Coast Triennial Shelf Survey (Triennial Survey) was first conducted by the Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC) in 1977, and the survey continued until 2004 (Weinberg et al. 2002). Its basic design was a series of equally-spaced east-to-west transects across the continental shelf from which searches for tows in a specific depth range were initiated. The survey design changed slightly over time. In general, all of the surveys were conducted in the mid summer through early fall. The 1977 survey was conducted from early July through late September. The surveys from 1980 through 1989 were conducted from mid-July to late September. The 1992 survey was conducted from mid July through early October. The 1995 survey was conducted from early June through late August. The 1998 survey was conducted from early June through early August. Finally, the 2001 and 2004 surveys were conducted from May to July.



Haul depths ranged from 91-457 m during the 1977 survey with no hauls shallower than 91 m. Due to haul performance issues and truncated sampling with respect to depth, the data from 1977 were omitted from this analysis. The surveys in 1980, 1983, and 1986 covered the US West Coast south to 36.8°N latitude and a depth range of 55-366 m. The surveys in 1989 and 1992 covered the same depth range but extended the southern range to 34.5°N (near Point Conception). From 1995 through 2004, the surveys covered the depth range 55-500 m and surveyed south to 34.5°N. In 2004, the final year of the Triennial Survey series, the Northwest Fisheries Science Center (NWFSC) Fishery Resource and Monitoring division (FRAM) conducted the survey following similar protocols to earlier years.

#### **2.2.4 NWFSC West Coast Groundfish Bottom Trawl Survey**

The NWFSC West Coast Groundfish Bottom Trawl Survey (WCGBTS) is based on a random-grid design; covering the coastal waters from a depth of 55-1,280 m (Bradburn, Keller, and Horness 2011). This design generally uses four industry-chartered vessels per year assigned to a roughly equal number of randomly selected grid cells and divided into two ‘passes’ of the coast. Two vessels fish from north to south during each pass between late May to early October. This design therefore incorporates both vessel-to-vessel differences in catchability, as well as variance associated with selecting a relatively small number (approximately 700) of possible cells from a very large set of possible cells spread from the Mexican to the Canadian borders.

### **2.3 Biological Data**

#### **2.3.1 Natural Mortality**

#### **2.3.2 Maturation and Fecundity**

#### **2.3.3 Sex Ratio**

#### **2.3.4 Length-Weight Relationship**

#### **2.3.5 Growth (Length-at-Age)**

#### **2.3.6 Ageing Precision and Bias**

## **2.4 Environmental and Ecosystem Data**

# **3 Assessment Model**

## **3.1 Summary of Previous Assessments and Reviews**

### **3.1.1 History of Modeling Approaches (not required for an update assessment)**

### **3.1.2 Most Recent STAR Panel and SSC Recommendations (not required for an update assessment)**

### **3.1.3 Response to Groundfish Subcommittee Requests (not required in draft)**

## **3.2 Model Structure and Assumptions**

### **3.2.1 Model Changes from the Last Assessment (not required for an update assessment)**

### **3.2.2 Modeling Platform and Structure**

General model specifications (e.g., executable version, model structure, definition of fleets and areas)

### **3.2.3 Model Parameters**

Describe estimated vs. fixed parameters, priors

### **3.2.4 Key Assumptions and Structural Choices**

### **3.3 Base Model Results**

#### **3.3.1 Parameter Estimates**

#### **3.3.2 Fits to the Data**

#### **3.3.3 Population Trajectory**

#### **3.3.4 Reference Points**

### **3.4 Model Diagnostics**

Describe all diagnostics

#### **3.4.1 Convergence**

#### **3.4.2 Sensitivity Analyses**

#### **3.4.3 Retrospective Analysis**

#### **3.4.4 Likelihood Profiles**

#### **3.4.5 Unresolved Problems and Major Uncertainties**

## **4 Management**

### **4.1 Reference Points**

### **4.2 Unresolved Problems and Major Uncertainties**

### 4.3 Harvest Projections and Decision Tables

### 4.4 Evaluation of Scientific Uncertainty

### 4.5 Research and Data Needs

## 5 Acknowledgments


Here are all the mad props!

## 6 References

- Bradburn, M. J., A. A. Keller, and B. H. Horness. 2011. "The 2003 to 2008 US West Coast Bottom Trawl Surveys of Groundfish Resources Off Washington, Oregon, and California: Estimates of Distribution, Abundance, Length, and Age Composition." US Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service.
- Starr, R. M., D. E. Wendt, C. L. Barnes, C. I. Marks, D. Malone, G. Waltz, K. T. Schmidt, et al. 2015. "Variation in Responses of Fishes Across Multiple Reserves Within a Network of Marine Protected Areas in Temperate Waters." *PLoS One* 10 (3): p.e0118502.
- Weinberg, K. L., M. E. Wilkins, F. R. Shaw, and M. Zimmermann. 2002. "The 2001 Pacific West Coast Bottom Trawl Survey of Groundfish Resources: Estimates of Distribution, Abundance and Length and Age Composition." {NOAA} {Technical} {Memorandum} NMFS-AFSC-128. U.S. Department of Commerce.
- Wendt, D. E., and R. M. Starr. 2009. "Collaborative Research: An Effective Way to Collect Data for Stock Assessments and Evaluate Marine Protected Areas in California." *Marine and Coastal Fisheries: Dynamics, Management, and Ecosystem Science*. 1: 315–24.

## 7 Tables

## 8 Figures



**Figure 1:** Summary of data sources used in the base model.