

# Algorithm 1

## Glossary

1. Pareto Dominant : If an outcome  $o$  is at least as good for another agent as another outcome  $o'$  and there is some agent who strictly prefers  $o$  to  $o'$ , then  $o$  Pareto-dominates  $o'$ .
2. Pareto Optimal :  $o^*$  is Pareto-optimal if it isn't Pareto-dominated by anything else.

## Pseudocode

Let  $input[i]$  be the list of possible solutions  
 Let  $ParetoSolutionPool$  be the final output of all

Pareto Dominant + Pareto Optimal sol

### Main Procedure

```

begin
  Initialize  $ParetoSolutionPool = input[0]$ ;
  loop across all  $input[i]$ 
    /* check if  $input[i]$  is Pareto Dominant */
    loop across each solution  $s$  in  $ParetoSolutionPool$ 
      if ( $input[i]$  Pareto Dominates  $s$ )
        Pop  $s$  from  $ParetoSolutionPool$ 
        Add  $input[i]$  to  $ParetoSolutionPool$ 
    end loop
    /* check if  $input[i]$  is Pareto Optimal */
    if ( $input[i]$  is not Pareto Dominant)
      if ( $input[i]$  is Pareto Optimal)
        add  $input[i]$  to  $ParetoSolutionPool$ 
  end loop
end
  
```

### Helper functions

```

bool ParetoDominates ( $input[i]$ , Pool)
{
  if ( $input[i]$  lower in all dimension
    than existing soln from Pool)
    return true;
}
  
```

```

bool ParetoOptimal ( $input[i]$ , Pool)
{
  if ( $input[i]$  lower in any one
    dimension than all solutions
    currently in Pool)
    return true;
}
  
```

## Dry Run

Consider  $input[i] = (25, 30, 34) (15, 31, 21) (10, 40, 21) (30, 30, 34) (25, 30, 10) (9, 20, 15)$

Iteration 1: Initialize Pareto Solution Pool with  $input[0]$

$(25, 30, 34)$  ParetoSolutionPool

Iteration 2: Element  $(15, 31, 21)$  comes in

- 1) Does  $(15, 31, 21)$  Pareto Dominate any soln in Pool? NO
- 2) Is  $(15, 31, 21)$  Pareto Optimal? YES, since  $15 < 25$  (and also  $21 < 34$ )

So add  $(15, 31, 21)$  to Pool

$(25, 30, 34)$  ParetoSolutionPool  
 $(15, 31, 21)$

Iteration 3: Element  $(10, 40, 21)$  comes in

- 1) Does  $(10, 40, 21)$  Pareto Dominate any soln in Pool? NO
- 2) Is  $(10, 40, 21)$  Pareto Optimal? YES, since  $10 < 25$  and  $10 < 15$  ( $(25, 30, 34)$ ) ( $(15, 31, 21)$ )

So add to Pool

$(25, 30, 34)$  ParetoSolutionPool  
 $(15, 31, 21)$   
 $(10, 40, 21)$

Iteration 4: Element  $(30, 30, 34)$  comes in

1. Is  $(30, 30, 34)$  ParetoDominant? NO
2. Is  $(30, 30, 34)$  ParetoOptimal? NO

Iteration 5: Element  $(25, 30, 10)$  comes in

1. Is  $(25, 30, 10)$  ParetoDominant? YES  
YES  $25, 30, 10$  Pareto dominates  $25, 30, 34$  from Pool  
So Pop  $(25, 30, 34)$  and push  $(25, 30, 10)$

<del><math>25, 30, 34</math></del>
$15, 31, 21$
$10, 40, 21$

$\Rightarrow$

$15, 31, 21$
$10, 40, 21$
$25, 30, 10$

ParetoSolutionPool

Iteration 6: Element  $(9, 20, 15)$  comes in

1. Does  $(9, 20, 15)$  ParetoDominate any solution from Pool  
YES  $(9, 20, 15)$  ParetoDominate both  $(15, 31, 21)$ , and  $(10, 40, 21)$   
So Pop  $(15, 31, 21)$  and  $(10, 40, 21)$   
Push  $(9, 20, 15)$

<del><math>15, 31, 21</math></del>
<del><math>10, 40, 21</math></del>
$25, 30, 10$

$\Rightarrow$

$25, 30, 10$
$9, 20, 15$

ParetoSolutionPool

Solution

We have arrived at our final solution which is

$25, 30, 10$
$9, 20, 15$

ParetoSolutionPool

ParetoSolutionPool

## Algorithm 2

This algorithm finds the best solution to minimize each objective  
The objectives may be as follows: (R - Readmit, L - LOS, M - Mortality)

- |                     |                        |                           |
|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. Minimize $\{R\}$ | 4. Minimize $\{R, L\}$ | 7. Minimize $\{R, L, M\}$ |
| 2. Minimize $\{L\}$ | 5. Minimize $\{R, M\}$ |                           |
| 3. Minimize $\{M\}$ | 6. Minimize $\{L, M\}$ |                           |

The final Pareto Solution Pool would be the distinct solution set which minimize these objectives

### Pseudocode

Let map[0-6] hold the best solution for minimizing each of the 7 objectives  
Eg. At every point in program, map[0] holds the best soln for minimizing R  
map[4] holds the best soln to minimize  $\{R, M\}$   
map[5] holds the best soln to minimize  $\{L, M\}$   
and so on...

```

begin
  initialize map[0...6] = input[0];
  loop across all input[i]
    if input[i].R < map[0].R /* minimize R */
      map[0] = input[i]
    if input[i].L < map[1].L /* minimize L */
      map[1] = input[i]
    if input[i].M < map[2].M /* minimize M */
      map[2] = input[i]
    if (input[i].R, L) ≤ map[3].R, L /* minimize R, L */
      map[3] = input[i]
    if (input[i].R, M) ≤ map[4].R, M /* minimize R, M */
      map[4] = input[i]
    if (input[i].L, M) ≤ map[5].L, M /* minimize L, M */
      map[5] = input[i]
    if (input[i].R, L, M) ≤ map[6].R, L, M /* minimize R, L, M */
      map[6] = input[i]
  end loop
  return distinct(map[0...6])
end
  
```



# Day Run

input  $C = (25, 30, 34) (15, 31, 21) (10, 40, 21) (30, 30, 34) (25, 30, 10) (9, 20, 15)$

Objective to minimize	Iteration 0 (25, 30, 34) comes in	Iteration 1 (15, 31, 21) comes in	Iteration 2 (10, 40, 21) comes in	Iteration 3 (30, 30, 34) comes in	Iteration 4 (25, 30, 10) comes in	Iteration 5 (9, 20, 15) comes in
$\{R\}$	25, 30, 34	(15, 31, 21)	(10, 40, 21)			9, 20, 15
$\{M\}$	25, 30, 34					9, 20, 15
$\{L\}$	25, 30, 34	(15, 31, 21)			25, 30, 10	
$\{R, M\}$	25, 30, 34					9, 20, 15
$\{R, L\}$	25, 30, 34	(15, 31, 21)	(10, 40, 21)			9, 20, 15
$\{M, L\}$	25, 30, 34					9, 20, 15
$\{R, M, L\}$	25, 30, 34					9, 20, 15

So best solutions to minimize each objective are  
 $\overset{R}{(9, 20, 15)}$   $\overset{M}{(9, 20, 15)}$   $\overset{L}{(25, 30, 10)}$   $\overset{RM}{(9, 20, 15)}$   $\overset{RL}{(9, 20, 15)}$   $\overset{ML}{(9, 20, 15)}$   $\overset{RML}{(9, 20, 15)}$

Pareto Solution set = distinct (above solution set)  
 $= \boxed{(9, 20, 15), (25, 30, 10)}$

### Algorithm 3

Here, at step  $i$  of the algorithm, we sort the list by dimension  $i$ , each time applying sort on the output of the previous step. The more optimal solutions float to the top.

### Pseudocode

```

begin
  loop across number of dimensions
    sort input by dimension  $i$ 
    expand input
  end loop

  loop across list /* This part of algorithm discussed in next page */
    Find Pareto Solutions by traversing linearly since already sorted
  end

```

### Dry Run

input[] =  $(25, 30, 34)^{R M L}$   $(15, 31, 21)^{R M L}$   $(10, 40, 21)^{R M L}$   $(30, 30, 34)^{R M L}$   $(25, 30, 10)^{R M L}$   $(9, 20, 15)^{R M L}$

Step 1: Sorting by the third dimension (Mortality)

25, 30, 10
9, 20, 15
10, 40, 21
15, 31, 21
30, 30, 34
25, 30, 34

} Tie

Step 2: Sorting by the second dimension on the output above (to break tie)

25, 30, 10
9, 20, 15
15, 31, 21
10, 40, 21
30, 30, 34
25, 30, 34

} Tie

Step 3: Sorting by the third dimension (to break tie)

25, 30, 10
9, 20, 15
15, 31, 21
10, 40, 21
25, 30, 34
30, 30, 34

Now we linearly traverse through this list comparing a solution with only the ones below it. We do not have to compare with the ones above it since it is already sorted.

```

begin
  initialize
    ParetoSolutionPool = list[0]
    SolutionToBeat      = list[0]

    loop across list[1]
      if list[i] is not Pareto Dominated by SolutionToBeat
        Add list[i] to ParetoSolutionPool
        assign :- SolutionToBeat = list[i]
      end loop
    end
end

```

Dry Run

Pareto Solution Pool = (25, 30, 10)  
 SolutionToBeat = (25, 30, 10)

At  $i = 1$

Is 9, 20, 15 Pareto Dominated by SolutionToBeat (25, 30, 10)? YES  
 So add (9, 20, 15) to ParetoSolutionPool  
 assign SolutionToBeat = (9, 20, 15)

At  $i = 2$

Is (15, 31, 21) Pareto Dominated by SolutionToBeat (9, 20, 15)? NO  
 Do nothing

At  $i = 3$

Is (10, 40, 21) "

"? NO

At  $i = 4$

Is (25, 30, 34) "

"? NO

At  $i = 5$

Is (30, 30, 34) "

So Final Pareto solution set =

25, 30, 10
9, 20, 15