(1) (a) since y is a one-hot vector with
2 at word o and 0 elsewhere,
$$-\left(y^{T}\log(\widehat{g})\right) = -\left[\sum_{w \in Vocab} y_{w}\log(\widehat{g}_{w})\right]$$

$$= -\left[\sum_{j \neq 0} (0)(\log(\widehat{g}_{j})) + (1)\log(\widehat{g}_{o})\right]$$

$$= -\log(\widehat{g}_{o})$$

(1) (b)
$$J_{\text{naive softmax}}\left(V_{C}, 0, U\right) = -log\left[\frac{e^{V_{0}T_{V_{C}}}}{\sum_{w} e^{V_{w}T_{V_{C}}}}\right]$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial v_c} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}_o} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{y}_o}{\partial v_c} = -\frac{1}{\hat{y}_o} \left[\frac{z_e^{v_w \tau_{v_c}} (v_o e^{v_o \tau_{v_c}})}{\sqrt{2}} \right]$$

$$=\frac{-1}{\hat{g}_0}\left[(1)(u_0\,\hat{g}_0)-(\hat{g}_0)(\bar{g}_0)(\bar{g}_0)\right]$$

$$= -U^T y + U^T \hat{y} = U^T (\hat{y} - y)$$

$$(1)(c) = \frac{\partial J}{\partial u_w} - \frac{\partial \hat{y}_w}{\partial \hat{y}_w} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{y}_w}{\partial u_w}$$

Let
$$w = 0$$
, $\left(-\frac{1}{9}\right)\left(\frac{z}{w}e^{u_{w}\tau_{v_{c}}}\right)\left(v_{c}e^{v_{o}\tau_{v_{c}}}\right)-\left(e^{v_{o}\tau_{v_{c}}}\right)\left(v_{c}e^{u_{o}\tau_{v_{c}}}\right)-\left(e^{v_{o}\tau_{v_{c}}}\right)\left(\frac{z}{w}e^{u_{w}\tau_{v_{c}}}\right)\left(\frac{z}{w}e^{u_{w}\tau_{v_{c}}}\right)$

$$=\frac{-1}{\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{o}}\left[(1)(\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{c})(\widehat{\mathbf{y}}_{o})-\mathbf{v}_{c}(\widehat{\mathbf{y}}_{o})^{2}\right]=\mathbf{v}_{c}(\widehat{\mathbf{y}}_{o}-1)$$

$$=-\frac{1}{90}\left(-\hat{y}_{z}v_{c}\hat{y}_{o}\right)=\hat{y}_{z}v_{c}=v_{c}(\hat{y}_{z}-y_{c})$$

$$\frac{1}{30} = (\hat{y} - y) V_c T$$

$$\frac{1}{30} = (\hat{y} - y) V_c T$$

$$\frac{1}{100} = (\hat{y} - y) V_c T$$

(1) (d)
$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} = \frac{e^{x}}{e^{x}+1}$$

$$\sigma'(x) = \frac{(e^{x}+1)(e^{x}) - (e^{x})(e^{x})}{(e^{x}+1)^{2}}$$

$$= \sigma(x) - \sigma(x)^{2} = \sigma(x)(1-\sigma(x))$$

$$J_{\text{neg-sample}}(v_{c}, o, U) = -log(\sigma(u_{\delta}^{T}v_{c}))$$

$$-\frac{K}{2} log(\sigma(u_{\delta}^{T}v_{c}))$$

$$-\frac{K}{2} log(\sigma(u_{\delta}^{T}v_{c})$$

$$-\frac{K}{2} log(\sigma(u_{\delta}^{T}v_{c}))$$

$$-\frac{K}{2} log(\sigma(u_{\delta}^{T}v_{c})$$

$$-\frac{K}{2} log(\sigma(u_{\delta}^{T}v_{c}))$$

$$-\frac{K}{2} log(\sigma(u_{\delta}^{T}v_{c})$$

$$-\frac{K}{2} log(\sigma(u_{\delta}^{T}v_{c}))$$

$$-\frac{K}{2} log(\sigma(u$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial V_{K}} = \frac{1}{6(V_{K}TV_{C})} \cdot \frac{(1-6(-V_{K}TV_{C}))(+V_{C})}{(1-6(-V_{K}TV_{C}))(+V_{C})} \cdot \frac{(1-6(-V_{K}TV_{C}))(+V_{C})}{(1-6(-V_{K}TV_{C}))} \cdot \frac{(1-6(-V_{K}TV_{C}))}{(1-6(-V_{K}TV_{C}))} \cdot \frac{(1-6(-V_{K}TV_{$$

Megative scampling is more efficient than naive softmax. When computing $\frac{\partial J}{\partial v_c}$, naive softmax uses the entire word martix U, whereas negative sampling uses only the target outside word vector, 40 and K negative samples U_1 , ..., U_K to compute gradients.

$$= \sum_{m \leq j \leq m} \mathcal{J}(V_c, W_{t+j}, U)$$

$$j \neq 0$$

(i)
$$\frac{\partial J_{SG}}{\partial U} = \sum_{-m \leq j \leq m} \frac{\partial J(V_{c}, W_{et_{j}}, U)}{\partial U}$$
 $j \neq 0$

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{11}\right)}{\frac{\partial J_{SG}}{\partial V_{C}}} = \frac{\sum}{-m \leq j \leq m} \frac{\partial J\left(V_{C}, W_{e+j}, U\right)}{\frac{\partial V_{C}}{\partial V_{C}}}$$