

# Wireless Technologies

| BLE (Bluetooth Low Energy)   | ZigBee   | LoraWAN (Long range Area wide network)   |
|--|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• BLE is low power</li><li>• It is cheap</li><li>• Data rate is 1 mb/s</li><li>• Range 50-150 metres</li><li>• BLE does not officially offer mesh network*</li></ul> <p>* Few BLE solutions do offer mesh network (Nordic, Qualcomm)</p> <p>BLE supported hardware on Mbed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Nordic development board</li><li>• Seeed Arch BLE</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Used in mesh network designed to carry small amounts of data across medium distances</li><li>• Low power (more than BLE)</li><li>• Data rate is 250 kb/s</li><li>• Range 10-100 metres</li><li>• Official Mbed library</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Data rate is 0.3 - 50 kb/s</li><li>• Range 2-3km (urban environment)</li><li>• Power consumption lower than ZigBee</li></ul> |

## Conclusion:

BLE is a radio protocol meant for PAN. Good for devices that stay in close proximity to each other. It is no ideal for devices that are separated by more than a few meters. Zigbee is a better option for our needs. Considering ZigBee's almost instant network join time it is more suitable for our project needs as well as its support for large-scale automation purposes. The trade-off that had to be considered was ZigBee's higher power consumption than BLE, however, given our project scenario, this trade-off can be afforded.