11.3 — Function overload resolution and ambiguous matches

👤 **ALEX**[1]   🕐 **JANUARY 17, 2025**

In the previous lesson ([11.2 -- Function overload differentiation](https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/function-overload-differentiation/) (https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/function-overload-differentiation/)[2]), we discussed which attributes of a function are used to differentiate overloaded functions from each other. If an overloaded function is not properly differentiated from the other overloads of the same name, then the compiler will issue a compile error.

However, having a set of differentiated overloaded functions is only half of the picture. When any function call is made, the compiler must also ensure that a matching function declaration can be found.

With non-overloaded functions (functions with unique names), there is only one function that can potentially match a function call. That function either matches (or can be made to match after type conversions are applied), or it doesn't (and a compile error results). With overloaded functions, there can be many functions that can potentially match a function call. Since a function call can only resolve to one of them, the compiler has to determine which overloaded function is the best match. The process of matching function calls to a specific overloaded function is called **overload resolution**.

In simple cases where the type of the function arguments and type of the function parameters match exactly, this is (usually) straightforward:

```cpp
#include <iostream>

void print(int x)
{
    std::cout << x << '\n';
}

void print(double d)
{
    std::cout << d << '\n';
}

int main()
{
    print(5); // 5 is an int, so this matches print(int)
    print(6.7); // 6.7 is a double, so this matches print(double)

    return 0;
}
```

But what happens in cases where the argument types in the function call don't exactly match the parameter types in any of the overloaded functions? For example:

```
 1 │ #include <iostream>
 2 │
 3 │ void print(int x)
 4 │ {
 5 │     std::cout << x << '\n';
 6 │ }
 7 │
 8 │ void print(double d)
 9 │ {
10 │     std::cout << d << '\n';
11 │ }
12 │
13 │ int main()
14 │ {
15 │     print('a'); // char does not match int or double, so what happens?
16 │     print(5L); // long does not match int or double, so what happens?
17 │
18 │     return 0;
19 │ }
```

Just because there is no exact match here doesn't mean a match can't be found -- after all, a `char` or `long` can be implicitly type converted to an `int` or a `double`. But which is the best conversion to make in each case?

In this lesson, we'll explore how the compiler matches a given function call to a specific overloaded function.

Resolving overloaded function calls

When a function call is made to an overloaded function, the compiler steps through a sequence of rules to determine which (if any) of the overloaded functions is the best match (we'll cover these steps in the next section below).

At each step, the compiler applies a bunch of different type conversions to the argument(s) in the function call. For each conversion applied, the compiler checks if any of the overloaded functions are now a match. After all the different type conversions have been applied and checked for matches, the step is done. The result will be one of three possible outcomes:

- No matching functions were found. The compiler moves to the next step in the sequence.
- A single matching function was found. This function is considered to be the best match. The matching process is now complete, and subsequent steps are not executed.
- More than one matching function was found. The compiler will issue an ambiguous match compile error. We'll discuss this case further in a bit.

If the compiler reaches the end of the entire sequence without finding a match, it will generate a compile error that no matching overloaded function could be found for the function call.

The argument matching sequence

Step 1) The compiler tries to find an exact match. This happens in two phases. First, the compiler will see if there is an overloaded function where the type of the arguments in the function call exactly matches the type of the parameters in the overloaded functions. For example:

```
1   void foo(int)
2   {
3   }
4
5   void foo(double)
6   {
7   }
8
9   int main()
10  {
11      foo(0);    // exact match with foo(int)
12      foo(3.4); // exact match with foo(double)
13
14      return 0;
15  }
```

Because the `0` in the function call `foo(0)` is an int, the compiler will look to see if a `foo(int)` overload has been declared. Since it has, the compiler determines that `foo(int)` is an exact match.

Second, the compiler will apply a number of trivial conversions to the arguments in the function call. The **trivial conversions** are a set of specific conversion rules that will modify types (without modifying the value) for purposes of finding a match. These include:

- lvalue to rvalue conversions
- qualification conversions (e.g. non-const to const)
- non-reference to reference conversions

For example:

```
1   void foo(const int)
2   {
3   }
4
5   void foo(const double&) // double& is a reference to a double
6   {
7   }
8
9   int main()
10  {
11      int x { 1 };
12      foo(x); // x trivially converted from int to const int
13
14      double d { 2.3 };
15      foo(d); // d trivially converted from double to const double& (non-ref to ref
16  conversion)
17
18      return 0;
    }
```

In the above example, we've called `foo(x)`, where `x` is an `int`. The compiler will trivially convert `x` from an `int` into a `const int`, which then matches `foo(const int)`. We've also called `foo(d)`, where `d` is a `double`. The compiler will trivially convert `d` from a `double` to a `const double&`, which then matches `foo(const double&)`.

> **Related content**
>
> We cover references in lesson 12.3 -- Lvalue references (https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/lvalue-references/)[3].

Matches made via the trivial conversions are considered exact matches. This means the following program results in an ambiguous match:

```cpp
1  void foo(int)
2  {
3  }
4
5  void foo(const int&) // int& is a reference to a int
6  {
7  }
8
9  int main()
10 {
11     int x { 1 };
12     foo(x); // ambiguous match with foo(int) and foo(const int&)
13
14     return 0;
15 }
```

Step 2) If no exact match is found, the compiler tries to find a match by applying numeric promotion to the argument(s). In lesson (10.1 -- Implicit type conversion (https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/implicit-type-conversion/)[4]), we covered how certain narrow integral and floating point types can be automatically promoted to wider types, such as `int` or `double`. If, after numeric promotion, a match is found, the function call is resolved.

For example:

```cpp
1  void foo(int)
2  {
3  }
4
5  void foo(double)
6  {
7  }
8
9  int main()
10 {
11     foo('a');   // promoted to match foo(int)
12     foo(true); // promoted to match foo(int)
13     foo(4.5f); // promoted to match foo(double)
14
15     return 0;
16 }
```

For `foo('a')`, because an exact match for `foo(char)` could not be found in the prior step, the compiler promotes the char `'a'` to an `int`, and looks for a match. This matches `foo(int)`, so the function call resolves to `foo(int)`.

Step 3) If no match is found via numeric promotion, the compiler tries to find a match by applying numeric conversions (10.3 -- Numeric conversions (https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/numeric-conversions/)[5]) to the arguments.

For example:

```
1   #include <string> // for std::string
2
3   void foo(double)
4   {
5   }
6
7   void foo(std::string)
8   {
9   }
10
11  int main()
12  {
13      foo('a'); // 'a' converted to match foo(double)
14
15      return 0;
16  }
```

In this case, because there is no `foo(char)` (exact match), and no `foo(int)` (promotion match), the `'a'` is numerically converted to a double and matched with `foo(double)`.

> ## Key insight
>
> Matches made by applying numeric promotions take precedence over any matches made by applying numeric conversions.

Step 4) If no match is found via numeric conversion, the compiler tries to find a match through any user-defined conversions. Although we haven't covered user-defined conversions yet, certain types (e.g. classes) can define conversions to other types that can be implicitly invoked. Here's an example, just to illustrate the point:

```
1   // We haven't covered classes yet, so don't worry if this doesn't make sense
2   class X // this defines a new type called X
3   {
4   public:
5       operator int() { return 0; } // Here's a user-defined conversion from X to int
6   };
7
8   void foo(int)
9   {
10  }
11
12  void foo(double)
13  {
14  }
15
16  int main()
17  {
18      X x; // Here, we're creating an object of type X (named x)
19      foo(x); // x is converted to type int using the user-defined conversion from X to
20  int
21
22      return 0;
    }
```

In this example, the compiler will first check whether an exact match to `foo(X)` exists. We haven't defined one. Next the compiler will check whether `x` can be numerically promoted, which it can't. The compiler will then check if `x` can be numerically converted, which it also can't. Finally, the compiler will then look for any

user-defined conversions. Because we've defined a user-defined conversion from `X` to `int`, the compiler will convert `X` to an `int` to match `foo(int)`.

After applying a user-defined conversion, the compiler may apply additional implicit promotions or conversions to find a match. So if our user-defined conversion had been to type `char` instead of `int`, the compiler would have used the user-defined conversion to `char` and then promoted the result into an `int` to match.

> ### Related content
>
> We discuss how to create user-defined conversions for class types (by overloading the typecast operators) in lesson 21.11 -- Overloading typecasts (https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/overloading-typecasts/)[6].

> ### For advanced readers
>
> The constructor of a class also acts as a user-defined conversion from other types to that class type, and can be used during this step to find matching functions.

Step 5) If no match is found via user-defined conversion, the compiler will look for a matching function that uses ellipsis.

> ### Related content
>
> We cover ellipses in lesson 20.5 -- Ellipsis (and why to avoid them) (https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/ellipsis-and-why-to-avoid-them/)[7].

Step 6) If no matches have been found by this point, the compiler gives up and will issue a compile error about not being able to find a matching function.

Ambiguous matches

With non-overloaded functions, each function call will either resolve to a function, or no match will be found and the compiler will issue a compile error:

```cpp
void foo()
{
}

int main()
{
    foo(); // okay: match found
    goo(); // compile error: no match found

    return 0;
}
```

With overloaded functions, there is a third possible outcome: an `ambiguous match` may be found. An **ambiguous match** occurs when the compiler finds two or more functions that can be made to match in the same step. When this occurs, the compiler will stop matching and issue a compile error stating that it has found an ambiguous function call.

Since every overloaded function must be differentiated in order to compile, you might be wondering how it is possible that a function call could result in more than one match. Let's take a look at an example that illustrates this:

```cpp
void foo(int)
{
}

void foo(double)
{
}

int main()
{
    foo(5L); // 5L is type long

    return 0;
}
```

Since literal `5L` is of type `long`, the compiler will first look to see if it can find an exact match for `foo(long)`, but it will not find one. Next, the compiler will try numeric promotion, but values of type `long` can't be promoted, so there is no match here either.

Following that, the compiler will try to find a match by applying numeric conversions to the `long` argument. In the process of checking all the numeric conversion rules, the compiler will find two potential matches. If the `long` argument is numerically converted into an `int`, then the function call will match `foo(int)`. If the `long` argument is instead converted into a `double`, then it will match `foo(double)` instead. Since two possible matches via numeric conversion have been found, the function call is considered ambiguous.

On Visual Studio 2019, this results in the following error message:

```
error C2668: 'foo': ambiguous call to overloaded function
message : could be 'void foo(double)'
message : or       'void foo(int)'
message : while trying to match the argument list '(long)'
```

> **Key insight**
>
> If the compiler finds multiple matches in a given step, an ambiguous function call will result. This means no match from a given step is considered to be better than any other match from the same step.

Here's another example that yields ambiguous matches:

```
1   void foo(unsigned int)
2   {
3   }
4
5   void foo(float)
6   {
7   }
8
9   int main()
10  {
11      foo(0);          // int can be numerically converted to unsigned int or to float
12      foo(3.14159);    // double can be numerically converted to unsigned int or to float
13
14      return 0;
15  }
```

Although you might expect `0` to resolve to `foo(unsigned int)` and `3.14159` to resolve to `foo(float)`, both of these calls result in an ambiguous match. The `int` value `0` can be numerically converted to either an `unsigned int` or a `float`, so either overload matches equally well, and the result is an ambiguous function call.

The same applies for the conversion of a `double` to either a `float` or `unsigned int`. Both are numeric conversions, so either overload matches equally well, and the result is again ambiguous.

> ### For advanced readers
>
> Default arguments can also cause ambiguous matches. We cover such cases in lesson [11.5 -- Default arguments](https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-arguments/)[8].

Resolving ambiguous matches

Because ambiguous matches are a compile-time error, an ambiguous match needs to be disambiguated before your program will compile. There are a few ways to resolve ambiguous matches:

1. Often, the best way is simply to define a new overloaded function that takes parameters of exactly the type you are trying to call the function with. Then C++ will be able to find an exact match for the function call.
2. Alternatively, explicitly cast the ambiguous argument(s) to match the type of the function you want to call. For example, to have `foo(0)` match `foo(unsigned int)` in the above example, you would do this:

```
1   int x{ 0 };
2   foo(static_cast<unsigned int>(x)); // will call foo(unsigned int)
```

3. If your argument is a literal, you can use the literal suffix to ensure your literal is interpreted as the correct type:

```
1   foo(0u); // will call foo(unsigned int) since 'u' suffix is unsigned int, so this is
    now an exact match
```

The list of the most used suffixes can be found in lesson [5.2 -- Literals](https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/literals/)[9].

Matching for functions with multiple arguments

If there are multiple arguments, the compiler applies the matching rules to each argument in turn. The function chosen is the one for which each argument matches at least as well as all the other functions, with at least one argument matching better than all the other functions. In other words, the function chosen must provide a better match than all the other candidate functions for at least one parameter, and no worse for all of the other parameters.

In the case that such a function is found, it is clearly and unambiguously the best choice. If no such function can be found, the call will be considered ambiguous (or a non-match).

For example:

```cpp
#include <iostream>

void print(char, int)
{
    std::cout << 'a' << '\n';
}

void print(char, double)
{
    std::cout << 'b' << '\n';
}

void print(char, float)
{
    std::cout << 'c' << '\n';
}

int main()
{
    print('x', 'a');

    return 0;
}
```

In the above program, all functions match the first argument exactly. However, the top function matches the second parameter via promotion, whereas the other functions require a conversion. Therefore, `print(char, int)` is unambiguously the best match.

2

B   U   URL   INLINE CODE   C++ CODE BLOCK   HELP!

Leave a comment...

👤 Name*

@ Email* |⑦   t

🐞 Find a mistake? Leave a comment above!⑦

👤 Avatars from https://gravatar.com/[14] are connected to your provided email address.

Notify me about replies: 🔔

POST COMMENT

---

100 COMMENTS   Newest ▼

👤 **Felipe**
   🕐 April 3, 2025 4:26 pm PDT

I want to make sure I understood the last section. The following case will lead to an ambiguity, right?

```
void f(char, int, float)
{ //imagine a definition }

void f(char, long, int)
{ //imagine a definition }

int main(){
f('a', 5, 'a');
}
```

Even though the first definition has exact matches for the first two, the last parameter needs a conversion whereas the second definition only needs a promotion.

👍 5   ↪ Reply

> 👤 **Kirito**
>   💬 Reply to Felipe [15]   🕐 June 14, 2025 10:41 am PDT
>
> Shouldnt this be unambiguous?
>
> 👍 0   ↪ Reply

Rocky Tseng
February 19, 2025 1:13 am PST

Hi Alex, your learning material is awesome, thank you!

One minor question about "qualification conversion". Let's see the example below:

```cpp
#include <iostream>

void foo(int*)
{
    std::cout << "Version 1 was called" << std::endl;
}

void foo(const int*)
{
    std::cout << "Version 2 was called" << std::endl;
}

int main()
{
    int x { 1 };
    int *p = &x;
    foo(p); // Version 1 was called
    return 0;
}
```

You mentioned that 1) qualification conversion is included in the trivial conversions; 2) "Matches made via the trivial conversions are considered exact matches".

According to these two rules, ambiguous match occurs; however, g++ seems to consider `foo(int*)` as the exact match instead of `foo(const int*)` in the above example. I wonder if I have correctly understood your point. Thanks.

✎ *Last edited 4 months ago by Rocky Tseng*

👍 1   ↪ Reply

> **Alex** `Author`
> ↪ Reply to Rocky Tseng ¹⁶   ⓘ February 26, 2025 10:11 pm PST
>
> Great question. The guide that we present here is a bit simplified, and you've identified a case that it simply doesn't address correctly.
>
> I'm working on an update that will fix this, but it's going to require updates in multiple lessons to introduce some new terminology that will be needed, so it's going to take a bit longer to get done.
>
> 👍 3   ↪ Reply

man98
February 2, 2025 8:42 am PST

In an example like:

```
1    void foo(const int)
2    {
3    }
4
5    void foo(const double&) // double& is a reference to a double
6    {
7    }
8
9    int main()
10   {
11       double d { 2.3 };
12       foo(d); // d trivially converted from double to const double& (non-ref to ref
13   conversion)
14
15       return 0;
     }
```

Could it be dangerous for the compiler to assume that the pass-by-reference function is a suitable option, with that function now editing the actual object that was passed and not a copy?

👍 0   ➥ Reply

Alex `Author`

↪ Reply to man98 [17]   ⊘ February 4, 2025 8:50 pm PST

Well, `foo(const double&)` is a const reference, so the argument can't be modified.

But even if it were a non-const reference, the compiler isn't assuming anything -- it's simply calling the function that is the best match for the provided arguments. If you're providing a double argument and didn't want the function with the `double&` parameter called, then you probably have a bad design and should rethink how you've overloaded the functions.

👍 1   ➥ Reply

PooperShooter
⊘ December 27, 2024 5:18 pm PST

"Since two possible matches via numeric conversion have been found, the function call is considered ambiguous."

why does the hierarchy not play a role here? My current thought process is that it should convert to double since its higher up in priority

👍 0   ➥ Reply

Alex `Author`

↪ Reply to PooperShooter [18]   ⊘ January 3, 2025 5:30 pm PST

Are you talking about the ranking of types for arithmetic conversions? That's not relevant here since we're not matching operand types.

The only hierarchy here is: exact match, promotion match, conversion match. Since long to int and long to double are both conversion matches, they are considered ambiguous.

0   → Reply

Berke
🕐 November 7, 2024 9:33 am PST

```
int foo(int a = 0)
{
return 0;
}
int foo(double a = 0.0)
{
return 1;
}

int main()
{
foo();
return 0;
}
```

This usage also results in a compilation error. I recommend for you to mention it in this lecture.

👍 0   → Reply

Alex `Author`
💬 Reply to Berke [19] 🕐 November 10, 2024 9:14 am PST

Added to https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-arguments/ and linked from this lesson.

Thanks for the suggestion.

👍 2   → Reply

Gokussjz
🕐 September 15, 2024 1:01 am PDT

You said that `lvalue to rvalue conversions` is trivial. Shouldn't it be `rvalue to lvalue conversions`?

👍 0   → Reply

Alex `Author`
💬 Reply to Gokussjz [20] 🕐 September 16, 2024 3:15 pm PDT

No. Since lvalues are typically objects and rvalues are typically values, lvalues are trivially convertible to rvalues by evaluating the object for its value. Turning a value back into an object is more complicated and is not trivial (and in most cases, not useful either).

👍 0   → Reply

Khaled Mamdouh

🕐 September 10, 2024 1:37 pm PDT

You are a legend

👍 1   ↪ Reply

---

guts

🕐 September 4, 2024 12:48 pm PDT

i would love to have more coding quizzes, or problems i guess! is there any website or something where i can find those to practice, or can you in any way lead me to more problems with solutions? because i feel like i could use more typing out the code and thinking about how to solve a problem while learning about the basics!

👍 4   ↪ Reply

> **grasshopper**
>
> 💬 Reply to guts [21]   🕐 September 8, 2024 10:49 am PDT
>
> Sameee here ^^
>
> 👍 3   ↪ Reply

Shom

🕐 July 7, 2024 8:18 pm PDT

Here is some code:

```cpp
#include <iostream>


void foo(int x) { std::cout << "Inside int foo\n"; }

void foo(unsigned int) { std::cout << "Inside unsigned int foo\n"; }

int main() {

  foo(5);

  return 0;
}
```

Question: Why does the compiler decide the 5 is signed and not unsigned?
Is this compiler dependent?

👍 0   ↪ Reply

> **Alex** `Author`
>
> 💬 Reply to Shom [22]   🕐 July 11, 2024 2:35 pm PDT
>
> Int literals are signed by default. It is not compiler dependent.

Shom

Reply to Alex [23]   July 11, 2024 10:26 pm PDT

Makes Sense! Thanks

0   Reply

sri sai subramanyam

June 15, 2024 5:27 am PDT

man u are just amazing. thank you for the articles

4   Reply

Links

1. https://www.learncpp.com/author/Alex/
2. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/function-overload-differentiation/
3. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/lvalue-references/
4. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/implicit-type-conversion/
5. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/numeric-conversions/
6. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/overloading-typecasts/
7. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/ellipsis-and-why-to-avoid-them/
8. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-arguments/
9. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/literals/
10. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/deleting-functions/
11. https://www.learncpp.com/
12. https://www.learncpp.com/function-overload-resolution-and-ambiguous-matches/
13. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/function-templates-with-multiple-template-types/
14. https://gravatar.com/
15. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/function-overload-resolution-and-ambiguous-matches/#comment-609028
16. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/function-overload-resolution-and-ambiguous-matches/#comment-607893
17. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/function-overload-resolution-and-ambiguous-matches/#comment-607291
18. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/function-overload-resolution-and-ambiguous-matches/#comment-605797
19. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/function-overload-resolution-and-ambiguous-matches/#comment-603911

20. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/function-overload-resolution-and-ambiguous-matches/#comment-601980
21. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/function-overload-resolution-and-ambiguous-matches/#comment-601602
22. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/function-overload-resolution-and-ambiguous-matches/#comment-599302
23. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/function-overload-resolution-and-ambiguous-matches/#comment-599438
24. https://g.ezoic.net/privacy/learncpp.com