14.11 — Default constructors and default arguments

A **default constructor** is a constructor that accepts no arguments. Typically, this is a constructor that has been defined with no parameters.

Here is an example of a class that has a default constructor:

```
#include <iostream>
3
    class Foo
 4
5
    public:
         Foo() // default constructor
 6
7
             std::cout << "Foo default constructed\n";</pre>
9
10
    };
11
12
    int main()
13
         Foo foo{}; // No initialization values, calls Foo's default constructor
14
15
         return 0;
16
17
```

When the above program runs, an object of type Foo is created. Since no initialization values have been provided, the default constructor Foo() is called, which prints:

```
Foo default constructed
```

Value initialization vs default initialization for class types

If a class type has a default constructor, both value initialization and default initialization will call the default constructor. Thus, for such a class such as the Foo class in the example above, the following are essentially equivalent:

```
Foo foo{}; // value initialization, calls Foo() default constructor
Foo foo2; // default initialization, calls Foo() default constructor
```

However, as we already covered in lesson 13.9 -- Default member initialization (https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-member-initialization/)², value initialization is safer for aggregates. Since it's difficult to tell whether a class type is an aggregate or non-aggregate, it's safer to just use value initialization for everything and not worry about it.

Best practice

Prefer value initialization over default initialization for all class types.

Constructors with default arguments

As with all functions, the rightmost parameters of constructors can have default arguments.

Related content

We cover default arguments in lesson $\underline{11.5}$ -- Default arguments (https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-arguments/)³.

For example:

```
1 | #include <iostream>
3 class Foo
4
5 | private:
 6
        int m_x { };
7
        int m_y { };
 8
9 public:
10
        Foo(int x=0, int y=0) // has default arguments
11
             : m_x { x }
12
             , m_y { y }
13
             std::cout << "Foo(" << m_x << ", " << m_y << ") constructed\n";
14
15
16
    };
17
    int main()
18
19
20
         Foo foo1{};
                        // calls Foo(int, int) constructor using default arguments
         Foo foo2{6, 7}; // calls Foo(int, int) constructor
21
22
23
         return 0;
24
    }
```

This prints:

```
Foo(0, 0) constructed
Foo(6, 7) constructed
```

If all of the parameters in a constructor have default arguments, the constructor is a default constructor (because it can be called with no arguments).

We'll see examples of where this can be useful in the next lesson (14.12 -- Delegating constructors (https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/delegating-constructors/)⁴).

Overloaded constructors

Because constructors are functions, they can be overloaded. That is, we can have multiple constructors so that we can construct objects in different ways:

```
1 | #include <iostream>
3
     class Foo
 4
     {
 5
     private:
 6
         int m_x {};
7
         int m_y {};
 8
9
     public:
 10
         Foo() // default constructor
 11
 12
             std::cout << "Foo constructed\n";</pre>
 13
         }
 14
         Foo(int x, int y) // non-default constructor
 15
 16
              : m_x { x }, m_y { y }
 17
             std::cout << "Foo(" << m_x << ", " << m_y << ") constructed\n";
 18
 19
 20
     };
 21
 22
     int main()
 23
 24
         Foo foo1{};
                        // Calls Foo() constructor
 25
         Foo foo2{6, 7}; // Calls Foo(int, int) constructor
 26
 27
         return 0;
     }
 28
```

A corollary of the above is that a class should only have one default constructor. If more than one default constructor is provided, the compiler will be unable to disambiguate which should be used:

```
1
     #include <iostream>
  2
3
     class Foo
  4
     {
     private:
 5
  6
          int m_x {};
 7
         int m_y {};
  8
 9
     public:
 10
          Foo() // default constructor
 11
 12
              std::cout << "Foo constructed\n";</pre>
 13
 14
 15
          Foo(int x=1, int y=2) // default constructor
 16
              : m_x { x }, m_y { y }
 17
 18
              std::cout << "Foo(" << m_x << ", " << m_y << ") constructed\n";
 19
 20
     };
 21
 22
     int main()
 23
 24
          Foo foo{}; // compile error: ambiguous constructor function call
 25
 26
          return 0;
 27
     }
```

In the above example, we instantiate **foo** with no arguments, so the compiler will look for a default constructor. It will find two, and be unable to disambiguate which constructor should be used. This will result in a compile error.

An implicit default constructor

If a non-aggregate class type object has no user-declared constructors, the compiler will generate a public default constructor (so that the class can be value or default initialized). This constructor is called an **implicit default constructor**.

Consider the following example:

```
#include <iostream>
3
    class Foo
4
    {
5
   private:
 6
        int m_x{};
7
        int m_y{};
8
        // Note: no constructors declared
9
10
    };
11
12
    int main()
13
    {
        Foo foo{};
14
15
16
        return 0;
17 | }
```

This class has no user-declared constructors, so the compiler will generate an implicit default constructor for us. That constructor will be used to instantiate foo{}.

The implicit default constructor is equivalent to a constructor that has no parameters, no member initializer list, and no statements in the body of the constructor. In other words, for the above Foo class, the compiler generates this:

The implicit default constructor is useful mostly when we have classes that have no data members. If a class has data members, we'll probably want to make them initializable with values provided by the user, and the implicit default constructor isn't sufficient for that.

Using = default to generate an explicitly defaulted default constructor

In cases where we would write a default constructor that is equivalent to the implicitly generated default constructor, we can instead tell the compiler to generate a default constructor for us. This constructor is called an **explicitly defaulted default constructor**, and it can be generated by using the **explicitly defaulted default constructor**, and it can be generated by using the **explicitly defaulted default constructor**.

```
#include <iostream>
1
3
    class Foo
 4
 5 private:
 6
         int m_x {};
7
        int m_y {};
 8
9
     public:
         Foo() = default; // generates an explicitly defaulted default constructor
10
11
12
         Foo(int x, int y)
13
             : m_x { x }, m_y { y }
14
15
             std::cout << "Foo(" << m_x << ", " << m_y << ") constructed\n";
16
         }
17
    };
18
    int main()
19
20
         Foo foo{}; // calls Foo() default constructor
21
22
23
         return 0;
     }
24
```

In the above example, since we have a user-declared constructor (Foo(int, int)), an implicit default constructor would not normally be generated. However, because we've told the compiler to generate such a constructor, it will. This constructor will subsequently be used by our instantiation of foo{}.

Best practice

Prefer an explicitly defaulted default constructor (= default) over a default constructor with an empty body.

Explicitly defaulted default constructor vs empty user-defined constructor

There are at least two cases where the explicitly defaulted default constructor behaves differently than an empty user-defined constructor.

1. When value initializing a class, if the class has a user-defined default constructor, the object will be default initialized. However, if the class has a default constructor that is not user-provided (that is, a default constructor that is either implicitly defined, or defined using = default), the object will be zero-initialized before being default initialized.

```
1 | #include <iostream>
3 | class User
 4
 5 | private:
 6
         int m_a; // note: no default initialization value
7
        int m_b {};
 8
9
     public:
 10
         User() {} // user-defined empty constructor
 11
 12
         int a() const { return m_a; }
 13
         int b() const { return m_b; }
 14
     };
 15
 16
     class Default
17 {
 18
     private:
 19
         int m_a; // note: no default initialization value
 20
         int m_b {};
 21
 22
     public:
 23
         Default() = default; // explicitly defaulted default constructor
 24
 25
         int a() const { return m_a; }
 26
         int b() const { return m_b; }
 27
     };
 28
     class Implicit
 29
 30
     {
 31
     private:
 32
         int m_a; // note: no default initialization value
 33
         int m_b {};
 34
 35
     public:
 36
         // implicit default constructor
 37
 38
         int a() const { return m_a; }
 39
         int b() const { return m_b; }
 40
     };
 41
 42
     int main()
 43
 44
         User user{}; // default initialized
 45
         std::cout << user.a() << ' ' << user.b() << '\n';
 46
 47
         Default def{}; // zero initialized, then default initialized
         std::cout << def.a() << ' ' << def.b() << '\n';
 48
 49
 50
         Implicit imp{}; // zero initialized, then default initialized
         std::cout << imp.a() << ' ' << imp.b() << '\n';
 51
 52
 53
         return 0;
     }
```

On the author's machine, this prints:

```
782510864 0
0 0
0 0
```

In practice, this shouldn't matter since you should be providing default member initializers for all data members!

Tip

For a class that does not have a user-provided default constructor, value initialization will first zero-initialize the class, while default initialization will not. Given this, default initialization may be more performant than value initialization (at the cost of being less safe). If you are looking to squeeze out every bit of performance in a section of code that initializes a lot of objects that do not have user-provided default constructors, changing those objects to be default initialized may be worth exploring. Alternatively, you could try changing the class to have a default constructor with an empty body. This avoids the zero-initialization case when using value initialization, but may inhibit other optimizations.

2. Prior to C++20, a class with a user-defined default constructor (even if it has an empty body) makes the class a non-aggregate, whereas an explicitly defaulted default constructor does not. Assuming the class was otherwise an aggregate, the former would cause the class to use list initialization instead of aggregate initialization. In C++20 onward, this inconsistency was addressed, so that both make the class a non-aggregate.

Only create a default constructor when it makes sense

A default constructor allows us to create objects of a non-aggregate class type with no user-provided initialization values. Thus, a class should only provide a default constructor when it makes sense for objects of a class type to be created using all default values.

For example:

```
1 | #include <iostream>
3 class Fraction
 4
     {
5 private:
         int m_numerator{ 0 };
 6
7
         int m_denominator{ 1 };
 8
9 public:
 10
         Fraction() = default;
         Fraction(int numerator, int denominator)
11
 12
             : m_numerator{ numerator }
 13
             , m_denominator{ denominator }
         {
 14
 15
         }
 16
 17
         void print() const
 18
         {
 19
             std::cout << "Fraction(" << m_numerator << ", " << m_denominator << ")\n";</pre>
 20
         }
 21 };
 22
 23 int main()
 24
     {
 25
         Fraction f1 {3, 5};
 26
         f1.print();
 27
         Fraction f2 {}; // will get Fraction 0/1
 28
 29
         f2.print();
 30
 31
         return 0;
     }
 32
```

For a class representing a fraction, it makes sense to allow the user to create Fraction objects with no initializers (in which case, the user will get the fraction 0/1).

Now consider this class:

```
1 | #include <iostream>
     #include <string>
3 | #include <string_view>
    class Employee
 5
 6
7
     private:
 8
         std::string m_name{ };
9
         int m_id{ };
 10
11
     public:
12
         Employee(std::string_view name, int id)
13
             : m_name{ name }
              , m_id{ id }
 14
15
16
         }
17
18
         void print() const
19
             std::cout << "Employee(" << m_name << ", " << m_id << ")\n";
20
21
 22
     };
23
 24
     int main()
25
         Employee e1 { "Joe", 1 };
26
27
         e1.print();
 28
29
         Employee e2 {}; // compile error: no matching constructor
 30
         e2.print();
31
 32
         return 0;
33
   | }
```

For a class representing an employee, it doesn't make sense to allow creation of employees with no name. Thus, such a class should not have a default constructor, so that a compilation error will result if the user of the class tries to do so.



4



Back to table of contents

5



Previous lesson

14.10 Constructor member initializer lists

6





51 COMMENTS Newest ▼



Felipe

(1) June 22, 2025 1:48 pm PDT

I don't understand how an object can be zero initialized and default initialized. I thought initialization happened only once per object.

Also, what exactly is default initialization?





Nidhi Gupta

(1) March 16, 2025 9:35 pm PDT

Separating the class declarations from the implementations has led to better organization, readability, and maintainability of the code. Small member functions may be defined in the class declaration; however, complex functions should be implemented outside it in order not to clutter the interface. With C++, class declarations can be defined in header (.h) files and implementations in source (.cpp) files, allowing reuse of code across multiple files. Header guards help to avoid the problem of multiple inclusion errors during compilation. Inline functions, such as those that are defined inside the class, work to prevent ODR violations. Although one can define all functions in a header file, building all functions in one header file might prolong compilation time. Therefore, it is better to place non-trivial functions in a source file. That also makes extensibility easier via precompiled libraries, giving a better edge to large-scale software development.





A note could be added to compare with the following example from 13.9. The struct's x would be initialized also because for an aggreate there is an implicit default constructor from my understanding.

```
struct Something
 2
     {
3
                    // no default initialization value (bad)
         int x;
 4
                     // value-initialized by default
         int y {};
5
         int z { 2 }; // explicit default value
 6
     };
7
 8
     int main()
 9
 10
         Something s3 {}; // value initialize s3.x, use default values for s3.y and s3.z
11
 12
         return 0;
13
     }
```

↑ Reply



NordicCat

① December 22, 2024 1:05 am PST

```
#include <iostream>
 2
     #include <string>
 3
    #include <string_view>
 4
5
 6
     class Weapon {
7
    public :
 8
         // default constructor
9
         Weapon() = default;
10
         // Or there is a hybrid version of default constructor
         Weapon() {
11
12
             weight = 1.0;
13
             recoilRate = 0.1;
14
             damage = 5.0;
15
             numOfBullets = 25;
16
             totalBullets = 60;
         }
17
18
     private:
19
         // Do not left them Unitialised untill you have a specific reason to do so
20
         float weight{};
21
         float recoilRate{};
         float damage{};
22
23
         int numOfBullets{};
24
         int totalBullets{};
25
     };
26
27
     int main() {
28
         Weapon phantom{}; // let compliler give default values to specific data types like
29
    int = 0, float = 0.0, etc.
30
31
         // Or We Use Default Constructor that we created explicitely (I prefer this, btw
     Idk the cost)
         Weapon vandal{};
     }
```

Which should we prefer Alex?



Nidhi Gupta

cool

0

Reply



Alex Author

Unless you have a specific reason to do otherwise, I'd probably choose this:

```
class Weapon
 2
3
   public :
        // Make sure we always have a default constructor even if we define other
4
5 | constructors later
 6
        Weapon() = default;
7
 8
    private:
9
             // Everything has a reasonable default value
10
         float weight{ 1.0f };
11
        float recoilRate{ 0.1f };
12
         float damage{ 5.0f };
13
        int numOfBullets{ 25 };
14
         int totalBullets{ 60 };
    };
```

0

Reply



NordicCat

So this means when we want custom default values assigned to our member variables we should member initialize the variables + set our intent explicit by making a explicit default constructor by using (=default). Wouldn't it implicitly generate a default constructor if we do it like this?

```
private:
    // Everything has a reasonable default value

float weight{ 1.0f };

float recoilRate{ 0.1f };

float damage{ 5.0f };

int numOfBullets{ 25 };

int totalBullets{ 60 };

};
```

Isn't it redundant then (i mean =default)?

0

Reply



Alex Author

Yes, the =default constructor is superfluous and can be left out if you want. Like the comment says, it's there to make it clear you intend for objects to be default constructed, even if another constructor is added later.





Cpp Learner

① December 13, 2024 7:01 pm PST

I feeling like if I don't need a constructor, that means probably my requirement is aggregate. Since classes are meant to be for non-aggregate complex types and aggregates are for simple basic requirements, In this case if I don't need a constructor I should definitely use structs?





Alex Author

Not quite.

If your requirement is an aggregate, then use a struct (and no constructor).

If you need your data to be private/encapsulated, then use a class. Using access controls will make the class a non-aggregate. That class doesn't have to have a user-defined constructor -- in which case it will be given an implicit default constructor. That might be fine, depending on your use case.





Sam P Adams

① December 6, 2024 11:39 am PST

Is there a preference between using an implicit default constructor vs explicitly defaulted default constructor?









Alex Author

Reply to Sam P Adams ¹⁵ O December 9, 2024 9:18 pm PST

You only get an implicit default constructor when you have no other constructors. In that case, I don't see a strong reason to prefer an explicitly defaulted default constructor. One potential pitfall of sticking with the implicit default constructor is that if you add a constructor later, you will no longer get an implicit default constructor, and any code that uses the implicit default constructor will not compile until you define one. But I don't think it's worth favoring an implicit default constructor to address this case.

You only need an explicitly default default constructor when you have a constructor but also want a default constructor that would behave identically to the implicit default constructor. In this case the implicit default constructor won't even be generated, so you have to explicitly define a default constructor or use an explicitly defaulted default constructor (preferred).



Seeminglyimplicitexplicitconversion

① November 9, 2024 3:32 am PST

Might want to mention that if the default constructor can be constexpr, it will be automatically made constexpr.

As per: https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/default_constructor

"If this satisfies the requirements of a constexpr constructor(until C++23)constexpr function(since C++23), the generated constructor is constexpr. (since C++11)"

```
1 | class Vector2
  2
     {
3 public:
         Vector2() = default;
5
         Vector2(double x, double y) : m_x{ x }, m_y{ y } {} // not constexpr
  6
7
     private:
  8
         double m_x{ 0.0 };
9
         double m_y{ 0.0 };
 10
     };
 11
     int main()
 12
 13
         constexpr Vector2 vec2{}; // Works since default constructor is automatically made
 14
 15
         constexpr Vector2 vec3{1.0, 2.0}; // Doesn't work since constructor is not
 16
17
     constexpr
 18
         return 0;
     }
```

☑ Last edited 7 months ago by Seeminglyimplicitexplicitconversion







Alex Author

Reply to Seeminglyimplicitexplicitconversion ¹⁶ • November 10, 2024 9:58 pm PST

This is mentioned in lesson https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/constexpr-aggregates-andclasses/#google_vignette







SunMan

① October 6, 2024 11:40 am PDT

Took me some time to understand this so adding notes here

/*

Prior to C++20,

Foo() {} // user-defined default constructor (even if it has an empty body)

1- Makes the class a non-aggregate

- 2- You can use list initialization e.g. Foo f1{};
- 3- You can't use aggregate initialization e.g. Foo $f2 = \{1,2\}$;

Foo() = default // explicitly defaulted default constructor

- 1- Keeps the class an aggregate
- 2- List initialization (allowed) e.g. Foo f1{};
- 3- // Aggregate initialization (allowed) e.g. Foo $f2 = \{1,2\}$ without any issues;

In C++20 onward // Fixed behavior of explicitly defaulted default constructor

- 1- Both make the class a non-aggregate.
- 2- You can use list initialization e.g. Foo f1{};
- 3- You can't use aggregate initialization e.g. Foo $f2 = \{1,2\}$;

*/

1 4 → Reply



Tom

© September 21, 2024 12:57 pm PDT

Why does this code initialize m_value to zero?

```
1 | #include <iostream>
     #include <string>
3
 4
     class Data
5 {
 6
     public:
7
    Data() {};
 8
         int m_value;
9
         std::string m_name;
 10
     };
11
 12
     int main()
13
 14
         Data data{};
15
         std::cout << data.m_value ; // prints zero</pre>
16
17
         return 0;
 18
     }
```

But this one doesn't

```
1
     #include <iostream>
     #include <string>
3
     class Data
 5
 6
     public:
7
         Data() {};
 8
         std::string m_name;
9
         int m_value;
10
     };
11
12
     int main()
13
         Data data{};
14
15
         std::cout << data.m_value ; // undefined behavior</pre>
16
17
         return 0;
     }
18
```

1 0 → Reply



Alex Author

 \bigcirc Reply to Tom ¹⁷ \bigcirc September 23, 2024 8:27 pm PDT

m_value is uninitialized in both cases, so printing m_value is undefined behavior. Your getting 0 in one case and not the other is just happenstance.

1 2 → Reply



MOEGMA25

① July 15, 2024 6:08 am PDT

Since it's difficult to tell whether a class type is an aggregate or non-aggregate, it's safer to just use value initialization for everything and not worry about it.

Wouldn't it be an idea to just use value initialization for aggregates only? So you can tell appart structs and classes.

☑ Last edited 11 months ago by MOEGMA25



Reply



Alex Author

No. The whole point is that we don't want to have to figure out whether something is an aggregate or not in order to use it properly.



Reply

Links

- 1. https://www.learncpp.com/author/Alex/
- 2. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-member-initialization/
- 3. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-arguments/
- 4. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/delegating-constructors/
- 5. https://www.learncpp.com/
- 6. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/constructor-member-initializer-lists/
- 7. https://www.learncpp.com/default-constructors-and-default-arguments/
- 8. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/the-benefits-of-data-hiding-encapsulation/
- 9. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/class-templates-with-member-functions/
- 10. https://gravatar.com/
- 11. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-constructors-and-default-arguments/#comment-605571
- 12. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-constructors-and-default-arguments/#comment-605951
- 13. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-constructors-and-default-arguments/#comment-605966
- 14. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-constructors-and-default-arguments/#comment-605221
- 15. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-constructors-and-default-arguments/#comment-604977
- 16. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-constructors-and-default-arguments/#comment-603973
- 17. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-constructors-and-default-arguments/#comment-602189
- 18. https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/default-constructors-and-default-arguments/#comment-599615
- 19. https://g.ezoic.net/privacy/learncpp.com