

25.1 — Pointers and references to the base class of derived objects

👤 ALEX¹ ⌚ NOVEMBER 25, 2024

In the previous chapter, you learned all about how to use inheritance to derive new classes from existing classes. In this chapter, we are going to focus on one of the most important and powerful aspects of inheritance -- virtual functions.

But before we discuss what virtual functions are, let's first set the table for why we need them.

In the chapter on [construction of derived classes](https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/113-order-of-construction-of-derived-classes/) (<https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/113-order-of-construction-of-derived-classes/>)², you learned that when you create a derived class, it is composed of multiple parts: one part for each inherited class, and a part for itself.

For example, here's a simple case:

```
1  #include <string_view>
2
3  class Base
4  {
5  protected:
6      int m_value {};
```

```
7
8  public:
9      Base(int value)
10         : m_value{ value }
11     {
12     }
13
14     std::string_view getName() const { return "Base"; }
15     int getValue() const { return m_value; }
16 };
17
18 class Derived: public Base
19 {
20 public:
21     Derived(int value)
22         : Base{ value }
23     {
24     }
25
26     std::string_view getName() const { return "Derived"; }
27     int getValueDoubled() const { return m_value * 2; }
28 };
```

When we create a Derived object, it contains a Base part (which is constructed first), and a Derived part (which is constructed second). Remember that inheritance implies an is-a relationship between two classes. Since a Derived is-a Base, it is appropriate that Derived contain a Base part.

Pointers, references, and derived classes

It should be fairly intuitive that we can set Derived pointers and references to Derived objects:

```

1  #include <iostream>
2
3  int main()
4  {
5      Derived derived{ 5 };
6      std::cout << "derived is a " << derived.getName() << " and has value " <<
7      derived.getValue() << '\n';
8
9      Derived& rDerived{ derived };
10     std::cout << "rDerived is a " << rDerived.getName() << " and has value " <<
11     rDerived.getValue() << '\n';
12
13     Derived* pDerived{ &derived };
14     std::cout << "pDerived is a " << pDerived->getName() << " and has value " <<
15     pDerived->getValue() << '\n';
16
17     return 0;
18 }

```

This produces the following output:

```

derived is a Derived and has value 5
rDerived is a Derived and has value 5
pDerived is a Derived and has value 5

```

However, since Derived has a Base part, a more interesting question is whether C++ will let us set a Base pointer or reference to a Derived object. It turns out, we can!

```

1  #include <iostream>
2
3  int main()
4  {
5      Derived derived{ 5 };
6
7      // These are both legal!
8      Base& rBase{ derived }; // rBase is an lvalue reference (not an rvalue reference)
9      Base* pBase{ &derived };
10
11     std::cout << "derived is a " << derived.getName() << " and has value " <<
12     derived.getValue() << '\n';
13     std::cout << "rBase is a " << rBase.getName() << " and has value " <<
14     rBase.getValue() << '\n';
15     std::cout << "pBase is a " << pBase->getName() << " and has value " << pBase-
16     >getValue() << '\n';
17
18     return 0;
19 }

```

This produces the result:

```

derived is a Derived and has value 5
rBase is a Base and has value 5
pBase is a Base and has value 5

```

This result may not be quite what you were expecting at first!

It turns out that because rBase and pBase are a Base reference and pointer, they can only see members of Base (or any classes that Base inherited). So even though Derived::getName() shadows (hides)

Base::getName() for Derived objects, the Base pointer/reference can not see Derived::getName(). Consequently, they call Base::getName(), which is why rBase and pBase report that they are a Base rather than a Derived.

Note that this also means it is not possible to call Derived::getValueDoubled() using rBase or pBase. They are unable to see anything in Derived.

Here's another slightly more complex example that we'll build on in the next lesson:

```

1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string_view>
3  #include <string>
4
5  class Animal
6  {
7  protected:
8      std::string m_name;
9
10     // We're making this constructor protected because
11     // we don't want people creating Animal objects directly,
12     // but we still want derived classes to be able to use it.
13     Animal(std::string_view name)
14         : m_name{ name }
15     {
16     }
17
18     // To prevent slicing (covered later)
19     Animal(const Animal&) = delete;
20     Animal& operator=(const Animal&) = delete;
21
22 public:
23     std::string_view getName() const { return m_name; }
24     std::string_view speak() const { return "???"; }
25 };
26
27 class Cat: public Animal
28 {
29 public:
30     Cat(std::string_view name)
31         : Animal{ name }
32     {
33     }
34
35     std::string_view speak() const { return "Meow"; }
36 };
37
38 class Dog: public Animal
39 {
40 public:
41     Dog(std::string_view name)
42         : Animal{ name }
43     {
44     }
45
46     std::string_view speak() const { return "Woof"; }
47 };
48
49 int main()
50 {
51     const Cat cat{ "Fred" };
52     std::cout << "cat is named " << cat.getName() << ", and it says " << cat.speak()
53 << '\n';
54
55     const Dog dog{ "Garbo" };
56     std::cout << "dog is named " << dog.getName() << ", and it says " << dog.speak()
57 << '\n';
58
59     const Animal* pAnimal{ &cat };
60     std::cout << "pAnimal is named " << pAnimal->getName() << ", and it says " <<
61 pAnimal->speak() << '\n';
62
63     pAnimal = &dog;
64     std::cout << "pAnimal is named " << pAnimal->getName() << ", and it says " <<
65 pAnimal->speak() << '\n';
66
67     return 0;
68 }

```

This produces the result:

```
cat is named Fred, and it says Meow
dog is named Garbo, and it says Woof
pAnimal is named Fred, and it says ???
pAnimal is named Garbo, and it says ???
```

We see the same issue here. Because `pAnimal` is an `Animal` pointer, it can only see the `Animal` portion of the class. Consequently, `pAnimal->Speak()` calls `Animal::Speak()` rather than the `Dog::Speak()` or `Cat::Speak()` function.

Use for pointers and references to base classes

Now you might be saying, "The above examples seem kind of silly. Why would I set a pointer or reference to the base class of a derived object when I can just use the derived object?" It turns out that there are quite a few good reasons.

First, let's say you wanted to write a function that printed an animal's name and sound. Without using a pointer to a base class, you'd have to write it using overloaded functions, like this:

```
1 void report(const Cat& cat)
2 {
3     std::cout << cat.getName() << " says " << cat.speak() << '\n';
4 }
5
6 void report(const Dog& dog)
7 {
8     std::cout << dog.getName() << " says " << dog.speak() << '\n';
9 }
```

Not too difficult, but consider what would happen if we had 30 different animal types instead of 2. You'd have to write 30 almost identical functions! Plus, if you ever added a new type of animal, you'd have to write a new function for that one too. This is a huge waste of time considering the only real difference is the type of the parameter.

However, because `Cat` and `Dog` are derived from `Animal`, `Cat` and `Dog` have an `Animal` part. Therefore, it makes sense that we should be able to do something like this:

```
1 void report(const Animal& rAnimal)
2 {
3     std::cout << rAnimal.getName() << " says " << rAnimal.speak() << '\n';
4 }
```

This would let us pass in any class derived from `Animal`, even ones that we created after we wrote the function! Instead of one function per derived class, we get one function that works with all classes derived from `Animal`!

The problem is, of course, that because `rAnimal` is an `Animal` reference, `rAnimal.speak()` will call `Animal::speak()` instead of the derived version of `speak()`.

As an aside...

We could also use a template function to reduce the number of overloaded functions we need to write:

```

1 | template <typename T>
2 | void report(const T& rAnimal)
3 | {
4 |     std::cout << rAnimal.getName() << " says " << rAnimal.speak() << '\n';
5 | }

```

And while this works, it has its own issues:

1. It's not clear what type `T` is supposed to be, as we've lost the documentation that `T` is intended to be an `Animal`.
2. This function does not enforce that `T` is an `Animal`. Rather, it will accept an object of any type that contains a `getName()` and `speak()` member function, whether that makes sense or not.

Second, let's say you had 3 cats and 3 dogs that you wanted to keep in an array for easy access. Because arrays can only hold objects of one type, without a pointer or reference to a base class, you'd have to create a different array for each derived type, like this:

```

1 | #include <array>
2 | #include <iostream>
3 |
4 | // Cat and Dog from the example above
5 |
6 | int main()
7 | {
8 |     const auto& cats{ std::to_array<Cat>({{ "Fred" }, { "Misty" }, { "Zeke" }}) };
9 |     const auto& dogs{ std::to_array<Dog>({{ "Garbo" }, { "Pooky" }, { "Truffle" }}) };
10 |
11 |     // Before C++20
12 |     // const std::array<Cat, 3> cats{{ { "Fred" }, { "Misty" }, { "Zeke" } }};
13 |     // const std::array<Dog, 3> dogs{{ { "Garbo" }, { "Pooky" }, { "Truffle" } }};
14 |
15 |     for (const auto& cat : cats)
16 |     {
17 |         std::cout << cat.getName() << " says " << cat.speak() << '\n';
18 |     }
19 |
20 |     for (const auto& dog : dogs)
21 |     {
22 |         std::cout << dog.getName() << " says " << dog.speak() << '\n';
23 |     }
24 |
25 |     return 0;
26 | }

```

Now, consider what would happen if you had 30 different types of animals. You'd need 30 arrays, one for each type of animal!

However, because both `Cat` and `Dog` are derived from `Animal`, it makes sense that we should be able to do something like this:

```

1  #include <array>
2  #include <iostream>
3
4  // Cat and Dog from the example above
5
6  int main()
7  {
8      const Cat fred{ "Fred" };
9      const Cat misty{ "Misty" };
10     const Cat zeke{ "Zeke" };
11
12     const Dog garbo{ "Garbo" };
13     const Dogooky{ "Pooky" };
14     const Dog truffle{ "Truffle" };
15
16     // Set up an array of pointers to animals, and set those pointers to our Cat and
17     Dog objects
18     const auto animals{ std::to_array<const Animal*>({&fred, &garbo, &misty, &ooky,
19     &truffle, &zeke }) };
20
21     // Before C++20, with the array size being explicitly specified
22     // const std::array<const Animal*, 6> animals{ &fred, &garbo, &misty, &ooky,
23     &truffle, &zeke };
24
25     for (const auto animal : animals)
26     {
27         std::cout << animal->getName() << " says " << animal->speak() << '\n';
28     }
29
30     return 0;
31 }

```

While this compiles and executes, unfortunately the fact that each element of array “animals” is a pointer to an Animal means that `animal->speak()` will call `Animal::speak()` instead of the derived class version of `speak()` that we want. The output is

```

Fred says ???
Garbo says ???
Misty says ???
Pooky says ???
Truffle says ???
Zeke says ???

```

Although both of these techniques could save us a lot of time and energy, they have the same problem. The pointer or reference to the base class calls the base version of the function rather than the derived version. If only there was some way to make those base pointers call the derived version of a function instead of the base version...

Want to take a guess what virtual functions are for? :)

Quiz time

1. Our Animal/Cat/Dog example above doesn't work like we want because a reference or pointer to an Animal can't access the derived version of `speak()` needed to return the right value for the Cat or Dog. One way to work around this issue would be to make the data returned by the `speak()` function accessible as part of the Animal base class (much like the Animal's name is accessible via member `m_name`).

Update the Animal, Cat, and Dog classes in the lesson above by adding a new member to Animal named m_speak. Initialize it appropriately. The following program should work properly:

```
1 #include <array>
2 #include <iostream>
3
4 int main()
5 {
6     const Cat fred{ "Fred" };
7     const Cat misty{ "Misty" };
8     const Cat zeke{ "Zeke" };
9
10    const Dog garbo{ "Garbo" };
11    const Dog pooky{ "Pooky" };
12    const Dog truffle{ "Truffle" };
13
14    // Set up an array of pointers to animals, and set those pointers to our Cat and
15    Dog objects
16    const auto animals{ std::to_array<const Animal*>({ &fred, &garbo, &misty, &pooky,
17    &truffle, &zeke }) };
18
19    // Before C++20, with the array size being explicitly specified
20    // const std::array<const Animal*, 6> animals{ &fred, &garbo, &misty, &pooky,
21    &truffle, &zeke };
22
23    for (const auto animal : animals)
24    {
25        std::cout << animal->getName() << " says " << animal->speak() << '\n';
26    }
27
28    return 0;
29 }
```

[Show Solution](#) (javascript:void(0))³

2. Why is the above solution non-optimal?

Hint: Think about the future state of Cat and Dog where we want to differentiate Cats and Dogs in more ways.

Hint: Think about the ways in which having a member that needs to be set at initialization limits you.

[Show Solution](#) (javascript:void(0))³



Next lesson

25.2 [Virtual functions and polymorphism](#)

4



[Back to table of contents](#)

5



Previous lesson

24.x [Chapter 24 summary and quiz](#)

6

**B****U****URL****INLINE CODE****C++ CODE BLOCK****HELP!**

Leave a comment...



Name*



Email*



Notify me about replies:

**POST COMMENT**

Find a mistake? Leave a comment above!?

 Avatars from <https://gravatar.com/>⁹ are connected to your provided email address.**207 COMMENTS**

Newest ▼

**Nidhi Gupta**

🕒 May 6, 2025 9:13 am PDT

here is some code that can work:

```
class Animal {
protected:
    std::string m_name{};
    std::string m_speak{};

    Animal(std::string_view name, std::string_view speak)
        : m_name{ name }, m_speak{ speak }
    {}

public:
    std::string_view getName() const { return m_name; }
    std::string_view speak() const { return m_speak; }
};

class Cat : public Animal {
public:
    Cat(std::string_view name)
        : Animal{ name, "Meow" }
    {}
};

class Dog : public Animal {
public:
    Dog(std::string_view name)
```

```
: Animal{ name, "Woof" }  
}  
};
```

👍 1 ➡ Reply



MOEGMA25
🕒 November 22, 2024 4:05 am PST

First, let’s say you wanted to write a function that printed an animal’s name and sound. Without using a pointer to a base class, you’d have to write it using overloaded functions, like this:

We could use a function template to fix this right? But of course that doesn't cover your other arguments.

👍 5 ➡ Reply



Alex Author
➡ Reply to [MOEGMA25](#) ¹⁰ 🕒 November 25, 2024 4:38 pm PST

Yep. I added an aside box talking about some of the downsides of doing this.

👍 8 ➡ Reply



hungryhedgehog
🕒 July 30, 2024 11:31 am PDT

is this pretty much how some things in games are made? with 'cat.speak()' having an audio file play or something? instead of it being printed to console

👍 0 ➡ Reply



Alex Author
➡ Reply to [hungryhedgehog](#) ¹¹ 🕒 July 30, 2024 6:54 pm PDT

Yes.

👍 4 ➡ Reply



hungryhedgehog
➡ Reply to [Alex](#) ¹² 🕒 July 31, 2024 9:20 am PDT

thank you :)

👍 0 ➡ Reply



rafal
🕒 July 16, 2024 7:19 am PDT

For quiz 2 I'd add reason that we create instance of m_speak for each Animal instance which is waste of RAM. Second says about adding more members so it's not the same.

👍 1 ➡ Reply



rafal

🕒 July 16, 2024 5:18 am PDT

I got confused at `rBase` as

"It turns out that because `rBase` and `pBase` are a Base reference and pointer, [...]"

`rBase`, reference I assumed it's rvalue reference (it's name starts with "r") which it isn't so I propose to change it to `refBase` so it's obviously reference shorthand or mention that it's lvalue reference to avoid confusion.

👍 2 ➡ Reply



Alex

Author

🔄 Reply to rafal¹³ 🕒 July 17, 2024 7:39 pm PDT

Added a comment at the first use of `rBase` to make this more obvious.

👍 2 ➡ Reply



abdel

🕒 April 4, 2024 4:03 am PDT

Hello Alex,

In this part "Use for pointers and references to base classes". The behavior of this function `void report(const Animal& rAnimal);` can also be achieved using templates and we won't have the issue of calling the right version of `speak()` for every derived class. So I'm wondering in this case, in terms of performance, would it be better to use templates or virtual functions ?
thanks in advance.

👍 0 ➡ Reply



Alex

Author

🔄 Reply to abdel¹⁴ 🕒 April 4, 2024 2:07 pm PDT

All other things equal, I'd expect templates to be slightly more performant at runtime since there's less runtime indirection happening. But performance isn't what you should be considering here -- you should be thinking about what the best tool for the job is.

We normally use templates when we need function overloads that can work with non-hierarchical types, and virtual functions when we need function overloads that can work with a hierarchy of types (classes using inheritance).

👍 2 ➡ Reply



iosefka

🕒 March 8, 2024 9:51 am PST

for some reason I cannot get this line to work:

```
const auto animals{ std::to_array<const Animal*>({ &fred, &garbo, &misty, &pooky,
&truffle, &zeke }) };
```

the error says there is no matching overload for std::to_array.

but if I do this it works:

```
1  const Cat fred{ "Fred" };
2  const Cat misty{ "Misty" };
3  const Cat zeke{ "Zeke" };
4
5  const Dog garbo{ "Garbo" };
6  const Dog pooky{ "Pooky" };
7  const Dog truffle{ "Truffle" };
8
9  const Animal* cat1{ &fred };
10 const Animal* cat2{ &misty };
11 const Animal* cat3{ &zeke };
12 const Animal* dog1{ &garbo };
13 const Animal* dog2{ &pooky };
14 const Animal* dog3{ &truffle };
15
16 const auto animals{ std::to_array<const Animal*>({ cat1, cat2, cat3, dog1, dog2,
dog3}) };
```

So for some reason it can't deduce the Animal part of the Cat and Dog objects? Could it be a compiler difference? I'm using Visual Studio and have it set to C++20

👍 0 ➡ Reply



Alex

Author

👤 Reply to iosefka¹⁵ 🕒 March 11, 2024 5:12 pm PDT

Weird, I am able to compile it using VS Community / C++20. Maybe try updating your Visual Studio?

👍 0 ➡ Reply



Dongbin

🕒 March 8, 2024 6:02 am PST

In the previous chapter, we learned that we can `static_cast` object to a different program-defined base/parent class. Can we also cast reference and pointer to an object to a different program-defined class?

👍 0 ➡ Reply



Alex

Author

👤 Reply to Dongbin¹⁶ 🕒 March 11, 2024 11:49 am PDT

Only if it's a base/parent class. Otherwise, you want need to use reinterpret_cast.



Erik

🕒 February 26, 2024 6:50 am PST

I guess there is a fourth reason why you don't want `m_speak` in the `Animal` class: if you add an non-speaking animal. Well, that sounds a bit weird now actually, but an `Animal` that doesn't make a noise ;)

But you can still get the `m_speak` value for this silent animal, so you have to check if it's null, but if it's an int for legs for example, you have to set it negative and check for that? And so on...

I bet there is a awesome solution around the corner as always ;)

Anyway, still enjoying the lessons :)

👍 0 ➡ Reply



Pepijn Kramer

🕒 November 24, 2023 10:31 pm PST

Instead of showing `new/delete`, show `std::make_unique` and `std::unique_ptr`

👍 0 ➡ Reply

Links

1. <https://www.learncpp.com/author/Alex/>
2. <https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/113-order-of-construction-of-derived-classes/>
3. `javascript:void(0)`
4. <https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/virtual-functions/>
5. <https://www.learncpp.com/>
6. <https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/chapter-24-summary-and-quiz/>
7. <https://www.learncpp.com/pointers-and-references-to-the-base-class-of-derived-objects/>
8. <https://www.learncpp.com/breaktime/break-time-saint-petersburg/>
9. <https://gravatar.com/>
10. <https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/pointers-and-references-to-the-base-class-of-derived-objects/#comment-604412>
11. <https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/pointers-and-references-to-the-base-class-of-derived-objects/#comment-600276>
12. <https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/pointers-and-references-to-the-base-class-of-derived-objects/#comment-600311>
13. <https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/pointers-and-references-to-the-base-class-of-derived-objects/#comment-599650>

14. <https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/pointers-and-references-to-the-base-class-of-derived-objects/#comment-595422>
15. <https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/pointers-and-references-to-the-base-class-of-derived-objects/#comment-594446>
16. <https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/pointers-and-references-to-the-base-class-of-derived-objects/#comment-594440>
17. <https://g.ezoic.net/privacy/learncpp.com>