COMS12200 lab. worksheet: week #7

Although some questions have a written solutions below, for others it makes more sense to experiment in a hands-on manner: the Logisim project

http://www.cs.bris.ac.uk/home/page/teaching/material/arch_new/sheet/lab-7.s.circ

supports such cases.

8 return t

S2.

```
Input: Two unsigned, n-bit, base-2 integers x and y
Output: An unsigned, 2n-bit, base-2 integer r = y \cdot x

1 t \leftarrow 0
2 for i = n - 1 downto 0 step -1 do

3  | t \leftarrow 2 \cdot t |
4 if y_i = 1 then

5  | t \leftarrow t + x |
6 end

7 end
```

Algorithm 1: An algorithm for left-to-right, bit-serial multiplication.

- a Per the description in the lecture(s), the combinatorial design is relatively simple: although it potentially needs a *lot* of logic (for larger *n*), it is combinatorial meaning a) has a lower latency, and b) is simpler to implement and test. The implementation directly mirrors the design on paper, in that it consists of three layers:
 - The left layer is comprised of n groups of n AND gates: the i-th group computes $x_j \wedge y_i$ for $0 \le j < n$, meaning it outputs either 0 if $y_i = 0$ or x if $y_i = 1$.
 - The middle layer is comprised of n left-shift components. The i-th component shifts by a fixed distance of i bits, meaning the output is either 0 if $y_i = 0$, or $x \cdot 2^i$ if $y_i = 1$.
 - The right layer is a balanced, binary tree of adder components: these accumulate the partial products resulting from the middle layer, meaning the output is

$$r = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i \cdot x \cdot 2^i = y \cdot x$$

as required.

Imagine we want to compute $r = x \cdot y$ for $x = 8_{(10)}$ and $y = 14_{(10)} = 00001110_{(2)}$. Abusing notation a little wrt. AND, we find that the first two layers combine to compute

```
= (x \wedge y_0) \ll 0 = (00001000_{(2)} \wedge 00000000_{(2)}) \ll 0 =
                                                                             00000000_{(2)}
    = (x \wedge y_1) \ll 1 = (00001000_{(2)} \wedge 111111111_{(2)}) \ll 1 =
                                                                             00010000_{(2)}
                                                                             00100000_{(2)}
    = (x \land y_2) \ll 2 = (00001000_{(2)} \land 111111111_{(2)}) \ll 2 =
p_3 = (x \wedge y_3) \ll 3 = (00001000_{(2)} \wedge 111111111_{(2)}) \ll 3 =
                                                                             01000000_{(2)}
    = (x \land y_4) \ll 4 = (00001000_{(2)} \land 00000000_{(2)}) \ll 4 =
                                                                             00000000_{(2)}
    = (x \land y_5) \ll 5 = (00001000_{(2)} \land 00000000_{(2)}) \ll 5
                                                                             00000000_{(2)}
    = (x \land y_6) \ll 6 = (00001000_{(2)} \land 00000000_{(2)}) \ll 6 =
                                                                             00000000_{(2)}
                                                                             00000000_{(2)}
    = (x \wedge y_7) \ll 7 =
                               (00001000_{(2)} \land 00000000_{(2)}) \ll 7
```

after which the final layer computes (with parentheses denoting the adder instances)

```
 r = ((p_0 + p_1) + (p_2 + p_3)) + ((p_4 + p_5) + (p_6 + p_7)) 
 = ((00000000_{(2)} + 00010000_{(2)}) + (00000000_{(2)} + 00000000_{(2)})) + ((00000000_{(2)} + 00000000_{(2)}) + (00000000_{(2)} + 00000000_{(2)})) 
 = ((0_{(10)} + 16_{(10)}) + (32_{(10)} + 64_{(10)})) + ((0_{(10)} + 0_{(10)}) + (0_{(10)} + 0_{(10)})) 
 = 112_{(10)}
```

Algorithm 1 is for left-to-right bit-serial multiplication; note that it processes bits within the multiplier y from most- to least-significant. This is essentially the algorithm we need to implement. The counter component from the previous question was developed in such a way that it can control the loop. Since the loop iterates downward in this case, it seems we may need to alter the counter. It is of course possible to do so, but the only place we use i in the i-th iteration is to inspect the y_i : provided we inspect the correct y_i in each iteration and perform n iterations overall, we can actually leave the counter as it is.

This is achieved by redefining the problem: instead of inspecting y_i based on i, we instead move the i-th bit of y into a fixed index. More concretely we update y via $y' \leftarrow y \ll 1$, i.e., left-shift it, after each iteration; this means we can just inspect the 7-th bit of y in *every* iteration, because in each successive i-th iteration it will hold the i-th bit.

Overall, and in addition to the counter, we need a data-path that includes

• combinatorial logic to compute

$$-t' \leftarrow 2 \cdot t + y_i \cdot x, \text{ and}$$
$$-y' \leftarrow y \ll 1,$$

• a pair of input and output registers to store *t* and *y*, plus an input register to store *x* (which is not updated, so requires no output register).

Crucially, as discussed in the lecture(s),

- $2 \cdot t$ can be realised using a left-shift (i.e., $2 \cdot t \equiv t \ll 1$) and
- $y_i \cdot x$ can be realised using a multiplexer (since $y_i \in \{0, 1\}, y_i \cdot x$ evaluates to 0 or x).

Having first implemented said data-path and connected it to the control-path (i.e., the counter), imagine we want to compute $r = x \cdot y$ for $x = 8_{(10)}$ and $y = 14_{(10)} = 00001110_{(2)}$. We initiate the computation by first setting x and y, then req = 1 to signal a request. The multiplier steps through the following

i	t	y	<i>y</i> ₇	t'	<i>y'</i>	
	0					
0	0	$00001110_{(2)}$	0	0	$0001110_{(2)}$	$t' \leftarrow 2 \cdot t$
1	0	$00011100_{(2)}$	0	0	$0011100_{(2)}$	$t' \leftarrow 2 \cdot t$
2	0	$00111000_{(2)}$	0	0	$0111000_{(2)}$	$t' \leftarrow 2 \cdot t$
3	0	$01110000_{(2)}$	0	0	$1110000_{(2)}$	$t' \leftarrow 2 \cdot t$
4	0	11100000 ₍₂₎	1	8	$1100000_{(2)}$	$t' \leftarrow 2 \cdot t + x$
5	8	$11000000_{(2)}$	1	24	$1000000_{(2)}$	$t' \leftarrow 2 \cdot t + x$
6	24	$10000000_{(2)}$	1	56	$0000000_{(2)}$	$t' \leftarrow 2 \cdot t + x$
7	56	$00000000_{(2)}$	0	112	$0000000_{(2)}$	$t' \leftarrow 2 \cdot t$
	112	. ,				

at which point it sets ack = 1 to signal computation is complete; once we have the result $r = 112_{(10)}$, we can set req = 1 at which point the multiplier sets ack = 0 and is ready for any subsequent computation.