

COMS22202: 2015/16

Language Engineering

Dr Oliver Ray
(csxor@Bristol.ac.uk)

Department of Computer Science
University of Bristol

Thursday 21st April, 2016

Natural Operational Semantics p19-32

- Natural operational semantics (aka **big step** semantics) is somewhere in between the structural and denotational semantics
- It specifies axiom and rule schemata that relate configurations directly to their completed semantic values
- For convenience we use a different arrow (\rightarrow) to distinguish the natural semantics from the operational semantics (\Rightarrow) exactly as done in the textbook

Natural Semantics: Arithmetics

- Using our subscript notation, the semantics of numerals is easy

$$\frac{}{\langle n_i, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow i}$$

- And variables evaluate to integers (with no numerals required)

$$\frac{}{\langle v, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma(v)}$$

- The semantics of the arithmetic operators are easier than in the structural semantics since we only require the end result

$$\frac{\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow i \quad \langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow j}{\langle a + b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow i+j}$$

- In these rules $\sigma \in \text{State}$ $n_i \in \text{Num}$ $v \in \text{Var}$ $a, b \in \text{Aexp}$
- Analogous rules apply to the other arithmetic operators

Example: Arithmetics

- Suppose σ_{12} is a state that maps x to 1 and y to 2
- Then we have $\langle (x+5)+y, \sigma_{12} \rangle \rightarrow 8$ by the following proof tree

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\langle x, \sigma_{12} \rangle \rightarrow 1} \quad \frac{}{\langle 5, \sigma_{12} \rangle \rightarrow 5} \\
 \hline
 \langle x+5, \sigma_{12} \rangle \rightarrow 6 \qquad \frac{}{\langle y, \sigma_{12} \rangle \rightarrow 2} \\
 \hline
 \langle (x+5)+y, \sigma_{12} \rangle \rightarrow 8
 \end{array}$$

Natural Semantics: Booleans

- The rules for Booleans are similarly defined with two base cases

$$\frac{}{\langle \text{true}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{tt}}$$

$$\frac{}{\langle \text{false}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{ff}}$$

- There are two cases for inequality (and analogous rules for equality)

$$\frac{\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow i \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow j \quad \text{if } i \leq j}{\langle a \leq c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{tt}}$$

$$\frac{\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow i \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow j \quad \text{if } i > j}{\langle a \leq c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{ff}}$$

- Two cases for negation

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{ff}}{\langle \neg b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{tt}}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{tt}}{\langle \neg b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{ff}}$$

- It is convenient to define three cases for conjunction

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{tt} \quad \langle d, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{tt}}{\langle b \wedge d, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{tt}}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{ff}}{\langle b \wedge d, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{ff}}$$

$$\frac{\langle d, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{ff}}{\langle b \wedge d, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{ff}}$$

- In these rules $\sigma \in \text{State}$ $b, d \in \text{Bexp}$ $a, c \in \text{Aexp}$

Example: Booleans

- Suppose σ_{12} is a state that maps x to 1 and y to 2
- Then we have $\langle \neg(x \leq 5), \sigma_{12} \rangle \rightarrow \text{ff}$ by the following proof tree

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\langle x, \sigma_{12} \rangle \rightarrow 1} \quad \frac{}{\langle 5, \sigma_{12} \rangle \rightarrow 5}}{\langle x \leq 5, \sigma_{12} \rangle \rightarrow \text{tt}}}{\langle \neg(x \leq 5), \sigma_{12} \rangle \rightarrow \text{ff}}$$

Natural Semantics: Commands p20

$$\frac{}{\langle \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma}$$

$$\frac{\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow i}{\langle x := a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto i]}$$

$$\frac{\langle S_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle S_2, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\langle S_1 ; S_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{tt} \quad \langle S_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{ff} \quad \langle S_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{tt} \quad \langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{ff}}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma}$$

Example: Commands cf. ex 2.1 p22-3

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\langle x, \sigma_{570} \rangle \rightarrow 5} \qquad \frac{}{\langle y, \sigma_{575} \rangle \rightarrow 7} \\ \frac{}{\langle z:=x, \sigma_{570} \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_{575}} \qquad \frac{}{\langle x:=y, \sigma_{575} \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_{775}} \qquad \frac{}{\langle z, \sigma_{775} \rangle \rightarrow 5} \\ \frac{}{\langle z:=x ; x:=y, \sigma_{570} \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_{775}} \qquad \frac{}{\langle y:=z, \sigma_{775} \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_{755}} \\ \frac{}{\langle (z:=x ; x:=y) ; y:=z, \sigma_{570} \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_{755}} \end{array}$$

Termination and Looping p25

- The execution of statement S in state σ *terminates* iff there exists a state σ' such that $\langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$
- The execution of statement S in state σ *loops* iff there exists no state σ' such that $\langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$
- A statement S always *terminates* iff its execution terminates in all states σ
- A statement S always *loops* iff its execution loops in all states σ

Determinism and Equivalence p26-8

- A natural semantics is deterministic iff $\langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$ and $\langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''$ imply that $\sigma' = \sigma''$ for all $\sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \in \text{State}$ and for all $S \in \text{Stm}$
- Two statements S_1 and S_2 are *semantically equivalent* (under the natural operational semantics) whenever it holds that
 - $\langle S_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$ iff $\langle S_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$ for all $\sigma, \sigma' \in \text{State}$