

Generalized Radon transforms and mathematical economics

Alexey Agaltsov

agaltsov@cmap.polytechnique.fr

last update: May 12, 2017

The generalized Houthakker–Johansen model

- The first microfounded model of production goes back to (Houthakker, 1955), (Johansen, 1972)
- It was fruitfully applied to study of macroeconomic processes (Petrov, Pospelov, Shananin); e.g. impact of technological innovation on production (Johansen, 1972)
- Globalization led to qualitative changes in production processes (increase in substitutability of factors), which are out of scope of the classical model
- A natural generalization was proposed in (Sato, 1975), (Shananin, 1997), which allows to overcome this problem

The generalized Houthakker–Johansen model

- Technologies are parametrized by vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$
- Each technology x has a capacity $f(x) \geq 0$ (number of production units using this technology)
- Technologies are described by the unit cost of production $q_p(x) = q(p_1x_1, \dots, p_nx_n)$ (here p are the prices of resources)
- The maximal possible profit for the industry is given by the *profit function* $(\Pi_q f)(p_0, p)$ (p_0 the price of the final product):

$$(\Pi_q f)(p_0, p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n} \max\{0, p_0 - q_p(x)\} f(x) dx$$

- Neoclassical n -input, 1-output technologies $\mathcal{Q}(n)$: smooth, 1-homogeneous $q: \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with bounded level sets
- Action of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ on $\mathcal{Q}(n)$, $(p, q) \mapsto q_p$:

$$q_p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = q(p_1 x_1, \dots, p_n x_n)$$

- Partial composition $\circ_i: \mathcal{Q}(m) \times \mathcal{Q}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(m+n-1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (f \circ_i g)(x_1, \dots, x_{m+n-1}) \\ &= f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, g(x_i, \dots, x_{i+m-1}), x_{i+m}, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}(n)$, $h: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

- The generalized Radon transform:

$$(R_q f)(p) = \int_{q_p^{-1}(1)} f(x) \frac{dS_x}{|\nabla q_p(x)|}$$

- Radon-type integral operators:

$$(R_q^h f)(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n} h(q_p(x)) f(x) dx$$

- The profit function:

$$(\Pi_q f)(p_0, p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n} \max\{0, p_0 - q_p(x)\} f(x) dx$$

Characterization. Determine the scope of the model. When a given profit function Π of an industry can be constructed using our framework, i.e. $\Pi = \Pi_q f$ for some q, f ?

Uniqueness. If $\Pi = \Pi_q f$, when such a representation is unique?

Inversion. If $\Pi = \Pi_q f$ for unique q and f , how to find q and f ?

Identification. Given trade statistics, how to identify compatible q and f ?

- Weighted spaces $L_c^r(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n)$ with finite norms

$$\|f\|_{r,c} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n} |f(x)|^r x^{rc-l} dx \right)^{1/r}, \quad 1 \leq r < \infty,$$

$$\|f\|_{\infty,c} = \inf \{K \geq 0 : |f(x)x^c| \leq K \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n\},$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$, $l = (1, \dots, 1)$

- R_q, R_q^h, Π_q are continuous from $L_{l-c}^r(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n)$ to $L_c^r(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n)$
- The Mellin transform

$$(Mf)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n} x^{z-l} f(x) dx, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

is isometric from $L_c^2(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n)$ to $L^2(\Re z = c)$

Question U. If $\Pi = \Pi_q f$, when such a representation is unique?

Let $S, H \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$. We say that

- S is 1-meagre in H iff $S \cap H$ is nowhere dense in H ;
- S is 2-meagre in H iff $S \cap H$ has measure zero in H ;
- S is ∞ -meagre in H iff $S \cap H = \emptyset$.

Theorem (A, Inverse Problems 2016)

Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}(n)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$, $r \in \{1, 2, \infty\}$. The following statements are equivalent:

- Π_q is injective in $L_{I-c}^r(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n)$.
- R_q is injective in $L_{I-c}^r(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n)$.
- The nullset of Me^{-q} is r -meagre in the plane $\Re z = c$.

- Recall that $(R_q f)(p) = \int_{q_p(x)=1} f(x) \frac{dS_x}{|\nabla q_p(x)|}$
- If $f(x) = f_1(q_{p_1}(x)) + \cdots + f_N(q_{p_N}(x))$, then Π_q is injective:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^\infty \overline{f_k(t)} t^{-1} (R_q f)\left(\frac{p_k}{t}\right) dt.$$

- Generalizes to infinite sums. When functions of the form $\varphi(q_p(x))$ with varying φ and p span $L^1_{I-c}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n)$, $L^2_{I-c}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n)$?

Question. When functions of the form $\varphi(q_p(\cdot))$ with varying φ, p span $L^1_{I-c}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$, $L^2_{I-c}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$?

↓ Wiener Tauberian theorems: translates $\phi(\cdot - a)$ of $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ span $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ iff $\mathcal{F}\phi(\xi) \neq 0 \forall \xi$. Similar in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

↓ Define $E_c: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ by $(E_c f)(y) = e^{cy} f(e^y)$. Then:

E_c is an isometry from $L^r_c(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$ to $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

E_c maps the Mellin transform to the Fourier transform

E_c maps the action $(p, q) \rightarrow q_p$ to the additive translation

Conclusion. Functions of the form $\varphi(q_p(\cdot))$ with varying φ, p span $L^1_{I-c}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$ iff $Me^{-q}(z) \neq 0$ for $\Re z = c$. Similar in $L^2_{I-c}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$.

- A CES function is a function of the form

$$q(x) = C(a_1 x_1^\alpha + \cdots + a_n x_n^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},$$

where $C > 0$, $a_j \geq 0$, $a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 1$ (and here $\alpha \in (0, 1]$) (Arrow, Solow et al, 1961)

- A nested CES function is obtained from CES functions using finite compositions (Sato, 1967). It allows to take into account such effects as *capital-skill complementarity* (Griliches, 1969)

Theorem (A, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.02014>)

If q is a nested CES, then Π_q injective in L^r_{1-c} , $r \in \{1, 2, \infty\}$.

Question. How the injectivity behaves with respect to composition \circ_i of technologies? Define $\widehat{f}(z) = Me^{-f}(z)/\Gamma(\Sigma(z))$.

↓ Π_f is injective in $L^2_{I-c}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$ iff $\widehat{f}(z) \neq 0$ for $\Re z = c$ a.e.

↓ One can show that $\widehat{f \circ_i g}(z \circ_i w) = \widehat{f}(z \circ_i \Sigma(w))\widehat{g}(w)$

↓ One can show that $\widehat{q}(z) = \frac{a^{-z/\alpha}\Gamma(\Sigma(z))B(z/\alpha)}{\alpha^{n-1}C^{\Sigma(z)}}$ for CES q

Conclusion. Injectivity propagates.

Question E. Determine the scope of the model. When a given profit function Π of an industry can be constructed using our framework, i.e. $\Pi = \Pi_q f$ for some q , f ?

Question U. If $\Pi = \Pi_q f$, when such a representation is unique?

$\Rightarrow f$ is uniquely determined by q iff Me^{-q} has no zeros

[A, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.02014>]

Question I. If $\Pi = \Pi_q f$ for unique q and f , how to find q and f ?

Question. How to decide whether Π is in image of Π_q ?

↓ We know that $\Pi_q = R_q^{h''}$, $h''(t) = \max\{0, 1 - t\}$.

Question'. How to decide whether F is in image of $R_q^{h''}$?

↓ We know the answer for the Laplace transform $R_{q'}^{h'}$, where
 $h'(t) = e^{-t}$, $q'(x) = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$

Theorem (S. Bernstein, V. Hilbert, S. Bochner)

Let $F \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n}$. Then $F = R_{q'}^{h'}\mu$ for some finite Borel $\mu \geq 0$ iff F is completely monotone, i.e. F is smooth with non-negative even derivatives and non-positive odd derivatives.

Question''. How to relate the image of $R_q^{h''}$ to the image of $R_{q'}^{h'}$?

Question''. How to relate the image of $R_q^{h''}$ to the image of $R_{q'}^{h'}$?

- A remarkable property

$$M(R_q^{h''} F) = \Gamma^{-1} \cdot MF \cdot Me^{-q} \cdot Mh'',$$

$$M(R_{q'}^{h'} F) = \Gamma^{-1} \cdot MF \cdot Me^{-q'} \cdot Mh'$$

- It implies

$$R_{q'}^{h'} F = M^{-1} \frac{Me^{-q'} \cdot Mh'}{Me^{-q} \cdot Mh''} M(R_q^{h'} F)$$

- Explicit formulas:

$$Me^{-q'}(z) = \Gamma(z_1) \cdots \Gamma(z_n),$$

$$Mh'(s) = \Gamma(s),$$

$$Mh''(s) = \frac{1}{s(s+1)}.$$

Set $T_q = M^{-1}\rho_q M$, where $\rho_q(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z_1+\dots+z_n+2)\Gamma(z_1)\dots\Gamma(z_n)}{Me^{-q}(z)}$.

Denote $\mathcal{Q}_c^{\text{reg}}(n) = \{q \in \mathcal{Q}(n): \rho_q \in L^2 \cup L^\infty(\Re z = c)\}$

Theorem (A, Func. Ann. App. 2015)

Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}_c^{\text{reg}}(n)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$. Then $\Pi \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is of the form $\Pi = \Pi_q \mu$ with Borel $\mu \geq 0$ such that $\int x^{-c} d\mu < \infty$ iff

$\Pi \in L_c^2(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n)$, $T_q \Pi \in L_c^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n)$, $T_q \Pi$ is completely monotone

Question E. Determine the scope of the model. When a given profit function Π of an industry can be constructed using our framework, i.e. $\Pi = \Pi_q f$ for some q, f ?

$\Rightarrow \Pi = \Pi_q f$ for some f iff $T_q \Pi$ is completely monotone, where T_q is a Mellin multiplier given by an explicit formula
[A, Funk. Anal. Appl. 2015]

Question U. If $\Pi = \Pi_q f$, when such a representation is unique?

$\Rightarrow f$ is uniquely determined by q iff Me^{-q} has no zeros
[A, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.02014>]

Question I. If $\Pi = \Pi_q f$ for some q and f , how to find q and f ?

Question. If $\Pi = \Pi_q f$ and q is known, how to find f ?

- A remarkable property:

$$M(\Pi_q f) = \Gamma^{-1} \cdot M e^{-q} \cdot M f$$

- N -smooth functions $C_c^{N,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n)$, $N, \sigma > n$, with finite norm

$$\|f\|_{C_c^{N,\sigma}} = \sup_{|\alpha| \leq N, y \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |y|)^{\frac{\sigma}{n}} \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} u}{\partial y^\alpha} \right|, \quad u(y) = e^{cy} f(e^y)$$

Theorem (A, Proc. of MIPT 2014)

Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}(n)$, $M e^{-q}(z) \neq 0$ for $\Re z = c$ a.e., $f \in C_{l-c}^{N,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n)$, $\Pi = \Pi_q f$. Set $s = z_1 + \dots + z_n$. Then $f = f_R + f_R^{err}$, where

$$f_R(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{c+iB_R} \frac{x^{z-l} \Gamma(s+2)}{(M e^{-q})(z)} \cdot (M \Pi)(z) dz,$$

$$\|f_R^{err}\|_{C_{l-c}^{N,\sigma}} \leq C(n, N, \sigma) \|f\|_{C_{l-c}^{N,\sigma}} R^{n-N}.$$

Question E. Determine the scope of the model. When a given profit function Π of an industry can be constructed using our framework, i.e. $\Pi = \Pi_q f$ for some q, f ?

$\Rightarrow \Pi = \Pi_q f$ for some f iff $T_q \Pi$ is completely monotone, where T_q is a Mellin multiplier given by an explicit formula
[A, Funk. Anal. Appl. 2015]

Question U. If $\Pi = \Pi_q f$, when such a representation is unique?

$\Rightarrow f$ is uniquely determined by q iff Me^{-q} has no zeros
[A, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.02014>]

Question I. If $\Pi = \Pi_q f$ for some q and f , how to find q and f ?

\Rightarrow explicit inversion formula
[A, Proceedings of MIPT 2014]

The class $\mathcal{Q}_{CES}(n)$ of CES technologies

$$q(x) = C(a_1 x_1^\alpha + \cdots + a_n x_n^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},$$

where $C > 0$, $a_j \geq 0$, $a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 1$ (and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$).

- \Rightarrow If $\Pi_{q_1} f_1 = \Pi_{q_2} f_2$, $q_1 \neq q_2$ are $\mathcal{Q}_{CES}(n)$, and $f_1, f_2 \geq 0$ decay fast, then $f_1 = f_2 = 0$. Otherwise, there are counter-examples [\[A, Proceedings of MIPT 2014\]](#)
- \Rightarrow There is a more simple characterization [\[A, Proceedings of MIPT 2013\]](#)

- [1] A. Agaltsov
Characterization and inversion theorems for a generalized Radon transform
Proceedings of MIPT 5 (4), 2013, 48–61
- [2] A. Agaltsov
Inversion and uniqueness theorems for Radon-type integral operators
Proceedings of MIPT 6 (2), 2014, 3–14
- [3] A. Agaltsov
A characterization theorem for a generalized Radon transform arising in a model of mathematical economics
Funk. Anal. Appl. 49 (3), 2015, 201–204
- [4] A. Agaltsov
On the injectivity of a generalized Radon transform arising in a model of mathematical economics
Inverse Problems 32 (11), 2016, 115022-1-17
- [5] A. Agaltsov, E. Molchanov, A. Shaninin
Inverse problems in models of resource distribution
Journal of Geometric Analysis, 2017, doi:10.1007/s12220-017-9840-1