

# A Short Introduction to Conformal Prediction

Adrian Galdran, MSC Research Fellow CVC Barcelona, Spain | Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain





# Contents

- 1. Introduction: Uncertainty Formats
- 2. Conformal Predictions: Vocabulary
- 3. A Simple Algorithm for Conformal Prediction
- 4. Concluding Remarks and Further Study

#### 1. Introduction: Uncertainty Formats

Note: Let us think of classification for simplicity today

Up to now, we have seen lots of alternatives to model uncertainty:

- Leave Dropout turned on in test time.
- Multiple forward passes with ≠ data augmentations.
- Train several independent models, or using data subsets.
- Collect model snapshots during training.
- ...

But, after choosing one, how do we convey uncertainty info to a user?



#### 1. Introduction: Uncertainty Formats

#### We could have:

- A stochastic mechanism that, when sampled, returns different solutions, matching reality (a.k.a. Posterior Distribution)

  Let the user sample the mechanism, or return mean +/ variance
- A well-calibrated model
   Just return its output = "confidence"
- What about returning a subset of likely correct categories?



#### 2. Conformal Prediction: Vocabulary

Suppose we have a K-class classifier M, a training set  $(X_{train}, Y_{train})$  and a test set  $(X_{test}, Y_{test})$ ; a sample is  $(x_{train}, y_{train})$ ,  $y_{train} \in \{1, ..., K\}$ . After training, we have  $M(x_{test}) = m = (m_1, m_2, ..., m_K)$ ,  $\hat{y}_{test} = argmax(m)$ .

#### Some terms you need to know:

- Non-Conformity Score
- Prediction Set
- Coverage: Marginal vs Conditional, Coverage Guarantees
- . . .



#### 1. Conformal Prediction: Vocabulary

Suppose we have a K-class classifier M, a training set  $(X_{train}, Y_{train})$  and a test set  $(X_{test}, Y_{test})$ ; a sample is  $(x_{train}, y_{train})$ ,  $y_{train} \in \{1, ..., K\}$ . After training, we have  $M(x_{test}) = m = (m_1, m_2, ..., m_K)$ ,  $\hat{y}_{test} = argmax(m)$ .

#### **Goal Today:**

Non-Conformity Score: How uncertain is the model about  $x_{test}$  belonging to class k? The simplest answer is  $S_k = 1 - c_k$ .

**Prediction Set:** A subset of  $\{1,...,K\}$ :  $C=\{1\}$ ,  $C=\{1,...,K\}$ ,  $C=\emptyset$ , ...

Coverage: Probability that the true category  $y_{test}$  is in  $C(x_{test})$ . MICC



Given a Score, how do we build Prediction Sets that have a user-specified coverage?

Given  $S=(1-c_1, 1-c_2, ..., 1-c_K)$ , select a threshold t, return  $c_k$  if  $s_k \le t$ .

How do we choose *t*?

Answer: Build a separate dataset  $(X_{val}, Y_{val})$ . Ask yourself what level of coverage you want. Find t s.t. you get that level. Use that threshold to build prediction sets on the test set.

Let us build a MWE. We have a 3-category classification task, a trained classifier, a validation set, and we want 80% coverage.

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{1}
ight)=\left(0,0,1
ight)$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{2}
ight)=\left(0.1,0.2,0.7
ight)$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{\,3}
ight)=\left(0.2,0.3,0.5
ight)$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^4
ight)=(0.4,0.4,0.2)$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{5}
ight)=\left(0.7,0.3,0
ight)$$

$$y_1=y_2=y_3=y_4=y_5\,=\,3$$



Let us build a MWE. We have a 3-category classification task, a trained classifier, a validation set, and we want 80% coverage.

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{\,1}
ight)=\left(0,0, extbf{1}
ight) \qquad \Rightarrow S_{1}= extbf{0}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{2}
ight)=\left(0.1,0.2, extstyle{0.7}
ight)$$
  $\Rightarrow~S_{2}= extstyle{0.3}$ 

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{\it 3}
ight)=\left(0.2,0.3, extstyle{0.5}
ight) \Rightarrow \, S_3= extstyle{0.5}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^4
ight) = (0.4, 0.4, extstyle{0.2}) \Rightarrow \, S_4 = extstyle{0.8}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{5}
ight)=\left(0.7,0.3, extstyle{0}
ight) \;\;\Rightarrow\; S_{5}= extstyle{1}$$

$$y_1=y_2=y_3=y_4=y_5\,=\,3$$



Let us build a MWE. We have a 3-category classification task, a trained classifier, a validation set, and we want 80% coverage.

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{\,1}
ight)=\left(0,0, extbf{1}
ight) \qquad \Rightarrow S_{1}= extbf{0}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{2}
ight)=\left(0.1,0.2, extstyle{0.7}
ight)$$
  $\Rightarrow~S_{2}= extstyle{0.3}$ 

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{eta}
ight)=\left(0.2,0.3, extstyle{0.5}
ight) \Rightarrow \, S_3= extstyle{0.5}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^4
ight) = (0.4, 0.4, extstyle{0.2}) \Rightarrow \, S_4 = extstyle{0.8}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{5}
ight)=\left(0.7,0.3, extstyle{0}
ight) \;\;\Rightarrow\; S_{5}= extstyle{1}$$

80% below, 20% above. Another name for this is 0.80 quantile.

Return a class k when 1-c. < q

Find value q that splits  $\{S_i\}$  into

Return a class k when  $1-c_k < q_{80}$ , then you will return 80% of the times the correct class.



$$y_1=y_2=y_3=y_4=y_5\,=\,3$$

Let us build a MWE. We have a 3-category classification task, a trained classifier, a validation set, and we want 80% coverage.

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{1}
ight)=\left(0,0, extbf{1}
ight) \qquad \Rightarrow S_{1}= extbf{0}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{\,2}
ight)=\left(0.1,0.2, extstyle{0.7}
ight) \Rightarrow \,S_{2}= extstyle{0.3}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{eta}
ight)=\left(0.2,0.3, extstyle{0.5}
ight) \Rightarrow \, S_{3}= extstyle{0.5}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^4
ight) = (0.4, 0.4, extstyle{0.2}) \Rightarrow \, S_4 = extstyle{0.8}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{5}
ight)=\left(0.7,0.3, extstyle{0}
ight) \;\;\Rightarrow\; S_{5}= extstyle{1}$$

$$y_1=y_2=y_3=y_4=y_5\,=\,3$$

Find value q that splits  $\{S_i\}$  into 80% below, 20% above. Another name for this is 0.80 quantile.

Return a class k when  $1-c_k < q_{80}$ , then you will return 80% of the times the correct class.



$$q_{80} = 0.9$$
 $0 \quad 0.3 \quad 0.5 \quad 0.8 \quad 1$ 

Let us build a MWE. We have a 3-category classification task, a trained classifier, a validation set, and we want 80% coverage.

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{1}
ight)=\left(0,0,1
ight) \qquad \Rightarrow S_{1}= extbf{0} \qquad \Rightarrow C\left(x_{1}
ight)=\left\{ extbf{3}
ight\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{2}
ight)=\left(0.1, rac{0.2}{\stackrel{>}{>} 0.1}, rac{0.7}{\stackrel{>}{>} 0.1}
ight) \Rightarrow \, S_{2}= extbf{0.3} \qquad \Rightarrow \, C\left(x_{2}
ight)=\left\{2, rac{3}{3}
ight\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{\beta}
ight) = (\underbrace{0.2}_{> ext{0.1}}, \underbrace{0.5}_{> ext{0.1}}) \Rightarrow S_3 = ext{0.5} \qquad \Rightarrow C\left(x_3
ight) = \{1, 2, ext{3}\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^4
ight) = (\underbrace{0.4}_{0.1}, \underbrace{0.4}_{0.1}, \underbrace{0.2}_{0.1}) \Rightarrow S_4 = \underbrace{0.8} \qquad \Rightarrow C\left(x_4
ight) = \{1, 2, 3\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}\left(x_{val}^{5}
ight) = \left(\underbrace{0.7}_{201}, \underbrace{0.3}_{201}, \overset{ extbf{0}}{ extbf{0}}
ight) \;\; \Rightarrow \; S_{5} = 1 \qquad \;\; \Rightarrow \; C\left(x_{5}
ight) = \left\{1, 2
ight\}$$

$$y_1=y_2=y_3=y_4=y_5\,=\,3$$
 Return a class k wh

Return a class k when  $1-c_1 < 0.9$ 

#### 4. Concluding Remarks and Further Study

Left out lots of details! For example, if we choose  $q_{1-\alpha}$ , then we have theoretical guarantees that, if the test set is exchangeable:

$$1-lpha \, \leq \, \mathbb{P}\left(y_{ ext{test}} \, \in \, C\left(x_{ ext{test}}
ight)
ight) \, \leq \, 1-lpha \, + \, rac{1}{1+n_{ ext{val}}}$$

This was the tip of the iceberg, there are extensions for almost every possible machine learning task, some better, some worse.

Conformal Prediction is a distribution-free family of simple and cheap techniques with theoretical guarantees, what's not to love?

#### 4. Concluding Remarks and Further Study

To me, the two most accessible sources of study are:

- A. Angelopoulos & S. Bates, A Gentle Introduction to CP (see also YT)
- C. Molnar, Introduction to Conformal Prediction with Python

The UNSURE workshop is starting to publish quite a bit "conformal papers", see next slide for a sample.

Also, see our github for slides & notebooks! github.com/agaldran/uqinmia-miccai-2023/tree/main/2024

#### 4. Concluding Remarks and Further Study

- Y. Zhang et al., RR-CP: Reliable-Region-Based <u>Conformal Prediction</u> for Trustworthy Medical Image Classification, UNSURE 2023
- H. A. Mehrtens et al. Pitfalls of <u>Conformal Predictions</u> for Medical Image Classification, UNSURE 2023
- C. Clark et al., <u>Conformal Prediction</u> and Monte Carlo Inference for Addressing Uncertainty in Cervical Cancer Screening, UNSURE 2024
- A. Wundram et al., <u>Conformal Performance Range Prediction</u> for Segmentation Output Quality Control, UNSURE 2024
- G. Ghoshal et al., Making Deep Learning Models Clinically Useful Improving Diagnostic Confidence in Inherited Retinal Disease with Conformal Prediction, UNSURE 2024

# The END Thanks!