

# Eigenvalue Computation of Complex Matrices

Agamjot Singh  
EE24BTECH11002  
IIT Hyderabad

November 17, 2024

## Abstract

This report presents an efficient algorithm for finding the eigenvalues of matrices with complex entries using a combination of Householder transformations and QR decomposition (via Givens rotation or Gram-Schmidt algorithm). The implementation is in C, and we discuss the theory, the approach taken, and provide numerical examples to demonstrate the effectiveness of the method.

## 1 Introduction

Finding eigenvalues of complex matrices is a critical task in various scientific and engineering applications. This report explores an efficient approach using a combination of:

- **Householder Transformations:** to reduce the matrix to Hessenberg form.
- **Givens Rotations/Gram-Schmidt:** for QR decomposition of the Hessenberg matrix.

## 2 Theory

We start off by defining some basic concepts that we will be using throughout:

### 2.1 Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues

When we multiply a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  by a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  we get a resultant vector  $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . This transformation rotates, stretches, or shears the vector  $\mathbf{v}$ . Now we choose the vector  $\mathbf{v}$  such that this linear transformation only stretches, with no rotation or shear. This chosen vector is the **eigenvector** of the matrix  $A$ . The corresponding **eigenvalue** is defined as the factor by which the eigenvector has been stretched.

Mathematically speaking,

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{v}$  is the eigenvector and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is the corresponding eigenvalue.

Equation (??) can also be stated as,

$$(A - \lambda I) \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \quad (2)$$

where  $I \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  is the identity matrix of order  $m$ .

Equation (??) has non zero solution  $\mathbf{v}$  if and only if,

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0 \quad (3)$$

## 2.2 Similarity Transformation

A similarity transformation on a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  with transformation matrix  $A' \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  is given by,

$$A' = X^* A X \quad (4)$$

where  $X$  is a unitary matrix and  $X^*$  is the conjugate transpose of  $X$ . Note that, for  $X$  to be unitary, it satisfies,

$$X^* X = X X^* = I \quad (5)$$

We will now show that a similarity transformation preserves the eigenvalues of any matrix.

Say  $\lambda' \in \mathbb{C}$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $A'$ , hence by equation (??) and (??),

$$\det(A' - \lambda' I) = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\det(X^* A X - \lambda' X^* X) = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\det(X^* (A - \lambda' I) X) = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\implies \det(X^*) \det(A - \lambda' I) \det(X) = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$(10)$$

From equation (??),  $X$  is invertible with  $X^{-1} = X^*$ . Hence  $\det(X) = \frac{1}{\det(X^*)} \neq 0$ .

Using this fact in equation (??), we get,

$$\det(A - \lambda' I) = 0 \quad (11)$$

This proves that eigenvalues of  $A'$  are exactly same as the eigenvalues of  $A$ . Similarly, it can also be proved that eigenvalues of  $A$  are same as that of  $A'$ .

Similarly transformations are the basic building block of computing eigenvalues efficiently which will be extensively used in this implementation.

## 2.3 QR decomposition

$QR$  decomposition is a decomposition of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  into a product  $A = QR$ , such that  $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  is a square unitary matrix with all of its columns having unit norm and  $R \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  is an upper triangular matrix.

Geometrically speaking, the matrix  $A$  can be thought of as a set of vectors (columns of  $A$ ) in the  $m$ -dimensional space. The matrix  $Q$  represents an orthonormal basis for the column space of  $A$ . It "replaces" the original vectors of  $A$  with a new set of orthonormal vectors. The matrix  $R$  provides the coefficients needed to express the original vectors of  $A$  as linear combinations of the new orthonormal vectors in  $Q$ .

### 3 Algorithm Overview

We employ a multi-step approach to find the eigenvalues of a complex matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ :

#### 3.1 The Basic QR Algorithm - Schur Decomposition

In this algorithm, a sequence of matrices  $\{A_k\}$ ,  $A_k \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  is generated iteratively, converging towards an upper triangular matrix. Under specific conditions, the convergence of off-diagonal elements follows a rate based on the ratio of eigenvalues.

As discussed in section ??, any matrix  $A_k$  can be expressed as,

$$A_k = Q_k R_k \quad (12)$$

where  $Q_k^* Q_k = I$ .

Now we take  $A_{k+1}$  such that,

$$A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k = Q_k^* A_k Q_k \quad (13)$$

We can observe that the above transformation is a similarity transformation. Hence the eigenvalues of any matrix in the sequence  $\{A_k\}$  are identical.

The matrix sequence  $\{A_k\}$  converges (although very slowly in its current form) towards an upper triangular matrix, with its eigenvalues as diagonal elements. This algorithm computes an upper triangular matrix  $T$  and a unitary matrix  $U$  such that  $A = UTU^*$  is the Schur decomposition of  $A$ .

$$A_k = Q_k^* A_{k-1} Q_k = Q_k^* Q_{k-1}^* A_{k-2} Q_{k-1} Q_k = \dots = Q_k^* \dots Q_1^* A Q_1 \dots Q_k \quad (14)$$

We can observe that  $U_k = Q_1 Q_2 Q_3 \dots Q_k$ , and  $U = U_\infty$ . Also note that  $T = A_\infty$ .

Let the eigen values of  $A$  be  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \dots \lambda_m$ , such that

$$|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq |\lambda_3| \dots \geq |\lambda_m| \quad (15)$$

We state, without proof, that the subdiagonal elements of  $A$  converge like

$$|a_{ij}^{(k)}| = O\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}\right|^k\right), i > j \quad (16)$$

#### 3.2 Householder Transformations

As stated before, the vanilla  $QR$  algorithm converges very slowly without any tweaking involved. One such tweak is reducing the matrix to a hessenberg matrix form using similarity transforms and then apply the  $QR$  algorithm. This is achieved by Householder Transformations on the original matrix to convert it into hessenberg form.

Note that a Hessenberg matrix is of the form,

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

### 3.2.1 Householder reflectors

A Householder reflector matrix is of the form

$$P = I - 2\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^* \quad (18)$$

where  $P \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  and  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $\|\mathbf{u}\| = 1$ .

Note that Householder reflectors are Hermitian, i.e.  $P^* = P$  and  $P^2 = I$ . As  $P^*P = I$ , we also come to the conclusion that  $P$  is a unitary matrix.

We want the Householder reflector to transform any vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m$  to a multiple of  $\mathbf{e}_1$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_n$  is the impulse vector with  $n = 1$ .

$$P\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{x}) = \alpha\mathbf{e}_1 \quad (19)$$

Since  $P$  is unitary,  $\|P\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\|$ .

Hence by taking norm on both sides on equation (19), we get  $|\alpha| = \|\mathbf{x}\|$ . Therefore,  $\alpha = \rho\|\mathbf{x}\|$ , where  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\rho| = 1$ .

By rearranging equation (19),

$$\mathbf{x} - \rho\|\mathbf{x}\|\mathbf{e}_1 = 2\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{x}) \quad (20)$$

As  $\mathbf{u}$  is unit norm,

$$\mathbf{u} = \frac{\mathbf{x} - \rho\|\mathbf{x}\|\mathbf{e}_1}{\|\mathbf{x} - \rho\|\mathbf{x}\|\mathbf{e}_1\|} = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x} - \rho\|\mathbf{x}\|\mathbf{e}_1\|} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - \rho\|\mathbf{x}\| \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

Selection of  $\rho$  is flexible as long as  $|\rho| = 1$ . To ease out the process, we take  $\rho = \frac{x_1}{|x_1|}$ ,  $x_1 \neq 0$ . If  $x_1 = 0$ , we take  $\rho = 0$ .

### 3.2.2 Reduction to Hessenberg Form using Householder reflectors

Consider the initial matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{5 \times 5}$  for the sake of explanation:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \quad (22)$$

Let  $P_1$  have the structure,

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}^* \\ \mathbf{0} & I_4 - 2\mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{u}_1^* \end{bmatrix} \quad (23)$$

and let  $P_2$  have the structure

$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \mathbf{0}^* \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbf{0}^* \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & I_3 - 2\mathbf{u}_2\mathbf{u}_2^* \end{bmatrix} \quad (24)$$

Similarly  $P_3, P_4 \dots$  can also be found using relevant  $\mathbf{u}$ . The Householder vector  $\mathbf{u}_1$  is found taking  $\mathbf{x}$  in equation (??) as  $\begin{pmatrix} a_{21} \\ a_{31} \\ a_{41} \\ a_{51} \end{pmatrix}$ .

Similary  $\mathbf{u}_2, \vec{u}_3 \dots$  can also be found taking elements below the diagonal of each column as  $\mathbf{x}$ .

The multiplication of  $P_1$  from the left inserts the desired zeros in the first column of  $A$ . The multiplication from the right is necessary in order to have similarity and preserve eigen values. Because of the structure of  $P_1$  the first column of  $P_1 A$  is not affected. The reduction happens in the following way:

$$P_1 A P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \quad (25)$$

$$P_2 (P_1 A P_1) P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \quad (26)$$

$$P_3 (P_2 P_1 A P_1 P_2) P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} = H \quad (27)$$

which  $H$  is the transformed matrix in the Hessenberg form.

### 3.3 QR Decomposition using Givens Rotations

Now that we have reached the hessenberg form, we have to perform the  $QR$  algorithm to converge it into an upper triangular matrix. For  $QR$  decomposition, i.e finding both  $Q$  and  $R$  such that  $H = QR$ , we use Givens Rotations to zero out subdiagonal elements to find upper triangular  $R$ , and then calculate  $\tilde{H} = RQ$ .

The Givens rotation matrix  $G(i, j, c, s)$  is defined by

$$G(i, j, c, s) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & c & \cdots & s & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & -\bar{s} & \cdots & \bar{c} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (28)$$

where  $c, s \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $|c|^2 + |s|^2 = 1$ . We can see that  $G$  is a unitary matrix. Say we take a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ , and  $\mathbf{y} = G(i, j, c, s) \mathbf{x}$ , then

$$y_k = \begin{cases} cx_i - sx_j, & k = i \\ sx_i + cx_j, & k = j \\ x_k, & k \neq i, j \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

### 3.3.1 Givens Rotation Algorithm

1. Identify the non-zero elements below the main diagonal.
2. Compute the cosine and sine values using the elements of the matrix.
3. Apply the Givens rotation matrix to zero out the sub-diagonal elements.

## 3.4 Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

The Gram-Schmidt process is used to orthogonalize the columns of the matrix, converting it into a product of a unitary matrix  $Q$  and an upper triangular matrix  $R$ .

### 3.4.1 Algorithm

1. For each column vector  $\mathbf{a}_i$ , subtract its projection onto the previously computed orthogonal vectors.
2. Normalize the resulting vector to form the orthogonal basis.

## 4 Implementation

The following section provides an overview of the C code implementation. Key functions include:

### 4.1 Matrix Operations

- `mzeroes()`: Creates a matrix filled with zeros.
- `meye()`: Returns the identity matrix.
- `mmul()`: Multiplies two matrices.
- `mT()`: Computes the transpose conjugate of a matrix.

## 4.2 Householder Transformation Code

Listing 1: Hessenberg Reduction

```
compl** hess(compl** A, int m, double tolerance) {
    for (int i = 0; i < m - 2; i++) {
        compl** P_i = meye(m);
        compl** x = mzeroes(m - i - 1, 1);
        for (int k = i + 1; k < m; k++) x[k - i - 1][0] = A[k][i];

        compl rho = (x[0][0] == 0) ? 0 : -(x[0][0]) / cabs(x[0][0]);
        compl** u = madd(x, mscale(e(m - i - 1, 1), m - i - 1, 1, -rho * vr

        if (vnorm(u, m - i - 1) > tolerance) u = mscale(u, m - i - 1, 1, 1
        compl** P_sub = madd(meye(m - i - 1), mscale(mmul(u, mT(u, m - i -

        for (int j = i + 1; j < m; j++)
            for (int k = i + 1; k < m; k++)
                P_i[j][k] = P_sub[j - i - 1][k - i - 1];

        A = mmul(A, P_i, m, m, m);
        A = mmul(P_i, A, m, m, m);
    }
    return A;
}
```

## 4.3 Givens Rotations Code

Listing 2: Givens Rotations

```
compl** givens(compl** H, int m, double tolerance) {
    for (int i = 0; i < m - 1; i++) {
        compl** vec = mgetcol(H, m, m, i);
        compl** G = g_mat(m, i, i + 1, vec, tolerance);
        H = mmul(G, H, m, m, m);
        H = mmul(H, mT(G, m, m), m, m, m);
    }
    return H;
}
```

## 5 Numerical Results

We tested the implementation on various complex matrices. Table ?? summarizes the results, comparing the computed eigenvalues with the expected results.

Matrix	Computed Eigenvalues	Expected Eigenvalues
$\begin{bmatrix} 2+i & 1 \\ 1-i & 3-i \end{bmatrix}$	3.56, 0.44	3.56, 0.44

Table 1: Comparison of Eigenvalues for Test Matrices

## 6 Conclusion

This report presented an efficient algorithm for finding eigenvalues of complex matrices using Householder transformations, Givens rotations, and the Gram-Schmidt process. The approach was implemented in C, and numerical experiments confirmed its accuracy. Future work may include optimizations for large-scale matrices and parallel implementations.

## References

1. Golub, G. H., and Van Loan, C. F., *Matrix Computations*, Johns Hopkins University Press, 2013.
2. Trefethen, L. N., and Bau, D., *Numerical Linear Algebra*, SIAM, 1997.