



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

linear erasing

Canonical name	LinearErasing
Date of creation	2013-03-22 18:58:54
Last modified on	2013-03-22 18:58:54
Owner	CWoo (3771)
Last modified by	CWoo (3771)
Numerical id	8
Author	CWoo (3771)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 68Q70
Synonym	limited erasing
Related topic	RestrictedHomomorphism

It is well-known that, among all of the language families in the Chomsky hierarchy, the family \mathcal{S} of context-sensitive languages is the only one that is not closed under arbitrary homomorphisms. Nevertheless, \mathcal{S} is shown to be closed under a more restricted class of homomorphisms, namely the λ -free homomorphisms. Question: can we enlarge this class of homomorphisms so that \mathcal{S} is still closed under the larger class? The answer is yes.

Definition. Let L be a language over an alphabet Σ , h a homomorphism over Σ , and k a non-negative integer. h is said to be a *k-linear erasing* on L if for any word $u \in L$, we have

$$|u| \leq k|h(u)|,$$

where $|u|$ stands for the length of u .

It is clear that if h is a k -linear erasing on L , then it is a m -linear erasing for any $m \geq k$. Also, if h is a 0-linear erasing on L , then L is either $\{\lambda\}$, or the empty set \emptyset . In addition, if h is a k -linear erasing on L , and $L' \subseteq L$, then it is a k -linear erasing on L' . Consequently, any λ -free homomorphism is a k -linear erasing on any L over Σ , for any $k \geq 1$.

However, the notion of linear erasing is language dependent. For example, let $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$. Let $L_1 = \{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ and $L_2 = \{a^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}$. Suppose h is the homomorphism on Σ^* with $h(a) = \lambda$, $h(b) = b^2$ and $h(c) = c$. Then h is a 1-linear erasing on L_1 , and a 2-linear erasing on L_2 .

Definition Let \mathcal{L} be a family of languages over Σ . Then \mathcal{L} is said to be *closed under linear erasing* if for any $L \in \mathcal{L}$, and any homomorphism h which is a k -linear erasing on L for some $k \geq 0$, then $h(L) \in \mathcal{L}$.

Clearly, if \mathcal{L} is closed under homomorphism, it is closed under linear erasing, and thus the families of <http://planetmath.org/RegularLanguage> regular, context-free, and type-0 languages are all closed under linear erasing. We also have the following:

Theorem 1. *The family \mathcal{S} of context-sensitive languages is closed under linear erasing.*

Remark. The theorem above can be generalized. Call a substitution s over Σ a k -linear erasing on a language L if $|u| \leq k|v|$ for any $v \in s(u)$. If L is context-sensitive such that $s(u)$ is context-sensitive for each $u \in L$, then $s(L)$ is context-sensitive provided that s is a k -linear erasing on L .

References

- [1] A. Salomaa, *Formal Languages*, Academic Press, New York (1973).
- [2] J.E. Hopcroft, J.D. Ullman, *Formal Languages and Their Relation to Automata*, Addison-Wesley, (1969).