

planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

insertion sort

Canonical name InsertionSort

Date of creation 2013-03-22 11:44:38 Last modified on 2013-03-22 11:44:38 Owner mathcam (2727) Last modified by mathcam (2727)

Numerical id 16

Author mathcam (2727)

Entry type Algorithm Classification ${\rm msc}\ 68P10$ Classification msc 55U40Classification msc 55U99 Classification msc 55U15 Classification msc 55U10Classification msc 55P20Classification msc 55-00Classification ${\rm msc~85\text{-}00}$ Classification ${\rm msc~83\text{-}02}$

Related topic SortingProblem
Related topic BinarySearch
Related topic SelectionSort

The Problem

See the sorting problem.

The Algorithm

Suppose $L = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is the initial list of unsorted elements. The insertion sort algorithm will construct a new list, containing the elements of L in order, which we will call L'. The algorithm constructs this list one element at a time.

Initially L' is empty. We then take the first element of L and put it in L'. We then take the second element of L and also add it to L', placing it before any elements in L' that should come after it. This is done one element at a time until all n elements of L are in L', in sorted order. Thus, each step i consists of looking up the position in L' where the element x_i should be placed and inserting it there (hence the name of the algorithm). This requires a search, and then the shifting of all the elements in L' that come after x_i (if L' is stored in an array). If storage is in an array, then the binary search algorithm can be used to quickly find x_i 's new position in L'.

Since at step i, the length of list L' is i and the length of list L is n-i, we can implement this algorithm as an in-place sorting algorithm. Each step i results in L[1..i] becoming fully sorted.

Pseudocode

This algorithm uses a modified binary search algorithm to find the position in L where an element key should be placed to maintain ordering.

```
Algorithm Insertion_Sort(L, n)
Input: A list L of n elements
Output: The list L in sorted order
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{begin} \\ \mathbf{for} \ i \leftarrow 1 \ \mathbf{to} \ n \ \mathbf{do} \\ \mathbf{begin} \\ value \leftarrow L[i] \\ position \leftarrow Binary\_Search(L,1,i,value) \\ \mathbf{for} \ j \leftarrow i \ \mathbf{downto} \ position \ \mathbf{do} \\ L[j] \leftarrow L[j-1] \\ L[position] \leftarrow value \\ \mathbf{end} \end{array}
```

end

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{function Binary\_Search}(L,\, bottom,\, top,\, key) \\ \textbf{begin} \\ & \textit{Binary\_Search} \leftarrow bottom \\ \textbf{else} \\ \textbf{begin} \\ & \textit{middle} \leftarrow (bottom + top)/2 \\ & \textbf{if } \textit{key} < L[\textit{middle}] \textbf{ then} \\ & \textit{Binary\_Search} \leftarrow \textit{Binary\_Search}(L, bottom, \textit{middle} - 1, \textit{key}) \\ & \textbf{else} \\ & \textit{Binary\_Search} \leftarrow \textit{Binary\_Search}(L, \textit{middle} + 1, top, \textit{key}) \\ \textbf{end} \\ \textbf{end} \end{array}
```

Analysis

In the worst case, each step i requires a shift of i-1 elements for the insertion (consider an input list that is sorted in reverse order). Thus the runtime complexity is $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$. Even the optimization of using a binary search does not help us here, because the deciding factor in this case is the insertion. It is possible to use a data type with $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ insertion time, giving $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ runtime, but then the algorithm can no longer be done as an in-place sorting algorithm. Such data structures are also quite complicated.

A similar algorithm to the insertion sort is the selection sort, which requires fewer data movements than the insertion sort, but requires more comparisons.