



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## semi-Thue system

|                  |                                    |
|------------------|------------------------------------|
| Canonical name   | SemiThueSystem                     |
| Date of creation | 2013-03-22 17:33:16                |
| Last modified on | 2013-03-22 17:33:16                |
| Owner            | CWoo (3771)                        |
| Last modified by | CWoo (3771)                        |
| Numerical id     | 21                                 |
| Author           | CWoo (3771)                        |
| Entry type       | Definition                         |
| Classification   | msc 68Q42                          |
| Classification   | msc 03D40                          |
| Classification   | msc 20M35                          |
| Classification   | msc 03D03                          |
| Synonym          | rewriting rule                     |
| Synonym          | rewrite rule                       |
| Synonym          | rewriting system                   |
| Synonym          | semi-Thue generable                |
| Related topic    | FormalGrammar                      |
| Related topic    | LabelledStateTransitionSystem      |
| Defines          | antecedent                         |
| Defines          | consequent                         |
| Defines          | immediately derivable              |
| Defines          | derivable                          |
| Defines          | defining relation                  |
| Defines          | word problem for semi-Thue systems |
| Defines          | semi-Thue production               |
| Defines          | generable by a semi-Thue system    |

A *semi-Thue system*  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a pair  $(\Sigma, P)$  where  $\Sigma$  is an alphabet and  $P$  is a non-empty finite binary relation on  $\Sigma^*$ , the Kleene star of  $\Sigma$ .

Elements of  $P$  are variously called *defining relations*, *productions*, or *rewrite rules*, and  $\mathfrak{S}$  itself is also known as a *rewriting system*. If  $(x, y) \in P$ , we call  $x$  the *antecedent*, and  $y$  the *consequent*. Instead of writing  $(x, y) \in P$  or  $xPy$ , we usually write

$$x \rightarrow y.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{S} = (\Sigma, P)$  be a semi-Thue system. Given a word  $u$  over  $\Sigma$ , we say that a word  $v$  over  $\Sigma$  is *immediately derivable* from  $u$  if there is a defining relation  $x \rightarrow y$  such that

$$u = rxs \quad \text{and} \quad v = rys,$$

for some words  $r, s$  (which may be empty) over  $\Sigma$ . If  $v$  is immediately derivable from  $u$ , we write

$$u \Rightarrow v.$$

Let  $P'$  be the set of all pairs  $(u, v) \in \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$  such that  $u \Rightarrow v$ . Then  $P \subseteq P'$ , and

If  $u \Rightarrow v$ , then  $wu \Rightarrow wv$  and  $uw \Rightarrow vw$  for any word  $w$ .

Next, take the reflexive transitive closure  $P''$  of  $P'$ . Write  $a \xRightarrow{*} b$  for  $(a, b) \in P''$ . So  $a \xRightarrow{*} b$  means that either  $a = b$ , or there is a finite chain  $a = a_1, \dots, a_n = b$  such that  $a_i \Rightarrow a_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . When  $a \xRightarrow{*} b$ , we say that  $b$  is *derivable* from  $a$ . Concatenation preserves derivability:

$$a \xRightarrow{*} b \text{ and } c \xRightarrow{*} d \text{ imply } ac \xRightarrow{*} bd.$$

**Example.** Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a semi-Thue system over the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ , with the set of defining relations given by  $P = \{ab \rightarrow bc, bc \rightarrow cb\}$ . Then words  $ac^3b$ ,  $a^2c^2b$  and  $bc^4$  are all derivable from  $a^2bc^2$ :

- $a^2bc^2 \Rightarrow a(bc)c^2 \Rightarrow ac(bc)c \Rightarrow ac^2(cb) = ac^3b$ ,
- $a^2bc^2 \Rightarrow a^2(cb)c \Rightarrow a^2c(cb) = a^2c^2b$ , and
- $a^2bc^2 \Rightarrow a(bc)c^2 \Rightarrow (bc)cc^2 = bc^4$ .

Under  $\mathfrak{S}$ , we see that if  $v$  is derivable from  $u$ , then they have the same length:  $|u| = |v|$ . Furthermore, if we denote  $|a|_u$  the number of occurrences of letter  $a$  in a word  $u$ , then  $|a|_v \leq |a|_u$ ,  $|c|_v \geq |c|_u$ , and  $|b|_v = |b|_u$ . Also, in order for a word  $u$  to have a non-trivial word  $v$  (non-trivial in the sense that  $u \neq v$ ) derivable from it,  $u$  must have either  $ab$  or  $bc$  as a subword. Therefore, words like  $a^3$  or  $c^3b^4a^2$  have no non-trivial derived words from them.

**Remarks.**

1. Given a semi-Thue system  $\mathfrak{S} = (\Sigma, P)$ , one can associate a subset  $A$  of  $\Sigma^*$  whose elements we call *axioms* of  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Any word  $v$  that is derivable from an axiom  $a \in A$  is called a *theorem* (of  $\mathfrak{S}$ ). If  $v$  is a theorem, we write  $A \vdash_{\mathfrak{S}} v$ . The set of all theorems is written  $L_{\mathfrak{S}}(A)$ , and is called the language (over  $\Sigma$ ) generated by  $A$ .
2. Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $A$  be defined as above, and  $T$  any alphabet. Call the elements of  $T \cap \Sigma$  the *terminals* of  $\mathfrak{S}$ . The set

$$L_{\mathfrak{S}}(A) \cap T^*$$

is called the *language generated by  $A$  over  $T$* , and written  $L_{\mathfrak{S}}(A, T)$ . It is easy to see that  $L_{\mathfrak{S}}(A, T) = L_{\mathfrak{S}}(A, T \cap \Sigma)$ .

3. A language  $L$  over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  is said to be *generable by a semi-Thue system* if there is a semi-Thue system  $\mathfrak{S}$  and a finite set of axioms  $A$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  such that  $L = L_{\mathfrak{S}}(A, \Sigma)$ .
4. Semi-Thue systems are “equivalent” to formal grammars in the following sense:

a language is generable by a formal grammar iff it is semi-Thue generable.

The idea is to turn every defining relation  $x \rightarrow y$  in  $P$  into a production  $SxT \rightarrow SyT$ , where  $S$  and  $T$  are non-terminals or variables. As such, a production of the form  $SxT \rightarrow SyT$  is sometimes called a *semi-Thue production*.

5. Given a semi-Thue system  $\mathfrak{S} = (\Sigma, P)$ , the *word problem* for  $\mathfrak{S}$  asks whether or not for any pair of words  $u, v$  over  $\Sigma$ , one can determine in a finite number of steps (an algorithm) that  $u \xrightarrow{*} v$ . If such an algorithm exists, we say that the word problem for  $\mathfrak{S}$  is solvable. It turns out

there exists a semi-Thue system such that the word problem for it is unsolvable.

6. The word problem for a specific  $\mathfrak{S}$  is the same as finding an algorithm to determine whether  $v$  is a theorem based on a singleton axiom  $\{u\}$  for arbitrary words  $u, v$ .
7. *The word problem for semi-Thue systems* asks whether or not, given *any* semi-Thue system  $\mathfrak{S}$ , the word problem for  $\mathfrak{S}$  is solvable. From the previous remark, we see the word problem for semi-Thue systems is unsolvable.

## References

- [1] M. Davis, *Computability and Unsolvability*. Dover Publications, New York (1982).
- [2] H. Hermes, *Enumerability, Decidability, Computability: An Introduction to the Theory of Recursive Functions*. Springer, New York, (1969).