

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## Myhill-Nerode theorem

Canonical name MyhillNerodeTheorem
Date of creation 2013-03-22 18:52:13
Last modified on 2013-03-22 18:52:13
Owner Ziosilvio (18733)
Last modified by Ziosilvio (18733)

Numerical id 14

Author Ziosilvio (18733)

Entry type Theorem
Classification msc 68Q70
Classification msc 20M35

Synonym Myhill-Nerode theorem for languages

Let L be a language on the finite alphabet A and let  $\mathcal{N}_L$  be its Nerode equivalence. The following are equivalent.

- 1. L is recognized by a deterministic finite automaton.
- 2.  $A^*/\mathcal{N}_L$  is finite.

Moreover, the number of classes of  $\mathcal{N}_L$  is the smallest number of states of a DFA recognizing L.

*Proof.* First, suppose  $A^*/\mathcal{N}_L = \{q_0 = [\lambda]_{\mathcal{N}_L}, \dots, q_{k-1}\} = Q$ , where  $\lambda$  is the empty word on A. Construct a DFA  $\mathcal{A} = \langle Q, A, q_0, \delta, F \rangle$  (called the *Nerode automaton* for L) with  $\delta: Q \times A \to Q$  defined by

$$\delta(q, a) = [wa]_{\mathcal{N}_L} , \quad w \in q , \tag{1}$$

and

$$F = \{ q \in Q \mid \exists w \in L \mid w \in q \} . \tag{2}$$

Then  $\delta$  is well defined because  $w_1 \mathcal{N}_L w_2$  implies  $w_1 u \mathcal{N}_L w_2 u$ . It is also straightforward that  $\mathcal{A}$  recognizes L.

On the other hand, let  $\mathcal{A} = \langle Q, A, q_0, \delta, F \rangle$  be a DFA that recognizes L. Extend  $\delta$  to  $Q \times A^*$  by putting  $\delta(q, \lambda) = q$  and  $\delta(q, aw) = \delta(\delta(q, a), w)$  for every  $q \in Q$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $w \in A^*$ . Define  $f : Q \to A^*/\mathcal{N}_L \cup \{\emptyset\}$  as

$$f(q) = \begin{cases} [w]_{\mathcal{N}_L} & \text{if } \delta(q_0, w) = q\\ \emptyset & \text{if } \delta(q_0, w) \neq q \forall w \in A^* \end{cases}$$
 (3)

Then f is well defined. In fact, suppose  $q_1 = q_2 = q$ : then either  $f(q_1) = f(q_2) = \emptyset$ , or there are  $w_1, w_2 \in A^*$  such that  $\delta(q_0, w_1) = \delta(q_0, w_2) = q$ . But in the latter case,  $\delta(q_0, w_1u) = \delta(q_0, w_2u) = \delta(q, u)$  for any  $u \in A^*$ , hence  $w_1 \mathcal{N}_L w_2$  since  $\mathcal{A}$  recognizes L. Finally, for any  $w \in A^*$  we have  $[w]_{\mathcal{N}_L} = f(\delta(q_0, w))$ , so every class of  $\mathcal{N}_L$  has a preimage according to f; consequently,  $|Q| \geq |A^*/\mathcal{N}_L|$ .