

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## Chomsky hierarchy

Canonical name ChomskyHierarchy
Date of creation 2013-03-22 16:27:04
Last modified on 2013-03-22 16:27:04

Owner CWoo (3771) Last modified by CWoo (3771)

Numerical id 13

Author CWoo (3771)
Entry type Definition
Classification msc 68Q15
Classification msc 03D55

Synonym Chomsky-Schützenberger hierarchy Synonym Chomsky-Schutzenberger hierarchy

Synonym unrestricted grammar

Defines type-0 grammar Defines type-0 language The Chomsky hierarchy or Chomsky-Schützenberger hierarchy is a way of classifying formal grammars into four types, with the lower numbered types being more general.

Recall that a formal grammar  $G = (\Sigma, N, P, \sigma)$  consists of an alphabet  $\Sigma$ , an alphabet N of non-terminal symbols properly included in  $\Sigma$ , a non-empty finite set P of productions, and a symbol  $\sigma \in N$  called the start symbol. The non-empty alphabet  $T := \Sigma - N$  is the set of terminal symbols. Then G is called a

- **Type-0 grammar** if there are no restrictions on the productions. Type-0 grammar is also known as an *unrestricted grammar*, or a *phrase-structure grammar*.
- **Type-1 grammar** if the productions are of the form  $uAv \to uWv$ , where  $u, v, W \in \Sigma^*$  with  $W \neq \lambda$ , and  $A \in N$ , or  $\sigma \to \lambda$ , provided that  $\sigma$  does not occur on the right hand side of any productions in P. As A is surrounded by words u, v, a type-1 grammar is also known as a context-sensitive grammar.
- **Type-2 grammar** if the productions are of the form  $A \to W$ , where  $A \in N$  and  $W \in \Sigma^*$ . Type-2 grammars are also called context-free grammars, because the left hand side of any productions are "free" of contexts.
- **Type-3 grammar** if the productions are of the form  $A \to u$  or  $A \to uB$ , where  $A, B \in N$  and  $u \in T^*$ . Owing to the fact that languages generated by type-3 grammars can be represented by regular expressions, type-3 grammars are also known as regular grammars.

It is clear that every type-i grammar is type-0, and every type-3 grammar is type-2. A type-2 grammar is not necessarily type-1, because it may contain both  $\sigma \to \lambda$  and  $A \to W$ , where  $\lambda$  occurs in W. Nevertheless, the relevance of the hierarchy has more to do with the languages generated by the grammars. Call a formal language a type-i language if it is generated by a type-i grammar, and denote  $\mathcal{L}_i$  the family of type-i languages. Then it can be shown that

$$\mathcal{L}_3 \subset \mathcal{L}_2 \subset \mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_0$$

where each inclusion is strict.

Below is a table summarizing the four types of grammars, the languages they generate, and the equivalent computational devices accepting the languages.

grammar	language family	abbreviation	automaton
type-0	recursively enumerable	$\mathcal{L}_0$ or $\mathcal{E}$	turing machine
type-1	context-sensitive	$\mathscr{L}_1$ or $\mathscr{S}$	linear bounded automaton
type-2	context-free	$\mathscr{L}_2$ or $\mathscr{F}$	pushdown automaton
type-3	regular	$\mathscr{L}_3$ or $\mathscr{R}$	finite automaton