

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## locally testable

Canonical name LocallyTestable
Date of creation 2013-03-22 18:59:03
Last modified on 2013-03-22 18:59:03

Owner CWoo (3771) Last modified by CWoo (3771)

Numerical id 7

AuthorCWoo (3771)Entry typeDefinitionClassificationmsc 68Q45Classificationmsc 68Q42Synonymk-testableDefinesk-testable

A regular language L over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  is locally testable if, loosely speaking, testing whether or not an arbitrary word u (over  $\Sigma$ ) is in L is completely determined by its subwords of some fixed length. The name locally testable comes from the fact that properties of u, and not L, determine the membership of u in L.

To formalize this notion, we first define, for any word u over  $\Sigma$ , the set  $\mathrm{sw}_k(u)$  of all subwords of

$$\#u\#$$

of length k, where # is a symbol not in  $\Sigma$ .

**Definition**. We say that a regular language L is k-testable if for any  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$  such that

$$sw_k(u) = sw_k(v),$$

we have  $u \in L$  iff  $v \in L$ . The equation above says three things at once:

- the set of subwords of u of length k is equal to the set of subwords of v of length k,
- the prefix of u of length k is equal to the prefix of v of length k, and
- the suffix of u of length k is equal to the suffix of v of length k.

We say that L is *locally testable* if it is k-testable for some  $k \geq 0$ .

If we denote  $\mathcal{T}(k)$  the family of all k-testable languages, and  $\mathcal{T}(\infty)$  the family of all locally testable languages, then

$$\mathscr{T}(\infty) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{T}(k).$$

Note that there are only two 0-testable languages:  $\Sigma^*$  and  $\varnothing$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\mathscr{D}$  be the family of definite languages. Then

- 1.  $\mathcal{T}(k) \subset \mathcal{T}(k+1)$  for any  $k \geq 0$ , and the inclusion is strict.
- 2.  $\mathscr{D}$  and  $\mathscr{T}(k)$  are not comparable for any k > 0. In other words, for every k, there is a k-testable language that is not definite, and a definite language that is not k-testable.
- 3.  $\mathscr{D} \subset \mathscr{T}(\infty)$ , and the inclusion is strict.

We only sketch the proof here. For the first assertion, note that for every  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$\operatorname{sw}_{k+1}(u) = \operatorname{sw}_{k+1}(v)$$
 implies  $\operatorname{sw}_k(u) = \operatorname{sw}_k(v)$ .

In addition, the language  $\{ab^k\}^*$  is (k+1)-testable but not k-testable. For the second statement, note that  $\{ab^k\}^*$  is not definite for any  $k \geq 0$ . On the other hand, a finite language containing a single word of length k+1 is not k-testable. The last assertion is a direct consequence of the second one.

Thus, the families  $\mathcal{T}(k)$  provide us with an example of an infinite chain of subfamilies of the family of regular languages.

With regard to the closure properties in  $\mathscr{T}(k)$ , it is easily see that  $\mathscr{T}(k)$  for all  $k \geq 0$  including  $k = \infty$ , is closed under complementation and intersection, and hence all Boolean operations. The star-closure of  $\mathscr{T}(\infty)$  is  $\mathscr{R}$ , the family of all regular languages.

Remark. Every locally testable language is star-free, but not conversely.

## References

[1] A. Salomaa, Formal Languages, Academic Press, New York (1973).