Bayesian Inference and MCMC Methods in Astrophysics

Agastya Gaur¹

¹ University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

ABSTRACT

This is the abstract of the paper. It summarizes the work in a concise form.

Contents

6 1. Introduction

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 4th century BC, Hipparchus, attempting to es-9 timate the length of a year, found the middle of the 10 range of a scattered set of Babylonian solstice measure-11 ments. Though an acheivement in its own right for the 12 time, Hipparchus's measurement marked the beginning 13 of what would become a long standing marriage between 14 astronomy and statistics. In the centuries to come, a 15 number of breakthroughs in astrostatistics would con-16 tinue to occur, with Brahe successfully using the mean 17 of a dataset to increase precision of measurements and 18 Laplace rediscovering the work of Thomas Bayes and ap-19 plying his statistical theories extensively to astronomical 20 problems. Most notably, in the early 1800s, Legendre 21 developed least squares parameter estimation to model 22 the orbit of comets (Feigelson & Babu 2003). By the end 23 of the 19th century, astronomy had firmly established it-24 self as a quantitative science, driven by the refinement

²⁵ of statistical methods to confront the uncertainties in-²⁶ herent in measurement.

The next 100 years brought two developments that re-28 shaped this tradition: the rise of physics as the explana-29 tory foundation of astronomy, and the advent of comput-30 ing, which enabled unprecedented scales of quantitative 31 analysis. As astronomy grew increasingly intertwined 32 with the theories of physics, the field transformed into 33 what we now call astrophysics. This shift did not re-34 place the statistical tradition but expanded it, integrat-35 ing new forms of quantitative reasoning with physical 36 modeling. Advances in computing increased the scale 37 of the statistical analysis that was feasible to perform, 38 and since then it has only been rising. While the early 39 history of the field was dominated by statistical reason-40 ing, the growth of physics and computation broadened 41 this into what we now call quantitative analysis (QA): 42 a synthesis of statistical inference, numerical modeling, 43 and data-driven computation. Today, astrophysics sits in 44 a landscape of complex statistical problems that demand ⁴⁵ new quantitative approaches and more computing power 46 by the day. It is fair to say that QA has become the 47 backbone of research in modern astrophysics.

REFERENCES

48 Feigelson, E. D., & Babu, G. J. 2003