# Bayesian Inference and MCMC Methods in Astrophysics

Agastya Gaur<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

1

## ABSTRACT

This is the abstract of the paper. It summarizes the work in a concise form.

## Contents

### 6 1. Introduction

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the 4th century BC, Hipparchus, attempting to es-9 timate the length of a year, found the middle of the 10 range of a scattered set of Babylonian solstice measure-11 ments. Though an acheivement in its own right for the 12 time, Hipparchus's measurement marked the beginning 13 of what would become a long standing marriage between 14 astronomy and statistics. In the centuries to come, a 15 number of breakthroughs in astrostatistics would con-16 tinue to occur, with Brahe successfully using the mean 17 of a dataset to increase precision of measurements and 18 Laplace rediscovering the work of Thomas Bayes and ap-19 plying his statistical theories extensively to astronomical 20 problems. Most notably, in the early 1800s, Legendre 21 developed least squares parameter estimation to model 22 the orbit of comets (Feigelson & Babu 2004). The re-23 curring theme was clear: progress in astronomy often <sup>24</sup> hinged on solving problems of statistical estimation. By 25 the end of the 19th century, astronomy had firmly es-26 tablished itself as a quantitative science, driven by the 27 refinement of statistical methods to identify regularities 28 in scattered measurements, fitting orbital models, and 29 quantifying uncertainty in the presence of noise.

The next 100 years brought two developments that reshaped this tradition: the rise of physics as the explanatory foundation of astronomy, and the advent of computing, which enabled unprecedented scales of quantitative analysis. As astronomy grew increasingly interstwined with the theories of physics, the field transformed into what we now call astrophysics. This shift did not replace the statistical tradition but expanded it, integrating new forms of quantitative reasoning with physical modeling. This meant confronting problems such as inferring stellar parameters from noisy spectra, reconstructing galactic structures from incomplete observations, and estimating cosmological parameters from correlated datasets. Advances in computing increased

the scale of the statistical analysis that was feasible to perform, and since then it has only been rising. While the early history of the field was dominated by statistical reasoning, the growth of physics and computation broadened this into what we now call quantitative analysis (QA): a synthesis of statistical inference, numerical modeling, and data-driven computation.

Today, astrophysics sits in the middle of a universe 52 of complex statistical problems that demand new quan-53 titative approaches and more computing power by the 54 day. In many respects, QA has become the backbone of 55 research in modern astrophysics, and at a critical junc-56 ture, as the 21st century has ushered in an unprece-57 dented era of astronomical data generation. Sky surveys 58 like Gaia DR3 alone provide astrometry and photometry 59 for nearly two billion stars, plus more than ten million 60 variable sources across dozens of types (Gaia Collab-61 oration et al. 2023). Advances in CCD detectors will 62 see data from sky surveys continue to grow in the next 63 decade from an order of gigabytes to terabytes, and pos-64 sibly petabytes in the future. The same trend can be 65 seen in data from the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope 66 and NASA's Solar Dynamics Observatory (Borne 2009). 67 This data deluge is what makes QA so important to as-68 trophysics today. It not only increases volume but also 69 introduces qualitatively harder problems such as disen-70 tangling individual frequencies from complex signals and 71 modeling nonlinear, degenerate parameter spaces. The 72 ability to extract meaningful insights from these mas-73 sive datasets in an organized manner is crucial for ad-74 vancing our understanding of the universe. QA provides 75 a number of powerful tools spanning statistical infer-76 ence, computational algorithms, and machine learning 77 methodologies to analyze, interpret, and model this data 78 effectively.

Across astrophysics, these challenges share a common structure: noisy, incomplete, and often degenerate data must be mapped onto physical models with many free parameters. Within the broad landscape of quantitative techniques, the one that stands out as particual larly impactful is Bayesian inferencing via Monte Carlo

85 Markov Chain (MCMC) methods. Bayesian inference, 86 and MCMC in particular, offers a principled way to ap-87 proach precisely this class of problems. For astrophysi-88 cists, it has become one of the most widely used and 89 versatile approaches. Von Toussaint (2011) notes the 90 growing applicability of Bayesian inferencing in physics. 91 Computational models are becoming far more complex, 92 and the data being analyzed is often noisy and incom-93 plete. Bayesian methods, with their ability to incorpo-94 rate prior knowledge and handle uncertainty, are well-95 suited to these challenges. MCMC methods, in partic-96 ular, provide a practical way to sample from complex 97 posterior distributions that arise in Bayesian analysis. 98 This makes them invaluable for parameter estimation, 99 model comparison, and uncertainty quantification in al-100 most any astrophysical problem.

The rest of the paper will have the following struc-102 ture: Sec. II will provide a foundational explanation of 103 Bayesian statistics as well as the mathematical and com-104 putational methodology behind MCMC methods. Next, 105 Sec. III will introduce three case studies within astro-106 physics where Bayesian inferencing and MCMC methods 107 are being used to push research forward. These con-108 cepts include the direct detection of exoplanets, CMB 109 parameter estimation, and gravity wave fitting. Each 110 case study will include current challenges in the field, 111 how Bayesian inferencing is being used to address it, 112 the pros and cons of the approach, as well as future ad-113 vancements that could be made. Finally, Sec. IV will 114 include a discussion on how Bayesian inferencing is being used throughout astrophysics overall and how it can 116 address problems in other fields as well.

#### REFERENCES

117 Borne, K. D. 2009, Astroinformatics: A 21st Century

Approach to Astronomy, arXiv,

doi: 10.48550/arXiv.0909.3892

Feigelson, E. D., & Babu, G. J. 2004, Statistical Challenges
in Modern Astronomy, arXiv,
doi: 10.48550/arXiv.astro-ph/0401404
Gaia Collaboration, Vallenari, A., Brown, A. G. A., et al.
2023, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 674, A1,
doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202243940

Von Toussaint, U. 2011, Reviews of Modern Physics, 83,
943, doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.83.943