

Bayesian Inference and MCMC Methods in Astrophysics

AGASTYA GAUR
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

ABSTRACT

This is the abstract of the paper. It summarizes the work in a concise form.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Methodology	3

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 4th century BC, Hipparchus, attempting to estimate the length of a year, found the middle of the range of a scattered set of Babylonian solstice measurements. An achievement in its own right for the time, Hipparchus’s measurement marked the beginning of what would become a long standing marriage between astronomy and statistics. In the centuries to come, a number of breakthroughs in astrostatistics would continue to occur, with Brahe using the mean of a dataset to increase precision of measurements and Laplace rediscovering the work of Thomas Bayes and applying his statistical theories to astronomical problems. Most notably, in the early 1800s, Legendre developed least squares parameter estimation to model the orbit of comets (Feigelson & Babu 2004). The recurring theme was clear: progress in astronomy often hinged on solving problems of statistical estimation. By the end of the 19th century, astronomy had firmly established itself as a quantitative science, driven by the refinement of statistical methods to identify regularities in scattered measurements, fitting orbital models, and quantifying uncertainty in the presence of noise.

22 The next 100 years brought two developments that reshaped this tradition: the rise of physics
 23 as the foundation of astronomy, and the advent of computing, which enabled unprecedented scales
 24 of quantitative analysis. As astronomy grew increasingly intertwined with the theories of physics,
 25 the field transformed into what we now call astrophysics. This shift expanded statistical tradition,
 26 integrating new forms of quantitative reasoning with physical modeling. This meant confronting
 27 problems such as inferring stellar parameters from noisy spectra, reconstructing galactic structures
 28 from incomplete observations, and estimating cosmological parameters from correlated datasets. Ad-
 29 vances in computing increased the scale of the statistical analysis that was feasible to perform, and
 30 since then it has only been rising. While the early history of the field was dominated by statistical
 31 reasoning, the growth of physics and computation broadened this into what we now call quantitative
 32 analysis (QA): a synthesis of statistical inference, numerical modeling, and data-driven computation.

33 Today, astrophysics sits in the middle of a universe of complex statistical problems that demand
 34 new quantitative approaches and more computing power by the day. In many respects, QA has
 35 become the backbone of research in modern astrophysics, and at a critical juncture, as the 21st
 36 century has ushered in an unprecedented era of astronomical data generation. Sky surveys like
 37 Gaia DR3 alone provide astrometry and photometry for nearly two billion stars, plus more than
 38 ten million variable sources across dozens of types ([Gaia Collaboration et al. 2023](#)). Advances in
 39 CCD detectors will see data from sky surveys continue to grow in the next decade from an order
 40 of gigabytes to terabytes, and possibly petabytes in the future. The same trend can be seen in
 41 data from the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope and NASA’s Solar Dynamics Observatory ([Borne
 42 2009](#)). This data deluge is what makes QA so important to astrophysics today. It not only increases
 43 volume but also introduces qualitatively harder problems such as disentangling individual frequencies
 44 from complex signals and modeling nonlinear, degenerate parameter spaces. The ability to extract
 45 meaningful insights from these massive datasets in an organized manner is crucial for advancing our
 46 understanding of the universe. QA provides a number of powerful tools spanning statistical inference,
 47 computational algorithms, and machine learning methodologies to analyze, interpret, and model this
 48 data effectively.

Across astrophysics, these challenges share a common structure: noisy, incomplete, and often de-
generate data must be mapped onto physical models with many free parameters. Within the broad
landscape of quantitative techniques, the one that stands out as particularly impactful is Bayesian
inferencing via Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) methods. Bayesian inference, and MCMC in
particular, offers a principled way to approach precisely this class of problems. For astrophysicists,
it has become one of the most widely used and versatile approaches. [Von Toussaint \(2011\)](#) notes
the growing applicability of Bayesian inferencing in physics. Computational models are becoming
far more complex, and the data being analyzed is often noisy and incomplete. Bayesian methods,
with their ability to incorporate prior knowledge and handle uncertainty, are well-suited to these
challenges. MCMC methods, in particular, provide a practical way to sample from complex posterior
distributions that arise in Bayesian analysis. This makes them invaluable for parameter estimation,
model comparison, and uncertainty quantification in almost any astrophysical problem.

The rest of the paper will have the following structure: [Sec. II](#) will provide a foundational expla-
nation of Bayesian statistics as well as the mathematical and computational methodology behind
MCMC methods. Next, [Sec. III](#) will introduce three case studies within astrophysics where Bayesian
inferencing and MCMC methods are being used to push research forward. These concepts include
the direct detection of exoplanets, CMB parameter estimation, and gravity wave fitting. Each case
study will include current challenges in the field, how Bayesian inferencing is being used to address
it, the pros and cons of the approach, as well as future advancements that could be made. Finally,
[Sec. IV](#) will include a discussion on how Bayesian inferencing is being used throughout astrophysics
overall and how it can address problems in other fields as well.

2. METHODOLOGY

REFERENCES

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>Borne, K. D. 2009, Astrominformatics: A 21st
Century Approach to Astronomy, arXiv,
doi: 10.48550/arXiv.0909.3892</p> | <p>Feigelson, E. D., & Babu, G. J. 2004, Statistical
Challenges in Modern Astronomy, arXiv,
doi: 10.48550/arXiv.astro-ph/0401404</p> |
|---|--|

- 77 Gaia Collaboration, Vallenari, A., Brown, A.
78 G. A., et al. 2023, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*,
79 674, A1, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202243940](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202243940)
80 Von Toussaint, U. 2011, *Reviews of Modern*
81 *Physics*, 83, 943,
82 doi: [10.1103/RevModPhys.83.943](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.943)