## Math 296 (the Linear Algebra $parts^1)$

Not Atharva Gawde<sup>2</sup>

March 9, 2024

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{I}$  didn't lock in before this (Analysis).  $^2\mathrm{Taught}$  by Sarah Koch.

# Contents

6 Basis																											
	6.1	Algebraic Numbers																									2

### Lecture 6

### **Basis**

#### 6.1 Algebraic Numbers

**Definition 1.** Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Define  $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha] = \operatorname{span}(\alpha^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$  monomial powers of  $\alpha$ . This is vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Definition 2.** The element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is algebraic provided that there exists a nonzero polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $p(\alpha) = 0$ .

**Example.**  $\alpha = \sqrt{2}$  is algebraic with  $p(x) = x^2 - 2$ .

**Example.**  $i \in \mathbb{C}$  is algebraic with  $p(x) = x^2 + 1$ .

**Example.**  $\pi \in \mathbb{C}$  is not algebraic.

**Definition 3.** If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is not algebraic, then  $\alpha$  is called transcendental.

**Example.**  $\pi \in \mathbb{C}$  is transcendental.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is algebraic.

**Proof.** Suppose  $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  is finitely generated. Then there exists scalars  $\overline{v_1}, \overline{v_2}, \ldots, \overline{v_m} \in \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  such that  $\mathbb{Q}[x] = \operatorname{span}(\overline{v_1}, \overline{v_2}, \ldots, \overline{v_m})$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq m$  we know  $\overline{v_i} \in \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  so we can write

$$\overline{v_i} = q_{i_0} + q_{i_1}\alpha^1 + \dots + q_{i_{n_1}}\alpha^{n_i}$$

where we can assume  $q_{i_{n_i}} \neq 0$ . To avoid this hellish notation let's replace

this with

$$\overline{v_1} = \operatorname{mess}_1 \quad \operatorname{deg} n_1$$
 $\overline{v_2} = \operatorname{mess}_2 \quad \operatorname{deg} n_2$ 
 $\vdots$ 
 $\overline{v_m} = \operatorname{mess}_m \quad \operatorname{deg} n_m$ 

Let  $M = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_m\}$ . Since  $\alpha^{M+1} \in \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  there exist scalars  $d_1, \dots, d_m \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\alpha^{M+1} = d_1\overline{v_1} + \dots + d_m\overline{v_m}$ . Then  $\alpha^{M+1} = d_1(\text{mess}_1) + \dots + d_m(\text{mess}_m) = r_0 + r_1\alpha^1 + \dots + r_M\alpha^M$  with  $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  by expanding all messes and collecting like terms in powers of  $\alpha$ . Define  $p(x) = x^{M+1} - (r_0 + r_1x^1 + \dots + r_Mx^M)$ . We can clear the denominators to get a nonzero polynomial  $\widetilde{p}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $\widetilde{p}(\alpha) = 0$ , so  $\alpha$  is algebraic.

**Note.** This is only one direction of this proof, we will prove the other direction next time.

Recall from last time:

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the vector space  $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha] := \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots)$  is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ 

**Proof.** Last time we showed the forward direction. We assumed  $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  is finitely generated and we found a nonzero polynomial  $\widetilde{p} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $\widetilde{p}(\alpha) = 0$ . We took a generating family  $(\overline{v_1}, \dots, \overline{v_m})$ , and for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , there exist scalars in  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\overline{v_i} = \underbrace{q_{i_0} + q_{i_1}\alpha^1 + \dots + q_{i_{n_1}}\alpha^{n_i}}_{\text{mess}_i}$ . Let

 $M = \max\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m\}$ . Consider  $\alpha^{M+1} \in \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ . There exist scalars  $d_1, \dots, d_m \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\alpha^{M+1} = \alpha^{M+1} = d_1 \overline{v_1} + \dots + d_m \overline{v_m}$$
$$= d_1(\text{mess}_1) + \dots + d_m(\text{mess}_m)$$
$$= r_0 + r_1 \alpha^1 + \dots + r_M \alpha^M$$

So  $0 = -\alpha^{M+1} + r_0 + r_1\alpha^1 + \dots + r_M\alpha^M$ . So defined  $p(x) = -x^{M+1} + r_0 + r_1x^1 + \dots + r_Mx^M$  and we multiplied out the denominators to get  $\widetilde{p} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Now we need to prove the other direction, assume  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We will begin with a motivating example.

**Example.** Suppose  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^5 - 67x^2 + 3 = 0$ , how does this give us a generating family for  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ ?

Let's continue with the proof. Since  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  there exist a nonzero  $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $p(\alpha) = 0$ . We can write  $p(\alpha) = a_0 + a_1 \alpha^1 + \cdots + a_N \alpha^N$  with  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_N \neq 0$ . So

$$\alpha^{N} = -\frac{a_0}{a_N} - \frac{a_1}{a_N} \alpha^1 - \dots - \frac{a_{N-1}}{a_N} \alpha^{N-1}$$
 (\*)

We claim  $\mathbb{Q}[x] = \underbrace{\mathrm{span}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{N-1})}_{\mathrm{RHS}}$ . We will show this by two-way containment. We have LHS  $\supseteq$  RHS immediately from definitions. To

containment. We have LHS  $\supseteq$  RHS immediately from definitions. To show LHS  $\subseteq$  RHS, fix  $\overline{v} \in$  LHS so  $\overline{v} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} b_i \alpha^i$  with  $b_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  and all but finitely many are zero.

Define the degree of  $\overline{v}$  to be  $\max\{i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid b_i \neq 0\}$ , that is the greatest nonzero power. Note this is empty if  $\overline{v} = \overline{0}_V$ . In this case  $\overline{v} \in \text{RHS}$  so we are done. Assume  $\overline{v} \neq \overline{0}_V$  so a maximum exists.

We start with a nice case, if  $\deg(\overline{v}) < N$ , we are done. Now let's tackle a harder case. For  $\deg(\overline{v}) \geq N$  set  $j = \deg(\overline{v}) - (N-1)$ . Note j = 1 when  $\deg(\overline{v}) = N$ . We will induct on j. So for our base case j = 1, we have  $\deg(\overline{v}) = N$ . We want to show  $\overline{v} \in \text{RHS}$ . By (\*), we may replace  $\alpha^N$  in  $\overline{v}$  with the combination in (\*). Then  $\overline{v}$  is a linear combination of vectors in  $(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{N-1})$  so we win!

Now we have our strong inductive hypothesis: Suppose that if  $1 \le j < n$ , then  $\overline{v} \in \text{RHS}$ . We will prove that if j = n, then  $\overline{v} \in \text{RHS}$ . Assume j = n, so  $\deg(\overline{v}) - (N-1) = n$ , or alternatively,  $\deg(\overline{v}) = n + (N-1)$ . So we can write  $\overline{v}$  as

$$\overline{v} = b_{N-1+n} \alpha^{N-1+n} + \overline{v}'$$
$$= b_{N-1+n} \cdot \alpha^{n-1} \cdot \alpha^N + \overline{v}'$$

with  $b_{N-1+n} \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\deg(\overline{v}') < N-1+n$ . Now we can replace  $\alpha^N$  with (\*) so

$$\overline{v} = b_{N-1+n} \left[ -\frac{a_0}{a_N} - \frac{a_1}{a_N} \alpha^1 - \dots - \frac{a_{N-1}}{a_N} \alpha^{N-1} \right] + \overline{v}'$$

and by our inductive hypothesis  $\overline{v} \in RHS$ .