# Lecture 2

# Fields and Polynomials

#### 2.1 Recall from last class

**Example.** We showed that  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is a field if and only if n is prime.

**Explanation.** We have two cases.

- (i) If n is prime we use Bezout's lemma to find inverses.
- (ii) If n is composite, we get zero-divisors. That is, if n is composite, there exist a,b with  $2 \le a \le b \le n-1$  such that n=ab. So then we have  $ab \equiv 0 \mod n$  so a and b form a pair of zero divisors; that is, nonzero elements in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  whose product is 0.

**Note**. This contradiction arises from something we proved in 295. If F is a field and  $a, b \in F$  such that ab = 0, then either a = 0 or b = 0. In other words, a field can not have zero divisors.

## 2.2 Ring Homomorphisms

**Lemma 1.** Let F be a field. Then there exists a unique  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z} \to F$  such that for all  $n,m \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

- (i)  $\varphi(1) = 1_F$
- (ii)  $\varphi(n+m) = \varphi(n) +_F \varphi(m)$ , that is  $\varphi$  is a group homomorphism with respect to +
- (iii)  $\varphi(n \cdot m) = \varphi(n) \cdot_F \varphi(m)$ .

**Lingo.** A function  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z} \to F$  (or from any ring) that satisfies (i), (ii), and (iii) is called a ring homomorphism.

**Proof.** We can construct  $\varphi$  from these properties, building it from the ground up. To satisfy (i), we define  $\varphi(i) := 1_F$ . Then by (ii), we have  $\varphi(2) := \varphi(1+1) = \varphi(1) +_F \varphi(1) = 1_F +_F +1_F$ . Naturally,  $\varphi(3) :=$ 

 $1_F +_F 1_F +_F 1_F$  and so forth. So we define

$$\varphi(n) := \underbrace{1_F +_f \cdots +_F 1_F}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

We have that (1) and (2) hold by construction, and by some casework we have  $\varphi(\underbrace{1+\cdots+1}_{n\cdot m \text{ times}}) = \underbrace{1_F +_F \cdots +_F 1_F}_{n\cdot m \text{ times}} = \varphi(n) \cdot_F \varphi(m)$ , satisfying (3).

This construction is unique since it was completely determined by (1) and (2), and we got (3) as a consequence of using the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we can take this as a definition.

**Lemma 2.** Let F be a field, Let  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z} \to F$  be the ring homomorphism we just defined. Then either

- (i)  $ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is injective, or
- (ii)  $\ker(\varphi) = p\mathbb{Z}$  for some prime p.

**Proof.** If  $\varphi$  is injective, then  $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$  (by homework). Suppose  $\varphi$  is not injective. Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\ker(\varphi) = n\mathbb{Z}$ . Write n = ab for some integers a,b such that  $1 \le a \le b \le n$ , so  $\varphi(n) = \varphi(a) \cdot_F \varphi(b)$ . That is we have  $0_F = \varphi(a) \cdot_F \varphi(b)$  so  $\varphi(a) = 0$  or  $\varphi(b) = 0$  without loss of generality.

### 2.3 Characteristic

**Definition 1** (Characteristic). Let F be a field. Let  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z} \to F$  be the unique ring homomorphism. If  $\varphi$  is injective, then we say that F has characteristic 0. If  $\varphi$  is not injective, then we say F has characteristic p, where  $\ker(\varphi) = p\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example.**  $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{C}) = 0$ 

**Example.**  $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{R}) = 0$ 

**Example.**  $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{Z}/67\mathbb{Z}) = 67$ 

**Example.** There are examples of infinite fields that have prime characteristic. Let's start with  $F_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have the ring

 $F_p[x] := \{\text{polynomials with coefficients in } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \text{ with variable } x\}$ 

Here are some definitions and theorems that are literally only for the purpose of this example.

**Definition 2** (Integral Domain [Hungerford]). A commutative ring R with identity  $1_R \neq 0$  and no zero divisors is called an integral domain.

**Definition 3** ([Hungerford]). A nonempty subset S of a ring R is multiplicative provided that  $a, b \in S$  implies  $ab \in S$ .

**Theorem 1** ([Hungerford]). Let S be a multiplicative subset of a commutative ring R. The relation defined on the set  $R \times S$  by

$$(r,s) \sim (r',s')$$
 if and only if  $s_i(rs'-r's)=0$  for some  $s \in S$ 

is an equivalence relation. Furthermore if R has no zero divisors and  $0 \notin S$ , then

$$(r,s) \sim (r',s')$$
 if and only if  $rs' - r's = 0$ .

**Proof.** You do it. Not me. Or see Hungerford Chapter III Theorem 4.2. This is not really not part of this class. I will not do it. □

**Theorem 2** ([Hungerford]). Denote the equivalence class  $(r, s) \in R \times S$  by r/s. Let  $S^{-1}R$  be the set of all equivalence classes of  $R \times S$  under the equivalence relation  $\sim$  above.

(i)  $S^{-1}R$  is a commutative ring with identity, where addition and multiplication are defined by

$$r/s + r'/s' = (rs' + r's)/ss'$$
 and  $(r/s)(r'/s') = rr'/ss'$ .

- (ii) If R is a nonzero ring with no zero divisors and  $0 \in S$ , then  $S^{-1}R$  is an integral domain.
- (iii) If R is a nonzero ring with no zero divisors and S is the set of all nonzero elements of R, then  $S^{-1}R$  is a field.

**Proof.** You do this one too. Not me. Or see Hungerford Chapter III Theorem 4.3. This is still not part of this class.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 4** (Ring of Quotients [Hungerford]). The ring  $S^{-1}R$  is called the ring of quotients (often ring of fractions or quotient ring) of R by S. In the case where S is the set of all nonzero elements in an integral domain R, then  $S^{-1}R$  is a field called the quotient field (often field of fractions) of the integral domain R.

Let R(x) be the quotient field of R[x]. To make this more understandable,

$$R(x) = \{ p/q \mid p \in R[x], q \in R[x] \setminus \{0\} \}.$$

This is an infinite field. So  $F_p(x)$  is an infinite field with characteristic p.

**Explanation.** It is up to you to prove all the assumptions above. That is, you should prove that the polynomials in one variable over a field form a ring, and further, an integral domain. You should verify the aforementioned theorems. You should prove that the characteristic of  $F_p(x)$  is p. It really is not part of this class. It is just a good example. I will not do it. I will not do it. I will not do it.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose F is a finite field, then  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z} \to F$  can not be injective, so F has prime characteristic.

**Lemma 4.** If 
$$F$$
 has characteristic  $p$ , then  $\underbrace{1_F + \dots + 1_F}_{p \text{ times}} = 0$  and if  $\underbrace{1_F + \dots + 1_F}_{p \text{ times}} = 0$  then  $p \mid n$ .

## 2.4 Polynomials

**Definition 5** (Polynomial). A polynomial over a finite field F is a formal expression of the form  $a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $a_i \in F$  for all  $0 \le i \le n$ , and x is a formal variable.

Note. This is not a function like in 295.

**Definition 6.** The set of all polynomials with coefficients in F is denoted F[x].

**Definition 7.** The 0 polynomial is called the trivial polynomial.

**Definition 8** (Degree of a Polynomial). A nontrivial polynomial can be written as  $b(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_\ell x^\ell$  with  $b_\ell \neq 0$ . In this case, we say b has degree  $\ell$ .

**Remark.** What should the degree of the trivial polynomial be? Some say -1. Others  $-\infty$  to heuristically satisfy that for all  $p, q \in F[x]$ 

$$\deg(p \cdot q) = \deg(p) + \deg(q)$$

**Definition 9** (Polynomial Function). A polynomial function is a function  $F \to F$  that can be defined by evaluating a polynomial in F[x].

**Example.** To make the distinction between polynomials and polynomial

functions clear, consider  $f, g: \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  where  $f(x) = x^3 + x$  and g(x) = 2x. These are different polynomials, but the same function.

emma 5. If  $p, q \in F[x]$  and  $c \in F$ , then

- (i)  $p+q \in F[x]$ (ii)  $p \cdot q \in F[x]$

**Lemma 6** (Descartes). Let  $\alpha \in F$  and let  $p \in F[x]$  be nonzero. Then  $p(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if there exists  $q \in F[x]$  with  $\deg(p) = \deg(q) + 1$  such that  $p(x) = (x - \alpha)q(x)$ .

**Proof.** The backwards implication is immediate from evaluating the expression. For the forward implication, since p is nonzero and  $p(\alpha) = 0$  we must have  $deg(p) \ge 1$ . Write  $p(x) = c_m x^m + \cdots + c_1 x + c_0$  with  $c_i \in F$ . Then  $p(\alpha) = c_m \alpha^m + \cdots + c_1 \alpha + c_0$ . So we have  $p(x) = p(x) - 0 = p(x) - p(\alpha) = c_m (x^m - \alpha^m) + \cdots + c_1 (x - \alpha)$ . Then from homework this is  $= (x - \alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i G_{i-1}(\alpha, x) \text{ where we apply } x^i - \alpha^i = (x - \alpha) \cdot G_{i-1}(x, \alpha)$ 

where  $G_n(\alpha, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n x^n \alpha^{n-k}$  to each term and factor out  $(x - \alpha)$ , leaving us with q(x) with deg(q) = m - 1.

**Definition 10** (Root of a Polynomial). Let  $p \in F[x]$  be nonzero. The field element  $\alpha \in F$  is called a root or a zero of p provided that  $p(\alpha) = 0$ .

**Corollary.** Let  $p \in F[x]$  be nonzero. Then p has  $\leq \deg(p)$  roots in F.

**Proof.** Note that the statement holds if deg(p) = 0. We will use induction on  $\deg(p)$ . Let our candidate inductive set be  $S := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid$ if  $q \in F[x]$  is nonzero and has  $\deg(q) \leq n$ , then q has  $\leq \deg(q)$  roots. We have that  $1 \in S$ , since polynomials of degree one are of the form q(x) = ax + b with  $a, b \in F$  and  $a \neq 0$ , so we can just solve for the root. Suppose  $k \in S$  and let  $q \in F[x]$  be nonzero with degree k+1. If q has no roots we are done. If q does have a root, we can use Descartes to write  $q(x) = (x - \alpha) \cdot r(x)$  where  $\deg(r) = \deg(q) - 1 = k$ , and so our statement holds by the inductive hypothesis and  $k+1 \in S$ .

**Lingo.** A field F is algebraically closed provided that every nonconstant polynomial in F[x] has a root.

**Remark.** C is algebraically closed by the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra. We can build the closure of any field by "throwing in the roots", like  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

**Example.** Is  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  algebraically closed? No, we have that  $x, x+1, x-1, x^2+1, x^2-1$  all have roots, but  $x^2+x+1$  has no root in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . What does  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$ , the smallest algebraically closed field containing  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  look like?

# Lecture 3

# Vector Spaces

#### 3.1 Recall from last class

Last time we explored F[x], the ring of polynomials over a field F. We arrived at some interesting results about their roots, specifically

**Lemma 7** (Descartes). Let  $\alpha \in F$  and let  $p \in F[x]$  be nonzero. Then  $p(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if there exists  $q \in F[x]$  with  $\deg(q) = \deg(p) - 1$  such that  $p(x) = (x - \alpha)q(x)$ .

**Corollary** (still ask sarah, can't we just take q=1, what are we really saying here?). Let  $p\in F[x]$  be nonzero. Suppose  $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k\in F$  are roots of p. Then

- (i) There exists  $q \in F[x]$  such that  $q(\alpha_i) \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and
- (ii) There exist  $m_1, \ldots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p = (x \alpha_1)^{m_1} (x \alpha_2)^{m_2} \cdots (x \alpha_k)^{m_k} \cdot q$ .

**Remark.**  $m_i$  is called the multiplicity of  $\alpha_i$ .

Fun Fact. Let F be a finite field with characteristic p. Then  $|F| = p^n$ .

### 3.2 Vectors and Vector Spaces

What is a vector? A quantity? A scalar? Something with magnitude and direction? Starts at the origin? - 296ers.

**Definition 11** (Vector). A vector  $\overline{v}$  is an element of a vector space.

**Definition 12** (Vector Space). Let F be a field (often called the field of scalars or the ground field). A vector space over the field F is a set V equipped with two operations

- (i) + from :  $V \times V \rightarrow V$  called vector addition
- (ii) · from :  $F \times V \rightarrow V$  called scalar multiplication

such that

- (i) (V, +) is an abelian group. So + is commutative and associative, there exists a unique identity element  $\overline{0} \in V$ , and we have unique additive inverses.
- (ii) For all  $c \in F$  for all  $\overline{v}_1, \overline{v}_2 \in V$ , we have  $c \cdot (\overline{v}_1 + \overline{v}_2) = c \cdot \overline{v}_1 + c \cdot \overline{v}_2$
- (iii) For all  $c_1, c_2 \in F$  for all  $\overline{v} \in V$ , we have  $(c_1 + c_2) \cdot \overline{v} = c_1 \cdot \overline{v} + c_2 \cdot \overline{v}$ .
- (iv) For all  $c_1, c_2 \in F$  for all  $\overline{v} \in V$ , we have  $(c_1c_2) \cdot \overline{v} = c_1 \cdot (c_2 \cdot \overline{v})$ .
- (v) For all  $\overline{v} \in V$ , we have  $1_F \cdot \overline{v} = \overline{v}$ .

**Example.** 
$$V = \mathbb{R}^n$$
 is a vector space over  $F = \mathbb{R}$  where  $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + y_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n + y_n \end{pmatrix}$  defines vector addition, and for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , scalar multiplication is defined as  $c \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} cx_1 \\ \vdots \\ cx_n \end{pmatrix}$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 + y_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n + y_n \end{pmatrix}$$
 defines vector addition, and for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , scalar multiplication

is defined as 
$$c \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} cx_1 \\ \vdots \\ cx_n \end{pmatrix}$$

**Example.**  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$  is a vector space over  $F = \mathbb{R}$ .

**Question**. Given a field F, is F a vector space over itself?

Explanation. Yes TODOTODOTODO