You are given a peer review of a research paper submitted to a scientific journal. Your task is to evaluate if the review uses mostly generic, non-sensical or vague text.

Here are step-by-step instructions:

1. Read the text of the review.

2. Evaluate every comment in the review. For each comment, look for vague comments that do not go into depth. The following are examples of reviewer comments that you should look for:

∗ Reviewer comment: In abstract, the author should add more scientific findings.

∗ Reviewer comment: Comparison of the present results with other similar findings in the literature should be discussed in more detail.

∗ Reviewer comment: What is the motivation of the proposed work?

∗ Reviewer comment: The novelty of this paper is not clear.

∗ Reviewer comment: The difference between present work and previous works should be highlighted.

∗ Reviewer comment: Please refer all relations to valid references after the first appearance in the article.

∗ Reviewer comment: What is assumption and limitation of the proposed materials and model?

∗ Reviewer comment: What can we learn from the presented manuscript?

∗ Reviewer comment: What is the difference of the current work against other published articles?

∗ Reviewer comment: Discuss the novelty and clear application of the work in the abstract as well as in introduction section.

∗ Reviewer comment: Future recommendations should be added to assist other researchers to extend the presented research analysis.

3. Classify whether the review contains vague, generic or non-sensical comments. Start all your answers with yes, no, or partly; then provide one sentence of reasoning for your answer. Only classify as “yes” if the review contains mostly vague, generic or non-sensical comments. If there are some vague, generic or non-sensical comments then classify as “partly”.