## Proprietors Assembly / Hausversammlung

### Final Year Project

ALEXANDER GOGL

SUPERVISOR: CHRIS CASEY

COURSE: BSC (HONS) COMPUTING

University of Central Lancashire

1st March 2019

## Contents

Al	stract	iii				
1	Literature Review					
	1.1 Background of Vue.js	. 1				
	1.2 Comparison to other Frameworks	. 1				
	1.2.1 Vue 2.6.X	. 1				
	1.2.2 React 16.8.X	. 3				
	1.2.3 Angular 7.2.X	. 4				
	1.2.4 Conclusion	. 5				
	1.3 SEO for Single Page Applications	. 7				
2	Project Planning					
	2.1 Methodology	. 9				
	2.2 Requirements					
	2.3 Potential Solutions	. 9				
	2.4 Tools and Techniques	. 9				
	2.5 Legal and Ethical Issues					
3	System Design	10				
	3.1 System Design	. 10				
	3.2 User Interface Design					
	3.3 Summary					
4	Implementation	11				
	4.1 Nuxt.js	. 11				
	4.2 Basic File Structure					
	4.3 Separation of Concerns					
	4.4 API Endpoints	. 11				
	4.5 Authentication / Authorization					
	4.6 Forum	. 11				
	4.7 Polls					
	4.8 Noticeboard	. 11				
	4.9 Issue Log System	. 11				
	4.10 State Management	. 11				
	4.11 Internationalization	. 11				
	4.12 Linting	. 11				
5	Test Strategy	12				
	5.1 Introduction					

	5.2	Testing Frontends	12		
	5.3	Test Setup	12		
	5.4	Continuous Integration	12		
6	Dep	loyment	13		
	6.1	Applicability of Containers	13		
	6.2	Docker	13		
	6.3	Docker Swarm	13		
	6.4	Microservices	13		
	6.5	Reverse Proxy	13		
7	Eval	uation	14		
	7.1	Project Objectives	14		
	7.2	Self Evaluation	14		
	7.3	Project Evaluation	14		
	7.4	Commercial Applicability	14		
	7.5	Conclusions	14		
	7.6	Future Work	14		
$\mathbf{G}$	lossar	y	16		
References					
	Lite	rature	17		
	Onli	ne sources	18		
List of figures					
List of Code Samples					
List of tables					
Index					

## Abstract

### Literature Review

### 1.1 Background of Vue.js

Although existing frameworks were already used in order to create "Single Page Applications", a concept which was discussed as early as 2003 [18] and used as a term for describing webinterfaces with a smooth almost native-like user experience [9], none of these were designed with the purpose of rapidly prototyping UI interfaces. Angular, already widely used by developers and initially created by Google was too big and bloated of a framework to be sensible for small applications. React, a fairly new framework at the time also proved being too complex just like Backbone.js which was used for large-scale enterprise applications. There was something missing: a lightweight framework flexible enough to quickly build prototypes while not being too hard to master. As existing solutions did not seem adequate for this exact purpose [3, p. 10] new frameworks emerged, with Vue being one of them. Its lightweight approach of reactive data-binding and reusable HTML-based components helped fill that niche. Rising in popularity over the years Vue is now utilized for complex enterprise-grade applications and small prototypes alike and has since been adopted by many developers and companies around the world. The most noteworthy of which are: Facebook, Netflix, Adobe, Xiaomi, Alibaba and GitLab [19]. With more than 130,000 stars on GitHub at the time of this writing, Vue is more popular than both React (122,000 stars) and Angular (45,000 stars).

### 1.2 Comparison to other Frameworks

As there is an excessive amount of libraries and frameworks available for creating web based applications this section will be limited to comparing Vue to the other most popular frameworks React and Angular. The following aspects of these will be evaluated: basic usage, scaling, performance, build size and ease of learning. As some terms are prone to ambiguity further clarification is necassary: basic usage describes how a framework is typically used when integrated into an environment that allows some kind of build process. For example Webpack is most commonly used as a bundler, providing a build process which main purpose it is to consolidate, transform and transpile code for usage in a browser, allowing developers to use next-gen JavaScript syntax that is not yet widely available in browsers among other things. Scaling discusses the capabilities of adding functionality to a framework. Flexibility describes if a framework enforces specific conventions in other words, how opionionated it is.

#### 1.2.1 Vue 2.6.X

Vue.js is considered a very performant JavaScript framework that "makes it easier for developers to create rich, interactive websites" [7]. Instead of directly updating a sites DOM which is an expensive operation Vue utilizes a virtual DOM [14] - the representation of the actual DOM as a JavaScript object [16]. When updating the UI, changes are made to this object which is a far cheaper operation. To get the real DOM in sync an efficient updating function is called, resulting in reduced ineffeciency especially in case many nodes have to be updated [16].

#### Basic Usage

By default, any valid HTML can be used to define the basic structure of Vue components, which is also referred to as a "template" in Vue terminology. Component-scoped data and logic are defined within script tags and are complemented by functional directives such as "v-if" inside the template. Style sheets are defined within style tags, separating style and logic. By optionally using the scoped keyword, CSS is bound to a specific component, reducing the risk of polluting global style sheets. The composition of template, script and style tags is called a "Single File Component", indicating that only a single file is needed to create a functional and styled component. Additionally, props provide a uni-directional way of passing data from a parent component to a child component, whereas events are commonly used to pass data in the opposite direction. "Methods" can be defined to execute repeatable code, "computed properties" are a powerful way of reflecting changes of data and "watchers" behave like computed properties but only execute code upon data changes without returning data themselves.

```
1
   <template>
2
   <div>
3
   <h1 v-if="condition" class="custom-title"> Hello {{ user }} </h1>
   <h1 v-else> "Hello Stranger" </h1>
5
6
   </template>
7
8
   </script>
9
   export default {
10
        data: {
11
            return() {
12
                user: "Alex"
13
14
       },
15
       methods: {/*execute code*/},
16
        computed: {/*return data when data changes*/},
17
        watch: {/*execute code when data changes*/}
   }
18
19
   </script>
20
21
   </style scoped>
22
   .custom-title {
23
       size: 30px;
24
   }
25
   </style>
```

Listing 1.1: Vue Single File Component

### Scaling

Vue applications can easily be scaled up or down in terms of application functionality depending on the requirements. In order to create sophisticated and large applications, three parts have to be incorporated in general: The core library, routing and state management, of which all are officially provided by Vue as supporting libraries [14]. This typically goes along with bundling tools such as Webpack or Browserify, which make for a much more powerful development environment. In addition, Vue offers an optional CLI generator interface for scaffolding projects, leaving the choice to the developer which building system and plugins to use. Using this interface, sophisticated applications along with routing and state management can be created. If

the goal is to add reactive and interactive elements to an existing webpage, Vue can be used by adding a script tag to any valid site where necessary [12, 14]. In doing so, no bundler is needed for code to function but at the same time developers are deprived from being able to use plugins, preprocessors, and various other tools and are most commonly left with a larger bundle size [14].

#### Performance & Build Size

The source size of Vue with the additional dependencies of vuex (state-management) and vuerouter is about 30KB [14]. As for performance, Vue resides in the upper category in terms of startup time, memory allocation and rendering time [17], due to the usage of a virtual DOM. Early beta versions of Vue 3.0 even reduce memory allocation and rendering time by about 50%.

### Flexibility & Learning Curve

Among other things, Single File Components are easy to use when coming from an HTML background, make transitioning existing applications to Vue smoother, do not have a steep learning curve resulting in faster adoption by beginners and can be further enhanced with various preprocessors [14]. As Vue supports various bundlers and build systems while not enforcing specific ways of usage, you could argue that it is less opinionated than some other technologies.

### 1.2.2 React 16.8.X

#### Basic Usage

React describes itself as a "library for building user interface", which does not automatically come with as much functionality a traditional framework would have [1, p. 2]. Like Vue it also utilizes a virtual DOM [1, p. 81]. In React everything is defined in terms of JavaScript, meaning HTML often coupled with CSS are directly embedded into so called render functions. JSX, which is essentially a syntax extension to JavaScript [13] is most commonly used (even though not necessary) to define these render functions and is capable of mixing the full power of a programming language with rendering and UI logic [14]. Styling is most commonly achieved by CSS-in-JS solutions provided by additional libraries, effectively consolidating logic and styling in the same place [5].

```
1 class Welcome extends React.Component {
2    render() {
3        return <h1>Hello, {this.props.name}</h1>;
4    }
5 }
```

Listing 1.2: Usage of JSX Render Function

In addition, JSX render functions provide, full leverage of JavaScript, including temporary variables and direct references to these and good tooling support (linting, type checking, autocompletion) [13, 14].

#### **Scaling**

Like Vue React can either be used with a bundler or added to a single site by using a script tag. React outsources routing and state management to the community, fragmenting its ecosysytem in the process [14]. More than eleven well-known routing libraries are available for React with similar statistics for state management and styling which gives developers a vast variety of available options but also makes choosing the right library for a projects requirements a much more tedious task. Reacts cli "create-react-app" works in a similar fashion like Vues but is much more limited: instead of letting the user choose a variety of options, it assumes that a single page application is to be created with always the same dependencies. However, an existing project can be migrated to a more customized environment with a command provided by React.

#### Performance & Build Size

The React source itself has about 4.7KB gzipped which makes sense, given that React advertises itself as a library rather than a framework. However, including React DOM (34KB), Routing with react-router (6.9KB) and state management with redux (2.4KB) the total size grows considerably to 48KB. Performancewise, React can also be categorized as a library of the upper category [17] due to its usage of a virtual DOM.

### Flexibility & Learning Curve

To take advantage of online resources and documentation JSX is almost a requirement. As it is an extension to JavaScript, developers who are familiar with this programming language can easily learn the additional syntax [13]. Without prior knowledge of JavaScript however, the learning curve rises considerably. The availability of various libraries makes React a very flexible library, given that the core of react is so slim and additional functionality is to be added by using those.

### 1.2.3 Angular 7.2.X

#### **Basic Usage**

Even though JavaScript could be used, Angular essentially requires the usage of TypeScript, a typed superset of JavaScript [20]. As opposed to JavaScript, TypeScript comes with static type checking which naturally makes applications less prone to errors [10] and therefore is often used within very large corporate projects. Like Vue Angular also uses a component-based approach for composing user interfaces, but rather than using a single file, multiple files for HTML, CSS and JavaScript logic are typically created.

```
8
   import { Component } from '@angular/core';
9
10
   @Component({
11
     selector: 'app-root',
12
     templateUrl: './app.component.html',
13
     styleUrls: ['./app.component.css']
14
15
16
   export class SampleComponent {
17
     show: boolean = true;
18
```

Listing 1.3: Angular Basic Usage Example

### **Scaling**

Designed with the purpose of building large and complex applications Angulars API exposes a lot of functionality which is most commonly only necessary for exactly these types of applications. It includes everything from routing, state management, http calls to complete testing suites, however, Angular can also be added to existing sites using a script tag, only providing core functionality.

#### Performance & Build Size

Angular applications built with the angular project scaffolding interface angular-cli have around 65KB gzipped, double the space as the other two frameworks. As for performance, Angular is also a performant framework [17] but becomes slow under certain circusmstances: For instance if a project utilizes a lot of watchers and data in the scope changes, all watchers are re-evaluated again [14].

#### Flexibility & Learning Curve

Angulars very big ecosystem and API provide most needed functionality out of the box but at the same time limit its flexibility. What is more, by prodiving pre-defined ways of interacting with Angular, it inherently becomes opinionated and more difficult to master [14].

### 1.2.4 Conclusion

All three frameworks solve similar problems but are used in different ways. Vues Single File Components consolidate logic, styles and HTML in the same place, React can and is most often used in a very resemblant way if used with a CSS-in-JS approach, whereas the "Angular way" is to split these parts into separate files.

Applications built with React or Vue can be easily scaled up or down depending on the application-requirements. Large applications typically need the core library, routing and state management. While all of these are officially provided by the Vue core team for Vue applications, React leaves routing and state management to the community [14]. Vue and React both offer an optional CLI generator interface to scaffold projects, however, Vue offers more options, leaving the choice to the developer which building system and plugins to use. React's


more limiting approach with create-react-app makes it easier to start a project as it only needs a single dependency but limits the user to a given setup, which can however, be moved to a more customized environment with little effort whereas Angular is completely set up for the development of very large projects and provides most functionality out of the box, scaling up is therefore not a big problem because developers can rely on most of the functionality already existing.

All three frameworks can be scaled down by adding script tags to any site [12, 14] which however removes the powerful building layer.

Vue and React both are similar in terms of runtime performance, are based on a virtual DOM and are used for similar use cases while Angular is a much more heavy-weight framework regarding performance and size.

JSX as well as TypeScript are additional learning steps and can lead to decreased productivity in smaller projects. Being a dynamic language, JavaScript's ability to address quickly changing requirements makes it especially suitable for rapid prototyping [10, p. 72]. By using very HTML-like components Vue is often easier to master than the other two frameworks as most developers have at least basic knowledge of HTML. Nonetheless, Vue makes it possible to use TypeScript if the need arises, paving the way for bigger projects [15]. Angular is not very flexible in the sense that users can pick whatever supporting library they deem best and is said to be an opinionated framework which enforces the "Angular way". Such frameworks are "pragmatic, with a strong sense of direction" [2] often forcing very specific conventions upon its users, effectively restricting what a developer can to with a framework. This also means there is a steeper learning curve but once overcome, can lead to increased productivity [14].

The following diagram does by no means reflect the properties of the given framework / library 100% accurately as some of them are somewhat subjective (e.g flexibility) but shall rather illustrate the strengths and weaknesses in relation to another when used in a typical manner.



### 1.3 SEO for Single Page Applications

### Introduction

There is no doubt that competing companies all over the world want to be found first in the biggest market of all: the internet. As 67.60% of organic clicks are accounted by the first five pages returned by the SERP [6], these same companies are highly incentivized to invest into an improved search engine rank which was found to have a positive impact on an organizations performance [11]. Therefore, the process of Search Engine Optimization has become ubiquitous in our modern era as it promises to attract more users to a certain website [6]. In other words: more users mean there is a greater probability of conversions, thus generating more revenue.

Unfortunately, successfully implementing SEO is a very delicate matter. In reality it is a set of techniques geared towards software rather than humans. These programs are commonly referred to as crawlers or bots which traverse the Web by exploiting the Web's hyperlinked structure and add their findings to the search engine's index [4, 8]. They start on a websites root page and try to find any available links on that site [4]. The process of choosing which links to follow, itself is dependant on complex algorithms as different crawlers will have to make different decisions [8]. For example a crawler trying to index the web as comprehensively as possible will have

different underlying instructions than one that tries to find product reviews [8]. After being added to the index, a site's content is destructured and with additional metrics such as speed, size of images and mobile-friendliness its search engine rank is calculated [4].

Search Engine Optimization helps crawlers better understand a site's content and "serves as a solution to figure out the nature of each webpage and determine how it can be made worthwhile to the users" [6].

### Optimizing SPA's

In general there are two ways to improve any type of site's rank: on-site optimization and offsite optimization [6]. The first pertains to techniques that can be implemented on a site itself, such as efficiency of a webserver, compression of data, deferred loading of images, keywoards or the actual content, whereas the latter is a set of techniques which primarily drive more traffic to a certain site, such as social media marketing or the creation of backlinks [6].

# **Project Planning**

- 2.1 Methodology
- 2.2 Requirements
- 2.3 Potential Solutions
- 2.4 Tools and Techniques
- 2.5 Legal and Ethical Issues

# System Design

- 3.1 System Design
- 3.2 User Interface Design
- 3.3 Summary

# **Implementation**

- 4.1 Nuxt.js
- 4.2 Basic File Structure
- 4.3 Separation of Concerns
- 4.4 API Endpoints
- 4.5 Authentication / Authorization
- **4.6** Forum
- **4.7** Polls
- 4.8 Noticeboard
- 4.9 Issue Log System
- 4.10 State Management
- 4.11 Internationalization
- 4.12 Linting

# **Test Strategy**

### 5.1 Introduction

### 5.2 Testing Frontends

Describes which possibilites there are regarding frontend testing: Mainly unit and integration

### 5.3 Test Setup

Talks about vue-test-utils and jest integration

### 5.4 Continuous Integration

Gitlab CI / CD

# Deployment with Docker

- 6.1 Applicability of Containers
- 6.2 Docker
- 6.3 Docker Swarm
- 6.4 Microservices
- 6.5 Reverse Proxy

## **Evaluation**

- 7.1 Project Objectives
- 7.2 Self Evaluation
- 7.3 Project Evaluation
- 7.4 Commercial Applicability
- 7.5 Conclusions
- 7.6 Future Work

# Glossary

**Routing** Is responsible for coordinating page changes on a website, in the case of single page applications it allows for switching pages without refreshing the page. 4

## References

### Literature

- [1] Alex Banks and Eve Porcello. Learning React: Functional Web Development with React and Redux. "O'Reilly Media, Inc.", 2017 (cit. on p. 3).
- [2] Kevin Bedell. 'Opinions on Opinionated Software'. In: Linux J. 2006.147 (July 2006), pp. 1-. URL: http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1145562.1145563 (cit. on p. 6).
- [3] Olga Filipova. Learning Vue. js 2. Packt Publishing Ltd, 2016 (cit. on p. 1).
- [4] Gil Fink and Ido Flatow. 'Search Engine Optimization for SPAs'. In: *Pro Single Page Application Development: Using Backbone.js and ASP.NET*. Berkeley, CA: Apress, 2014, pp. 267–276. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4302-6674-7\_12 (cit. on pp. 7, 8).
- [5] Naimul Islam Naim. 'ReactJS: An Open Source JavaScript Library for Front-end Development'. In: (2017) (cit. on p. 3).
- [6] M. N. A. Khan and A. Mahmood. 'A distinctive approach to obtain higher page rank through search engine optimization'. In:  $S\bar{a}dhan\bar{a}$  43.3 (Mar. 2018), p. 43. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12046-018-0812-3 (cit. on pp. 7, 8).
- [7] Callum Macrae. Vue. js: Up and Running: Building Accessible and Performant Web Apps. "O'Reilly Media, Inc.", 2018 (cit. on p. 1).
- [8] Filippo Menczer et al. 'Evaluating topic-driven web crawlers'. In: *Proceedings of the 24th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval.* ACM. 2001, pp. 241–249 (cit. on pp. 7, 8).
- [9] Michael Mikowski and Josh Powell. Single Page Web Applications: JavaScript end-to-end. 1st. Generic publisher, 2013 (cit. on p. 1).
- [10] Francisco Ortin and Miguel Garcia. 'A Programming Language That Combines the Benefits of Static and Dynamic Typing'. In: Software and Data Technologies. Ed. by José Cordeiro, Maria Virvou and Boris Shishkov. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013, pp. 72–87 (cit. on pp. 4, 6).
- [11] Zhuofan Yang, Yong Shi and Bo Wang. 'Search engine marketing, financing ability and firm performance in E-commerce'. In: *Procedia Computer Science* 55 (2015), pp. 1106–1112 (cit. on p. 7).

### Online sources

- [12] React contributors. Add React to a Website React. URL: https://reactjs.org/docs/add-react-to-a-website.html (visited on 18/02/2019) (cit. on pp. 3, 6).
- [13] React contributors. Rendering Elements React. URL: https://reactjs.org/docs/rendering-elements.html (visited on 18/02/2019) (cit. on pp. 3, 4).
- [14] Vue contributors. Comparison with Other Frameworks Vue.js. URL: https://vuejs.org/v2/guide/comparison.html (visited on 18/02/2019) (cit. on pp. 1-6).
- [15] Vue contributors. TypeScript Support Vue.js. URL: https://vuejs.org/v2/guide/typescript.html (visited on 18/02/2019) (cit. on p. 6).
- [16] Anthony Gore. What's The Deal With Vue's Virtual DOM? Vue.js Developers Medium. Dec. 2017. URL: https://medium.com/js-dojo/whats-the-deal-with-vue-s-virtual-dom-3ed4fc0dbb20 (visited on 01/03/2019) (cit. on p. 1).
- [17] Stefan Krause. *Interactive Results*. URL: https://stefankrause.net/js-frameworks-benchmark8/table.html (visited on 20/02/2019) (cit. on pp. 3-5).
- [18] Ian Oeschger Marcio Galli Roger Soares. Inner-browsing extending the browser navigation paradigm Archive of obsolete content | MDN. URL: https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Archive/Inner-browsing\_extending\_the\_browser\_navigation\_paradigm (visited on 28/02/2019) (cit. on p. 1).
- [19] Michał Sajnóg. 13 Top Companies That Have Trusted Vue.js Examples of Applications / Netguru Blog on Vue. Mar. 2018. URL: https://www.netguru.com/blog/13-top-companies-that-have-trusted-vue.js-examples-of-applications;%20http://gfs.sf.net/gerris.pdf (visited on 18/02/2019) (cit. on p. 1).
- [20] TypeScript JavaScript that scales. URL: https://www.typescriptlang.org/ (visited on 19/02/2019) (cit. on p. 4).

# List of Figures

# Listings

1.1	Vue Single File Component	4
1.2	Usage of JSX Render Function	,
1.3	Angular Basic Usage Example	2

## List of Tables