Assignment 3: Transition Parsing with Neural Networks

Niranjan Balsubramanian and Jun Kang CSE 628 Spring 2018

Name: Aishwarya Danoji Student Id: 111493647

Experiments:

1) Using different activation function:

| Activation | Cube non- | Sigmoid | tanh | ReLU |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Function | linearity | _ | | |
| UAS | 68.2952364334 | 39.0682254406 | 52.5512874841 | 52.8529052521 |
| UASnoPunc | 71.5480698581 | 42.2653026621 | 55.7423839937 | 56.0701972532 |
| LAS | 63.8781563926 | 26.8689084428 | 44.7466161478 | 46.1998653937 |
| LASnoPunc | 66.8795568869 | 29.9666534788 | 47.4707511445 | 48.9628666704 |
| UEM | 8.82352941176 | 1.35294117647 | 2.88235294118 | 2.70588235294 |
| UEMnoPunc | 9.47058823529 | 1.35294117647 | 2.88235294118 | 2.70588235294 |
| ROOT | 53.1176470588 | 6.05882352941 | 27.7647058824 | 26.8235294118 |
| Loss at step | 0.38480965614 | 1.2510985291 | 0.67726225435 | 0.59067626655 |
| 1000 | | | | |

Analysis:

Cubic non-linearity gives best performance as seen below. The paper also states the same. Then ReLU performs better than tanh, which performs better than sigmoid activation function in forward pass function.

2) Varying number of hidden layers:

| No. of Hidden Layer | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| UAS | 68.2952364334 | 62.3027644141 | 64.3567564873 |
| UASnoPunc | 71.5480698581 | 65.884813203 | 67.7584355395 |
| LAS | 63.8781563926 | 57.1827404841 | 58.8727970686 |
| LASnoPunc | 66.8795568869 | 60.55502176 | 61.9086644436 |
| UEM | 8.82352941176 | 4.70588235294 | 5.88235294118 |
| UEMnoPunc | 9.47058823529 | 4.94117647059 | 6.23529411765 |
| ROOT | 53.1176470588 | 40.1764705882 | 45.0 |
| Loss at step 1000 | 0.384809656143 | 0.427519073784 | 0.495716896653 |

Analysis:

As the number of hidden layers increase the accuracy obtained decreases as the randomness increases and for the neural network to learn we will require a much larger and better training dataset.

3) Varying number of hidden layer size:

| Hidden | 200 | 256, 1001 | 256, 3001steps | 350,4001 |
|--------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| Layer Size | | | | |
| UAS | 68.2952364334 | 58.2446344443 | 78.5751676347 | 80.9706608171 |
| UASnoPunc | 71.5480698581 | 62.2110439157 | 80.820098344 | 83.0158819872 |
| LAS | 63.8781563926 | 53.7004262532 | 75.4867013984 | 77.9769175163 |
| LASnoPunc | 66.8795568869 | 57.6216582829 | 77.3526253321 | 79.6303622902 |
| UEM | 8.82352941176 | 4.05882352941 | 18.6470588235 | 21.8823529412 |
| UEMnoPunc | 9.47058823529 | 4.05882352941 | 19.3529411765 | 23.1176470588 |
| ROOT | 53.1176470588 | 23.3529411765 | 78.1764705882 | 79.1764705882 |
| Loss at step | 0.384809656143 | 0.40619502604 | 0.275294728577 | 0.215014297217 |

Analysis:

As we increase the size of the hidden layer the performance of the model improves till a point after which it would stop/drop due to increase in randomness.

4) Random Seed:

| | Normal | Uniform | Poisson |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| UAS | 68.2952364334 | 52.8603833786 | 66.632599646 |
| UASnoPunc | 71.5480698581 | 56.6410444809 | 69.9542191827 |
| LAS | 63.8781563926 | 43.3232794077 | 61.2583194157 |
| LASnoPunc | 66.8795568869 | 46.4675295315 | 64.0846662522 |
| UEM | 8.82352941176 | 2.94117647059 | 7.35294117647 |
| UEMnoPunc | 9.47058823529 | 3.52941176471 | 8.23529411765 |
| ROOT | 53.1176470588 | 31.3529411765 | 53.7058823529 |
| Loss at step 1000 | 0.38480965614 | 22.4908642292 | 9009.6086871 |

Analysis:

Random Normal initialization of weights and bias gives best result, followed by Poission.

5) Using different parameters

| Parameter | Learning_rate | Learning_rate | Learning_rate |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Value | =0.1 | =0.2 | =0.01 |
| UAS | 68.2952364 | 62.1606800 | 16.3746042 |
| UASnoPunc | 71.5480698 | 65.6191714 | 16.2606680 |
| LAS | 63.8781563 | 57.2350873 | 6.59321484 |
| LASnoPunc | 66.8795568 | 60.4306787 | 7.16385011 |
| UEM | 8.82352941 | 5.52941176 | 0.76470583 |
| UEMnoPunc | 9.47058823 | 5.70588235 | 0.76470588 |
| ROOT | 53.1176470 | 39.9411764 | 2.76470588 |
| Loss at step 1000 | 0.38480965 | 0.44282124 | 1.86617072 |

6) Have three separate parallel hidden layers, one for combining word embedding's, one for POS, and one for deps. The accuracy is reduced drastically.

| UAS | 39.6315776354 |
|-------------------|----------------|
| UASnoPunc | 42.0166167411 |
| LAS | 29.7554652641 |
| LASnoPunc | 31.4248572882 |
| UEM | 1.70588235294 |
| UEMnoPunc | 1.70588235294 |
| ROOT | 12.3529411765 |
| Loss at step 1000 | 0.829744266868 |

7) **Effect of fixing Word, POS and Dep Embedding's** – If we fix the embedding's the accuracy decreases.

| Parameter Value | Trainable=False |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| UAS | 21.6865667921 |
| UASnoPunc | 22.8621488724 |
| LAS | 11.3941720468 |
| LASnoPunc | 11.8917085853 |
| UEM | 0.82352941176 |
| UEMnoPunc | 0.82352941176 |
| ROOT | 10.5294117647 |
| Loss at step 1000 | 1.67825073957 |

- 8) **Gradient clipping** Got NaN at step100. In deep networks or recurrent neural networks, error gradients can accumulate during an update and result in very large gradients. These in turn result in large updates to the network weights, and in turn, an unstable network. At an extreme, the values of weights can become so large as to overflow and result in NaN values. This situation can be avoided using gradient clipping.
- 9) Best Model: Following are the configuration for best model obtained-

Cubic Activation function, Random Normal for initializing weights and bias, did not fix the embedding's.

```
max_iter = 5001
batch_size = 10000
hidden_size = 300
embedding_size = 50
learning_rate = 0.1
```

display_step = 100 validation_step = 200 n_Tokens = 48 lam = 1e-8

| UAS | 82.453822569 |
|-------------------|----------------|
| UASnoPunc | 84.3921324818 |
| LAS | 79.5722511653 |
| LASnoPunc | 81.1479116035 |
| UEM | 23.0588235294 |
| UEMnoPunc | 24.9411764706 |
| ROOT | 83.3529411765 |
| Loss at step 4000 | 0.215014297217 |