Assignment 3 Review Form (Student)

Dear Student

Using this form, please review your classmate’s article and presentation, and provide them with useful feedback so that they can constructively use your review and improve the quality of their work.

You must mark your classmate in each category from 1 to 5 (1: Not Acceptable, 2: Marginal, 3: Average, 4: Excellent, and 5: Extraordinary). You should also write a detailed feedback to them so that they can constructively use it.

Please use this form only for one student’s review.

# Article

Student Name: Linus Karsai

……………………

Student’s SID: 312 070 209

……………………

Article Title: Zooming Metadata

……………………

# Reviewer:

Reviewer’s Name: Ramanathan Sivagurunathan

……………………

Reviewer’s SID: rsiv5112 (440 609 416)

……………………

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criterion** | **Mark** |
| **Reviewer Familiarity?**  Please rate your familiarity with the subject matter of the article and presentation  1: Completely outside my research area, …, 5: Working in this area | 1/5 |

# Marks for article:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criterion** | **Mark** |
| **Scientific/Technical Quality**:  How would you rate the scientific/technical quality of the paper?  1: Not acceptable at all, …, 5: Extraordinary | 3/5 |
| **Innovation:**  How would you rate the innovation of the paper, the news being received by the reader?  1: No innovation at all, …, 5: Very innovative | 3/5 |
| **Presentation Quality:**  How would you rate the quality of presentation within the paper?  1: Very Bad, …., 5: Very easy/clear to read and follow | 4/5 |
| **Overall Recommendation:**  What is your overall recommendation for this paper?  1: Not acceptable at all, …, 5: Extraordinary | 4/5 |
| **Total** | 14/20 |

# Marks for presentation:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criterion** | **Mark** |
| **Clear message**:  How would you rate that presentation is clear, logical, smooth and motivating. Ask questions like “Presentation engages the audience’s interest”, “Good eye contact with the audience”, “Slides are readable and not over crowded”, “Proper use of fonts, colour, images, *etc*”, and so on  1: Not acceptable at all, …, 5: Extraordinary | 0/5 |
| **Presentation Quality:**  How would you rate the quality of presentation? Consider all other relevant aspects like “Time used well during the presentation”, “Solutions and experiments are well presented and discussed” “Conclusion is clear”, and “Questions are properly answered”, and so on.  1: Very Bad, …., 5: Extraordinary | 0/5 |
| **Overall Recommendation:**  What is your overall recommendation for this presentation?  1: Not acceptable at all, …, 5: Extraordinary | 0/5 |
| **Total** | 0/15 |

# Detailed Feedback:

Please write your feedback within this box. Use as many lines as you like; we are suggesting up to 500 words would be enough.

|  |
| --- |
| The article is well written with precise information. But I feel that the research is still ongoing as there are less work on the implementation, testing and analysis in the article. Below are some of my observations     1. There are no visual of the prototype solution at all presented in the paper. 2. The details of the prototype is not complete 3. There is no clear mention of why the proposed test was is a suitable for evaluating the solution 4. The experiment is performed with very limited subjects and there is no mention about this 5. There was no mention of how the users were selected 6. Test analysis need to be further improved. This I think is mainly due to lack of detailed testing. |