Assignment 3 Review Form (Student)

Dear Student

Using this form, please review your classmate’s article and presentation, and provide them with useful feedback so that they can constructively use your review and improve the quality of their work.

You must mark your classmate in each category from 1 to 5 (1: Not Acceptable, 2: Marginal, 3: Average, 4: Excellent, and 5: Extraordinary). You should also write a detailed feedback to them so that they can constructively use it.

Please use this form only for one student’s review.

# Article

Student Name: Niku Gorji

……………………

Student’s SID: frah7203

……………………

Article Title: A Model based Clinical Decision Support Approach using DEMATEL, ANP and TOPSIS

……………………

# Reviewer:

Reviewer’s Name: Ramanathan Sivagurunathan

……………………

Reviewer’s SID: rsiv5112 (440609416)

……………………

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criterion** | **Mark** |
| **Reviewer Familiarity?**  Please rate your familiarity with the subject matter of the article and presentation  1: Completely outside my research area, …, 5: Working in this area | 1/5 |

# Marks for article:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criterion** | **Mark** |
| **Scientific/Technical Quality**:  How would you rate the scientific/technical quality of the paper?  1: Not acceptable at all, …, 5: Extraordinary | 4/5 |
| **Innovation:**  How would you rate the innovation of the paper, the news being received by the reader?  1: No innovation at all, …, 5: Very innovative | 4/5 |
| **Presentation Quality:**  How would you rate the quality of presentation within the paper?  1: Very Bad, …., 5: Very easy/clear to read and follow | 5/5 |
| **Overall Recommendation:**  What is your overall recommendation for this paper?  1: Not acceptable at all, …, 5: Extraordinary | 4/5 |
| **Total** | 17/20 |

# Marks for presentation:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criterion** | **Mark** |
| **Clear message**:  How would you rate that presentation is clear, logical, smooth and motivating. Ask questions like “Presentation engages the audience’s interest”, “Good eye contact with the audience”, “Slides are readable and not over crowded”, “Proper use of fonts, colour, images, *etc*”, and so on  1: Not acceptable at all, …, 5: Extraordinary | 5/5 |
| **Presentation Quality:**  How would you rate the quality of presentation? Consider all other relevant aspects like “Time used well during the presentation”, “Solutions and experiments are well presented and discussed” “Conclusion is clear”, and “Questions are properly answered”, and so on.  1: Very Bad, …., 5: Extraordinary | 4/5 |
| **Overall Recommendation:**  What is your overall recommendation for this presentation?  1: Not acceptable at all, …, 5: Extraordinary | 5/5 |
| **Total** | 14/15 |

# Detailed Feedback:

Please write your feedback within this box. Use as many lines as you like; we are suggesting up to 500 words would be enough.

|  |
| --- |
| The paper is very well written. This paper proposes a hybrid model, a future research area. I would like to see few more information in the paper like   1. What are the problems of this novel approach? 2. What are the different ways to introduce this approach in existing environment? 3. How to acquire datasets and transform them for the current need. 4. What are doctors view on such a novel approach as they have the final say of deciding things. 5. What are the different ways to test this approach   With more information listed above, there will be clearer goal for the researcher to more forward. |