## GREENFIELD DEVELOPMENT PTY LTD RESPONSE TO CLAIM

- 1. The defendant admits entering into a construction contract with the plaintiff dated 15 January 2024.
- 2. The defendant admits the contract price of \$385,000 and the 6-month completion timeframe.
- 3. The defendant says that: a) During excavation work, significant structural defects were discovered in the existing foundations; b) These defects could not have been reasonably discovered during pre-contract inspections; c) The defects constituted latent conditions under clause 15.2 of the contract; d) Remediation of these defects required additional work valued at \$45,000.
- 4. The defendant denies that the variation request was excessive and says it was based on: a) A detailed scope of additional works prepared by a structural engineer; b) Competitive quotes from specialist contractors; c) The requirements of the Building Code of Australia.
- 5. The defendant says that the plaintiff's rejection of the variation, despite clear evidence of necessity, constituted: a) A breach of the implied duty of good faith; b) A repudiation of the contract; c) Conduct making it impossible to complete the works.
- 6. The defendant denies wrongful termination and says it was entitled to accept the plaintiff's repudiation and terminate the contract under clause 20.1.
- 7. As to damages, the defendant: a) Denies liability for any damages; b) Says the plaintiff failed to mitigate her losses; c) Claims a quantum meruit for work completed to date.
- 8. Further and alternatively, the defendant counterclaims for: a) Payment for work completed (\$165,000); b) Loss of profit on the uncompleted portion (\$38,500); c) Costs incurred due to wrongful repudiation (\$15,000).

Solicitors for the Defendant Jones & Associates

Dated: 19 May 2025