Worksheet 3

Kyle Chui

2021-1-20

Math 61
Worksheet 3
Kyle Chui
Page 1

Problem 1.

(a) Put a relation E on the integers by xEy if x+y is divisible by 2. Show that E is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive. What are all the elements related to 0? What about all the elements related to 1?

- (b) Now consider the relation T on the integers defined by xTy if x + y is divisible by 3. Is this relation reflexive? Symmetric? Transitive?
- (a) Proof. Observe that for any integer x, x + x = 2x is divisible by 2. Thus xEx and E is reflexive. Suppose xEy for some integers x and y. Then for some integer k, we have x + y = 2k = y + x, so yEx and E is symmetric. Finally, suppose xEy and yEz. Then for some integers m and n, we have x + y = 2m and y + z = 2n. Thus

$$(x + y) + (y + z) = 2m + 2n$$

 $x + 2y + z = 2m + 2n$
 $x + z = 2m + 2n - 2y$
 $x + z = 2(m + n - y)$.

Therefore xEz and E is transitive. All of the elements related to 0 are the even integers, and all of the elements related to 1 are the odd integers.

(b) *Proof.* The relation is not reflexive. Observe that 1+1=2 is not divisible by 3. The relation is symmetric. Suppose xTy, so that there exists some integer k such that x+y=3k. Then y+x=3k, so yEx and the relation is symmetric. The relation is not transitive. Observe that 1T2 because 1+2=3 is divisible by 3, and 2T1 because 2+1=3 is divisible by 3, but 1+1=2 is not divisible by 3. In other words, 1T2 and 2T1 does not imply 1T1.

Math 61
Worksheet 3
Kyle Chui
Page 2

Problem 2. Suppose that X is a set and that we have a function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$. Consider the relation on X defined by aRb if $f(a) \ge f(b)$.

- (a) Show that this relation is reflexive and transitive.
- (b) What condition on f is necessary for this relation to be antisymmetric?
- (c) Describe how by choosing X and f appropriately this relation can give relations on people such as "a is related to b if a is wealthier than b", or "c is related to d if c is older than d".
- (a) Proof. Let $x, y, z \in X$. Observe that for all x that $f(x) \ge f(x)$, so xRx and R is reflexive. Suppose xRy and yRz. Then $f(x) \ge f(y)$ and $f(y) \ge f(z)$. Thus $f(x) \ge f(z)$, so xRz and R is transitive. \square
- (b) We need f to be injective.

Proof. Suppose f is injective and let $x, y \in X$ such that xRy and yRx. Then $f(x) \geq f(y)$ and $f(y) \geq f(x)$, so f(x) = f(y). Because f is injective, we have x = y and thus R is antisymmetric. Suppose towards a contraposition that f is not injective. Then there exists some $x, y \in X$ such that

(c) We may choose X to be the set of people and f to either give a person's wealth or their age to yield the two relations listed.

f(x) = f(y) but $x \neq y$. Thus xRy and yRx and $x \neq y$, so R is not antisymmetric.

Math 61
Worksheet 3
Kyle Chui
Page 3

Problem 3. Put a relation Q on $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ by (a,b)Q(c,d) if ad = bc. Show that Q is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive. What mathematical structure does this remind you of?

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ such that $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2)$, and $z = (z_1, z_2)$. Then xQx because $x_1x_2 = x_2x_1$ implies $x_1x_2 = x_2x_1$, so Q is reflexive. Now suppose xQy, so $x_1y_2 = x_2y_1$. Then $x_2y_1 = x_1y_2$, so Q is symmetric. Finally, suppose xQy and yQz, so $x_1y_2 = x_2y_1$ and $y_1z_2 = y_2z_1$. Multiplying the two equations, we get

$$x_1 z_2(y_1 y_2) = x_2 z_1(y_1 y_2).$$

If $y_1 \neq 0$, then we may divide both sides of the above equation to get $x_1z_2 = x_2z_1$. If $y_1 = 0$, then $x_1y_2 = 0 = y_2z_1$, so $x_1 = 0 = z_1$. Therefore $x_1z_2 = 0 = x_2z_1$. In either case, Q is transitive.

This equivalence relation is the same as the structure of the rational numbers.

Problem 4. The Fibonacci numbers are the sequence $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$, and for n > 1 $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$. Compute the first 10 Fibonacci numbers. Show that $\sum_{i=0}^{k} F_i = F_{k+2} - 1$. Are the Fibonacci numbers increasing, decreasing, nonincreasing, nondecreasing, or none of these? What about the sequence defined by for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by $s_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k} F_i$?

Proof. Observe that for n=0, we have $\sum_{i=0}^{0} F_i = F_0 = 0 = F_2 - 1$. Furthermore, when n=1, we have $\sum_{i=0}^{1} F_i = 0 + 1 = 2 = F_3 - 1$. Suppose that the statement holds for all non-negative integers m between 0 and k, inclusive. Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} F_i = \sum_{i=0}^{k} F_i + F_{k+1}$$
$$= F_{k+2} - 1 + F_{k+1}$$
$$= F_{k+3} - 1.$$

Thus the statement holds for n = k + 1, so the statement is true for all non-negative integers.

Observe that $F_2 = F_1 > F_0$, and $F_n - F_{n-1} = F_{n-2} \ge 0$ for all $n \ge 2$. Thus F_n is nondecreasing. As for s_n , observe that $s_2 > s_1 > s_0$, and $s_n - s_{n-1} = F_n > 0$ for all $n \ge 2$. Thus s_n is increasing.