

all the remaining tuples  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K)$  with  $\text{lcm}[\mathfrak{f}(\chi_1), \dots, \mathfrak{f}(\chi_K)] = \ell$ , we may invoke Proposition 5.1 to obtain, **for all**  $j \in [N]$ ,

$$|Z_{\ell; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j})| = \left| \sum_{v \bmod \ell} \psi_1 \left( v^{\varphi(\ell)} \prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(v)^{A_i} \right) \right| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^K \deg F_{i,j} \right) \ell^{1/2} \leq D_0 \ell^{1/2}.$$

By (5.12), we deduce that for all but  $O_L(1)$  many tuples  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K)$  of characters mod  $\ell^e$  satisfying  $\text{lcm}[\mathfrak{f}(\chi_1), \dots, \mathfrak{f}(\chi_K)] = \ell$ , we have

$$(5.15) \quad |Z_{\ell^e; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j})| \leq D_0 \ell^{e-1/2} \quad \text{for every } j \in [N],$$

and when  $\gcd(\ell - 1, \beta(G_{1,r}, \dots, G_{K,r})) = 1$  for all  $r \in [L]$ , this inequality is true for all  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K)$  mod  $\ell^e$  satisfying  $\text{lcm}[\mathfrak{f}(\chi_1), \dots, \mathfrak{f}(\chi_K)] = \ell$ .

*Case 2:* Now assume that  $e_0 \geq 2$ . Consider an arbitrary  $j \in [N]$  and let  $(G_{i,j'})_{i=1}^K = (F_{i,j})_{i=1}^K$  for some  $j' \in [L]$ . Since  $\ell > C_0 > C_1(G_{1,j'}, \dots, G_{K,j'})$  and  $e_0 \geq 2$ , Proposition 5.3(b) and (5.13) show that  $\tau(\ell) := \text{ord}_\ell \left( (T^{\varphi(\ell^{e_0})} \prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(T)^{A_i})' \right) = 0$ . Consequently, (5.14) and Proposition 5.2(i) yield  $|Z_{\ell^{e_0}; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j})| \leq (\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}_\ell} \mu_\theta(\mathcal{C}_\ell)) \ell^{e_0(1-1/(M_\ell+1))}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_\ell \subset \mathbb{F}_\ell$  denotes the set of  $\ell$ -critical points of the polynomial  $T^{\varphi(\ell^{e_0})} \prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(T)^{A_i}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_\ell(T) := (T^{\varphi(\ell^{e_0})} \prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(T)^{A_i})'$  and  $M_\ell := \max_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}_\ell} \mu_\theta(\mathcal{C}_\ell)$ . Moreover, by the last assertion in Proposition 5.3, any  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}_\ell$  is a root of the polynomial  $\tilde{F}(T) := \sum_{i=1}^K A_i F'_{i,j}(T) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq K \\ r \neq i}} F_{r,j}(T)$  (a nonzero element of  $\mathbb{F}_\ell[T]$ ), and  $\mu_\theta(\mathcal{C}_\ell) = \mu_\theta(\tilde{F})$ . As such,  $M_\ell \leq \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}_\ell} \mu_\theta(\mathcal{C}_\ell) \leq D_0 - 1$ , yielding  $|Z_{\ell^{e_0}; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j})| \leq (D_0 - 1) \ell^{e_0(1-1/D_0)}$ . Thus, by (5.12),

$$(5.16) \quad |Z_{\ell^e; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j})| \leq (D_0 - 1) \ell^{e-e_0/D_0} \quad \text{if } \ell^{e_0} := \text{lcm}[\mathfrak{f}(\chi_1), \dots, \mathfrak{f}(\chi_K)] \in \{\ell^2, \dots, \ell^e\}.$$

For any  $e_0 \in \{1, \dots, e\}$  there are at most  $\ell^{e_0 K}$  tuples  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K)$  of characters mod  $\ell^e$  having  $\text{lcm}[\mathfrak{f}(\chi_1), \dots, \mathfrak{f}(\chi_K)] = \ell^{e_0}$ . Combining (5.16) with the respective assertion in (5.15), we get

$$(5.17) \quad \sum_{(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K) \neq (\chi_0, \dots, \chi_0) \bmod \ell^e} \left| \prod_{j=1}^N Z_{\ell^e; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j}) \right| \leq D_0^N \ell^{eN} \sum_{1 \leq e_0 \leq e} \ell^{e_0(K-N/D_0)},$$

for any prime power  $\ell^e$  with  $\ell > C_0$  satisfying  $\alpha_N^*(\ell) \neq 0$  and  $\gcd(\ell - 1, \beta(G_{1,r}, \dots, G_{K,r})) = 1$  for each  $r \in [L]$ . (In the last inequality above, we have used the fact that  $D_0 \geq 2$ .) Now for each  $j \in [N]$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}_j(\ell) \geq 1 - D_0/(\ell - 1) > 1 - D_0/(C_0 - 1) > 1/2$ , so that  $\alpha_N^*(\ell) \geq 1/2^N$ . If  $N \geq KD_0 + 1$ , then  $\ell^{K-N/D_0} \leq \ell^{-1/D_0} \leq C_0^{-1/D_0} \leq 1/2$ , and (5.17) yields

$$(5.18) \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_N^*(\ell) \varphi(\ell^e)^N} \sum_{(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K) \neq (\chi_0, \dots, \chi_0) \bmod \ell^e} \left| \prod_{j=1}^N Z_{\ell^e; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j}) \right| \leq \frac{2(4D_0)^N}{\ell^{N/D_0-K}}.$$

Inserting this bound into (5.11) shows the assertion (5.8). On the other hand for *any* prime power  $\ell^e$  with  $\ell > C_0$ , (5.15) and (5.16) show that for each fixed  $N \geq 1$ ,

$$(5.19) \quad \sum_{(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K) \neq (\chi_0, \dots, \chi_0) \bmod \ell^e} \left| \prod_{j=1}^N Z_{\ell^e; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j}) \right| \ll \varphi(\ell^e)^N + D_0^N \ell^{eN} \sum_{1 \leq e_0 \leq e} \ell^{e_0(K-N/D_0)}.$$

Thus for a fixed  $N \geq KD_0 + 1$ , a calculation analogous to (5.18) yields

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(\ell^e)^N} \sum_{(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K) \neq (\chi_{0,\ell}, \dots, \chi_{0,\ell}) \bmod \ell^e} \left| \prod_{j=1}^N Z_{\ell^e; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j}) \right| \ll 1.$$

On the other hand if  $N \in \{1, \dots, KD_0\}$ , the expression in (5.19) leads to

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(\ell^e)^N} \sum_{(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K) \neq (\chi_{0,\ell}, \dots, \chi_{0,\ell}) \bmod \ell^e} \left| \prod_{j=1}^N Z_{\ell^e; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j}) \right| \ll e^{\mathbb{1}_{N=KD_0}} \ell^{e(K-N/D_0)}.$$

Inserting the last two bounds displays into (5.10) yields (5.9).

Now for an arbitrary  $q$ , we let  $\tilde{q} := \prod_{\substack{\ell^e \parallel q \\ \ell \leq C_0}} \ell^e$  denote the  $C_0$ -smooth part of  $q$ . By (5.7),

$$(5.20) \quad \#\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{N,K}(\tilde{q}; (w_i)_{i=1}^K) = \frac{1}{\varphi(\tilde{q})^K} \sum_{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K \bmod \tilde{q}} \bar{\chi}_1(w_1) \cdots \bar{\chi}_K(w_K) \prod_{j=1}^N Z_{\tilde{q}; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j}).$$

Given a constant  $C > C_0$ , we fix  $\kappa$  to be any integer constant exceeding  $C \cdot (30D_0C_0^{C_0})^{2C_0}$ , and let  $Q_0 := \prod_{\ell^e \parallel \tilde{q}} \ell^{\min\{e, \kappa\}} = \prod_{\ell \leq C_0} \ell^{\min\{v_\ell(q), \kappa\}}$  denote the largest  $(\kappa+1)$ -free divisor of  $\tilde{q}$ . Write the expression on the right hand side of (5.20) as  $\mathcal{S}' + \mathcal{S}''$ , where  $\mathcal{S}'$  denotes the contribution of those tuples  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K) \bmod \tilde{q}$  for which  $\text{lcm}[\mathfrak{f}(\chi_1), \dots, \mathfrak{f}(\chi_K)]$  is  $(\kappa+1)$ -free, or equivalently, those  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K)$  for which  $\text{lcm}[\mathfrak{f}(\chi_1), \dots, \mathfrak{f}(\chi_K)]$  divides  $Q_0$ .

For each tuple  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K)$  counted in  $\mathcal{S}'$ , there exists a unique tuple  $(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_K)$  of characters mod  $Q_0$  inducing  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K) \bmod \tilde{q}$ , respectively. Noting that  $\tilde{\alpha}_j(\tilde{q}) = \tilde{\alpha}_j(Q_0)$ , a straightforward calculation using (4.14) shows that

$$Z_{\tilde{q}; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j}) = \sum_{v \bmod \tilde{q}} \chi_{0,\tilde{q}}(v) \prod_{i=1}^K \chi_i(F_{i,j}(v)) = \frac{\varphi(\tilde{q})}{\varphi(Q_0)} Z_{Q_0; \psi_1, \dots, \psi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j})$$

for each  $j \in [N]$ . Consequently,

$$\mathcal{S}' = \frac{1}{\varphi(\tilde{q})^K} \left( \frac{\varphi(\tilde{q})}{\varphi(Q_0)} \right)^N \sum_{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_K \bmod Q_0} \bar{\psi}_1(w_1) \cdots \bar{\psi}_K(w_K) \prod_{j=1}^N Z_{Q_0; \psi_1, \dots, \psi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j}),$$

so that invoking (5.7) with  $Q := Q_0$ , we obtain

$$(5.21) \quad \frac{\mathcal{S}'}{\varphi(\tilde{q})^N} = \left( \frac{\varphi(Q_0)}{\varphi(\tilde{q})} \right)^K \frac{\#\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{N,K}(Q_0; (w_i)_{i=1}^K)}{\varphi(Q_0)^N}.$$

We now deal with the remaining sum

$$\mathcal{S}'' = \frac{1}{\varphi(\tilde{q})^K} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K \bmod \tilde{q} \\ \text{lcm}[\mathfrak{f}(\chi_1), \dots, \mathfrak{f}(\chi_K)] \text{ is not } (\kappa+1)\text{-free}}} \bar{\chi}_1(w_1) \cdots \bar{\chi}_K(w_K) \prod_{j=1}^N Z_{\tilde{q}; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j}).$$

For each tuple  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_K)$  of characters mod  $\tilde{q}$  considered in the sum above, we factor  $\chi_i =: \prod_{\ell^e \parallel \tilde{q}} \chi_{i,\ell}$ , where  $\chi_{i,\ell}$  is a character mod  $\ell^e$ . With  $e_\ell := v_\ell(\text{lcm}[\mathfrak{f}(\chi_1), \dots, \mathfrak{f}(\chi_K)])$ , we observe that since  $\mathfrak{f}(\chi_i) = \prod_{\ell^e \parallel q} \mathfrak{f}(\chi_{i,\ell})$  and each  $\mathfrak{f}(\chi_{i,\ell})$  is a power of  $\ell$ , we must have

$\text{lcm}[\mathfrak{f}(\chi_{1,\ell}), \dots, \mathfrak{f}(\chi_{K,\ell})] = \ell^{e_\ell}$ . Letting  $\chi_{1,\ell}, \dots, \chi_{K,\ell}$  also denote the characters mod  $\ell^{e_\ell}$  inducing  $\chi_{1,\ell}, \dots, \chi_{K,\ell}$  mod  $\ell^e$  respectively (for each  $\ell^e \parallel \tilde{q}$ ), we see that at least one of  $\chi_{1,\ell}, \dots, \chi_{K,\ell}$  must be primitive mod  $\ell^{e_\ell}$ . Furthermore for each  $j \in [N]$ ,  $Z_{\tilde{q}; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j}) = \prod_{\ell^e \parallel \tilde{q}} Z_{\ell^e; \chi_{1,\ell}, \dots, \chi_{K,\ell}}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j})$ , so that

$$(5.22) \quad |Z_{\tilde{q}; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_K}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j})| \leq \left( \prod_{\substack{\ell^e \parallel \tilde{q} \\ e_\ell \leq \kappa}} \varphi(\ell^e) \right) \prod_{\substack{\ell^e \parallel \tilde{q} \\ e_\ell \geq \kappa+1}} (\ell^{e-e_\ell} |Z_{\ell^{e_\ell}; \chi_{1,\ell}, \dots, \chi_{K,\ell}}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j})|).$$

We will show that prime powers for all  $\ell^e \parallel \tilde{q}$  with  $e_\ell \geq \kappa+1$ , we have

$$(5.23) \quad |Z_{\ell^{e_\ell}; \chi_{1,\ell}, \dots, \chi_{K,\ell}}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j})| \leq (D_0 C_0^{C_0}) \ell^{e_\ell(1-1/D_0)}.$$

This follows for odd  $\ell$ , by essentially the same argument as that given for (5.16), the only difference is that this time we use *both* the assertions in (5.1) since  $e_\ell \geq \kappa+1 > (30D_0 C_0)^{2C_0} + 1 > C_0 + 2$ . So assume that  $\ell = 2$ , i.e.  $e_2 = v_2(\text{lcm}[\mathfrak{f}(\chi_1), \dots, \mathfrak{f}(\chi_K)]) \geq \kappa+1 \geq 31$ .

We shall use Proposition 5.2(ii) to bound the sum  $Z_{2^{e_2}; \chi_{1,2}, \dots, \chi_{K,2}}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j})$ . To do this, we observe that since  $e_2 \geq 4$ , the characters  $\psi, \eta$  mod  $2^{e_2}$  defined by

$$\psi(5) := \exp(2\pi i / 2^{e_2-2}), \quad \psi(-1) := 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(5) := 1, \quad \eta(-1) := -1$$

generate the character group mod  $2^{e_2}$ . Hence for each  $i \in [K]$ , there exist  $A_{i,2} \in [2^{e_2-2}]$  and  $B_{i,2} \in [2]$  satisfying  $\chi_{i,2} = \psi^{A_{i,2}} \eta^{B_{i,2}}$  and  $(A_{1,2}, \dots, A_{K,2}) \not\equiv (0, \dots, 0) \pmod{2}$  (since  $e_2 \geq 4$  and at least one of  $\chi_{1,2}, \dots, \chi_{K,2}$  is primitive mod  $2^{e_2}$ ). This allows us to write

$$Z_{2^{e_2}; \chi_{1,2}, \dots, \chi_{K,2}}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j}) = \sum_{v \pmod{2^{e_2}}} \psi(g(v)) \eta\left(v^2 \prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(v)^{B_{i,2}}\right),$$

where  $g(T) := \prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(T)^{A_{i,2}}$ . Now  $\eta$  is induced by the nontrivial character mod 4 and  $v^2 \prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(v)^{B_{i,2}} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(\iota)^{B_{i,2}}$  if  $v \equiv \iota \pmod{4}$  ( $\iota = \pm 1$ ). Thus, writing  $v := 4u + \iota$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} & Z_{2^{e_2}; \chi_{1,2}, \dots, \chi_{K,2}}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j}) \\ &= \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(1)^{B_{i,2}}\right) \sum_{u \pmod{2^{e_2-2}}} \psi(h_1(u)) + \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(-1)^{B_{i,2}}\right) \sum_{u \pmod{2^{e_2-2}}} \psi(h_{-1}(u)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_\iota(T) := g(4T + \iota)$ . Note that

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & Z_{2^{e_2}; \chi_{1,2}, \dots, \chi_{K,2}}(F_{1,j}, \dots, F_{K,j}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(1)^{B_{i,2}}\right) \sum_{u \pmod{2^{e_2}}} \psi(h_1(u)) + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(-1)^{B_{i,2}}\right) \sum_{u \pmod{2^{e_2}}} \psi(h_{-1}(u)). \end{aligned}$$

We will now show that the first of the two terms must have size no more than  $(12.5) \cdot 2^{2D_0+C_0} \cdot 2^{e_2(1-1/D_0)}$ ; by an analogous argument, so does the second. If the first term is nonzero, then  $\prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(1)^{B_{i,2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , so that  $\text{ord}_2\left(\prod_{i=1}^K F_{i,j}(4T+1)^{A_{i,2}-1}\right) = 0$ . On the other hand, (5.1) shows that with  $\tilde{G}(T) := \sum_{i=1}^K A_{i,2} F'_{i,j}(T) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq K \\ r \neq j}} F_{r,j}(T)$ , we have  $\text{ord}_2(\tilde{G}(T)) \leq C_0$ , so that  $\text{ord}_2(\tilde{G}(4T+1)) \leq C_0 + 2 \deg \tilde{G}(T) \leq C_0 + 2(D_0 - 1)$ . Combining these observations, we