

We can easily extend eq. (11) to multiple examples $\{s_1, s_2 \dots s_b\}$ and write the gradient descent update (using learning rate η) equations as:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{f}_\theta(s_j) &= -\eta \sum_i \tilde{\alpha}_i(s_j) w_i \quad , \quad \dot{w}_i = -\eta \sum_j \tilde{\alpha}_i(s_j) f_\theta(s_j) \\ \implies \dot{f}_\theta &= -\eta A W^T \quad , \quad \dot{W} = -\eta f_\theta^T A\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

where

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} \alpha_j(s_i) - 1 & \text{if } c_i = j \\ \alpha_j(s_i) & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (\text{i^{th} example, s_i belongs to the class j})$$

B.4. A useful matrix algebra result

Lemma B.1. Let $W(t)$ be a time-varying matrix with singular value decomposition (SVD): $W(t) = U(t)S(t)V(t)^T$, where $U(t)$ and $V(t)$ are orthogonal matrices corresponding to the left and right singular vectors, respectively, and $S(t) = \text{diag}(\sigma_1(t), \sigma_2(t), \dots, \sigma_k(t))$ contains the singular values along its diagonal. Let $u_k(t)$ and $v_k(t)$ denote the k^{th} column vectors of $U(t)$ and $V(t)$, respectively. Then the time derivative of the k^{th} singular value, $\sigma_k(t)$, is given by:

$$\dot{\sigma}_k(t) = u_k(t)^T \dot{W}(t) v_k(t)$$

Proof. For sake of brevity, we will drop the explicit time-dependence of each matrix from the notations. Let us write the singular vector decomposition (SVD) of matrix, $W = USV^T$. Using the product rule of differentiation:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{W} &= \dot{U}SV^T + U\dot{S}V^T + US\dot{V}^T \\ \implies U^T \dot{W}V &= U^T \dot{U}S + \dot{S} + S\dot{V}^T V \\ \implies \dot{S} &= U^T \dot{W}V - U^T \dot{U}S - S\dot{V}^T V \\ \implies \dot{\sigma}_k &= u_k^T \dot{W} v_k - u_k^T \dot{u}_k \sigma_k - \sigma_k v_k^T v_k\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

where the last line is the expression for the k^{th} diagonal element of S . By definition of orthonormal vectors, $u_k^T u_k = 1$. So, $u_k^T u_k + u_k^T \dot{u}_k = 0$. Since $u_k^T u_k$ is a scalar, $u_k^T u_k = u_k^T \dot{u}_k$. Therefore, $u_k^T \dot{u}_k = 0$. Similarly, $v_k^T v_k = 0$. Therefore,

$$\dot{\sigma}_k = u_k^T \dot{W} v_k$$

□

B.5. Formal versions of theoretical results and proofs

Theorem B.2. Let $f_\theta = U_1 S_1 V_1^T$ and $W = U_2 S_2 V_2^T$ denote the respective singular value decompositions (SVDs) of non-degenerate matrices f_θ and W , respectively. If the system is initialized such that $f_\theta^T f_\theta = WW^T$, then it holds that:

$$V_1 = U_2 \quad , \quad S_1^2 = S_2^2$$

Proof. Let us start from the learning dynamics imposed by gradient-descent:

$$\dot{f}_\theta = -\eta A W^T, \quad \dot{W} = -\eta f_\theta^T A \quad (14)$$

Let us write f_θ and W as their respective singular value decomposed form, i.e. say $f_\theta = U_1 S_1 V_1^T$ and $W = U_2 S_2 V_2$. Consider the dynamics of $f_\theta^T f_\theta$ and WW^T :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(f_\theta^T f_\theta) &= \dot{f}_\theta^T f_\theta + f_\theta \dot{f}_\theta = (-\eta A W^T)^T f_\theta + f_\theta^T (-\eta A W^T) \\ &= -\eta W A^T f_\theta - \eta f_\theta^T A W^T \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(WW^T) &= \dot{W}W^T + W\dot{W}^T = (-\eta f_\theta^T A)W^T + W(-\eta f_\theta^T A)^T \\ &= -\eta f_\theta^T A W^T - \eta W A^T f_\theta \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

From eqs. (15) and (16), it is clear that $\frac{d}{dt}(f_\theta^T f_\theta) = \frac{d}{dt}(WW^T)$, i.e. $f_\theta^T f_\theta = WW^T + C$, for some constant C . If we assume the initialization to be such that $C = 0$ and f_θ and W are non-degenerate, we have:

$$f_\theta^T f_\theta = WW^T \implies V_1 S_1^2 V_1^T = U_2 S_2^2 U_2^T$$

By uniqueness of SVD (for positive semi-definite matrices):

$V_1 = U_2 \implies V_1^T U_2 = I$
 $S_1^2 = S_2^2$

□

Theorem B.3. *Let f_θ, W be the matrices whose dynamics are governed by the gradient-descent equations as previously defined. Given the conditions from Theorem B.2, the magnitude of the time derivatives of the i^{th} singular values of f_θ and W are proportional to their respective singular values:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{\sigma}_{1i}\| &\propto \sigma_{1i} \\ \|\dot{\sigma}_{2i}\| &\propto \sigma_{2i} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, assuming uniform class prediction at initialization and that number of classes, $|\mathcal{V}| \gg 1$, the time derivatives are bounded by the dominant class size:

$$\|\dot{\sigma}_{1i}\|, \|\dot{\sigma}_{2i}\| \propto \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}(c^{(0)}))$$

where $\mathcal{N}(c^{(0)})$ denotes the number of instances belonging to the dominant class $c^{(0)}$.

Proof. Let us start from the results of Theorem B.2: $S_1^2 = S_2^2 \implies \sigma_{1i}^2 = \sigma_{2i}^2 \forall i$. So, $\sigma_{1i} = \pm \sigma_{2i}$. Using this relation, we can simplify the expression of σ_{1i} dynamics. From Theorem B.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\sigma}_{1i} &= u_{1i}^T \dot{f}_\theta v_{1i} = -\eta u_{1i}^T A W^T v_{1i} \\ &= -\eta u_{1i}^T A (U_2 S_2 V_2^T)^T v_{1i} = -\eta u_{1i}^T A V_2 S_2 U_2^T v_{1i} \\ &= -\eta u_{1i}^T A V_2 S_2 V_1^T v_{1i} \quad [\text{Using Theorem B.2}] \\ &= -\eta \sum_j (u_{1i}^T A v_{2j}) \sigma_{2j} (v_{1j} v_{1i}) = -\eta \sum_j (u_{1i}^T A v_{2j}) \sigma_{2j} \delta_{i=j} \\ \implies \dot{\sigma}_{1i} &= -\eta (u_{1i}^T A v_{2i}) \sigma_{2i} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Similarly, we can simplify the dynamics for σ_{2i} :

$$\dot{\sigma}_{2i} = -\eta(u_{1i}^T A v_{2i}) \sigma_{1i} \quad (18)$$

For sake of brevity, let us denote $(u_{1i}^T A v_{2i}) = g_i$. Using the relationship between σ_{1i} and σ_{2i} , we can simplify eqs. (17) and (18) as:

$$\dot{\sigma}_{1i} = -\eta g_i (\pm \sigma_{1i}) = \mp \eta g_i \sigma_{1i} \quad , \quad \dot{\sigma}_{2i} = -\eta g_i (\pm \sigma_{2i}) = \mp \eta g_i \sigma_{2i} \quad (19)$$

$$\boxed{\Rightarrow \|\dot{\sigma}_{1i}\| \propto \sigma_{1i} \quad , \quad \|\dot{\sigma}_{2i}\| \propto \sigma_{2i}} \quad (20)$$

Also, note that $g_i = u_{1i}^T A v_{2i} = \sum_{j,k} u_{1ij} A_{jk} v_{2ik}$, where $A_{jk} = \{\alpha_k(s_j) - 1, \alpha_k(s_j)\}$. Therefore, $A_{jk} \in (-1, 1)$.

At initialization, WLOG $\alpha_k(s_j) \approx \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \forall j, k$, i.e. uniform class prediction. Additionally, assuming $|\mathcal{V}| \gg 1$, we can estimate g_i as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} g_i &= \sum_{j,k} u_{1ij} A_{jk} v_{2ik} = \sum_k \left(\sum_{j \in \{c_j=k\}} u_{1ij} (\alpha_k(s_j) - 1) v_{2ik} + \sum_{j \in \{c_j \neq k\}} u_{1ij} \alpha_k(s_j) v_{2ik} \right) \\ \implies g_i &\approx \sum_k \left(\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} - 1 \right) \sum_{j \in \{c_j=k\}} u_{1ij} v_{2ik} + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{j \in \{c_j \neq k\}} u_{1ij} v_{2ik} \right) \\ &\approx -\left(\sum_k v_{2ik} \right) \left(\sum_{j \in \{c_j=k\}} u_{1ij} \right) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}(c^0)) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $c^{(0)}$ denotes the dominant class, i.e. the class with most number of instances. Combining eq. (21) with eq. (19), we get the desired result:

$$\boxed{\|\dot{\sigma}_{1i}\|, \|\dot{\sigma}_{2i}\| \propto \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}(c^0))} \quad (22)$$

□