Verification and Validation Report: MTOBridge

Team 15, Alpha Software Solutions
Badawy, Adham
Yazdinia, Pedram
Jandric, David
Vakili, Farzad
Vezina, Victor
Chiu, Darren

March 8, 2023

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Date 1	1.0	Notes
Date 2	1.1	Notes

2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
T	Test

[symbols, abbreviations or acronyms – you can reference the SRS tables if needed —SS]

Contents

1 Revision History **i**

2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms **ii**

3 Document Evaluation **1**

3.1 SRS Evaluation 1

3.2 Design Evaluation 1

3.3 Verification and Validation Plan Evaluation 1

4 System Evaluation **1**

4.1 Functional Requirements Evaluation 1

4.1.1 etc. 1

4.2 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation 1

4.2.1 etc. 1

5 Unit Testing **1**

6 Comparison to Existing Implementation **1**

7 Changes Due to Testing **1**

8 Automated Testing **2**

9 Trace to Requirements **2**

10 Trace to Modules **2**

11 Code Coverage Metrics **2**

List of Tables

List of Figures

This document ...

3 Document Evaluation

3.1 SRS Evaluation

3.2 Design Evaluation

3.3 Verification and Validation Plan Evaluation

4 System Evaluation

4.1 Functional Requirements Evaluation

4.1.1 etc.

4.2 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

4.2.1 etc.

5 Unit Testing

6 Comparison to Existing Implementation

This section will not be appropriate for every project.

7 Changes Due to Testing

[This section should highlight how feedback from the users and from the supervisor (when one exists) shaped the final product. In particular the feedback from the Rev 0 demo to the supervisor (or to potential users) should be highlighted. —SS]

8 Automated Testing

9 Trace to Requirements

10 Trace to Modules

11 Code Coverage Metrics

Appendix — Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Reflection. Please answer the following question:

1. In what ways was the Verification and Validation (VnV) Plan different from the activities that were actually conducted for VnV? If there were differences, what changes required the modification in the plan? Why did these changes occur? Would you be able to anticipate these changes in future projects? If there weren't any differences, how was your team able to clearly predict a feasible amount of effort and the right tasks needed to build the evidence that demonstrates the required quality? (It is expected that most teams will have had to deviate from their original VnV Plan.)