CZ2007 Introduction to Database Systems (Week 5)

Topic 4: Third Normal Form (2)



Copyright Notice

All course materials (including and not limited to lecture slides, handouts, recordings, assessments and assignments), are solely for your own educational purposes at NTU only. All course materials are protected by copyright, trademarks or other rights.

All rights, title and interest in the course materials are owned by, licensed to or controlled by the University, unless otherwise expressly stated. The course materials shall not be uploaded, reproduced, distributed, republished or transmitted in any form or by any means, in whole or in part, without written approval from the University.

You are also not allowed to take any photograph, video recording, audio recording or other means of capturing images and/or voice of any of the course materials (including and not limited to lectures, tutorials, seminars and workshops) and reproduce, distribute and/or transmit in any form or by any means, in whole or in part, without written permission from the University.

Appropriate action(s) will be taken against you (including and not limited to disciplinary proceedings and/or legal action) if you are found to have committed any of the above or infringed copyright.

This Lecture

- 3NF Decomposition
- Properties of 3NF

- \blacksquare S = {A \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow D, AD \rightarrow B}
- Transform the FDs to ensure that the right hand side of each FD has only one attribute
- See if any FD can be derived from the other FDs. Remove those FDs one by one
- Check if we can remove any attribute from the left hand side of any FD

- $S = \{A \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow D, AD \rightarrow B\}$
- Transform the FDs to ensure that the right hand side of each FD has only one attribute
- Results: $M = \{A \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow D, AD \rightarrow B\}$
- 2. See if any FD can be derived from the other FDs. Remove those FDs one by one

- $= M = \{A \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow D, AD \rightarrow B\}$
- 2. See if any FD can be derived from the other FDs. Remove those FDs one by one.
- Try $A \rightarrow C$ first
 - □ If $A \rightarrow C$ is removed, then the ones left would be $AC \rightarrow D$, $AD \rightarrow B$
 - With the remaining FDs, we have $\{A\}^+ = \{A\}$
 - □ Since {A}⁺ does not contain C, we know that A→C cannot be derived from the remaining FDs
 - □ Therefore, A→C cannot be removed

- $= M = \{A \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow D, AD \rightarrow B\}$
- See if any FD can be derived from the other FDs. Remove those FDs one by one.
- Next, try AC→D
 - □ If $AC \rightarrow D$ is removed, then the ones left would be $A \rightarrow C$, $AD \rightarrow B$
 - With the remaining FDs, we have {AC}+ = {ACD}
 - □ Since {AC}⁺ does not contain D, we know that AC→D cannot be derived from the remaining FDs
 - □ Therefore, AC→D cannot be removed

- $= \{A \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow D, AD \rightarrow B\}$
- See if any FD can be derived from the other FDs. Remove those FDs one by one.
- Next, try $AD \rightarrow B$
 - □ If AD \rightarrow B is removed, then the ones left would be A \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow D
 - With the remaining FDs, we have {AD}+ = {AD}
 - □ Since {AD}⁺ does not contain B, we know that AD → B cannot be derived from the remaining FDs
 - □ Therefore, AD→B cannot be removed

- $= M = \{A \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow D, AD \rightarrow B\}$
- Check if we can remove any attribute from the left hand side of any FD
- First, try to remove A from AC→D
 - \Box It results in C \rightarrow D
 - \Box Can C \rightarrow D be derived from M?
 - C = {C} given M.
 - □ Since {C}⁺ does not contain D, we know that C→D cannot be derived from M
 - □ Therefore, A cannot be removed from AC→D

- $= M = \{A \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow D, AD \rightarrow B\}$
- Check if we can remove any attribute from the left hand side of any FD
- Next, try to remove C from $AC \rightarrow D$
 - □ It results in A→D
 - \Box Can A \rightarrow D be derived from M?

 - □ Since {A}⁺ contains D, we know that A→D can be derived from M
 - \square Therefore, C can be removed from AC \rightarrow D
- New M = $\{A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, AD \rightarrow B\}$

- New M = $\{A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, AD \rightarrow B\}$
- 3. Check if we can remove any attribute from the left hand side of any FD
- Next, try to remove A from $AD \rightarrow B$
 - □ It results in D→B
 - \square Can D \rightarrow B be derived from M?
 - \Box {D}+ = {D} given M.
 - □ Since {D}⁺ does not contain B, we know that D→B cannot be derived from M
 - \square Therefore, A cannot be removed from AD \rightarrow B

- $M = \{A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, AD \rightarrow B\}$
- 3. Check if we can remove any attribute from the left hand side of any FD
- Next, try to remove D from $AD \rightarrow B$
 - \Box It results in A \rightarrow B
 - \Box Can A \rightarrow B be derived from M?

 - □ Since {A}+ contains B, we know that A→B can be derived from M
 - \square Therefore, D can be removed from AD \rightarrow B
- New M = $\{A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow B\}$; done

3NF Decomposition Algorithm

- Given:
 - Table R(A, B, C, D)
 - \square A minimal basis $\{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D\}$
- Step 1: Combine those FDs with the same left hand side
 - \square Result: {A \rightarrow BC, C \rightarrow D}
- Step 2: For each FD, create a table that contains all attributes in the FD
 - \blacksquare Result: R₁(A, B, C), R₂(C, D)
- Step 3: Remove redundant tables (if any)
- Tricky issue: Sometimes we also need to add an additional table (see the next slide)

3NF Decomposition Algorithm

- Given:
 - Table R(A, B, C, D)
 - \square A minimal basis $\{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow D\}$
- Step 1: Combine those FDs with the same left hand side
 - \square Result: {A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow D}
- Step 2: For each FD, create a table that contains all attributes in the FD
 - Result: $R_1(A, B)$, $R_2(C, D)$
- Step 3: Remove redundant tables (if any)
- Problem: R₁ and R₂ do not ensure lossless join
- Solution: Add a table that contains a key of the original table R
- Key of R: {A, C}
- Additional table to add: R₃(A, C)
- Final result: $R_1(A, B)$, $R_2(C, D)$, $R_3(A, C)$

3NF Decomposition Algorithm

- Given:
 - Table R(A, B, C, D)
 - \square A minimal basis $\{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow D\}$
- Step 1: Combine those FDs with the same left hand side
 - \square Result: {A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow D}
- Step 2: For each FD, create a table that contains all attributes in the FD
 - Result: $R_1(A, B)$, $R_2(C, D)$
- Step 3: If no table contain a key of the original table, add a table that contains a key of the original table
 - Result: $R_1(A, B)$, $R_2(C, D)$, $R_3(A, C)$
- Step 4: Remove redundant tables (if any)

This Lecture

- 3NF Decomposition
- Properties of 3NF

Minimal Basis is not always unique

- For given set of FDs, its minimal basis may not be unique
- Example:
 - □ Given R(A, B, C) and $\{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow B\}$
 - Minimal basis 1: $\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow A\}$
 - Minimal basis 2: $\{A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow B\}$
- Different minimal basis may lead to different 3NF decompositions

BCNF vs. 3NF

- BCNF: For any non-trivial FD
 - its left hand side (lhs) is a superkey
- 3NF: For any non-trivial FD
 - Either its lhs is a superkey
 - Or each attribute on its right hand side either appear in the lhs or in a key
- Observation: BCNF is stricter than 3NF
- Therefore
 - A table that satisfies BCNF must satisfy 3NF, but not vice versa
 - A table that violates 3NF must violate BCNF, but not vice versa

BCNF vs. 3NF

- BCNF Decomposition:
 - Avoids insertion, deletion, and update anomalies
 - Eliminates most redundancies
 - But does not always preserve all FDs
- 3NF Decomposition:
 - Avoids insertion, deletion, and update anomalies
 - May lead to a bit more redundancy than BCNF
 - Always preserve all FDs
- So which one to use?
- A logical approach
 - Go for a BCNF decomposition first
 - If it preserves all FDs, then we are done
 - If not, then go for a 3NF decomposition instead

Why Does 3NF Preserve All FDs?

- Given: A table R, and a set S of FDs
- Step 1: Derive a minimal basis of S
- Step 2: In the minimal basis, combine the FDs whose left hand sides are the same
- Step 3: Create a table for each FD remained
- Step 4: If none of the tables contain a key of the original table R, create a table that contains a key of R
- Step 5: Remove redundant tables
- Rationale: Because of Step 3 (minimal basis preserves FDs; no redundant FDs)

Next lecture:

Topic 5: Relational Algebra (1)