DFT meets **OT**

Worksheet 1 (January 17, 2018)

Problem 1

Consider the antisymmetrized product wave function Ψ given in the lecture,

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{\wp \in S_N} \operatorname{sign}(\wp) \prod_{k=1}^N \psi_{\wp(k)}(\mathbf{x}_k) \qquad (\mathbf{x}_k = (\mathbf{r}_k, \sigma_k)),$$
(1)

where $\psi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_1(\mathbf{r})\alpha(\sigma)$, $\psi_2(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_1(\mathbf{r})\beta(\sigma)$, $\psi_3(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_2(\mathbf{r})\alpha(\sigma)$, etc.,

$$\alpha(\uparrow) = 1, \quad \alpha(\downarrow) = 0 \quad \text{("spin up")},$$

$$\alpha(\uparrow) = 1, \quad \alpha(\downarrow) = 0 \quad \text{("spin up")},$$

$$\beta(\uparrow) = 0, \quad \beta(\downarrow) = 1 \quad \text{("spin down")},$$
(2)

and the $\phi_n(\mathbf{r})$ are the solutions of the **one-particle** Schrödinger equation

$$\left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + v(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \phi_n(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_n \phi_n(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \left(\epsilon_1 \le \epsilon_2 \le \epsilon_3 \le \dots \right). \tag{4}$$

(a) Show that Ψ is an eigenfunction of the non-interacting N-electron Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{N}^{(0)}[v] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \nabla_{i}^{2} + v(\mathbf{r}_{i}) \right\} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{h}_{i}$$
 (5)

to the eigenvalue $E = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} \epsilon_n$.

(b) Show that Ψ (for even $N \in \{2, 4, 6, ...\}$) has the electron density

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{N/2} |\phi_n(\mathbf{r})|^2. \tag{6}$$

Hint 1: For $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{r}, \sigma)$, use the notation $\sum_{\sigma \in \{\uparrow,\downarrow\}} \int d^3r \, f(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \equiv \int d^3x \, f(\mathbf{x})$. Hint 2: The single-particle wave functions $\psi_k(\mathbf{x})$ are pairwise orthonormal,

$$\int d^3x \, \psi_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \, \psi_{k'}(\mathbf{x}) = \delta_{k,k'} \qquad (k, k' \in \{1, ..., N\}).$$
 (7)

Problem 2

Let $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1\sigma_1,\mathbf{r}_2\sigma_2)$ be a (correctly antisymmetric) wave function for N=2 electrons.

(a) Which ones of the following terms can be evaluated without knowing Ψ explicitly?

1

$$|\Psi(\mathbf{r}\uparrow,\mathbf{r}\downarrow)|^2$$
, $|\Psi(\mathbf{r}\uparrow,\mathbf{r}\uparrow)|^2$, $\sum_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2} |\Psi(\mathbf{r}\sigma_1,\mathbf{r}\sigma_2)|^2$. (8)

As a region $\Omega = \Omega_R(\mathbf{r}_0)$ in space, we consider a ball with radius R centered at $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_0$,

$$\Omega_R(\mathbf{r}_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0| \le R \right\}.$$
(9)

(b) Give a probability interpretation for the number

$$P_R(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \int_{\Omega_R(\mathbf{a})} d^3 r_1 \int_{\Omega_R(\mathbf{b})} d^3 r_2 \left| \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1 \sigma_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \sigma_2) \right|^2$$
(10)

in the two cases (i) $\Omega_R(\mathbf{a}) \cap \Omega_R(\mathbf{b}) = \emptyset$, (ii) $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$.

(c) Provided that $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1\sigma_1, \mathbf{r}_2\sigma_2)$ is a continuous function of both $\mathbf{r}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbf{r}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, determine the limit

$$p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \lim_{R \to 0} \frac{P_R(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; \sigma_1, \sigma_2)}{\left(\frac{4\pi}{3}R^3\right)^2}.$$
 (11)

(d) What can you tell about the quantities

$$p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; \uparrow, \uparrow), \qquad p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; \uparrow, \downarrow).$$
 (12)

What is the probability (density) for finding the two electrons on top of each other?

Problem 3

The most general form of a wave function for N=2 electrons is

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1\sigma_1, \mathbf{r}_2\sigma_2) = \psi_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)\alpha(\sigma_1)\alpha(\sigma_2) + \psi_2(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)\alpha(\sigma_1)\beta(\sigma_2)
+ \psi_3(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)\beta(\sigma_1)\alpha(\sigma_2) + \psi_4(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)\beta(\sigma_1)\beta(\sigma_2),$$

with the spin functions $\alpha(\sigma)$ and $\beta(\sigma)$ from Eqs. (2) and (3).

- (a) Using the short-hand notations $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \psi(1, 2)$ and $\chi(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \chi(1, 2)$, find the conditions on the functions $\psi_k(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ (k = 1, 2, 3, 4) that make Ψ antisymmetric.
- (b) For non-interacting electrons, we expect the product form

$$\psi_k(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = A_k \phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_2(\mathbf{r}_2) + B_k \phi_2(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_1(\mathbf{r}_2), \tag{13}$$

with $\int d^3r |\phi_1(\mathbf{r})|^2 = \int d^3r |\phi_2(\mathbf{r})|^2 = 1$.

What can you tell about the values of the coefficients A_k , B_k in the following cases?

- (i) $\phi_1(\mathbf{r}) = \phi_2(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \phi(\mathbf{r})$.
- (ii) $\int d^3r \, \phi_1^*(\mathbf{r}) \, \phi_2(\mathbf{r}) = 0.$

DFT meets **OT**

Worksheet 2 (January 29, 2018)

Problem 1

- (a) Show that the function $v: \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}, v(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}|}$ belongs to $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.
- (b) Consider the energy function for the Hydrogen atom (N=1).

$$E[\psi] = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \psi(\mathbf{r}, s)|^2 - \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}|} |\psi(\mathbf{r}, s)|^2 d\mathbf{r}.$$

Show that the above energy is not well-defined in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

(Hint: Consider the function $\psi(r,s) = \sqrt{(2\pi)}\delta_{1/2}(s)e^{-|r|}/|r|$. Show that $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ with $\|\psi\|_{L^2} = 1$, but not in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Conclude by comparing with the right-hand term.)

Problem 2

Consider $\rho: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ a probability density and a (admissible) function $\psi \in H^1((\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)^N)$ such that $\|\psi\|_{L^2} = 1, \psi \mapsto \rho$ and ψ is anti-symmetric.

(a) Show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} v(\mathbf{r}_i) \rho^{\psi}(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) d\mathbf{r}_1 \dots d\mathbf{r}_N = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(\mathbf{r}) \rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r},$$

where $\rho^{\psi}(\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N) = \sum_{s_1,\ldots,s_N \in \mathbb{Z}_2} |\psi(\mathbf{r}_1,s_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N,s_N)|^2$.

(b) Denote by $A[\rho] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(\mathbf{r}) \rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$. Consider $\rho_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{r}) = \rho(\mathbf{r}) + \epsilon \xi(\mathbf{r})$ with $\xi(\mathbf{r}) \geq 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \xi(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} = 0$. Compute the limit

3

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{A[\rho_{\epsilon}] - A[\rho]}{\epsilon}.$$

(c) Show that $\rho \geq 0$, $\|\rho\|_{L^1} = 1$ and $\sqrt{\rho} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. (Hint: Use Cauchy-Schwarz and notice that $|\nabla \sqrt{g}|^2 = \frac{|\nabla g|^2}{g}$.)

Problem 3

(a) Consider the Lorenzian density $\rho: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\rho(r) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+r^2)}$ and the associate comotion function f(r) = -1/r. Show that the SCE-ansatz $\gamma_f(r_1, r_2) = \delta(r_2 - f(r_1))\rho(r_1)$ (or, equivalently, $\gamma_f = (Id, f)_{\sharp}\rho$) is not in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$. (Hint: Draw the graph $\{(r, f(r)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\}$. Write the definition of the gradient of γ at a point (r, f(r))).

Problem 4

- (a) Give an example of a function $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ that is lower-semi continuous but not continuous. Justify your example.
- (b) Suppose X is a metric space (if you prefer you can assume $X = \mathbb{R}^d$). If $g: X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is lower semi-continuous and X is compact, then there exists $\bar{x} \in X$ such that $g(\bar{x}) = \min\{g(x) : x \in X\}$.

DFT meets **OT**

Worksheet 3 (February 6, 2018)

Problem 1

Consider $X = \mathbb{R}^d$. Prove that $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a closed subset of the Banach Space $(C_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denotes the sup-norm.

Problem 2

Suppose $g: X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a lower semi-continuous function. Show that, there exists a sequence $(g_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that for every k, g_k is k-Lipschitz and for every $x \in X$ $g_k(x) \uparrow f(x)$ (increasingly).

Hint: Show that $g(x) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} g_k(x)$ is l.s.c.

Problem 3

- (a) Show that a coupling γ of two probability spaces (X, μ) and (Y, ν) is equivalent to:
 - (i) For all measurable sets $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$, one has $\gamma[A \times Y] = \mu[A]$ and $\gamma[X \times B] = \nu[B]$.
 - (ii) For all integrable measurable functions u, v on X, Y,

$$\int_{X\times Y} (u(x) + v(y))d\gamma(x,y) = \int_X u(x)d\mu(x) + \int_Y v(y)d\nu(y).$$

(b) Consider $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_N \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Show that if $\gamma \in \Pi_N^{sym}(\mathbb{R}^{dN}, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_N)$ then $(e_i)_{\sharp} \gamma = \mu_1, \forall i = 1, \ldots, N$. Conclude that $\Pi_N^{sym}(\mathbb{R}^{dN}, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_N) = \Pi_N^{sym}(\mathbb{R}^{dN}, \mu_1)$.

Problem 4

Consider the Kantorovich dual of $V_{ee}^{SIL}[\rho]$. Show that

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{i}) \rho(\mathbf{r}_{i}) d\mathbf{r}_{i} : \forall i \ u_{i} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \rho), u_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) + \dots + u_{N}(\mathbf{r}_{N}) \leq V_{ee}(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{N}) \right\} =$$

$$= \sup \left\{ N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u(\mathbf{r}) \rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} : u \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \rho), u(\mathbf{r}_{1}) + \dots + u(\mathbf{r}_{N}) \leq V_{ee}(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{N}) \right\}.$$

5

Problem 5

Let N = 2, $\mu_1 = \rho_1 \mathcal{L}$, $\mu_2 = \rho_2 \mathcal{L}$ probabilities in \mathbb{R} and $V_a(r_1, r_2) = |r_1 - r_1|^2$ the attractive harmonic interaction. Consider the following problem.

$$\inf_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{R}^2, \mu_1, \mu_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} |r_1 - r_2|^2 d\gamma(r_1, r_2)$$

(a) Argue that the above problem has a minimizer.

Hint: Use the direct method of Calculus of Variations.

(b) Assume that μ_1 and μ_2 are, respectively, the uniform measure in [0,1] and [1,2], i.e. $\rho_1 = \chi_{[0,1]}$ and $\rho_2 = \chi_{[1,2]}$, where χ_A is the characteristic function of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Show that the coupling $\gamma_T = (Id, T)_{\sharp}\mu_1 = \mu_2$, where $T : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the translation $T(r_1) = r_1 + 1$, is an optimal coupling in the above minimization problem (we can show that this is the unique one).

Hint: Use Jensen's inequality to show that the value of $C_a(\gamma)$ must be \geq than a constant A. Show that $T_{\sharp}\mu_1 = \mu_2$ and therefore $\gamma_T \in \Pi(\mathbb{R}^2, \mu_1, \mu_2)$.

Compute the value of the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |r_1 + T(r_1)|^2 dr_1$ for the translation map defined above.

Problem 6

Suppose $\rho: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a probability density such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{r}|^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} < +\infty$ (finite second moments) and denote by $V_w: (\mathbb{R}^d)^N \to \mathbb{R}$ the repulsive harmonic interaction

$$V_w(\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N) = -\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^2.$$

Consider the strong-interaction limit functional for the repulsive harmonic interaction

$$V_w^{SIL}[\rho] = \inf_{\gamma \in \Pi_N(\rho)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^N} V_w(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) d\gamma(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N).$$

(a1) Show that

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^N} V_w(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) d\gamma(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^N} |\mathbf{r}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{r}_N|^2 d\gamma(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) + C,$$

where C is a constant that can be computed explicitly. Argue to conclude that $V_w^{SIL}[\rho]$ admits a minimizer.

(a2) Show that the problem

$$\sup_{\gamma \in \Pi_N(\rho)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^N} V_w(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) d\gamma(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N).$$

admits a maximizer and exhibit a measure of type $\gamma_T = (Id, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{N-1})_{\sharp} \rho = \rho$ maximizing the above functional.

6

(b*) Show that if there exists a plan γ concentrated in some hyperplane

$$\{\mathbf{r}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{r}_N = k\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}$$

then γ is an optimal coupling for $V_w^{SIL}[\rho]$. In this case, $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Pi_N(\rho)$ is optimal, if and only if, $\operatorname{spt}(\tilde{\gamma}) \subset \{(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{dN} : \mathbf{r}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{r}_N = k\}$. In particular, the constant k can be computed explicitly $k = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{r} \rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$. HINT: Use Jensen's inequality to compute $C_w(\gamma) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^N} |\mathbf{r}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{r}_N|^2 d\gamma$.

(c) Consider the case when N=2 and $\rho=\chi_{[0,1]}\mathcal{L}$ (uniform measure in the unit interval in \mathbb{R}). Show that the coupling $\gamma_h = (Id, h)_{\sharp} \rho$ is optimal for $V_w^{SIL}[\rho]$ where $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the reflection map h(r) = 1 - r.

HINT: Compute the constant $k = N \int r \rho(r) dr$ in this case.

Show that $h_{\sharp}\rho=\rho, r_1+h(r_1)=1$ and use the part (b*).