

AGFIRST FARM CREDIT BANK & DISTRICT ASSOCIATIONS

Quarterly Report

Third Quarter 2011

THIRD QUARTER 2011

Table of Contents

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	2
Management's Discussion and Analysis of	
Financial Condition and Results of Operations	3
Combined Financial Statements:	
Combined Balance Sheets	13
Combined Statements of Income	14
Combined Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	15
Combined Statements of Cash Flows	16
Notes to the Combined Financial Statements	17

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned certify that we have reviewed the September 30, 2011 quarterly report of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank and District Associations, that the report has been prepared under the oversight of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors and in accordance with all applicable statutory or regulatory requirements, and that the information contained herein is true, accurate, and complete to the best of our knowledge and belief.

M. Wayne Jambertson
Chairman of the Board

F. A. Lowrey

Chief Executive Officer

Charl L. Butler

Chief Financial Officer

November 7, 2011

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

AgFirst Farm Credit Bank (Bank) and each affiliated District Agricultural Credit Association's (District Association) principal executives and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Bank and each District Association's respective Consolidated Financial Statements. For purposes of this report, "internal control over financial reporting" is defined as a process designed by, or under the supervision of the Bank and each District Association's principal executives and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by its Board of Directors, management and other personnel. This process provides reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting information and the preparation of the respective Consolidated Financial Statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Bank and each District Association, (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial information in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Bank and each District Association, and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Bank and each District Association's assets that could have a material effect on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Bank and each District Association's management has completed an assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2011. In making the assessment, management used the framework in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework*, promulgated by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, commonly referred to as the "COSO" criteria.

Based on the assessment performed, the Bank and each District Association concluded that as of September 30, 2011, the internal control over financial reporting was effective based upon the COSO criteria. Additionally, based on this assessment, the Bank and each District Association determined that there were no material weaknesses in the internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2011.

F.A. Lowrey

Chief Executive Officer

Charl L. Butler Chief Financial Officer

November 7, 2011

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion reviews the combined financial condition and results of operations of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank (AgFirst or Bank) and the District Agricultural Credit Associations (Associations or District Associations), collectively referred to as the District, as of and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011. These comments should be read in conjunction with the accompanying financial statements, the Notes to the Combined Financial Statements, and the 2010 Annual Report of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank and District Associations. The accompanying combined financial statements were prepared under the oversight of the Audit Committee of the AgFirst Board of Directors.

Effective January 1, 2011, Farm Credit of North Florida, ACA, and Farm Credit of Southwest Florida, ACA, merged with and into Farm Credit of South Florida, ACA, which then changed its name to Farm Credit of Florida, ACA, reducing the number of Associations in the District from twenty-two to twenty. All twenty District Associations are structured as Agricultural Credit Association (ACA) holding companies, with Federal Land Credit Association (FLCA) and Production Credit Association (PCA) subsidiaries. PCAs originate and service short- and intermediate-term loans; FLCAs originate and service long-term real estate mortgage loans; and ACAs originate both long-term and short- and intermediate-term loans.

Key ratios and data reported below, and in the accompanying financial statements, address the financial performance of the District. However, neither the three months nor the nine months results of operations may be indicative of an entire year due to the seasonal nature of a portion of the District's business.

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Certain sections of this quarterly report contain forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Words such as "anticipates," "believes," "could," "estimates," "may," "should," "will," or other variations of these terms are intended to identify the forward-looking statements. These statements are based on assumptions and analyses made in light of experience and other historical trends, current conditions, and expected future developments. However, actual results and developments may differ materially from the District's expectations and predictions due to a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the District's control. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

- political, legal, regulatory, financial markets, and economic conditions and developments in the United States and abroad;
- economic fluctuations in the agricultural, rural infrastructure, international, and farm-related business sectors, as well as in the general economy;
- weather-related, disease, and other adverse climatic or biological conditions that periodically occur that impact agricultural productivity and income of District borrowers;
- changes in United States government support of the agricultural industry and the Farm Credit System (System) as a government-sponsored enterprise (GSE), as well as investor and rating agency reactions to events involving other government-sponsored enterprises and other financial institutions; and
- actions taken by the Federal Reserve System in implementing monetary and fiscal policy, as well as other
 policies and actions of the Federal government that impact the financial services industry and the debt markets.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Loan Portfolio

The District's aggregate loan portfolio consists primarily of direct loans made by the Associations to eligible borrowers located within their chartered territories. Diversification of the loan volume by type is illustrated in the following table:

Loan Types (dollars in thousands)	September 30), 2011	December 31	, 2010	September 30, 2010				
Real Estate Mortgage	\$ 9,844,073	43 %	\$ 9,986,760	43 %	\$ 9,902,117	43 %			
Production and Intermediate-Term	8,025,209	35	8,105,060	35	8,188,987	36			
Rural Residential Real Estate	2,418,423	11	2,258,480	10	2,177,752	9			
Processing and Marketing	1,207,844	6	1,355,811	6	1,396,147	6			
Farm-Related Business	352,382	2	342,984	2	323,598	1			
Loans to Cooperatives	268,051	1	304,161	1	343,837	1			
Energy	306,373	1	342,614	2	345,974	2			
Communication	202,862	1	200,578	1	176,870	1			
Water and Waste Disposal	28,022	_	28,024	_	28,024	_			
Lease Receivables	3,405	_	10,697	_	12,596	_			
Loans to OFIs	12,250	_	5,000	_	14,000	_			
Other (including Mission Related)	79,578	_	92,724	_	97,252	1			
Total	\$ 22,748,472	100%	\$ 23,032,893	100%	\$ 23,007,154	100 %			

Total loans outstanding were \$22.748 billion at September 30, 2011, a decrease of \$284.4 million, or 1.23 percent, compared to total loans outstanding at December 31, 2010. The resolution of adversely classified loans has impacted loan volume as loans are charged down to their fair value when transitioned to nonaccrual status, liquidated through voluntary or foreclosure sales, or moved to other property owned. New loan demand remains weak due to the continued relative weakness and uncertainty in the general economy. Future loan demand is very difficult to predict. However, it is expected to remain weak through 2012.

Credit Quality

Credit quality also has been adversely affected by the extended weakened economy. Problem asset levels remained elevated as can be seen in the following table:

Credit Quality as of:											
Classification	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010								
Acceptable	87.79%	86.87%	86.62%								
OAEM *	5.75%	6.65%	6.78%								
Substandard	6.35%	6.33%	6.45%								
Doubtful/loss	0.11%	0.15%	0.15%								

^{*} Other Assets Especially Mentioned

Certain commodity groups continue to be more adversely affected than others in the current economic cycle. Housing-related industries, such as building products, timber, sawmills, landscape nurseries, and sod operations remain stressed. Also, many customers in the District rely on off-farm income, which has been negatively impacted by the weakness in the general economy. Improvement in these segments is dependent on such general economic factors as employment levels and housing market activity.

Loan portfolio credit quality has been negatively impacted by lower real estate values in certain geographic areas within the Bank's chartered territory, particularly in Florida. Other areas of the District experienced a less severe reduction in real estate values although sales continue to be slow throughout the District.

The beef and swine industries are currently experiencing a cycle of profitable results. Profitability was primarily achieved through reduction of oversupply, which led to higher prices. Higher grain and energy costs have been offset by higher meat prices for both beef and swine producers in 2011.

Many chicken integrators are experiencing losses and cash flow problems in 2011 due to oversupply, which resulted in lower market prices, as well as higher input prices. Margins for dairy farmers have narrowed but not severely enough to impact their capacity to service debt. Margins remain tight for ethanol producers due to increased input costs, especially high corn prices. The future volatility of grain prices remains a primary concern to the meat, dairy, and ethanol sectors.

Other major segments of the District loan portfolio continue to perform well, including sugar, citrus, cotton, and row crops. High commodity prices for grains have been very beneficial to row crop farmers. While adverse weather conditions impacted row crop yields in certain locations of the District, generally these borrowers were protected by crop insurance. Production farm land values and sales have generally held up better than residential and investment real estate.

Although credit quality is generally stabilizing, it will take time to fully resolve some problem assets due to their dependency on a recovery in the housing market and real estate values. The future volatility of grain prices remains a primary concern to many of the District's sectors.

Nonaccrual Loans

Nonaccrual loans represent all loans for which there is a reasonable doubt as to the collection of principal and/or interest under the contractual terms of the loan. Nonaccrual loans for the combined District at September 30, 2011, were \$786.9 million compared to \$795.1 million at December 31, 2010. Nonaccrual loans decreased \$8.2 million during the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 primarily due to repayments of \$234.6 million, \$136.3 million of charge-offs of uncollectible balances, transfers to other property owned of \$75.9 million, and reinstatements to accrual status of \$21.2 million. Offsetting these decreases were \$405.1 million of loan balances transferred to nonaccrual status and advances of \$41.6 million. The ten largest nonaccrual borrower relationships accounted for 22.09 percent of the total nonaccrual balance. At September 30, 2011, total nonaccrual loans were primarily in the forestry (27.19 percent of the total), other real estate (10.38 percent), cattle (9.23 percent), nursery/greenhouse (9.10 percent), processing, primarily chicken (7.59 percent), and fruits and vegetables (7.15 percent) segments. The repayment of a number of these nonaccrual loans in the forestry, cattle, and other real estate categories, was dependent on the sale of real estate collateral, the value of which has been negatively impacted by the current economic environment as discussed previously. Nonaccrual loans were 3.46 percent of total loans outstanding at September 30, 2011.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

A troubled debt restructuring occurs when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and a concession is granted to the borrower that the Bank and District Associations would not otherwise consider. Concessions are granted to borrowers based on either an assessment of the borrower's ability to return to financial viability or a court order. The concessions can be in the form of a modification of terms, rates, or amounts owed. Acceptance of other assets and/or equity as payment may also be considered a concession. The type of alternative financing granted is chosen in order to minimize the loss incurred by the Bank and District Associations. Troubled debt restructurings totaled \$218.8 million at September 30, 2011, compared to \$151.9 million at December 31, 2010. At September 30, 2011, troubled debt restructurings were comprised of \$63.3 million of accruing restructured loans and \$155.5 million of nonaccruing restructured loans. Restructured loans were primarily in the forestry (26.55 percent of the total), swine (14.84 percent), and processing, primarily chicken (13.53 percent) segments.

Other Property Owned

Other property owned (OPO) consists of assets once pledged as loan collateral that were acquired through foreclosure or deeded to the Bank and District Associations (or a lender group) in satisfaction of secured loans. OPO may be comprised of real estate, equipment, and equity interests in companies or partnerships. OPO decreased \$1.1 million during the first nine months of 2011 and totaled \$145.3 million at September 30, 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011,

transfers to OPO were \$77.1 million. Offsetting this increase were disposals of \$51.5 million and write-downs of OPO of \$26.7 million. Write-downs for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were comprised of several properties. The largest property write-down of \$4.9 million was for a pastures and citrus groves land holding which was also the largest OPO holding at September 30, 2011, at \$13.7 million (9.45 percent of the total).

Allowance for Loan Losses

The District maintains an allowance for loan losses at a level management considers adequate to provide for probable and estimable credit losses within the loan portfolio as of each reported balance sheet date. The allowance for loan losses was \$179.8 million at September 30, 2011, as compared with \$182.3 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, of \$2.5 million was due primarily to loan charge-offs of \$144.3 million and \$16.1 million for merger accounting adjustments as the allowance of the two merged Associations was transferred into their related loan balances on the merger effective date of January 1, 2011. Offsetting increases were provision expense of \$153.4 million and recoveries of \$4.5 million. See Note 3, Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses, and Note 11, District Merger Activity, in the Notes to the Combined Financial Statements for further information. Provision expense was related primarily to the forestry (22.40 percent), ethanol (17.78 percent of the total), processing, primarily chicken (16.78 percent), and cattle (10.55 percent) segments. Charge-offs during the nine month period were related primarily to borrowers in the ethanol (23.21 percent), forestry (22.02 percent), and processing, primarily chicken (13.17 percent) segments. The allowance at September 30, 2011 included specific reserves of \$70.0 million (38.91 percent of the total) and \$109.8 million (61.09 percent) of general reserves. The total allowance at September 30, 2011 was comprised primarily of reserves for the forestry (23.19 percent of the total), cattle (9.59 percent), nursery/greenhouse (9.10 percent), fruits and vegetables (6.06 percent), other real estate (5.96 percent), processing, primarily chicken (5.92 percent), and poultry (5.76 percent) segments. Declining real estate values impacted charge-offs and reserves in many of these loan segments. See Note 3, Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses, in the Notes to the Combined Financial Statements for further information. See Provision for Loan Losses section below for details regarding increases to the allowance from provision expense.

Liquidity and Funding Sources

One of AgFirst's primary responsibilities is to maintain sufficient liquidity to fund the lending operations of the District Associations, in addition to its own needs. Along with normal cash flows associated with lending operations, AgFirst has two primary sources of liquidity: investments, including its available-for-sale portfolio; and the capacity to issue Systemwide debt securities through the Federal Farm Credit Banks Funding Corporation. The Bank also maintains several lines of credit with commercial banks, as well as securities repurchase agreement facilities.

At September 30, 2011, AgFirst had \$27.9 billion in total debt outstanding compared to \$28.5 billion at December 31, 2010. Total interest-bearing liabilities decreased primarily due to the decrease in loan volume as discussed in this report which reduced funding requirements.

Other interest-bearing liabilities for AgFirst includes \$225.0 million in Mandatorily Redeemable Cumulative Preferred Stock issued on May 17, 2001. This stock is mandatorily redeemable on December 15, 2016. Dividends are paid semi-annually in arrears on June 15th and December 15th. Commencing March 15, 2012, dividends will be paid quarterly in arrears. On or after the dividend payment date in December 2011, the preferred stock is redeemable in whole or in part at the option of AgFirst on any dividend payment date at par value of \$1 thousand per share together with accrued and unpaid dividends to the redemption date.

AgFirst maintains a \$150.0 million unsecured committed line of credit facility from its primary commercial depository bank. The line of credit is tied to AgFirst's master cash management clearing account. The Bank has securities repurchase agreement facilities with three commercial banks that range in terms from overnight to nine months. Also, AgFirst has overnight Fed Funds lines of credit with two commercial banks.

Cash and cash equivalents, which increased \$266.1 million from December 31, 2010 to a total of \$1.730 billion at September 30, 2011, consist primarily of cash on deposit and money market securities that are short term in nature (from overnight maturities to maturities that range up to 90 days). Money market securities must carry one of the two highest short-term ratings as designated from a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO). The increase in cash and cash equivalents was due primarily to efforts to maintain high quality liquidity for near term debt maturities that increased at September 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010. In addition, management increased targets for cash

and cash equivalent liquidity in the third quarter of 2011 due to U.S. Government debt ceiling debates negatively impacting financial markets.

Investment securities totaled \$8.056 billion, or 24.21 percent of total assets at September 30, 2011, compared to \$8.260 billion, or 24.62 percent, as of December 31, 2010. Investment securities decreased \$203.9 million (2.47 percent), compared to December 31, 2010, as management maintained the investment securities portfolio size generally proportionate with that of the loan portfolio and within regulatory and policy guidelines.

Investment securities classified as being held-to-maturity totaled \$1.027 billion at September 30, 2011. These held-to-maturity investments were primarily Rural Housing Mortgage-Backed Securities purchased under a Mission Related Investment pilot program approved by the Farm Credit Administration (FCA) in 2005. Pursuant to FCA conditions of approval related to the Mission Related Investment program, the District has submitted and received approval for a plan to hold a Rural America Bond whose credit quality had deteriorated beyond the program limits.

Investment securities classified as being available-for-sale totaled \$7.029 billion at September 30, 2011. Available-for-sale investments at September 30, 2011 included \$5.039 billion in Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) securities backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government, \$1.660 billion in Agency mortgage backed securities, \$247.5 million in non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs), \$29.6 million in asset-backed securities, \$51.9 million in Mission Related Investments, and \$535 thousand in commercial mortgage backed securities. Since the majority of the portfolio is invested in agency securities, the portfolio is highly liquid and potential credit loss exposure is limited.

As of September 30, 2011, AgFirst exceeded all applicable regulatory liquidity requirements. FCA regulations require a liquidity policy that establishes a minimum "coverage" level of 90 days. "Coverage" is defined as the number of days that maturing debt could be funded through the sale of eligible available-for-sale investments and cash and cash equivalents maintained by the Bank. At September 30, 2011, AgFirst's coverage was 194 days, compared to 208 days at December 31, 2010. At September 30, 2011, the Bank's cash and cash equivalents position provided 23 days coverage (Bank policy minimum is 15 days) and investment securities fully backed by the U.S. government provided an additional 121 days of coverage. Cash provided by the Bank's operating activities, primarily generated from net interest income in excess of operating expenses and maturities in the loan portfolio, is an additional source of liquidity for the Bank that is not reflected in the coverage calculation of 194 days.

The FCA considers non-agency asset-backed or mortgage-backed investment securities ineligible if they fall below the top category (AAA/Aaa) credit rating by the NRSROs. The District must obtain specific approval from FCA to continue to hold an ineligible security. For each of these investment securities in the District's portfolio at September 30, 2011 rated below AAA/Aaa (total fair value of \$216.0 million and amortized cost of \$265.0 million), the District has developed and submitted plans for approval by the FCA that permit the District to continue to hold the securities. The FCA has approved, with conditions, the District's plans for all but two investments that have recently become ineligible. The District is in the process of submitting the plan to obtain approval from the FCA to hold these two investments. Management is of the opinion that holding these securities will result in a higher return for the District than liquidating them. Based on the District's analysis, no other-than-temporary credit related impairment was recognized in 2011 on these recently ineligible securities.

For purposes of calculating the risk adjusted assets amount used in the permanent capital, total surplus, and core surplus regulatory ratios, certain District ineligible securities are risk weighted between 50 percent and 200 percent instead of the standard 20 percent. These ineligible securities had a fair value of \$102.5 million and amortized cost of \$127.0 million at September 30, 2011. Other ineligible securities must be deducted completely from both capital and risk adjusted assets, based on the extent of their below investment grade rating from NRSROs. These securities had a fair value of \$53.3 million and amortized cost of \$65.9 million at September 30, 2011. The fair value and amortized cost of ineligible non-agency reperformer CMO securities covered by Federal Housing Administration insurance and therefore risk weighted at the standard 20 percent, was \$60.1 million and \$72.1 million, respectively, at September 30, 2011. See the *Capital Resources* section below for further discussion of the regulatory ratios. In addition, all ineligible investments, except non-agency reperformer CMOs which meet certain conditions, are excluded from liquidity coverage as defined above.

Net unrealized gains related to the available-for-sale securities were \$130.2 million at September 30, 2011, compared to total net unrealized gains of \$43.3 million at December 31, 2010. These net unrealized gains are reflected in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) in the Combined Financial Statements. The net unrealized gains stem from normal market factors, such as the current interest rate environment.

The District performs periodic credit reviews, including other-than-temporary impairment analyses, on its entire investment securities portfolio. Additional analysis for each security not rated in the top category by the NRSROs is performed using a cash flow model with key assumptions and performance factors which may include credit default rate, prepayment rate, and loss severity. The objective is to quantify future possible loss of principal or interest due on each identified security. The credit enhancements specific to the individual security are considered as appropriate, and may include monoline credit insurance, subordination, over-collateralization, and excess interest spread. Asset-backed securities covered by insurers are analyzed with insurance and without, to quantify the extent of reliance on their guarantees. Based on the results of all analyses, the District recognized other-than-temporary credit related impairment of \$8.7 million on asset-backed securities and non-agency CMOs in its portfolio for the nine months ended September 30. 2011, which was included in Net Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Losses on Investments in the Combined Statements of Income. Credit loss is defined as the shortfall of the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected in relation to the amortized cost basis. Payment shortfalls, net of insurance recoveries, on asset-backed securities have totaled only \$15.6 million life to date (\$5.1 million in 2011), compared to total other-than-temporary credit related impairment charges life to date of \$39.5 million (\$3.6 million in 2011). Total other-than-temporary credit related impairment charges on nonagency CMOs have totaled \$14.6 million life to date (\$5.1 million in 2011). There have been no payment shortfalls on non-agency CMOs. See Note 2, *Investment Securities*, in the Notes to the Financial Statements for further information.

The District considers both a price, or "mark," provided by a third party pricing service and a value determined using the results of a modeling process for purposes of determining the fair values of securities in the asset-backed and non-agency CMO portfolios, as well as the resulting unrealized gain/loss impact through AOCI. The District reviews and periodically discusses with the third party pricing service and valuation experts the assumptions used in their pricing models for the asset-backed and non-agency CMO securities impacted by inactive trading or distressed sales. This process ensures that, when relevant observable inputs are not available, the fair value reported for each security reflects the price expected to be received in an orderly transaction that is not a forced liquidation or distressed sale at the measurement date. The modeling process was factored into the pricing for the asset-backed and non-agency CMO security portfolios.

Impact of U.S. Fiscal Situation and Recent Credit Rating Changes on Funding

The Farm Credit System, as a GSE, has benefited from broad access to the domestic and global capital markets. This access has provided the System with a dependable source of competitively priced debt which is critical for supporting the System's mission of providing credit to agriculture and rural America. However, recent concerns regarding the government's borrowing limit and budget imbalances have further highlighted the risks to the System relating to the U.S. fiscal situation. These risks include the implied link between the credit rating of the System and the U.S. Government given the System's status as a GSE.

In August 2011 one of the three major NRSROs downgraded the long-term credit rating of U.S. Government (sovereign) debt one level to AA+ from the highest rating of AAA, while leaving the short-term credit rating unchanged. As a consequence of this downgrade action, the same NRSRO downgraded the long-term credit rating of System debt due to the implied link between the credit rating of the System and the U.S. Government. Other GSEs were also concurrently downgraded by this NRSRO. The short-term credit rating of the System was not changed by this NRSRO. The other two major NRSROs did not change their long-term or short-term credit rating of U.S. Government and System debt. A reduction in the System's credit rating may increase borrowing costs and may limit access to the capital markets, reducing the flexibility to issue debt across the full spectrum of the yield curve. To date, the System has continued to have access to funding at competitive rates and terms necessary to support the lending and business operations of the System. The District anticipates continued access to funding through the issuance of Farm Credit System debt.

Capital Resources

Total District shareholders' equity increased \$399.6 million (9.61 percent) from December 31, 2010 to September 30, 2011. This increase is primarily attributed to 2011 unallocated retained earnings from net income of \$378.7 million, increases of \$86.9 million in net unrealized gains during 2011 on investments available-for-sale and employee benefit plan adjustments of \$21.7 million. Offsetting the increases were retained earnings retired of \$48.7 million, a dividend payment of \$13.7 million on perpetual preferred stock, and a net reduction to equity due to merger accounting adjustments of \$23.5 million. The merger accounting adjustments represent the net amount to fair value assets and liabilities of the two merged Associations. See Note 11, *District Merger Activity*, in the Notes to the Combined Financial Statements for further information.

As of September 30, 2011, AgFirst and all of the District Associations exceeded the applicable minimum permanent capital, core surplus, total surplus, and net collateral ratio requirements established by FCA Regulations.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$114.6 million, compared to \$128.8 million at September 30, 2010, a decrease of \$14.2 million, or 11.02 percent. Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$378.7 million, compared to \$430.9 million at September 30, 2010, a decrease of \$52.2 million, or 12.11 percent.

Key results of operations comparisons

	Annualized for the nine months ended September 30, 2011	For the year ended December 31, 2010	Annualized for the nine months ended September 30, 2010
Return on average assets Return on average shareholders' equity	1.54% 11.56%	1.66% 13.67%	1.73% 14.59%
Net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets	3.53%	3.31%	3.26%
Net (charge-offs) recoveries to average loans	(0.816)%	(0.658)%	(0.552)%

Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$281.9 million compared to \$263.2 million for the same period of 2010, an increase of \$18.6 million or 7.08 percent. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, net interest income was \$830.4 million, compared to \$775.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$54.7 million, or 7.05 percent. The net interest margin was 3.56 percent and 3.53 percent in the current year three and nine month periods respectively, an improvement of 27 basis points over both comparable periods of 2010. Spreads improved for several reasons, but primarily resulted from called debt being replaced by new debt issued at lower interest rates, decreasing funding costs. Net interest income resulting from the change in balance sheet volume was minimal due to lower loan volume as previously discussed. Prospectively, as assets continue to reprice in the lower interest rate environment, spreads and margins will narrow, which will negatively affect net interest income.

The following table illustrates the changes in net interest income:

		For the three months ended September 30, 2011 vs. September 30, 2010				For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 vs. September 30, 2010								
		Increase (decrease) due to changes in:						Increas	se (e (decrease) due to changes in:				
(dollars in thousands)	_	Volume		Rate		Total	_	Volume		Rate		Total		
Interest Income:														
Loans	\$	(607)	\$	(5,501)	\$	(6,108)	\$	(1,604)	\$	(16,061)	\$ (17,665)		
Investments & Cash Equivalents	_	(1,902)		2,195		293	_	(5,954)		6,221		267		
Total Interest Income	\$	(2,509)	\$	(3,306)	\$	(5,815)	\$	(7,558)	\$	(9,840)	\$ (17,398)		
Interest Expense:														
Interest-Bearing Liabilities	\$_	(2,710)	\$	(21,747)	\$	(24,457)	\$	(8,763)	\$	(63,310)	\$ (72,073)		
Changes in Net Interest Income	\$	201	\$	18,441	\$	18,642	\$	1,205	\$	53,470	\$	54,675		

Provision for Loan Losses

The District measures risks inherent in its loan portfolio on an ongoing basis and, as necessary, recognizes provision for loan loss expense so that appropriate reserves for loan losses are maintained. The provision for loan losses was \$64.5 million and \$153.4 million for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$43.5 million and \$100.5 million for the same periods in 2010. Provision for loan loss expense for the three months ended September 30, 2011 consisted of \$60.0 million related to reserves for specific credits and \$4.5 million related to general

reserves. The \$153.4 million in provision for loan loss expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, consisted of \$135.4 million related to reserves for specific credits and \$18.0 million related to general reserves. Provision expense for the three months ended September 30, 2011 related primarily to borrowers in the forestry (30.65 percent), processing, primarily chicken (24.72 percent), nursery/greenhouse (10.55 percent), other real estate (8.04 percent), and cattle (5.98 percent) segments. Provision expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 related primarily to the forestry (22.40 percent of the total), ethanol (17.78 percent), processing, primarily chicken (16.78 percent), and cattle (10.55 percent) segments.

As mentioned previously, declining real estate values were, in part, the reason for some of the provision expense recognized by the District. See Note 3, *Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses*, in the Notes to the Combined Financial Statements for further information.

Noninterest Income

The following table illustrates the changes in noninterest income:

Change in Noninterest Income	_		e three mo Septembe			For the nine months ended September 30,						
	_			In	crease/	-				Increase/		
(dollars in thousands)		2011	2010	(D	ecrease)		2011		2010	(Decrease)		
Loan fees	\$	11,272	\$ 13,709	\$	(2,437)	\$	31,900	\$	35,363	\$ (3,463)		
Fees for financially related services		3,408	3,263		145		6,587		7,322	(735)		
Gains (losses) from other property owned, net		(10,640)	(2,173)		(8,467)		(27,644)		(6,604)	(21,040)		
Gains (losses) on investments, net		_	_		_		2,973		1,406	1,567		
Net impairment losses on investments		(569)	_		(569)		(8,679)		(9,985)	1,306		
Gains (losses) on sale of rural home loans, net		493	1,030		(537)		1,444		1,982	(538)		
Gains from sale of premises and equipment, net		664	162		502		1,230		470	760		
Patronage refunds from other Farm												
Credit institutions		231	10		221		367		535	(168)		
Insurance premium refunds		_	-		_		_		34,327	(34,327)		
Other noninterest income	_	1,725	980		745		4,009		3,325	684		
Total noninterest income	\$	6,584	\$ 16,981	\$	(10,397)	\$	12,187	\$	68,141	\$ (55,954)		

Noninterest income for the three months ended September 30, 2011 decreased \$10.4 million (61.23 percent) compared to the corresponding period in 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, noninterest income decreased \$56.0 million (82.12 percent) compared to the corresponding period in 2010. The decreases for the three month and nine month periods were due primarily to increased losses from other property owned and decreased loan fees. The nine month period decrease also resulted from the receipt in 2010 of insurance premium refunds.

The District recorded \$34.3 million of insurance premium refunds during the first quarter of 2010 from the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation (FCSIC), which insures the System's debt obligations. These payments are nonrecurring and resulted from the assets of the Farm Credit Insurance Fund exceeding the secure base amount as defined by the Farm Credit Act. No refunds were received for the period ended September 30, 2011.

Net losses from other property owned for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased \$8.5 million and \$21.0 million, respectively. See discussion of 2011 expense in the *Other Property Owned* section above. Net loss amounts from disposals of other property owned during the 2010 periods included recognition of previously deferred gains from ethanol plant sales in accordance with accounting guidance.

The decrease in loan fees of 17.78 percent and 9.79 percent for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 was due primarily to a \$3.1 million prepayment penalty for a significant loan that paid off in September 2010.

There was an increase of \$569 thousand and a decrease of \$1.3 million in the recognition of credit related other-than-temporary impairment on the Bank's investment securities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. See discussion of 2011 credit related other-than-temporary impairment in the *Liquidity and Funding Sources* section above.

Noninterest Expense

The following table illustrates the changes in noninterest expense:

Change in Noninterest Expense	_	_	 the three m d Septemb		-	-	For the nine months ended September 30,					
(dollars in thousands)		2011	2010	Increase/ (Decrease)		2011	2010		Increase/ Decrease)			
Salaries and employee benefits	\$	60,603	\$ 58,896	\$	1,707	\$ 186,108	\$ 179,291	\$	6,817			
Occupancy and equipment		8,543	9,122		(579)	25,534	26,745		(1,211)			
Insurance Fund premiums		3,526	3,119		407	10,465	9,410		1,055			
Other operating expenses		20,538	18,827		1,711	61,337	56,788		4,549			
Called debt expense		13,436	15,186		(1,750)	19,295	33,292		(13,997)			
Correspondent lending servicing expense		2,124	2,078		46	6,611	6,135		476			
Other noninterest expense	_	_	70		(70)	105	209		(104)			
Total noninterest expense	\$	108,770	\$ 107,298	\$	1,472	\$ 309,455	\$ 311,870	\$	(2,415)			

Noninterest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$108.8 million, which reflected an increase of \$1.5 million (1.37 percent) compared to the corresponding period in 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, noninterest expense was \$309.5 million, which reflected a decrease of \$2.4 million (0.77 percent) compared to the corresponding period in 2010. The increase for the three month period and the decrease for the nine month period were due primarily to increases in salaries and employee benefits and other operating expenses offset by a decrease in called debt expense.

The increase in salaries and employee benefits of 2.90 percent and 3.80 percent for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 was due primarily to normal salary administration and increased employee benefit costs at September 30, 2011 compared to September 30, 2010.

Other operating expenses are comprised of numerous and varied expenses, none of which individually had a significant increase in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010.

Concession or debt issuance expense is amortized over the life of the underlying debt security. When debt securities are called prior to maturity, any unamortized concession is expensed. Called debt expense decreased \$1.8 million (11.52 percent) for the three month period and \$14.0 million (42.04 percent) for the nine month period. Call options were exercised on bonds totaling \$9.335 billion and \$16.166 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$11.288 billion and \$24.067 billion for the same periods of 2010. The called debt expense is more than offset by interest expense savings realized as called debt is replaced by new debt issued at a lower rate of interest. Over time, the favorable effect on net interest income is diminished as earning assets reprice downward.

FCSIC premiums increased \$407 thousand and \$1.1 million for the three and nine month periods due primarily to a change in the premium rate charged. The 2011 base annual premium rate is 6 basis points compared to the 2010 base annual premium rate of 5 basis points.

Occupancy and equipment expense for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 decreased \$579 thousand and \$1.2 million, respectively. These decreases were due primarily to lower depreciation expense as a result of several capitalized projects which fully depreciated in January 2011.

Correspondent lending service expense increased 2.21 percent for the three month period and 7.76 percent for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011. These increases were related primarily to increased agency guarantee fees resulting from higher volume in the correspondent lending portfolio.

Other noninterest expense consists of amortization of mandatorily redeemable preferred stock issuance costs, which fully amortized in May 2011.

REGULATORY MATTERS

On August 18, 2011, the FCA published for comment an amendment to the regulations governing investments held by institutions of the System. The stated objectives of the proposed rule are to:

- ensure that the Banks hold sufficient high quality, readily marketable investments to provide sufficient liquidity to continue operations and pay maturing obligations in the event of market disruption;
- strengthen the safety and soundness of System institutions;
- seek comments on how the FCA can comply with section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, which requires the FCA to remove all references to and requirements relating to credit ratings and to substitute other appropriate standards of creditworthiness;
- reduce regulatory burden with respect to investments that fail to meet eligibility criteria after purchase or are unsuitable; and
- enhance the ability of the System to supply credit to agriculture and aquatic producers by ensuring adequate availability to funds.

The System is in the process of developing a response to the proposed amendment to the investment regulations. Comments are due by November 16, 2011.

DISTRICT MERGER ACTIVITY

Please refer to Note 11, *District Merger Activity*, in the Notes to the Combined Financial Statements for information regarding merger activity in the District.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Please refer to Note 1, Organization, Significant Accounting Policies, and Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements, in the Notes to the Combined Financial Statements, and the 2010 Annual Report of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank and District Associations for recently issued accounting pronouncements.

NOTE: Shareholder investment in a District Association is materially affected by the financial condition and results of operations of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank. Copies of AgFirst's annual and quarterly reports are available upon request free of charge by calling 1-800-845-1745, ext. 2832, or writing Susanne Caughman, Reporting Manager, AgFirst Farm Credit Bank, P.O. Box 1499, Columbia, SC 29202. Combined information concerning AgFirst Farm Credit Bank and District Associations can also be obtained at the Bank's website, *www.agfirst.com.* AgFirst prepares a quarterly report within 40 days after the end of each fiscal quarter, except that no quarterly report need be prepared for the fiscal quarter that coincides with the end of the fiscal year of the institution.

Combined Balance Sheets

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010				
	(unaudited)	(audited)				
Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities:	\$ 1,729,765	\$ 1,463,700				
Available for sale (amortized cost of \$6,898,273 and \$6,981,952 respectively) Held to maturity (fair value of \$1,115,703	7,028,507	7,025,290				
and \$1,298,088 respectively)	1,027,101	1,234,262				
Total investment securities	8,055,608	8,259,552				
Loans Less: allowance for loan losses	22,748,472 179,816	23,032,893 182,329				
Net loans	22,568,656	22,850,564				
Loans held for sale Other investments	13,264 234,986	11,340 305,959				
Accrued interest receivable	230,024	195,966				
Investments in other Farm Credit System institutions	11,623	11,479				
Premises and equipment, net Other property owned	125,075 145,339	125,695 146,416				
Other assets	160,980	179,336				
Total assets	\$ 33,275,320	\$ 33,550,007				
Liabilities	\$ 33,273,320	\$ 55,550,007				
Bonds and notes	\$ 27,884,369	\$ 28,525,569				
Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock	225,000	225,000				
Accrued interest and dividend payable	44,912	57,943				
Dividends and patronage refunds payable	12,525	98,694				
Pension and other postretirement benefits liability	352,853	336,741				
Advanced conditional payments	6,801	6,842				
Other liabilities	192,621	142,538				
Total liabilities	28,719,081	29,393,327				
Commitments and contingencies (Note 6)						
Shareholders' Equity						
Perpetual preferred stock	400,000	400,000				
Protected borrower equity	3,285	3,641				
Capital stock and participation certificates	153,516	150,031				
Additional paid in capital (Note 11)	7,922	_				
Retained earnings	1 270 272	1 210 007				
Allocated Unallocated	1,270,363	1,318,996 2,575,592				
	2,901,196					
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(180,043)	(291,580)				
Total shareholders' equity	4,556,239	4,156,680				
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 33,275,320	\$ 33,550,007				

Combined Statements of Income

(unaudited)

	For the thr ended Sept	For the nine months ended September 30,				
(dollars in thousands)	2011	2010	2011	2010		
Interest Income Investment securities Loans Other	\$ 51,087 299,528 2,868	\$ 49,791 305,636 3,871	\$ 153,699 898,038 8,541	\$ 150,348 915,703 11,625		
Total interest income	353,483	359,298	1,060,278	1,077,676		
Interest Expense	71,624	96,081	229,886	301,959		
Net interest income Provision for loan losses	281,859 64,542	263,217 43,503	830,392 153,434	775,717 100,494		
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	217,317	219,714	676,958	675,223		
Noninterest Income Loan fees Fees for financially related services Gains (losses) from other property owned, net Gains (losses) on investments, net	11,272 3,408 (10,640)	13,709 3,263 (2,173)	31,900 6,587 (27,644) 2,973	35,363 7,322 (6,604) 1,406		
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses on investments (Note 2) Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) (Note 2)	(642) 73	_ _	(3,521) (5,158)	(2,110) (7,875)		
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses on investments Gains (losses) on sale of rural home loans, net Gains from sale of premises and equipment, net Patronage refunds from other Farm Credit institutions Insurance premium refunds Other noninterest income	(569) 493 664 231	1,030 162 10 — 980	(8,679) 1,444 1,230 367 4,009	(9,985) 1,982 470 535 34,327 3,325		
Total noninterest income	6,584	16,981	12,187	68,141		
Noninterest Expenses Salaries and employee benefits Occupancy and equipment Insurance Fund premiums Other operating expenses Called debt expense Correspondent lending servicing expense Other noninterest expense	60,603 8,543 3,526 20,538 13,436 2,124	58,896 9,122 3,119 18,827 15,186 2,078 70	186,108 25,534 10,465 61,337 19,295 6,611 105	179,291 26,745 9,410 56,788 33,292 6,135 209		
Total noninterest expenses	108,770	107,298	309,455	311,870		
Income before income taxes Provision for income taxes	115,131 506	129,397 580	379,690 965	431,494 608		
Net income	\$ 114,625	\$ 128,817	\$ 378,725	\$ 430,886		

Combined Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

Pattern Antoninate Pattern Pat		Perpetual Preferred	rotected	Capital Stock and articipation	Additional Paid in	 Retained Earnings		ined Earnings Othe		ccumulated Other mprehensive	er Tota	
Compensive income	(dollars in thousands)					Allocated		Unallocated	Co	•	SII	
Minimum	Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 400,000	\$ 4,205	\$ 138,504	\$ _	\$ 1,199,441	\$	2,323,523	\$	(438,646)	\$	3,627,027
Not-than-temporally impaired (Note 2)	Net income Unrealized gains (losses) on investments							430,886				430,886
Employee beanfit plans adjustments	Other-than-temporarily impaired (Note 2) Not-other-than-temporarily impaired (Note 2) Total unrealized gains (losses) on investments									-		
Protected bornower equity retired 15,845 1										20,082		
Fereina Ferenta Fere	Protected borrower equity retired		(506)									630,818 (506)
Perpetual preferred stock dividends paid Patronage distribution Cash Retained earnings retired retained retained earnings retired retained retained earnings retired retained	(retired), net			-				(560)				-
Retained earnings retired Retained earnings retired Retained earnings retired Patronage distribution adjustment	Perpetual preferred stock dividends paid			302				, ,				(13,706)
Balance at September 30, 2010 S 400,000 S 3,699 S 154,711 S — S 1,156,925 S 2,731,456 S (238,714) S (238,714) S 4,208,077 Balance at December 31, 2010 S 400,000 S 3,641 S 150,031 S — S 1,318,996 S 2,575,592 S (291,580) S 4,156,680 Comprehensive income Net income Net income Net income Other-than-temporarily impaired (Note 2) Not other-than-temporarily impaired (Note 2) Not other-than-temporarily impaired (Note 2) Not other-than-temporarily impaired (Note 2) Total unrealized gains (losses) on investments available for sale Change in value of firm commitments when issued securities (Note 8) Employee benefit plans adjustments Total comprehensive income Protected borrower equity retired Capital stock/participation certificates issued (retired), net Dividends declared/paid Perpetual preferred stock dividends paid Patronage distribution Cash Nonqualified allocated retained earnings Retained earnings retired Capital stock participation erger (Note 11) S 267 S 1,936 S 1,930 S 1,541 S 1,50,031 S 2,575,592 S 2,731,456 S 2,175,592 S 2,175,692 S 3,645	Cash					(41,062))	(10,500)				(10,500) (41,062)
Salance at December 31, 2010 S 400,000 S 3,641 S 150,031 S - S 1,318,996 S 2,575,592 S (291,580) S 4,156,680	Patronage distribution adjustment					(1,454))	1,813				359
Comprehensive income	Balance at September 30, 2010	\$ 400,000	\$ 3,699	\$ 154,711	\$ _	\$ 1,156,925	\$	2,731,456	\$	(238,714)	\$	4,208,077
Net income 378,725 378,725 Urrealized gains (losses) on investments available for sale: Other-than-temporarily impaired (Note 2) 3,645	Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 400,000	\$ 3,641	\$ 150,031	\$ _	\$ 1,318,996	\$	2,575,592	\$	(291,580)	\$	4,156,680
available for sale: Other-than-temporarily impaired (Note 2) Not other-than-temporarily impaired (Note 2) Total unrealized gains (losses) on investments available for sale Change in value of firm commitments - when issued securities (Note 8) Employee benefit plans adjustments Total comprehensive income Protected borrower equity retired (356) Capital stock/participation certificates issued (retired), net (retired), net (retired), net (retired) signal si	•							378,725				378,725
Not other-than-temporarily impaired (Note 2) Total unrealized gains (losses) on investments available for sale \$86,897												
Change in value of firm commitments - when issued securities (Note 8) 2,910 2,910 Employee benefit plans adjustments 21,730 21,730 Total comprehensive income 490,262 Protected borrower equity retired (356) 356 Capital stock/participation certificates issued (retired), net 2,919 573 573 2,919 Dividends declared/paid 573 (573) — Perpetual preferred stock dividends paid 573 (573) — Patronage distribution 40,010 (9,010) Cash (9,010) (9,010) (9,010) Nonqualified allocated retained earnings 14 (14) — Retained earnings retired (48,737) (48,737) (48,737) Equity issued as result of merger (Note 11) 267 1,936 7,922 10,125 Equity retired as result of merger (Note 11) (267) (1,936) 7,922 1,640 1,723	Not other-than-temporarily impaired (Note 2)									-		
when issued securities (Note 8) 2,910 2,910 Employee benefit plans adjustments 21,730 21,730 Total comprehensive income 490,262 Protected borrower equity retired (356) 356 Capital stock/participation certificates issued (retired), net 2,919 573 (573) 2,919 Dividends declared/paid 573 (573) - - Perpetual preferred stock dividends paid 573 (573) - - Patronage distribution 8 (9,010) (9,010) (9,010) Cash (9,010) (9,010) - Nonqualified allocated retained earnings 14 (14) - Retained earnings retired (48,737) (48,737) - Equity issued as result of merger (Note 11) 267 1,936 7,922 10,125 Equity retired as result of merger (Note 11) (267) (1,936) 7,922 10,125 Equity retired as result of merger (Note 11) (267) (1,936) (31,458) (33,661) Patronage distribution adjustment <td></td> <td>86,897</td>												86,897
Protected borrower equity retired (356) (356) Capital stock/participation certificates issued (retired), net 2,919 2,919 Dividends declared/paid 573 (573) — Perpetual preferred stock dividends paid (13,706) (13,706) (13,706) Patronage distribution (267) (48,737) (48,737) (48,737) Retained earnings retired (48,737) (48,737) (48,737) Equity issued as result of merger (Note 11) 267 1,936 7,922 10,125 Equity retired as result of merger (Note 11) (267) (1,936) (31,458) (33,661) Patronage distribution adjustment (7) 90 1,640 1,723	when issued securities (Note 8)									,		,
Capital stock/participation certificates issued (retired), net 2,919 2,919 Dividends declared/paid 573 (573) — Perpetual preferred stock dividends paid (13,706) (13,706) (13,706) Patronage distribution V (9,010) (9,010) Nonqualified allocated retained earnings 14 (14) — Retained earnings retired (48,737) (48,737) Equity issued as result of merger (Note 11) 267 1,936 7,922 10,125 Equity retired as result of merger (Note 11) (267) (1,936) (31,458) (33,661) Patronage distribution adjustment (7) 90 1,640 1,723			(25.0)									
Dividends declared/paid 573 (573) — Perpetual preferred stock dividends paid (13,706) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707) (14,707)	Capital stock/participation certificates issued		(330)	2.010								
Patronage distribution Cash (9,010) (9,010) Nonqualified allocated retained earnings 14 (14) — Retained earnings retired (48,737) (48,737) Equity issued as result of merger (Note 11) 267 1,936 7,922 10,125 Equity retired as result of merger (Note 11) (267) (1,936) (31,458) (33,661) Patronage distribution adjustment (7) 90 1,640 1,723				-				(573)				2,919
Nonqualified allocated retained earnings 14 (14) — Retained earnings retired (48,737) (48,737) (48,737) Equity issued as result of merger (Note 11) 267 1,936 7,922 10,125 Equity retired as result of merger (Note 11) (267) (1,936) (31,458) (33,661) Patronage distribution adjustment (7) 90 1,640 1,723	Patronage distribution											(13,706)
Equity issued as result of merger (Note 11) 267 1,936 7,922 10,125 Equity retired as result of merger (Note 11) (267) (1,936) (31,458) (33,661) Patronage distribution adjustment (7) 90 1,640 1,723	Nonqualified allocated retained earnings											_
Equity retired as result of merger (Note 11) (267) (1,936) (31,458) (33,661) Patronage distribution adjustment (7) 90 1,640 1,723			267	1 036	7 922	(48,/37)	'					
Patronage distribution adjustment (7) 90 1,640 1,723				-	1,722			(31.458)				-
			(201)			90						
	Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 400,000	\$ 3,285	\$ 153,516	\$ 7,922	\$ 1,270,363	\$	2,901,196	\$	(180,043)	\$	4,556,239

Combined Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

	For the nine months ended September 30,						
(dollars in thousands)	2011	2010					
Cash flows from operating activities:	0 250 525	Φ 420.006					
Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	\$ 378,725	\$ 430,886					
Depreciation on premises and equipment	12,351	13,591					
Amortization of net deferred loan origination (fees) costs	(7,857)	(7,714)					
Premium amortization (discount accretion) on investment securities	7,478	17,864					
(Premium amortization) discount accretion on bonds and notes	(591)	(5,480)					
Provision for loan losses	153,434	100,494					
(Gains) losses on other property owned (Gains) losses from sale of premises and equipment, net	27,644 (1,230)	6,604 (470)					
Net impairment losses on investments	8,679	9,985					
(Gains) losses on investments, net	(2,973)	(1,406)					
Gains (losses) on sales of rural home loans, net	(1,444)	(1,982)					
Net change in loans held for sale	17,528	(28,422)					
(Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable	(34,058)	(26,839)					
(Increase) decrease in other assets	12,423	(14,045)					
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable	(13,031)	(31,097)					
Increase (decrease) in pension and other postretirement benefits liability Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	15,992 63,134	14,574 (23,184)					
Total adjustments	257,479	22,473					
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	636,204	453,359					
Cash flows from investing activities:	050,204	133,337					
Investment securities purchased	(922,464)	(1,572,977)					
Proceeds from investment securities sold or matured	1,202,624	1,801,880					
Net (increase) decrease in loans	28,294	20,840					
(Increase) decrease in investments in other Farm Credit System institutions	(144)	11,637					
Purchases of other investments	(2,599)	(4,050)					
Proceeds from payments received on other investments	82,542	81,346					
Purchase of premises and equipment, net Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment, net	(12,415) 1,914	(9,207) 661					
Proceeds from sale of other property owned	44,853	37,646					
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	422,605	367,776					
Cash flows from financing activities:							
Bonds and notes issued	30,693,259	47,053,340					
Bonds and notes retired	(31,332,626)	(48,156,430)					
Net increase (decrease) in advanced conditional payments	(41)	1,105					
Protected borrower equity retired	(356)	(506)					
Capital stock and participation certificates issued/retired, net Patronage refunds and dividends paid	2,919 (93,456)	15,845 (76,142)					
Dividends paid on perpetual preferred stock	(13,706)	(13,706)					
Retained earnings retired	(48,737)	(41,062)					
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(792,744)	(1,217,556)					
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	266,065	(396,421)					
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	1,463,700	981,041					
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1,729,765	\$ 584,620					
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:		,,.					
Financed sales of other property owned	\$ 5,715	\$ 4,065					
Loans transferred to other property owned	77,135	118,318					
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments, net	86,897	179,850					
Employee benefit plans adjustments	21,730	20,082					
Equity issued as result of merger (Note 11)	10,125	´ _					
Equity retired as result of merger (Note 11)	(33,661)	_					
Adjustment of allowance for loan losses related to Association mergers (Note 3)	(16,097)	_					
Change in fair value of derivative instruments (Note 8)	(9,116)	_					
Non-cash changes related to hedging activities:							
Increase (decrease) in bonds and notes	\$ (5,933)	\$ 10,834					
Decrease (increase) in other assets	5,933	(10,605)					
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	_	(229)					
Supplemental information:	Q 242 500	¢ 220 526					
Interest paid	\$ 243,508	\$ 338,536					
Taxes paid, net	713	740					

Notes to the Combined Financial Statements

(dollars in thousands, except as noted)
(unaudited)

NOTE 1 — ORGANIZATION, SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, AND RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying combined financial statements include the accounts of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank (AgFirst or Bank) and the District Agricultural Credit Associations (Associations or District Associations), collectively referred to as the District. All significant transactions and balances between AgFirst and the District Associations have been eliminated in combination. Effective January 1, 2011, Farm Credit of North Florida, ACA (NFL), and Farm Credit of Southwest Florida, ACA (SWFL), merged with and into Farm Credit of South Florida, ACA (SFL), which then changed its name to Farm Credit of Florida, ACA (FCFL), reducing the number of Associations in the District from twenty-two to twenty. A description of the organization and operations, the significant accounting policies followed, and the financial condition and results of operations of the District as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 are contained in the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders. These unaudited third quarter 2011 financial statements should be read in conjunction with the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders.

The accompanying financial statements contain all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial condition and results of operations and conform with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and prevailing practices within the banking industry. The results for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011.

Certain amounts in the prior period's financial statements may have been reclassified to conform to the current period's financial statement presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on the prior period net income or total capital as previously reported.

The District maintains an allowance for loan losses at a level considered adequate by management to provide for probable and estimable losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the report date. The allowance for loan losses is increased through provisions for loan losses and loan recoveries and is decreased through loan charge-offs and allowance reversals. A review of individual loans in each respective portfolio is performed periodically to determine the appropriateness of risk ratings and to ensure loss exposure to the District has been identified. The allowance for loan losses is a valuation account used to reasonably estimate loan losses as of the financial statement date. Determining the appropriate allowance for loan losses balance involves significant judgment about when a loss has been incurred and the amount of that loss. The District considers factors such as credit risk classifications, collateral values, risk concentrations, weather related conditions, current production and economic conditions, and prior loan loss experience, among others, when determining the allowance for loan losses.

A specific allowance may be established for impaired loans under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) guidance on accounting by creditors for impairment of a loan. Impairment of these loans is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or at the loan's observable market price, or fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

A general allowance may also be established under FASB guidance on accounting for contingencies to reflect estimated probable credit losses incurred in the remainder of the loan portfolio at the financial statement date. The general allowance excludes loans included under the specific allowance discussed above, unless specific characteristics of the loan indicate that it is probable that there would be an incurred loss in a group of loans with those characteristics. The level of the general allowance may be based on management's best estimate of the likelihood of default adjusted for other relevant factors reflecting the current environment.

The credit risk rating methodology is a key component of the District's allowance for loan losses evaluation, and is generally incorporated into the institution's loan underwriting standards and internal lending limit. The District uses a two-dimensional loan rating model based on internally generated combined system risk rating guidance that incorporates a 14-point risk rating scale to identify and track the probability of borrower default and a separate scale addressing loss given default over a period of time. Probability of default is the probability that a borrower will experience a default within 12 months from the date of the determination of the risk rating. A default is considered to have occurred if the lender believes the borrower will not be able to pay its obligation in full or the borrower is past due more than 90 days. The loss given default is management's estimate as to the anticipated economic loss on a specific loan assuming default has occurred or is expected to occur within the next 12 months.

Each of the 14 categories carries a distinct percentage of default probability. The 14-point risk rating scale provides for granularity of the probability of default, especially in the acceptable ratings. There are nine acceptable categories that range from a borrower of the highest quality to a borrower of minimally acceptable quality. The probability of default between 1 and 9 is very narrow and would reflect almost no default to a minimal default percentage. The probability of default grows more rapidly as a loan moves from a "9" to other assets especially mentioned and grows significantly as a loan moves to a substandard (viable) level. A substandard (non-viable) rating indicates that the probability of default is almost certain.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-09, "Compensation (Topic 715): Retirement Benefits – Multiemployer Plans." The amendment is intended to provide for more information about an employer's financial obligations to multiemployer pension and other postretirement benefit plans, which should help financial statement users better understand the financial health of significant plans in which the employer participates. The additional disclosures include the following: (1) a description of the nature of plan benefits; (2) a qualitative description of the extent to which the employer could be responsible for the obligations of the plan, including benefits earned by employees during employment with another employer, and (3) other quantitative information to help users understand the financial information about the plan. The amendments are effective for annual periods for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2011 for public entities. The amendments should be applied retrospectively for all prior periods presented.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income." This amendment is intended to increase the prominence of other comprehensive income in financial statements. The current option that permits the presentation of other comprehensive income in the statement of changes in equity has been eliminated. The main provisions of the guidance provides that an entity that reports items of other comprehensive income has the option to present comprehensive income in either one or two consecutive financial statements: (1) A single statement must present the components of net income and total net income, the components of other comprehensive income and total other comprehensive income, and a total for comprehensive income; (2) In a two-statement approach, an entity must present the components of net income and total net income in the first statement. That statement must be immediately followed by a financial statement that presents the components of other comprehensive income, a total for other comprehensive income, and a total for comprehensive income. This guidance is to be applied retrospectively. For public entities, it is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance will not impact financial condition or results of operations, but will result in changes to the presentation of comprehensive income.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs." The amendments change the wording used to describe the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments include the following: (1) Application of the highest and best use and valuation premise is only relevant when measuring the fair value of nonfinancial assets (does not apply to financial assets and liabilities); (2) Aligns the fair value measurement of instruments classified within an entity's shareholders' equity with the guidance for liabilities. As a result, an entity should measure the fair value of its own equity instruments from the perspective of a market participant that holds the instruments as assets; (3) Clarifies that a reporting entity should disclose quantitative information about the unobservable inputs used in a fair value measurement that is categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy; (4) An exception to the requirement for measuring fair value when a reporting entity manages its financial instruments on the basis of its net exposure, rather than its gross exposure, to those risks; (5) Clarifies that the application of premiums and discounts in a fair value measurement is related to the unit of account for the asset or liability being measured at fair value. Premiums or discounts related to size as a characteristic of the entity's holding (that is, a

blockage factor) instead of as a characteristic of the asset or liability (for example, a control premium), are not permitted. A fair value measurement that is not a Level 1 measurement may include premiums or discounts other than blockage factors when market participants would incorporate the premium or discount into the measurement at the level of the unit of account specified in other guidance; (6) Expansion of the disclosures about fair value measurements. The most significant change will require entities, for their recurring Level 3 fair value measurements, to disclose quantitative information about unobservable inputs used, a description of the valuation processes used by the entity, and a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of the measurements. New disclosures are required about the use of a nonfinancial asset measured or disclosed at fair value if its use differs from its highest and best use. In addition, entities must report the level in the fair value hierarchy of assets and liabilities not recorded at fair value but where fair value is disclosed. The amendments are to be applied prospectively. The amendments are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application is not permitted.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-02, "Receivables (Topic 310): A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring," which provides for clarification on whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR). In evaluating whether a restructuring is a TDR, a creditor must separately conclude that both of the following exists: (1) the restructuring constitutes a concession, and (2) the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The guidance is effective for nonpublic entities, including the District, for annual periods ending on or after December 15, 2012, including interim periods within those annual periods. The guidance should be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. The new disclosures about TDR activity required by the guidance on "Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses," as discussed below, are effective for annual reporting periods ending after December 15, 2011. The impact of adoption of this guidance, if any, is expected to be immaterial to the District's financial condition and results of operations, but it will result in additional disclosures.

In January 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-01, "Receivables (Topic 310): Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings." This amendment temporarily delayed the effective date of the disclosures about TDRs required by the guidance previously issued on "Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses." The effective date of the new disclosures about TDRs coincides with the guidance for determining what constitutes a TDR as described above.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-20, "Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses." This amendment provides additional information to assist financial statement users in assessing an entity's credit risk exposures and evaluating the adequacy of its allowance for credit losses. Existing disclosures were amended to include additional disclosures of financing receivables on both a portfolio segment and class of financing receivable basis. This includes a rollforward schedule of the allowance for credit losses from the beginning of the reporting period to the end of the period on a portfolio segment basis, with the ending balance further disclosed on the basis of the method of impairment (individually or collectively evaluated). The guidance also calls for new disclosures including but not limited to credit quality indicators at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables, the aging of past due financing receivables, nature and extent of financing receivables modified as troubled debt restructurings by class and the effect on the allowance for credit losses. For public entities, the disclosures as of the end of a reporting period were effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance had no impact on the District's financial condition and results of operations but resulted in significant additional disclosures (see Note 3).

Effective January 1, 2010, the District adopted ASU 2010-06, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820)" which is intended to improve disclosures about fair value measurement by increasing transparency in financial reporting. The changes provide a greater level of disaggregated information and more detailed disclosures of valuation techniques and inputs to fair value measurement. The new disclosures and clarification of existing disclosures were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the rollforward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures were effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this guidance had no impact on the District's financial condition and results of operations but resulted in additional disclosures (see Note 4).

Other recently issued accounting pronouncements are discussed in the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders.

NOTE 2 — INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Available-for-sale

A summary of the amortized cost and fair value of debt securities held as available-for-sale investments follows:

	September 30, 2011									
(dollars in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Contractual Yield					
U.S. Govt. GNMA MBS/CMOs U.S. Govt. Agency MBS Non-Agency CMOs (a)	\$ 4,873,005 1,643,207 301,798	\$ 169,654 26,645 412	\$ (3,763) (9,809) (54,676)	\$ 5,038,896 1,660,043 247,534	2.48% 1.44 0.58					
Commercial MBS Asset-Backed Securities (a) Mission Related Investments	776 35,497 43,990	1,826 7,933	(241) (7,747)	535 29,576 51,923	5.01 0.55 6.17					
Total	\$ 6,898,273	\$ 206,470	\$ (76,236)	\$ 7,028,507	2.16%					

	December 31, 2010											
(dollars in thousands)	A	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	U	Gross nrealized Losses	Fair Value	Contractual Yield					
U.S. Govt. GNMA MBS/CMOs U.S. Govt. Agency MBS Non-Agency CMOs (b)	\$	4,836,617 1,743,193 357,648	\$ 116,377 26,768 59	\$	(5,983) (22,570) (62,181)	\$ 4,947,011 1,747,391 295,526	2.19% 1.46 0.67					
Commercial MBS Asset-Backed Securities (b) Total	\$	1,291 43,203 6,981,952	2,355 \$ 145,559	\$	(366) (11,121) (102,221)	925 34,437 \$ 7,025,290	6.96 0.70 1.92%					

⁽a) Gross unrealized losses include non-credit related other-than-temporary impairment recognized in AOCI of \$13.7 million for Non-Agency CMOs and \$5.8 million for Asset-Backed Securities.

Held-to-maturity

A summary of the amortized cost and fair value of debt securities held as held-to-maturity investments follows:

		September 30, 2011												
(dollars in thousands)	A	mortized Cost	Un	Gross realized Gains	Uņ	Gross realized Losses		Fair Value	Contractual Yield					
U.S. Govt. Agency MBS Asset-Backed Securities Mission Related Investments	\$	750,022 76,318 200,761	\$	64,040 824 24,429	\$	(223) (468) –	\$	813,839 76,674 225,190	5.26% 1.61 6.03					
Total	\$	1,027,101	\$	89,293	\$	(691)	\$	1,115,703	5.14%					

		December 31, 2010										
(dollars in thousands)	A	mortized Cost	Un	Gross realized Gains	Uŋ	Gross realized Losses		Fair Value	Contractual Yield			
U.S. Govt. Agency MBS Asset-Backed Securities Mission Related Investments	\$	913,648 82,452 238,162	\$	57,611 664 7,955	\$	(248) (541) (1,615)	\$	971,011 82,575 244,502	5.35% 1.52 6.08			
Total	\$	1,234,262	\$	66,230	\$	(2,404)	\$	1,298,088	5.24%			

⁽b) Gross unrealized losses include non-credit related other-than temporary impairment recognized in AOCI of \$13.9 million for Non-Agency CMOs and \$9.1 million for Asset-Backed Securities.

A summary of the expected maturity, estimated fair value and amortized cost of investment securities at September 30, 2011 follows:

Available-for-sale

			n 1 year less		er 1 year 15 years	Due after through		Due after 10 years		Total			
(dollars in thousands)	Am	ount	Contractual Yield	Amount	Contractual Yield	Amount	Contractual Yield		Amount	Contractual Yield		Amount	Contractual Yield
U.S. Govt. GNMA MBS/CMOs	\$	_	-%	\$ _	-%	\$ 1,361	1.01 %	\$	5,037,535	2.48 %	\$	5,038,896	2.48 %
U.S. Govt. Agency MBS		_	-	15,594	4.70	30,225	0.72		1,614,224	1.42		1,660,043	1.44
Non-Agency CMOs		-	-	_	-	-	_		247,534	0.58		247,534	0.58
Commercial MBS		-	-	_	-	-	_		535	5.01		535	5.01
Asset-Backed Securities		-	-	_	-	-	_		29,576	0.55		29,576	0.55
Mission Related Investments		-	_	2,037	6.17	1,084	6.17		48,802	6.17		51,923	6.17
Total fair value	\$	_	- %	\$ 17,631	4.89 %	\$ 32,670	0.89 %	\$	6,978,206	2.16 %	\$	7,028,507	2.16 %
Total amortized cost	\$	_		\$ 16,330		\$ 32,434		\$	6,849,509		\$	6,898,273	

Held-to-maturity

		Due in or le		Due afte through			Due after through		Due after	10 years	Te	otal
(dollars in thousands)	-	Amount	Contractual Yield	Amount	Contractual Yield	1	Amount	Contractual Yield	Amount	Contractual Yield	Amount	Contractual Yield
U.S. Govt. Agency MBS Asset-Backed Securities Mission Related Investments	\$	1,407 -	- % 1.97 -	\$ 9,468 24,403	-% 1.19 6.63	\$	1,520 43,960 30,921	4.96 % 1.59 6.02	\$ 748,502 21,483 145,437	5.26 % 1.79 5.89	\$ 750,022 76,318 200,761	5.26 % 1.61 6.03
Total amortized cost	\$	1,407	1.97 %	\$ 33,871	5.11 %	\$	76,401	3.53 %	\$ 915,422	5.28 %	\$ 1,027,101	5.14 %
Total fair value	\$	1,408		\$ 34,896		\$	80,937		\$ 998,462		\$ 1,115,703	

The District's investments consist primarily of mortgage-backed securities (MBSs) collateralized by U.S. Government or U.S. Agency guaranteed residential mortgages and all were rated AAA/Aaa at September 30, 2011. These securities are classified as available-for-sale and are held to maintain a liquidity reserve, manage short-term surplus funds, and manage interest rate risk.

During the first nine months of 2011, proceeds from sales of investment securities were \$57.3 million and realized gains were \$3.0 million. During the first nine months of 2010, proceeds from sales of investment securities were \$100.4 million and net realized gains were \$1.4 million.

Included in available-for-sale investments are non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) and asset-backed securities (ABSs). Substantially all of these securities have contractual maturities in excess of ten years. However, expected maturities will generally differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties. These securities must meet applicable Farm Credit Administration (FCA) regulatory guidelines, which require that they be high quality, senior class, and rated in the top category (AAA/Aaa) by at least one Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO) at the time of purchase. To achieve that rating, they may have a guarantee of timely payment of principal and interest, credit enhancements achieved through over-collateralization or other means, and/or a priority of payments over junior classes. All of the District's investments in these securities have credit enhancement features including, but not limited to, senior/subordinate structure and/or loss coverage by a bond insurer.

The FCA considers non-agency CMOs or ABSs ineligible if they fall below the AAA/Aaa credit rating criteria by the NRSROs. The District must obtain specific approval from FCA to continue to hold an ineligible security. Non-agency CMO securities not rated in the top category by at least one of the NRSROs at September 30, 2011 had a fair value of \$195.6 million. ABSs not rated in the top category by at least one of the NRSROs at September 30, 2011 had a fair value of \$20.3 million. For each of these securities rated below AAA/Aaa, the District has developed and submitted plans to the FCA which provide that the securities be held to maturity. The FCA has approved, with conditions, the District's plans for all but two investments that have recently become ineligible. The District is in the process of submitting a plan to hold these two investments to FCA for approval.

Held-to-maturity Mission Related Investments consist primarily of Rural America Bonds, which are private placement securities purchased under the Mission Related Program approved by the FCA. In its Conditions of Approval under the Mission Related Investment program, the FCA considers a Rural America Bond ineligible if its investment rating, based on the 14-point risk rating scale used to also grade loans, falls below 9. Pursuant to FCA conditions of approval related to the Mission Related Investment program, the District has also submitted and received approval for a plan to hold a Rural America Bond whose credit quality had deteriorated beyond the program limits.

An investment is considered impaired if its fair value is less than its cost. This also applies to those securities other-than-temporarily impaired for which a credit loss has been recognized but noncredit-related losses continue to remain unrealized. The following table shows the fair value and gross unrealized losses for investments that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position aggregated by investment category at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. A continuous unrealized loss position for an investment is measured from the date the impairment was first identified.

				September	30 ,	2011			
	Less t 12 Mo			Greater 12 Mo			Tot	-al	
(dollars in thousands)	Fair Value	Unr	ealized osses	Fair Value	Uı	realized Losses	Fair Value		nrealized Losses
U.S. Govt. GNMA MBS/CMOs U.S. Govt. Agency MBS Non-Agency CMOs Asset-Backed Securities Mortgage-Backed Securities Mission Related Investments	\$ 44,171 20,432 - 4,879	\$	(124) (99) - (39) -	\$ 289,143 571,394 246,495 45,434 535	\$	(3,639) (9,933) (54,676) (8,176) (241)	\$ 333,314 591,826 246,495 50,313 535	\$	(3,763) (10,032) (54,676) (8,215) (241)
Total	\$ 69,482	\$	(262)	\$ 1,153,001	\$	(76,665)	\$ 1,222,483	\$	(76,927)

				December	31,	2010					
	 Less t			Greater 12 Mo			Total				
(dollars in thousands)	 Fair Value	Uni	realized Josses	Fair Value	Uı	realized Losses		Fair Value		nrealized Losses	
U.S. Govt. GNMA MBS/CMOs U.S. Govt. Agency MBS Non-Agency CMOs Asset-Backed Securities Mortgage-Backed Securities Mission Related Investments	\$ 602,933 219,661 - 4,157 - 55,694	\$	(2,529) (1,492) (18) (1,389)	\$ 325,506 627,100 292,015 55,229 926 4,784	\$	(3,454) (21,326) (62,181) (11,644) (366) (226)	\$	928,439 846,761 292,015 59,386 926 60,478	\$	(5,983) (22,818) (62,181) (11,662) (366) (1,615)	
Total	\$ 882,445	\$	(5,428)	\$ 1,305,560	\$	(99,197)	\$	2,188,005	\$	(104,625)	

FASB guidance for other-than-temporary impairment contemplates numerous factors in determining whether an impairment is other-than-temporary. These factors include: (1) whether or not management intends to sell the security, (2) whether it is more likely than not that management would be required to sell the security before recovering its costs, and (3) whether management expects to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis (even if there is no intention to sell). If the District intends to sell the security or it is more likely than not that it would be required to sell the security, the impairment loss equals the full difference between amortized cost and fair value of the security. When the District does not intend to sell securities in an unrealized loss position and it is not more likely than not that it would be required to sell the securities, other-than-temporary impairment loss is separated into credit loss and non-credit loss. Credit loss is defined as the shortfall of the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected in relation to the amortized cost basis.

The District performs periodic credit reviews, including other-than-temporary impairment analyses, on its investment securities portfolio. The objective is to quantify future possible loss of principal or interest due on securities in the portfolio. Factors considered in determining whether an impairment is other-than-temporary include among others: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value is less than cost, (2) adverse conditions specifically related to the industry, (3) geographic area and the condition of the underlying collateral, (4) payment structure of the security, (5) ratings by rating agencies, (6) the credit worthiness of bond insurers, and (7) volatility of the fair value changes. Based on the results of all analyses, the District recognized other-than-temporary impairment during the first nine months of 2011 in

connection with ABS securities and non-agency CMO securities in its portfolio, which is included in Impairment Losses on Investments in the Combined Statements of Income.

Since the District does not intend to sell these other-than-temporarily impaired debt securities and is not more likely than not to be required to sell before recovery, the other-than-temporary impairment of \$3.5 million is separated into: (1) the estimated amount relating to credit loss (\$8.7 million reflected in Net Income in the Combined Statements of Income), which is partially offset by (2) the amount relating to all other factors (\$5.2 million reflected in other comprehensive income in the Combined Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity).

The District uses the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the debt security to determine the amount of credit loss. This technique requires assumptions related to the underlying collateral, including default rates, degree and timing of prepayments, and loss severity. Assumptions can vary widely from security to security and are influenced by such factors as loan interest rate, geographical location of the borrower, borrower characteristics, and collateral type.

Significant inputs used in this technique to measure the amount related to the credit loss include, but are not limited to, performance indicators of the underlying assets in the security (including default rates, delinquency rates, and percentage of nonperforming assets), loan-to-collateral value ratios, third-party guarantees, current levels of subordination, vintage, geographic concentration, and credit ratings. The District obtains assumptions for the default rate, prepayment rate, and loss severity rate from an independent third party. Default rate assumptions are generally estimated using historical loss and performance information to estimate future defaults. The forecasted cumulative default rates used at September 30, 2011 ranged from 1.69 percent to 44.87 percent for non-agency CMO securities and from 22.68 percent to 86.17 percent for ABS securities. Prepayment rate assumptions are based on forecasted prepayments and resulted in prepayment rates that ranged from 5.78 percent to 16.96 percent for non-agency CMO securities and from 3.14 percent to 5.61 percent for ABS securities at September 30, 2011. At September 30, 2011, the loss severity rates estimated from assumptions ranged from 5.09 percent to 64.52 percent for non-agency CMO securities and from 64.61 percent to 100.00 percent for ABS securities.

For all investments, other than the other-than-temporarily impaired securities discussed above, the District has not recognized any other-than-temporary impairment as the unrealized losses resulted from non-credit related factors. The District has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of unrealized losses occurs, which may be at maturity, and at this time expects to collect the full principal amount and interest due on these securities. Substantially all of these investments were in U.S. Government agency securities and the District expects these securities would not be settled at a price less than their amortized cost. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, net unrealized gains of \$83.3 million were recognized in other comprehensive income for available-for-sale investments that were not other-than-temporarily impaired.

The following schedules detail the activity related to cumulative credit losses on investments recognized in earnings as of September 30, 2011 and 2010:

For the nine months

(dollars in thousands)	ended So	eptember 30, 2011
Beginning balance at January 1, 2011	\$	45,077
Additions for the amount related to credit loss for which other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized		1,463
Additions for the amount related to credit loss for which other-than-temporary impairment was previously recognized		7,215
Reductions for increases in expected cash flows		(812)
Ending balance at September 30, 2011	\$	52,943
		he nine months
/		eptember 30, 2010
Beginning balance at January 1, 2010	ended So	
(dollars in thousands) Beginning balance at January 1, 2010 Additions for the amount related to credit loss for which other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized	ended So	eptember 30, 2010
Beginning balance at January 1, 2010 Additions for the amount related to credit loss for which other-than-temporary	ended So	eptember 30, 2010 33,445

NOTE 3 — LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

For a complete description of the District's accounting for loans (including impaired loans and the allowance for loan losses) and definitions of loan types, see the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders.

Credit risk arises from the potential inability of an obligor to meet its repayment obligation which exists in outstanding loans. The District manages credit risk associated with lending activities through an assessment of the credit risk profile of an individual obligor. The District sets its own underwriting standards and lending policies that provide direction to loan officers and are approved by the board of directors.

The credit risk management process begins with an analysis of the obligor's credit history, repayment capacity and financial position. Repayment capacity focuses on the obligor's ability to repay the obligation based on cash flows from operations or other sources of income, including non-farm income. Real estate mortgage loans must be secured by first liens on the real estate collateral. As required by FCA regulations, each institution that makes loans on a secured basis must have collateral evaluation policies and procedures.

The credit risk rating process for loans uses a two-dimensional loan rating structure, incorporating a 14-point risk rating scale (as discussed in Note 1 above) to identify and track a borrower's probability of default and a separate scale addressing loss given default. The loan rating structure calculates estimates of loss through two components, borrower risk and transaction risk. Borrower risk is the risk of loss driven by factors intrinsic to the borrower. The transaction risk or facility risk is related to the structure of a credit (tenor, terms, and collateral).

A summary of loans outstanding follows:

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Real estate mortgage	\$ 9,844,073	\$ 9,986,760
Production and intermediate-term	8,025,209	8,105,060
Agribusiness		
Loans to cooperatives	268,051	304,161
Processing and marketing	1,207,844	1,355,811
Farm-related business	352,382	342,984
Total agribusiness	1,828,277	2,002,956
Communication	202,862	200,578
Energy	306,373	342,614
Water and waste disposal	28,022	28,024
Rural residential real estate	2,418,423	2,258,480
Lease receivables	3,405	10,697
Loans to other financial institutions (OFIs)	12,250	5,000
Other (including mission-related)	 79,578	92,724
Total Loans	\$ 22,748,472	\$ 23,032,893

The District may purchase or sell participation interests with other parties in order to diversify risk, manage loan volume, and comply with Farm Credit Administration regulations. The following tables present participations purchased and sold balances at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	September 30, 2011											
		Within Farm (Creo	lit System		Outside Farm	Cre	dit System		To	tal	
		Participations	P	articipations]	Participations	F	Participations		Participations]	Participations
(dollars in thousands)		Purchased		Sold		Purchased		Sold		Purchased		Sold
Real estate mortgage	\$	120,586	\$	67,659	\$	113,659	\$	4,889	\$	234,245	\$	72,548
Production and intermediate-term		279,354		301,763		542,624		30,024		821,978		331,787
Agribusiness												
Loans to cooperatives		219,695		_		30,940		_		250,635		-
Processing and marketing		358,981		21,145		620,591		27,382		979,572		48,527
Farm-related business		109,099		7,701		24,727		920		133,826		8,621
Total agribusiness		687,775		28,846		676,258		28,302		1,364,033		57,148
Communication		203,206		-		_		_		203,206		_
Energy		279,198		_		23,652		_		302,850		=
Water and waste disposal		28,000		_		_		_		28,000		-
Rural residential real estate		-		_		53		_		53		-
Lease receivables		2,004		_		_		_		2,004		_
Loans to OFIs		-		_		12,250		_		12,250		-
Other (including mission-related)		_		_		10,206		_		10,206		_
Total	\$	1,600,123	\$	398,268	\$	1,378,702	\$	63,215	\$	2,978,825	\$	461,483

December 31, 2010 Within Farm Credit System Outside Farm Credit System Total Participations Participations Participations Participations Participations Participations (dollars in thousands) Purchased Purchased Sold Purchased Sold Sold 487,748 637,589 Real estate mortgage 140,835 54,335 346,913 29,115 83,450 Production and intermediate-term 278,402 348,640 359,187 352,036 3,396 Agribusiness 227,828 443,756 Loans to cooperatives 38,628 266,456 33,961 5,975 62,560 Processing and marketing 669,883 28,599 1,113,639 Farm-related business 58,881 39,893 98,774 5,975 Total agribusiness 730,465 39,936 748,404 28,599 1,478,869 68,535 Communication 198,433 198,433 Energy Water and waste disposal 315,137 22,434 337,571 28,000 28,000 Rural residential real estate 539 539 Lease receivables 3,565 3,565 5,000 11,759 Loans to OFIs 5.000 Other (including mission-related) 11,759 Total \$ 1,694,837 442,911 504,021 \$ 1,494,236 61,110 3,189,073

A significant source of liquidity for the District is the repayments and maturities of loans. The following table presents the contractual maturity distribution of loans by loan type at September 30, 2011 and indicates that approximately 20.55 percent of loans had maturities of less than one year:

(dollars in thousands)	Due less than 1 year	Due 1 Through 5 years	Due after 5 years	Total
Real estate mortgage	\$ 909,789	\$ 2,829,048	\$ 6,105,236	\$ 9,844,073
Production and intermediate-term	2,925,915	3,164,972	1,934,322	8,025,209
Agribusiness				
Loans to cooperatives	68,176	98,479	101,396	268,051
Processing and marketing	506,713	529,437	171,694	1,207,844
Farm-related business	84,657	188,847	78,878	352,382
Total agribusiness	659,546	816,763	351,968	1,828,277
Communication	80,142	80,605	42,115	202,862
Energy	63,160	98,334	144,879	306,373
Water and waste disposal	22	_	28,000	28,022
Rural residential real estate	32,907	75,775	2,309,741	2,418,423
Lease receivables	1,690	1,541	174	3,405
Loans to OFIs	. –	12,250	_	12,250
Other (including mission-related)	1,861	5,668	72,049	79,578
Total Loans	\$ 4,675,032	\$ 7,084,956	\$ 10,988,484	\$ 22,748,472

The following table shows loans and related accrued interest classified under the Farm Credit Administration Uniform Loan Classification System as a percentage of total loans and related accrued interest receivable by loan type as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010		September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Real estate mortgage: Acceptable OAEM	87.81% 5.31	87.46% 5.48	Communication: Acceptable OAEM	100.00%	98.83%
Substandard/doubtful/loss	6.88	7.06	Substandard/doubtful/loss	_	1.17
	100.00%	100.00%	Substandard/dodotrar/1035	100.00%	100.00%
Production and intermediate- term:			Energy and water/waste disposal:		
Acceptable	84.02%	83.80%	Acceptable	97.56%	95.64%
OAEM	8.03	9.10	OAEM	0.71	3.26
Substandard/doubtful/loss	7.95	7.10	Substandard/doubtful/loss	1.73	1.10
	100.00%	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%
Agribusiness: Loans to cooperatives:			Rural residential real estate: Acceptable	98.66%	98.40%
Acceptable	92.05%	86.38%	OAEM	0.47	0.57
OAEM	6.95	11.93	Substandard/doubtful/loss	0.87	1.03
Substandard/doubtful/loss	1.00	1.69		100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%		100.0070	100.0070
Processing and marketing: Acceptable	83.65%	76.94%	Lease receivables: Acceptable OAEM	84.08% 8.30	92.48% 2.51
OAEM	7.66	12.08	Substandard/doubtful/loss	7.62	5.01
Substandard/doubtful/loss	8.69	10.98		100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	Loans to OFIs:		
Farm-related business:			Acceptable	100.00%	100.00%
Acceptable	94.16%	92.55%	OAEM	_	_
OAEM	2.88	3.58	Substandard/doubtful/loss		_
Substandard/doubtful/loss	2.96	3.87		100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%			
Total Agribusiness:	0.5.0407	04.0407	Other (including mission- related):		
Acceptable	86.91%	81.05%	Acceptable	85.30%	77.07%
OAEM	6.63	10.60	OAEM	5.61	7.91
Substandard/doubtful/loss	6.46	8.35	Substandard/doubtful/loss	9.09	15.02
	100.00%	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%
			Total Loans: Acceptable	87.79%	86.87%
			OAEM	5.75	6.65
			Substandard/doubtful/loss	6.46	6.48
				100 000/	100 000/

100.00%

100.00%

The following tables provide an age analysis of past due loans and related accrued interest as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

						Sep	tembe	er 30, 2011			
(dollars in thousands)	30 Through 89 Days Past Due			00 Days or More Past Due	То	tal Past Due	I	ot Past Due or Less Than 30 lays Past Due	Recorded Investment 90 Days or More Past Due and Accruing Interest		
Real estate mortgage	\$	133,031	\$	241,647	\$	374,678	\$	9,570,819	\$ 9,945,497	\$	4,431
Production and intermediate-term Agribusiness		54,392		211,868		266,260		7,846,278	8,112,538		481
Loans to cooperatives		111		2,698		2,809		266,735	269,544		_
Processing and marketing		605		12,716		13,321		1,200,263	1,213,584		-
Farm-related business		2,101		7,557		9,658		344,351	354,009		_
Total agribusiness		2,817		22,971		25,788		1,811,349	1,837,137		_
Communication		· –		· –		· –		203,238	203,238		-
Energy and water/waste disposal		_		5,826		5,826		330,647	336,473		-
Rural residential real estate		42,698		12,036		54,734		2,372,530	2,427,264		4,742
Lease receivables		, _		87		87		3,341	3,428		
Loans to OFIs		_		_		_		12,267	12,267		_
Other (including mission-related)		1,367		1,137		2,504		78,113	80,617		-
Total	\$	234,305	\$	495,572	\$	729,877	\$	22,228,582	\$ 22,958,459	\$	9,654

				De	cembe	r 31, 2010			
(dollars in thousands)	Through 89 ys Past Due	00 Days or More Past Due	To	tal Past Due	I	ot Past Due or Less Than 30 Pays Past Due	Total Loans	90 I Pa	ded Investment Days or More ast Due and ruing Interest
Real estate mortgage	\$ 106,498	\$ 272,080	\$	378,578	\$	9,692,300	\$ 10,070,878	\$	4,604
Production and intermediate-term	82,377	173,946		256,323		7,921,721	8,178,044		1,195
Agribusiness									
Loans to cooperatives	-	4,907		4,907		300,486	305,393		_
Processing and marketing	4,944	1,156		6,100		1,354,210	1,360,310		-
Farm-related business	484	7,668		8,152		336,435	344,587		_
Total agribusiness	5,428	13,731		19,159		1,991,131	2,010,290		_
Communication	_	_		_		200,910	200,910		_
Energy and water/waste disposal	_	_		_		372,618	372,618		_
Rural residential real estate	46,403	13,157		59,560		2,207,139	2,266,699		6,374
Lease receivables	81	90		171		10,596	10,767		=
Loans to OFIs	-	_		_		5,008	5,008		_
Other (including mission-related)	-	6,040		6,040		87,502	93,542		543
Total	\$ 240,787	\$ 479,044	\$	719,831	\$	22,488,925	\$ 23,208,756	\$	12,716

The recorded investment in the receivable is the face amount increased or decreased by applicable accrued interest and unamortized premium, discount, finance charges, or acquisition costs and may also reflect a previous direct write-down of the investment.

Nonperforming assets (including related accrued interest) and related credit quality statistics at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are summarized as follows:

Nonaccrual loans: Real estate mortgage \$ 387,473 \$ 405,976 Production and intermediate-term 341,069 317,832 Agribusiness 341,069 317,832 Loans to cooperatives 2,695 4,911 Processing and marketing 24,052 36,302 Farm-related business 7,977 8,195 Total agribusiness 34,724 49,408 Communication - 2,358 Energy and water/waste disposal 5,819 - Rural residential real estate 15,316 12,246 Lease receivables 261 2,79 Other (including mission-related) 2,225 6,977 Total agrinusion-related 16,158 10,818 Accruing restructured loans: - - Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness - - - Loans to cooperatives - - - Forting plusiness 36,023	(dollars in thousands)	Sept	ember 30, 2011		December 31, 2010
Production and intermediate-term 341,069 317,832 Agribusiness 2,695 4,911 Processing and marketing 24,052 36,302 Farm-related business 7,977 8,195 Total agribusiness 34,724 49,408 Communication - 2,558 Energy and water/waste disposal 5,819 - Bural residential real estate 15,316 12,246 Lease receivables 261 2,799 Other (including mission-related) 2,225 6,977 Total nonaccrual loans \$ 786,887 \$ 795,076 Accruing restructured loans: 8 10,818 Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness - - - Loans to cooperatives - - - Processing and marketing 36,023 30,683 - Farm-related business - - - Communication - - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Agribusiness		\$,	\$,
Loans to cooperatives 2,695 4,911 Processing and marketing 24,052 36,302 Farm-related business 7,977 8,195 Total agribusiness 34,724 49,408 Communication 5,819 - Energy and water/waste disposal 5,819 - Rural residential real estate 15,316 12,246 Lease receivables 261 279 Other (including mission-related) 2,225 6,977 Total nonaccrual loans \$ 786,887 \$ 795,076 Accruing restructured loans \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness 36,023 30,683 Loans to cooperatives - - Forcessing and marketing 36,023 30,683 Farm-related business - - Total agribusiness 36,023 30,683 Communication - - Energy and water/waste disposal - - Rural residential real estate			341,069		317,832
Processing and marketting 24,052 36,302 Farm-related business 7,977 8,195 Total agribusiness 34,724 49,408 Communication - 2,358 Energy and water/waste disposal 5,819 - Rural residential real estate 15,316 12,246 Lease receivables 261 279 Other (including mission-related) 2,225 6,977 Total nonaccrual loans \$ 786,887 \$ 795,076 Recruing restructured loans Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness - - Loans to cooperatives - - Processing and marketing 36,023 30,683 Parm-related business - - Communication - - Energy and water/waste disposal - - Eural residential real estate 590 - Lease receivables - - <					
Farm-related business 7,977 8,195 Total agribusiness 34,724 49,408 Communication 2,358 Energy and water/waste disposal 5,819 - Eural residential real estate 15,316 12,246 Lease receivables 261 279 Other (including mission-related) 2,225 6,977 Total nonaccrual loans 876,887 8 795,076 Receivables 10,515 \$ 7,730 Receivables 10,515 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 10,518 10,818 Accruing restructured loans 10,818 10,818 Agribusiness Loans to cooperatives - - - Processing and marketing 36,023 30,683 30,683 Farm-related business - - - Total agribusiness - - - Rural residential real estate 500 49,231 Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: -					
Total agribusiness 34,724 49,408 Communication — 2,358 Energy and water/waste disposal 5,819 — Rural residential real estate 15,316 12,246 Lease receivables 261 279 Other (including mission-related) 2,225 6,977 Total onaccrual loans \$ 786,887 \$ 795,076 Accruing restructured loans: Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness — — Loans to cooperatives — — Processing and marketing 36,023 30,683 Farm-related business — — Communication — — Centry and water/waste disposal — — Lease receivables — — Cother (including mission-related) — — Uther (including mission related) — — Accruing l					
Communication 5,819 Energy and water/waste disposal 5,819 Rural residential real estate 15,316 12,246 Lease receivables 261 279 Other (including mission-related) 2,225 6,977 Total nonaecrual loans \$ 786,887 \$ 795,076 Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness - - - Loans to cooperatives - - - Processing and marketing 36,023 30,683 - Farm-related business - - - Total agribusiness 36,023 30,683 - Communication - - - Energy and water/waste disposal - - - Energy and water/waste disposal - - - Cuber (including mission-related) - - - Total agribusiness \$ 63,326 \$ 49,231 <td>Farm-related business</td> <td></td> <td>7,977</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Farm-related business		7,977		
Energy and water/waste disposal 5,819 — Rural residential real estate 15,316 12,246 Lease receivables 261 279 Other (including mission-related) 2,225 6,977 Total nonaccrual loans \$ 786,887 \$ 795,076 Accruing restructured loans: Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Total agribusiness		34,724		49,408
Rural residential real estate 15,316 12,246 Lease receivables 261 279 Other (including mission-related) 2,225 6,977 Total nonaccrual loans \$ 786,887 \$ 795,076 Accruing restructured loans: Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness	Communication		_		2,358
Lease receivables 261 279 Other (including mission-related) 2,225 6,977 Total nonaccrual loans \$ 786,887 \$ 795,076 Accruing restructured loans: Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness — — Loans to cooperatives — — Processing and marketing 36,023 30,683 Farm-related business 36,023 30,683 Farm-related business 36,023 30,683 Communication — — Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Rural residential real estate 590 — Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — — Total accruing restructured loans \$ 63,326 \$ 49,231 Real estate mortgage \$ 4,431 \$ 4,604 Production and intermediate-term 481 1,19	Energy and water/waste disposal		5,819		_
Other (including mission-related) 2,225 6,977 Total nonaccrual loans \$ 786,887 \$ 795,076 Accruing restructured loans: 8 10,555 \$ 7,730 Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness - - Loans to cooperatives - - Processing and marketing 36,023 30,683 Farm-related business - - Total agribusiness 36,023 30,683 Communication - - Energy and water/waste disposal - - Energy and water/waste disposal - - Rural residential real estate 590 - Other (including mission-related) - - Other (including mission-related) - 481 1,195 Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: - - - Real estate mortgage \$ 4,431 \$ 4,604 + Production and intermediate-term 481 </td <td>Rural residential real estate</td> <td></td> <td>15,316</td> <td></td> <td>12,246</td>	Rural residential real estate		15,316		12,246
Total nonaccrual loans \$ 786,887 \$ 795,076 Accruing restructured loans: Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness 16,158 10,818 Loans to cooperatives — — — Processing and marketing 36,023 30,683 36,823 30,683 Farm-related business — — — — Communication — — — — Communication — — — — — — Total agribusiness 36,023 30,683 30,683 — <	Lease receivables		261		279
Accruing restructured loans: \$ 786,887 \$ 795,076 Accruing restructured loans: Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness 16,158 10,818 Loans to cooperatives — — Processing and marketing 36,023 30,683 Farm-related business — — Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Chiter (including mission-related) — — Chiter (including mission-related) — — Total accruing restructured loans \$ 63,326 \$ 49,231 Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: — — Real estate mortgage \$ 4,431 \$ 4,604 Production and intermediate-term 481 1,195 Agribusiness — — Loans to cooperatives — —	Other (including mission-related)		2,225		6,977
Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness 16,158 10,818 Loans to cooperatives — — Processing and marketing 36,023 30,683 Farm-related business 36,023 30,683 Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Rural residential real estate 590 — Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — — Total accruing restructured loans \$ 63,326 \$ 49,231 Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: S 4,431 \$ 4,604 Production and intermediate-term 481 1,195 Agribusiness — — Loans to cooperatives — — Processing and marketing — — Farm-related business — — Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal		\$	786,887	\$	795,076
Real estate mortgage \$ 10,555 \$ 7,730 Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness 16,158 10,818 Loans to cooperatives — — Processing and marketing 36,023 30,683 Farm-related business 36,023 30,683 Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Rural residential real estate 590 — Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — — Total accruing restructured loans \$ 63,326 \$ 49,231 Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: S 4,431 \$ 4,604 Production and intermediate-term 481 1,195 Agribusiness — — Loans to cooperatives — — Processing and marketing — — Farm-related business — — Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal					
Production and intermediate-term 16,158 10,818 Agribusiness - - Loans to cooperatives - - Processing and marketing 36,023 30,683 Farm-related business - - Total agribusiness 36,023 30,683 Communication - - Energy and water/waste disposal - - Energy and water/waste disposal - - Lease receivables - - Other (including mission-related) - - Total accruing restructured loans \$ 63,326 \$ 49,231 Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: - - Real estate mortgage \$ 4,431 \$ 4,604 Production and intermediate-term 481 1,195 Agribusiness - - Loans to cooperatives - - Processing and marketing - - Farm-related business - - Total agribusiness - -		•	10.555	•	5.5 20
Agribusiness ————————————————————————————————————	Real estate mortgage	\$		\$	· ·
Loans to cooperatives			16,158		10,818
Processing and marketing 36,023 30,683 Farm-related business - - Total agribusiness 36,023 30,683 Communication - - Energy and water/waste disposal - - Rural residential real estate 590 - Lease receivables - - Other (including mission-related) - - Total accruing restructured loans \$ 63,326 \$ 49,231 Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: - - Real estate mortgage \$ 4,431 \$ 4,604 Production and intermediate-term 481 1,195 Agribusiness - - Loans to cooperatives - - Processing and marketing - - Farm-related business - - Total agribusiness - - Communication - - Energy and water/waste disposal - - Rural residential real estate 4,742 6,374					
Farm-related business —			_		_
Total agribusiness 36,023 30,683 Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Rural residential real estate 590 — Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — — Total accruing restructured loans \$ 63,326 \$ 49,231 Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: Real estate mortgage \$ 4,431 \$ 4,604 Production and intermediate-term 481 1,195 Agribusiness — — Loans to cooperatives — — Processing and marketing — — Farm-related business — — Total agribusiness — — Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Rural residential real estate 4,742 6,374 Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — 543 Total nonperforming loans <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>36,023</td><td></td><td>30,683</td></t<>			36,023		30,683
Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Rural residential real estate 590 — Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — — Total accruing restructured loans \$ 63,326 \$ 49,231 Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: — — Real estate mortgage \$ 4,431 \$ 4,604 Production and intermediate-term 481 1,195 Agribusiness — — Loans to cooperatives — — Processing and marketing — — Farm-related business — — Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Rural residential real estate 4,742 6,374 Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — 543 Total nonperforming loans \$ 859,867 \$ 857,023 Other property owned 145,339 1			_		_
Energy and water/waste disposal	Total agribusiness		36,023		30,683
Rural residential real estate 590 — Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — — Total accruing restructured loans \$ 63,326 \$ 49,231 Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: Real estate mortgage \$ 4,431 \$ 4,604 Production and intermediate-term 481 1,195 Agribusiness — — Loans to cooperatives — — Processing and marketing — — Farm-related business — — Total agribusiness — — Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Rural residential real estate 4,742 6,374 Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — 543 Total nonperforming loans \$ 859,867 \$ 857,023 Other property owned 145,339 146,416 Total nonperforming assets \$ 1,005,206 \$ 1,003,439	Communication		_		_
Lease receivables —			_		_
Other (including mission-related) —	Rural residential real estate		590		_
Total accruing restructured loans \$ 63,326 \$ 49,231 Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: Real estate mortgage \$ 4,431 \$ 4,604 Production and intermediate-term 481 1,195 Agribusiness — — Loans to cooperatives — — Processing and marketing — — Farm-related business — — Total agribusiness — — Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Rural residential real estate 4,742 6,374 Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — 543 Total accruing loans 90 days or more past due \$ 9,654 \$ 12,716 Total nonperforming loans \$ 859,867 \$ 857,023 Other property owned 145,339 146,416 Total nonperforming assets \$ 1,005,206 \$ 1,003,439 Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 4,39% 4,33%	Lease receivables		_		_
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: Real estate mortgage \$ 4,431 \$ 4,604 Production and intermediate-term 481 1,195 Agribusiness - - Loans to cooperatives - - Processing and marketing - - Farm-related business - - Total agribusiness - - Communication - - Energy and water/waste disposal - - Rural residential real estate 4,742 6,374 Lease receivables - - Other (including mission-related) - 543 Total accruing loans 90 days or more past due \$ 9,654 \$ 12,716 Total nonperforming loans \$ 859,867 \$ 857,023 Other property owned 145,339 146,416 Total nonperforming assets \$ 1,005,206 \$ 1,003,439 Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans 3.46% 3.45% Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 4.39% 4.33%	Other (including mission-related)		_		_
Real estate mortgage \$ 4,431 \$ 4,604 Production and intermediate-term 481 1,195 Agribusiness	Total accruing restructured loans	\$	63,326	\$	49,231
Real estate mortgage \$ 4,431 \$ 4,604 Production and intermediate-term 481 1,195 Agribusiness	Accruing loans 90 days or more past due:				
Agribusiness Loans to cooperatives Comparitives Comparitives Comparitives Comparitives Comparitives Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Comparitive Communication Communication Comparitive Communication Comparitive Communication Comparitive Comparitive Communication Comparitive		\$	4,431	\$	4,604
Agribusiness Loans to cooperatives Comparitives Comparitives Comparitives Comparitives Comparitives Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Comparitive Communication Communication Comparitive Communication Comparitive Communication Comparitive Comparitive Communication Comparitive	Production and intermediate-term		481		1,195
Coans to cooperatives					,
Processing and marketing — — Farm-related business — — Total agribusiness — — Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Rural residential real estate 4,742 6,374 Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — 543 Total accruing loans 90 days or more past due \$ 9,654 \$ 12,716 Total nonperforming loans \$ 859,867 \$ 857,023 Other property owned 145,339 146,416 Total nonperforming assets \$ 1,005,206 \$ 1,003,439 Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 3.46% 3.45%			_		_
Farm-related business — — Total agribusiness — — Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Rural residential real estate 4,742 6,374 Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — 543 Total accruing loans 90 days or more past due \$ 9,654 \$ 12,716 Total nonperforming loans \$ 859,867 \$ 857,023 Other property owned 145,339 146,416 Total nonperforming assets \$ 1,005,206 \$ 1,003,439 Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 3,46% 3,45%			_		_
Total agribusiness — — — Communication — — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — — Rural residential real estate 4,742 6,374 — Lease receivables — — — Other (including mission-related) — 543 Total accruing loans 90 days or more past due \$ 9,654 \$ 12,716 Total nonperforming loans \$ 859,867 \$ 857,023 Other property owned 145,339 146,416 Total nonperforming assets \$ 1,005,206 \$ 1,003,439 Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans 3.46% 3.45% Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 4.39% 4.33%			_		_
Communication — — Energy and water/waste disposal — — Rural residential real estate 4,742 6,374 Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — 543 Total accruing loans 90 days or more past due \$ 9,654 \$ 12,716 Total nonperforming loans \$ 859,867 \$ 857,023 Other property owned 145,339 146,416 Total nonperforming assets \$ 1,005,206 \$ 1,003,439 Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 3.46% 3.45%			_		_
Energy and water/waste disposal — Active of the property owned — Active of the property owned —			_		_
Rural residential real estate 4,742 6,374 Lease receivables — — Other (including mission-related) — 543 Total accruing loans 90 days or more past due \$ 9,654 \$ 12,716 Total nonperforming loans \$ 859,867 \$ 857,023 Other property owned 145,339 146,416 Total nonperforming assets \$ 1,005,206 \$ 1,003,439 Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 3.46% 3.45%			_		
Lease receivables — — — — — 543 Other (including mission-related) \$ 9,654 \$ 12,716 Total accruing loans 90 days or more past due \$ 859,867 \$ 857,023 Other property owned 145,339 146,416 Total nonperforming assets \$ 1,005,206 \$ 1,003,439 Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 3.46% 3.45%			4 742		6 374
Other (including mission-related) – 543 Total accruing loans 90 days or more past due \$ 9,654 \$ 12,716 Total nonperforming loans \$ 859,867 \$ 857,023 Other property owned 145,339 146,416 Total nonperforming assets \$ 1,005,206 \$ 1,003,439 Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 3.46% 3.45% Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 4.39% 4.33%			7,772		0,574
Total accruing loans 90 days or more past due \$ 9,654 \$ 12,716 Total nonperforming loans \$ 859,867 \$ 857,023 Other property owned 145,339 146,416 Total nonperforming assets \$ 1,005,206 \$ 1,003,439 Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 3.46% 3.45% Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 4.39% 4.33%			_		5/12
Total nonperforming loans Other property owned Total nonperforming assets Separate		•	0.654	•	
Other property owned 145,339 146,416 Total nonperforming assets \$ 1,005,206 \$ 1,003,439 Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 4.39% 4.33%	Total according loans 90 days of more past due				
Total nonperforming assets \$ 1,005,206 \$ 1,003,439 Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and other property owned \$ 4.39% \$ 4.33%		\$	859,867	\$	857,023
Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 4.39% 4.33%	Other property owned		145,339		
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 4.39% 4.33%	Total nonperforming assets	\$	1,005,206	\$	1,003,439
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and other property owned 4.39% 4.33%	Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans		3.46%		3.45%
and other property owned 4.39% 4.33%	1 0				
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of capital 22.06% 24.14%			4.39%		4.33%
	Nonperforming assets as a percentage of capital		22.06%		24.14%

The following table presents information relating to impaired loans (including accrued interest) at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Impaired loans are loans for which it is probable that all principal and interest will not be collected according to the contractual terms of the loan.

(dollars in thousands)	Sej	otember 30, 2011	December 31, 2010				
Impaired nonaccrual loans:							
Current as to principal and interest	\$	240,469	\$	268,131			
Past due		546,418		526,945			
Total impaired nonaccrual loans		786,887		795,076			
Impaired accrual loans:							
Restructured		63,326		49,231			
90 days or more past due		9,654		12,716			
Total impaired accrual loans		72,980		61,947			
Total impaired loans	\$	859,867	\$	857,023			

Additional impaired loan information as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is summarized as follows:

Unpaid Recorded Principal Related Related Impaired Loans Impaired Loans	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011						
Investment Balance Allowance Loans Impaired Loa							
Impaired loans with a related allowance for credit losses: Real estate mortgage							
Real estate mortgage	11.5						
Real estate mortgage \$ 143,387 \$ 168,625 \$ 24,598 \$ 165,295 \$ 733 \$ 146,030 \$ 1,71 Production and intermediate-term 168,747 216,847 39,875 181,684 904 178,078 2,19 Agribusiness Loans to cooperatives - - - - - - 248 Processing and marketing 7,410 7,718 1,392 11,687 14 23,757 2 Farm-related business 5,206 5,742 111 5,400 21 6,426 7 Total agribusiness 12,616 13,460 1,503 17,087 35 30,431 9 Energy and water/waste disposal - - - - - - 4,404 Rural residential real estate 6,495 7,887 1,943 6,715 47 5,670 8 Lease Receivables 87 88 56 90 - 1,23 Other (including mission-related) -							
Production and intermediate-term 168,747 216,847 39,875 181,684 904 178,078 2,19 Agribusiness - - - - - - 248 Processing and marketing 7,410 7,718 1,392 11,687 14 23,757 2 Farm-related business 5,206 5,742 111 5,400 21 6,426 7 Total agribusiness 12,616 13,460 1,503 17,087 35 30,431 9 Energy and water/waste disposal - - - - - 4,404 Rural residential real estate 6,495 7,887 1,943 6,715 47 5,670 8 Lease Receivables 87 88 56 90 - 123 Other (including mission-related) - - - - - - - - 1,032	/13						
Agribusiness Loans to cooperatives - - - - - 248 Processing and marketing 7,410 7,718 1,392 11,687 14 23,757 2 Farm-related business 5,206 5,742 111 5,400 21 6,426 7 Total agribusiness 12,616 13,460 1,503 17,087 35 30,431 9 Energy and water/waste disposal - - - - - - 4,404 Rural residential real estate 6,495 7,887 1,943 6,715 47 5,670 8 Lease Receivables 87 88 56 90 - 123 Other (including mission-related) - - - - - - 1,032							
Processing and marketing 7,410 7,718 1,392 11,687 14 23,757 22 Farm-related business 5,206 5,742 111 5,400 21 6,426 7 Total agribusiness 12,616 13,460 1,503 17,087 35 30,431 9 Energy and water/waste disposal - - - - - - 4,404 Rural residential real estate 6,495 7,887 1,943 6,715 47 5,670 8 Lease Receivables 87 88 56 90 - 123 Other (including mission-related) - - - - - - 1,032							
Farm-related business 5,206 5,742 111 5,400 21 6,426 77 Total agribusiness 12,616 13,460 1,503 17,087 35 30,431 9 Energy and water/waste disposal - - - - - - 4,404 Rural residential real estate 6,495 7,887 1,943 6,715 47 5,670 8 Lease Receivables 87 88 56 90 - 123 Other (including mission-related) - - - - - - 1,032	_						
Total agribusiness 12,616 13,460 1,503 17,087 35 30,431 9 Energy and water/waste disposal - - - - - - 4,404 Rural residential real estate 6,495 7,887 1,943 6,715 47 5,670 8 Lease Receivables 87 88 56 90 - 123 Other (including mission-related) - - - - - 1,032	28						
Energy and water/waste disposal - - - - 4,404 Rural residential real estate 6,495 7,887 1,943 6,715 47 5,670 8 Lease Receivables 87 88 56 90 - 123 Other (including mission-related) - - - - - 1,032	71						
Rural residential real estate 6,495 7,887 1,943 6,715 47 5,670 8 Lease Receivables 87 88 56 90 - 123 Other (including mission-related) - - - - - 1,032	99						
Lease Receivables 87 88 56 90 - 123 Other (including mission-related) - - - - - 1,032	_						
Other (including mission-related) – – – – – – 1,032	88						
	1						
Total \$ 331,332 \$ 406,907 \$ 67,975 \$ 370,871 \$ 1,719 \$ 365,768 \$ 4,09							
	197						
<u> </u>							
Impaired loans with no related							
allowance for credit losses:							
Real estate mortgage \$ 259,072 \$ 369,140 \$ - \$ 253,920 \$ 1,305 \$ 261,237 \$ 3,17							
Production and intermediate-term 188,961 261,827 – 203,157 732 195,243 2,27	:74						
Agribusiness							
	37						
Processing and marketing 52,665 87,228 – 46,981 624 43,261 1,61							
	37						
Total agribusiness 58,131 95,637 – 53,560 639 48,554 1,68							
	79						
	88						
	3						
	15						
Total \$ 528,535 \$ 759,242 \$ - \$ 529,870 \$ 2,703 \$ 523,152 \$ 7,42	:20						
Total impaired loans:							
Real estate mortgage \$ 402,459 \$ 537,765 \$ 24,598 \$ 419,215 \$ 2,038 \$ 407,267 \$ 4,88							
Production and intermediate-term 357,708 478,674 39,875 384,841 1,636 373,321 4,47	:70						
Agribusiness							
	37						
Processing and marketing 60,075 94,946 1,392 58,668 638 67,018 1,64							
	80						
Total agribusiness 70,747 109,097 1,503 70,647 674 78,985 1,78							
	79						
,	276						
	4						
	15						
Total \$ 859,867 \$ 1,166,149 \$ 67,975 \$ 900,741 \$ 4,422 \$ 888,920 \$ 11,51	1 /						

		I	ecen	nber 31, 2010		Y	ear Ended l	Decembe	rest Income					
				Unpaid				Average	Interest Income					
	R	Recorded		Principal		Related	I	mpaired		Recognized on				
(dollars in thousands)	In	vestment		Balance	Al	lowance		Loans	Impai	ired Loans				
Impaired loans with a related														
allowance for credit losses:														
Real estate mortgage	\$	135,561	\$	155,495	\$	26,847	\$	139,818	\$, .				
Production and intermediate-term		158,444		220,702		36,722		144,517		2,651				
Agribusiness														
Loans to cooperatives		4,036		4,001		1,032		3,596						
Processing and marketing		29,542		30,924		3,566		26,320						
Farm-related business		6,006		6,477		496		5,351						
Total agribusiness		39,584		41,402		5,094		35,267						
Rural residential real estate		3,438		3,630		1,133		3,250						
Other (including mission-related)		1,546		1,546		600		1,454						
Total	\$	338,573	\$	422,775	\$	70,396	\$	324,306	\$	5,408				
Impaired loans with no related														
allowance for credit losses:														
Real estate mortgage	\$	282,749	\$	365,516	\$	_	\$	296,743	\$	4,142				
Production and intermediate-term		171,401		183,098		-		182,582		3,816				
Agribusiness		,		-				-		-				
Loans to cooperatives		875		834		-		779		13				
Processing and marketing		37,443		49,319		_		48,931		3,234				
Farm-related business		2,189		4,697		-		1,951		31				
Total agribusiness		40,507		54,850		_		51,661		3,278				
Communication		2,358		4,912		_		2,101		33				
Rural residential real estate		15,182		18,458		_		14,302		307				
Lease receivables		279		298		_		249		4				
Other (including mission-related)		5,974		5,907		_		6,147		167				
Total	\$	518,450	\$	633,039	\$	_	\$	553,785	\$	11,747				
Total impaired loans:														
Real estate mortgage	\$	418,310	\$	521,011	\$	26,847	\$	436,561	\$	6,269				
Production and intermediate-term	-	329,845	*	403,800	*	36,722	*	327,099	*	6,467				
Agribusiness		327,010		.05,000		50,722		327,077		0,107				
Loans to cooperatives		4,911		4,835		1,032		4,375		70				
Processing and marketing		66,985		80,243		3,566		75,251		3,653				
Farm-related business		8,195		11,174		496		7,302		116				
Total agribusiness		80,091		96,252		5,094		86,928		3,839				
Communication		2,358		4,912				2,101		33				
Rural residential real estate		18,620		22,088		1,133		17,552		376				
Lease receivables		279		298		-		249		4				
Other (including mission-related)		7,520		7,453		600		7,601		167				
Total	\$	857,023	\$	1,055,814	\$	70,396	\$	878,091	\$	17,155				
		007,023	Ψ	-,000,011	÷	. 0,570		3,0,0,1	4	17,100				

Unpaid principal balance represents the contractual principal balance of the loan.

There were no material commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose loans were classified as impaired at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses and period end recorded investment in loans at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 follows:

								Septe	mbe	r 30, 2011								
(dollars in thousands)		eal Estate Mortgage		duction and termediate- term	A	gribusiness	Co	mmunication	Er Wa	nergy and hter/Waste Disposal		Rural Residential Real Estate		Lease ceivables	(iı	Other Loans icluding nission related)		Total
Allowance for credit losses:																		
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	73,636	\$	83,759	\$	19,735	\$	415	\$	599	\$	3,117	\$	67	\$	1,001	\$	182,329
Charge-offs		(49,883)		(61,938)		(22,263)		-		(7,068)		(1,865)		(20)		(1,273)		(144,310)
Recoveries		2,049		1,384		66		825		-		116		20		-		4,460
Provision for loan losses		54,488		73,093		16,509		(901)		6,897		2,478		9		861		153,434
Adjustment due to merger		(8,845)		(5,948)		(1,101)		(10)		-		(193)		_		-		(16,097)
Other		(349)		335		(24)		_		_		38		_		_		_
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$	71,096	\$	90,685	\$	12,922	\$	329	\$	428	\$	3,691	\$	76	\$	589	\$	179,816
September 30, 2011 allowance	ending	g balance:																
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	24,598	\$	39,875	\$	1,503	\$	-	\$	_	\$	1,943	\$	56	\$	_	\$	67,975
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	\$	46,020	\$	50,277	\$	11,371	\$	329	\$	428	\$	1,668	\$	20	\$	589	\$	110,702
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$	478	\$	533	\$	48	\$	_	\$	_	\$	80	\$	_	\$	-	\$	1,139
Recorded investment in loans	s outst:	anding:																
Ending Balance at September 30, 2011	\$	9,945,497	\$	8,112,538	\$	1,837,137	\$	203,238	\$	336,473	\$	2,427,264	\$	3,428	\$	92,884	\$	22,958,459
September 30, 2011 recorded investment ending balance:																		
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	612,538	\$	610,046	\$	356,319	\$	-	\$	81,952	\$	2,008,169	\$	262	\$	5,785	\$	3,675,071
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	\$	9,300,332	\$	7,493,176	\$	1,479,103	\$	203,238	\$	254,521	\$	417,351	\$	3,166	\$	87,099	\$	19,237,986
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$	32,627	\$	9,316	\$	1,715	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1,744	\$		\$	_	\$	45,402
7		32,027	4	7,510	Ψ	1,,13	•		Ψ		Ψ	*,, 11	Ψ		Ψ		¥	.5,.52

	December 31, 2010																	
(dollars in thousands)		Production and Real Estate Intermediate- Mortgage term		Agribusiness		Co	Communication		Energy and Water/Waste Disposal		Rural Residential Real Estate		Lease Receivables		Other Loans icluding nission related)		Total	
Allowance for credit losses:																		
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$	66,642	\$	88,851	\$	33,148	\$	1,822	\$	518	\$	3,598	\$	7	\$	546	\$	195,132
Charge-offs		(84,319)		(63,796)		(12,611)		(2,554)		-		(2,605)		(63)		_		(165,948)
Recoveries		3,398		10,448		985		-		-		86		-		-		14,917
Provision for loan losses		87,915		48,256		(1,787)		1,147		81		2,038		123		455		138,228
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	73,636	\$	83,759	\$	19,735	\$	415	\$	599	\$	3,117	\$	67	\$	1,001	\$	182,329
December 31, 2010 allowance ending balance:																		
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	26,847	\$	36,722	\$	5,094	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1,133	\$	_	\$	600	\$	70,396
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	\$	46,789	\$	47,037	\$	14,641	\$	415	\$	599	\$	1,984	\$	67	\$	401	\$	111,933
Recorded investment in loans	outst	anding:																_
Ending Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	10,070,878	\$	8,178,044	\$	2,010,290	\$	200,910	\$	372,618	\$	2,266,699	\$	10,767	\$	98,550	\$	23,208,756
December 31, 2010 recorded investment ending balance:																		
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	599,576	\$	620,545	\$	307,028	\$	2,358	\$	79,917	\$	1,835,765	\$	6,438	\$	10,754	\$	3,462,381
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	\$	9,471,302	\$	7,557,499	\$	1,703,262	\$	198,552	\$	292,701	\$	430,934	\$	4,329	\$	87,796	\$	19,746,375

Purchased Impaired Loans Disclosures

District entities acquire loans individually and in groups or portfolios. For certain acquired loans that experienced deterioration in credit quality between origination and acquisition, the amount paid for the loan will reflect this fact. At acquisition, each loan is reviewed to determine whether there is evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and if it is probable that the holder would be unable to collect all amounts due according to the loan's contractual terms. If both conditions exist, the purchaser determines whether each such loan is to be accounted for individually or whether such loans would be assembled into pools of loans based on common risk characteristics (credit score, loan type, and date of origination, for example). Considerations of value should include expected prepayments, the estimated amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest, and other cash flows (expected at acquisition) for each loan and the subsequently aggregated pool of loans. Any excess of the loan's or pool's scheduled contractual principal and contractual interest payments over all of the cash flows expected at acquisition is an amount that should not be accreted to income (nonaccretable difference). The remaining amount, representing the excess of the loan's cash flows expected to be collected over the amount paid, is accreted into interest income over the remaining life of the loan or pool (accretable yield).

Accounting guidance requires that the purchaser continue to estimate cash flows expected to be collected over the life of the loan or pool. It then evaluates at the balance sheet date whether the present value of its loans, determined using the effective interest rate, has decreased and if so, recognizes a loss. For loans or pools that are not accounted for as debt securities, the present value of any subsequent increase in the loan's or pool's actual cash flows or cash flows expected to be collected is used first to reverse any existing valuation allowance for that loan or pool. For any remaining increases in cash flows expected to be collected, or for loans or pools accounted for as debt securities, a purchaser adjusts the amount of accretable yield recognized on a prospective basis over the loan's or pool's remaining life.

Valuation allowances for all purchased impaired loans reflect only those losses incurred after acquisition, that is, the present value of cash flows expected at acquisition that are not expected to be collected. Valuation allowances are established only subsequent to acquisition of the loans.

As discussed in Note 11, effective January 1, 2011, Farm Credit of North Florida, ACA (NFL), and Farm Credit of Southwest Florida, ACA (SWFL), merged with and into Farm Credit of South Florida, ACA (SFL), which then changed its name to Farm Credit of Florida, ACA (FCFL). The merger was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting guidance.

In connection with the merger, SFL (now FCFL) purchased impaired loans from NFL and SWFL that are not accounted for as debt securities. The carrying amounts of those loans included in the balance sheet amounts of loans receivable at September 30, 2011, were as follows.

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2011
Real estate mortgage	\$ 32,627
Production and intermediate-term	9,316
Agribusiness	
Loans to cooperatives	_
Processing and marketing	_
Farm-related business	1,715
Total agribusiness	1,715
Communication	_
Energy	_
Rural residential real estate	1,744
Total Loans	\$ 45,402

At September 30, 2011, the allowance for loan losses related to these loans was \$1.1 million. During the period ended September 30, 2011, provision expense on these loans was \$4.1 million. There were no reversals of allowance for loan losses during the period ended September 30, 2011 for these acquired loans. See above for a summary of changes in the total allowance for loan losses for the period ended September 30, 2011.

The total of loans acquired during 2011 for which it was probable at acquisition that all contractually required payments would not be collected are as follows.

(dollars in thousands)	
Real estate mortgage	\$ 57,735
Production and intermediate-term	18,862
Agribusiness	
Loans to cooperatives	-
Processing and marketing	2,196
Farm-related business	 1,734
Total agribusiness	 3,930
Communication	-
Energy	_
Rural residential real estate	 1,769
Total Loans	\$ 82,296

Certain of the loans acquired by FCFL in the business combination that are within the scope of purchased impaired loan guidance are not accounted for using that income recognition model because FCFL cannot reasonably estimate cash flows expected to be collected. Substantially all of the loans acquired were real estate collateral dependent loans. As discussed previously, the real estate market in Florida is extremely unstable, making the estimation of the amount and timing of a sale of loan collateral in essentially the same condition as received upon foreclosure indeterminate. As such, FCFL does not have the information necessary to reasonably estimate cash flows expected to be collected to compute its yield. Management determined a nonaccrual classification would be the most appropriate and that no income would be recognized on these loans as is allowed under accounting guidance. The purchase value of such impaired loans acquired during the year totaled \$82.3 million. The carrying amount at September 30, 2011 was \$45.4 million. These amounts are included in the carrying values, net of allowance, described above.

NOTE 4 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

FASB guidance on fair value measurements defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and requires fair value disclosures for certain assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis. For the District, these assets and liabilities consist primarily of investments available-for-sale, highly-liquid funds, derivative assets and liabilities, assets held in trust funds, standby letters of credit, impaired loans, other property owned, and collateral liabilities.

This guidance defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability.

This guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements to maximize the use of observable inputs, that is, inputs that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

A detailed description of the three levels of inputs and the classification of the District's financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy is contained in the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables present the assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 for each of the fair value hierarchy levels:

(dollars in thousands)		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		Total Fair Value
Assets:						
Investments available-for-sale:						
U.S. Govt. GNMA MBS/CMOs	\$	_	\$ 5,038,896	\$ _	\$	5,038,896
U.S. Govt. Agency MBS		_	1,660,043	_		1,660,043
Non-Agency CMOs		_	_	247,534		247,534
Commercial MBS		_	535	_		535
Asset-backed securities		_	_	29,576		29,576
Mission Related Investments		_	51,923	_		51,923
Total investments available-for-sale		_	6,751,397	277,110		7,028,507
Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances,						
CD's & others		_	10,000	_		10,000
Federal funds sold, securities purchased						
under resale agreements, and other		_	82,312	_		82,312
Interest rate swaps and						
other financial instruments		_	56,647	_		56,647
Assets held in trust funds		11,989	_	_		11,989
Total Assets	\$	11,989	\$ 6,900,356	\$ 277,110	\$	7,189,455
Liabilities:						·
Interest rate swaps and						
other financial instruments	\$	_	\$ _	\$ _	\$	_
Collateral liabilities		_	25,475	_		25,475
Standby letters of credit		_		3,230		3,230
Total Liabilities	\$	_	\$ 25,475	\$ 3,230	\$	28,705

(dollars in thousands)		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		Total Fair Value
Assets:						
Investments available-for-sale:						
U.S. Govt. GNMA MBS/CMOs	\$	_	\$ 4,947,011	\$ _	\$	4,947,011
U.S. Govt. Agency MBS		_	1,747,391	_		1,747,391
Non-Agency CMOs		_	_	295,526		295,526
Commercial MBS		_	925	_		925
Asset-backed securities		_	_	34,437		34,437
Total investments available-for-sale		_	6,695,327	329,963		7,025,290
Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances,						
CD's & others		_	52,000	_		52,000
Federal funds sold, securities purchased						
under resale agreements, and other		_	8,744	_		8,744
Interest rate swaps and						
other financial instruments		_	62,245	_		62,245
Assets held in trust funds		11,511	_	_		11,511
Total Assets	\$	11,511	\$ 6,818,316	\$ 329,963	\$	7,159,790
Liabilities:						
Interest rate swaps and						
other financial instruments	\$	_	\$ 8,781	\$ _	\$	8,781
Collateral liabilities		_	18,315	_		18,315
Standby letters of credit		_	_	3,336		3,336
Total Liabilities	\$	_	\$ 27,096	\$ 3,336	\$	30,432

The following tables present the changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. The District had no transfers of assets or liabilities into or out of Level 1 or Level 2 during the first nine months of 2011 and 2010.

	Asset-Backed Investment	Non- Agency	Standby Letters
(dollars in thousands)	Securities	CMOs	of Credit
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$ 34,437	\$ 295,526	\$ 3,336
Total gains or (losses) realized/unrealized:			
Included in earnings	(2,771)	(5,096)	_
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	2,846	7,858	_
Purchases	_	_	_
Sales	_	_	_
Issuances	_	_	503
Settlements	(4,936)	(50,754)	(609)
Transfers in and/or out of level 3	 _	_	_
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 29,576	\$ 247,534	\$ 3,230

	Asset-Backed Investment	Non- Agency	Standby Letters
(dollars in thousands)	Securities	CMOs	of Credit
Balance at January 1, 2010	\$ 47,465	\$ 360,027	\$ 5,236
Total gains or (losses) realized/unrealized:			
Included in earnings	(7,139)	(2,846)	_
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	12,013	34,491	_
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net	(11,936)	(70,171)	(1,315)
Transfers in and/or out of level 3	 _	_	
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$ 40,403	321,501	\$ 3,921

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 for each of the fair value hierarchy values are summarized below:

		September 30, 2011									
(dollars thousands)	in		Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total Fair Value		YTD Total Gains (Losses)
Assets: Impaired loans Other property		\$	_	\$	-	\$	239,467	\$	239,467	\$	(144,934)
owned			_		_		136,647		136,647		(25,031)

	 December 31, 2010								
(dollars in thousands)	Level		Level 2		Level		Total Fair Value		YTD Total Gains (Losses)
Assets:		Φ.		Φ.	267.004	Φ.	265004	Φ.	(122.001)
Impaired loans	\$ _	\$	_	\$	265,901	\$	265,901	\$	(129,881)
Other property owned	 _		_		148,553		148,553		(28,269)

NOTE 5 — DISCLOSURES ABOUT FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following table presents the carrying amounts and fair values of the District's financial instruments at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Carrying amounts include accrued interest if applicable.

Quoted market prices are generally not available for certain Systemwide debt securities, as described below. Accordingly, fair values are based on judgments regarding anticipated cash flows, future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates involve uncertainties and matters of judgment, and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

	Septembe	er 30, 2011	December 31, 2010			
(dollars in thousands)	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value		
Financial assets:						
Loans, net of allowance	\$ 22,778,643	\$ 23,063,981	\$ 23,026,427	\$ 23,063,218		
Derivative assets	56,647	56,647	62,245	62,245		
Cash and cash equivalents	1,729,765	1,729,765	1,463,700	1,463,700		
Investment securities	8,075,645	8,144,210	8,279,655	8,323,378		
Other investments	234,986	244,975	305,959	319,168		
Assets held in trust funds	11,989	11,989	11,511	11,511		
Financial liabilities:						
Bonds and notes	\$ 27,923,721	\$ 28,069,128	\$ 28,582,672	\$ 28,485,071		
Derivative liabilities	_	_	8,781	8,781		

A description of the methods and assumptions used to estimate the fair value of each class of the District's financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value follows:

A. **Loans:** Because no active market exists for the District's loans, fair value is estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows using the District's current interest rates at which similar loans currently would be made to borrowers with similar credit risk.

For purposes of determining fair value of accruing loans, the loan portfolio is segregated into pools of loans with homogeneous characteristics based upon repricing and credit risk. Expected future cash flows and interest rates reflecting appropriate credit risk are separately determined for each individual pool. Fair values of loans in a nonaccrual status are estimated to be the carrying amount of the loan less specific reserves. The carrying value of accrued interest approximates its fair value.

- B. Cash and Cash Equivalents: The carrying value is primarily utilized as a reasonable estimate of fair value.
- C. **Investment Securities:** Fair value is primarily based upon prices obtained from a third party valuation service. See additional information in Note 4.
- D. **Other Investments:** Fair value is estimated by discounting future annual cash flows using prevailing rates for similar instruments at year-end.
- E. **Bonds and Notes:** Systemwide bonds and notes are not regularly traded; thus, quoted market prices are not available. Fair value of these instruments is estimated by discounting expected future cash flows based on the quoted market price of similar maturity Treasury notes, assuming a constant estimated spread relationship between Systemwide bonds and notes and comparable Treasury notes.
- F. **Derivative Instruments:** The fair value of derivatives is the estimated amount to be received or paid to replace the instruments at the reporting date, considering current and projected interest rates. Where actively quoted market prices do not exist, estimated fair values are determined through internal market valuation models. See additional information in Note 8.
- G. Assets Held In Trust Funds: See Note 4 for discussion of estimation of fair value for these assets.

NOTE 6 — COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Under the Farm Credit Act of 1971, each Farm Credit System (System) bank is primarily liable for its portion of Systemwide bond and discount note obligations. Additionally, the banks are jointly and severally liable for the bonds and notes of the other System banks. The bonds and notes of the System totaled \$183.361 billion at September 30, 2011.

Legal actions are pending against AgFirst and certain District Associations in which claims for money damages are asserted. On the basis of information presently available, management and legal counsel are of the opinion that the ultimate liability, if any, from these actions, would not be material in relation to the combined financial position of AgFirst and District Associations.

NOTE 7 — EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The following is a table of retirement and other postretirement benefit expenses for the District:

1 of the nine months					
ended September 30,					
2011	2010				
\$ 35,535	\$ 36,130				
4,790	4,395				
7,763	6,435				
\$ 48,088	\$ 46,960				
	* 35,535 4,790 7,763				

For the nine months

The following is a table of retirement and other postretirement benefit contributions for the District. Projections are based upon actuarially determined amounts as of the most recent measurement date of December 31, 2010.

(dollars in thousands)	Actual	Projected	Projected
	YTD	Contributions	Total
	Through	for Remainder	Contributions
	9/30/11	of 2011	2011
Pensions Other postretirement benefits	\$ 447	\$ 48,547	\$ 48,994
	4,715	2,493	7,208
Total	\$ 5,162	\$ 51,040	\$ 56,202

Contributions in the above table include allocated estimates of funding for multi-employer plans in which the District participates. These amounts may change when a total funding amount and allocation is determined by the respective Plans' Sponsor Committees. Also, market conditions could impact discount rates and return on plan assets which could change contributions necessary before the next plan measurement date of December 31, 2011.

Further details regarding employee benefit plans are contained in the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders.

NOTE 8 – DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The District's goal is to minimize interest rate sensitivity by managing the repricing characteristics of assets and liabilities so that the net interest margin is not adversely affected by movements in interest rates. The District maintains an overall interest rate risk management strategy that may incorporate the use of derivative instruments to lower cost of funding or to reduce interest rate risk. Currently, the primary derivative type used by the District is interest rate swaps, which convert fixed interest rate debt to a lower floating interest rate than was achievable from issuing floating rate debt with identical repricing characteristics. They may allow the District to lower funding costs, allow it to diversify sources of funding, or alter interest rate exposures arising from mismatches between assets and liabilities. Interest rate swaps enable the District to raise long-term borrowings at fixed rates and swap them into floating rates that are lower than those available to the District if floating rate borrowings were made directly. Under these arrangements, the District agrees with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, payment streams calculated on a specified notional principal amount, with at least one stream based on a specified floating rate index.

The District may also purchase interest rate derivatives such as caps, in order to reduce the impact of rising interest rates on its floating-rate debt, and floors, in order to reduce the impact of falling interest rates on its floating-rate assets. In addition, the District may also fix a price to be paid in the future which qualifies as a derivative forward contract.

As a result of interest rate fluctuations, interest income and interest expense related to hedged variable-rate assets and liabilities, respectively, will increase or decrease. Another result of interest rate fluctuations is that hedged fixed-rate assets and liabilities will appreciate or depreciate in market value. The effects of any earnings variability or unrealized changes in market value are expected to be substantially offset by the District's gains or losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to these hedged assets and liabilities. The District considers its strategic use of derivatives to be a prudent method of managing interest rate sensitivity, as it prevents earnings from being exposed to undue risk posed by changes in interest rates.

The primary type of derivative instrument used and the amount of activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 is summarized in the following table:

Notional Amounts (dollars in millions)	I	eceive- Fixed waps	 rward ntracts
Balance at beginning of period Additions Maturities/amortization Terminations	\$	1,135 - (500) -	\$ 445 263 (688)
Balance at end of period	\$	635	\$ 20

By using derivative instruments, the District exposes itself to credit and market risk. If a counterparty fails to fulfill its performance obligations under a derivative contract, the District's credit risk will equal the fair value gain in the derivative. Generally, when the fair value of a derivative contract is positive, this indicates that the counterparty owes the District, thus creating a repayment risk for the District. When the fair value of the derivative contract is negative, the District owes the counterparty and, therefore, assumes no repayment risk.

To minimize the risk of credit losses, the District deals with counterparties that have an investment grade credit rating from a major rating agency and also monitors the credit standing of and levels of exposure to individual counterparties. The estimated gross credit risk exposure at September 30, 2011 of \$56.6 million was with six counterparties and represented approximately 8.87 percent of the total notional amount of interest rate swaps. The District held \$25.5 million of interest-bearing cash collateral posted by one counterparty related to these swaps. The District does not anticipate nonperformance by any of these counterparties. The estimated gross credit risk exposure at December 31, 2010 of \$62.2 million was with seven counterparties and represented approximately 5.48 percent of the total notional amount of interest rate swaps. The District held \$18.3 million of interest-bearing cash collateral posted by one counterparty related to these swaps. The District typically enters into master agreements that contain netting provisions. These provisions allow the District to require the net settlement of covered contracts with the same counterparty in the event of default by the counterparty on one or more contracts. A number of swaps are supported by collateral arrangements with counterparties. At period end, the District had not posted collateral with respect to any of these arrangements.

The District's derivative activities which are performed by the Bank, are monitored by its Asset-Liability Management Committee (ALCO) as part of the Committee's oversight of the District's asset/liability and treasury functions. The Bank's ALCO is responsible for approving hedging strategies that are developed within parameters established by the Bank's Board of Directors through the Bank's analysis of data derived from financial simulation models and other internal and industry sources. The resulting hedging strategies are then incorporated into the District's overall interest rate risk-management strategies.

Fair-Value Hedges

For derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges, the gains or losses on the derivative, as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk, are recognized in current earnings. The Bank includes the gain or loss on the hedged items in the same line item (interest expense) as the offsetting loss or gain on the related interest rate swaps. The amount of the loss on interest rate swaps recognized in interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$5.9 million, while the amount of the gain on the Systemwide debt securities was \$5.9 million. The amount of the gain on interest rate swaps recognized in interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$10.6 million, while the amount of the loss on the Systemwide debt securities was \$10.6 million. Gains and losses on each derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

Cash Flow Hedges

From time to time, the District may acquire when-issued securities, generally Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) bonds. The when-issued transactions are contracts to purchase securities that will not be delivered until 30 or more days in the future. These purchase commitments are considered derivatives (cash flow hedges) in the form of forward contracts. Changes in market value of the contracted securities, between purchase and settlement date, represent the effective portion of the District's forward contracts. These amounts are included in Other Comprehensive Income (OCI), and Other Liabilities or Other Assets as appropriate, as firm commitments in the District's Combined Balance Sheet for each period end.

At September 30, 2011 the District had committed to purchase \$20.5 million in when-issued GNMA bonds that had a market value of \$20.8 million, a \$335 thousand increase in value. At December 31, 2010 the District had committed to purchase \$444.5 million in when-issued GNMA bonds that had a market value of \$435.7 million, an \$8.8 million decline in value.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, such as the District's forward contracts, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

Fair Values of Derivative Instruments

The following tables represent the fair value of derivative instruments at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(dollars in thousands)	Balance Sheet 9/30/11 Classification – Fair Assets Value		Balance Sheet Classification - Liabilities	9/30/11 Fair Value	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Receive-fixed swaps Forward contracts	Other Assets Other Assets	\$ 56,312 333		\$	_
Total		\$ 56,64	7	\$	_

(dollars in thousands)	Balance Sheet Classification - Assets	12/31/10 Fair Value	Balance Sheet Classification – Liabilities	2/31/10 Fair Value
Derivatives designated as hedging				
instruments: Receive-fixed				
swaps Forward	Other Assets	\$ 62,245	Other Liabilities	\$ _
contracts	Other Assets	_	Other Liabilities	8,781
Total		\$ 62,245		\$ 8,781

The following table sets forth the amount of net gain (loss) recognized in the Combined Statement of Income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

(dollars in thousands)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in the Statement of Income	Gair Recog Sta	2011 mount of n or (Loss) gnized in the tement of ncome	An Gain Recogn State	2010 nount of or (Loss) nized in the ement of
Derivatives – Fair Value Hedging Relationships:	Income	1	ncome		come
Receive-fixed swaps	Noninterest Income	\$	_	\$	131
Total		\$	_	\$	131

The following table sets forth the amount of net gain (loss) recognized in the Combined Statements of Income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and the amount of net gain (loss) recognized in the Combined Balance Sheets for September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

	(Loss) Re	t of Gain or ecognized in	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from	(Loss) Ro	of Gain or eclassified OCI into	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded	(Loss) R in Inc Deri (Inef Porti Amount	of Gain or ecognized ome on vative fective on and Excluded
(dollars in thousands)		Derivative ve Portion)	AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)		Effective tion)	from Effectiveness Testing)		ectiveness ting)
	2011	2010		2011	2010		2011	2010
Derivatives – Cash Flow Hedging Relationships: Firm Commitments	\$ 2,702	\$ (8,751)	Interest Income	\$ (208)	\$ -	Interest Income	\$ -	\$ -

NOTE 9 — BANK ONLY FINANCIAL DATA

Condensed financial information of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank follows:

Balance Sheet Data

(dollars in thousands)	9/30/11	12/31/10		
	(unaudited)	(audited)		
Cash, cash equivalents and investment securities	\$ 9,584,320	\$ 9,503,711		
Loans	20,428,537	20,905,165		
Less: allowance for loan losses	28,109	14,873		
Net loans	20,400,428	20,890,292		
Other assets	340,398	387,563		
Total assets	\$ 30,325,146	\$ 30,781,566		
Bonds and notes	\$ 27,681,591	\$ 28,325,569		
Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock	225,000	225,000		
Other liabilities	170,914	328,216		
Total liabilities	28,077,505	28,878,785		
Perpetual preferred stock	400,000	400,000		
Capital stock and participation certificates	409,500	417,333		
Retained earnings	1,323,978	1,053,119		
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	114,163	32,329		
Total shareholders' equity	2,247,641	1,902,781		
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 30,325,146	\$ 30,781,566		

Statement of Income Data

		ne nine months September 30,		
(dollars in thousands)	2011	2010		
	(unaudited)	(unaudited)		
Interest income	\$ 672,167	\$ 721,931		
Interest expense	230,240	300,774		
Net interest income	441,927	421,157		
Provision for loan losses	58,273	33,626		
Net interest income after				
provision for loan losses	383,654	387,531		
Noninterest expense, net	89,138	80,334		
Net income	\$ 294,516	\$ 307,197		

NOTE 10 — REGULATORY ENFORCEMENT MATTERS

At September 30, 2011, FCA had entered into written supervisory agreements with three District Associations with combined assets of approximately \$950.0 million. Those agreements require the three District Associations to take corrective actions with respect to certain areas of their operations, including capital, portfolio management, and asset quality. These enforcement actions are not expected to have a significant impact on the Bank's or District's financial condition or results of operations.

NOTE 11 – DISTRICT MERGER ACTIVITY

Effective January 1, 2011, Farm Credit of North Florida, ACA, and Farm Credit of Southwest Florida, ACA, merged with and into Farm Credit of South Florida, ACA, after the FCA granted final approval of the merger on December 20, 2010. Farm Credit of South Florida then changed its name to Farm Credit of Florida, ACA. The merger was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting guidance.

Prior to the merger, those Associations entered into an agreement with the Bank under which the Bank would provide limited financial assistance to the merged Association in the event of substantial further deterioration in the combined high risk asset portfolio of the merged Association. This agreement relates only to a finite pool of high risk assets of the merged Association existing at the merger date, which had a net total of approximately \$199.0 million and \$250.0 million at September 30, 2011, and January 1, 2011, respectively. Through this agreement, the merged Association will absorb substantial losses on these high risk assets in advance of the Bank providing financial assistance. This financial "safety net" from the Bank does not include losses that are sustained outside of the high risk asset pool. The agreement provides protection to the Bank, such as limitation on the Association's ability to make patronage distributions and certain other restrictions which are imposed if the merged Association's capital levels fail to meet minimum established levels. Assistance under the agreement, if any, is not expected to have a material impact on the financial condition of the Bank or District.

As the accounting acquirer, South Florida accounted for the transaction by using its historical information and accounting policies and adding the identifiable assets and liabilities of North Florida and Southwest Florida as of the acquisition date of January 1, 2011 at their respective fair values.

As cooperative organizations, Farm Credit associations operate for the mutual benefit of their borrowers and other customers, and not for the benefit of equity investors. As such, their capital stock provides no significant interest in corporate earnings or growth. Specifically, due to restrictions in applicable regulations and the bylaws, the associations can issue stock only at its par value of \$5 per share, the stock is not tradable, and the stock can be retired only for the lesser of par value or book value. In these and other respects, the shares of North Florida and Southwest Florida stock that were converted in the merger and the shares of Farm Credit of Florida's stock to which they were converted had identical rights and attributes. For this reason, the conversion of North Florida and Southwest Florida stock pursuant to the merger occurred at a one-for-one exchange ratio (i.e., each North Florida and Southwest Florida share was converted into one share of Florida's stock with an equal par value).

Management believes that because the stock in each association is fixed in value (although subject to impairment), the Association's stock issued pursuant to the merger provides no basis for estimating the fair value of the consideration transferred pursuant to the merger. In the absence of a purchase price determination, the Association identified and estimated the acquisition date fair value of North Florida and Southwest Florida's equity interests instead of the fair value of South Florida's equity interests transferred as consideration. The fair value of the assets acquired, including specific intangible assets and liabilities assumed from North Florida and Southwest Florida, was measured based on various estimates using assumptions that the Association's management believes are reasonable utilizing information currently available. Use of different estimates and judgments could yield materially different results. This evaluation produced a fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed that was substantially equal to the fair value of the member interests transferred in the merger. As a result, management recorded no goodwill.

The following table reflects the fair values of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed from North Florida and Southwest Florida as well as the merged entity balances at January 1, 2011:

Consolidation of Fair Value of Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed at January 1, 2011

(dollars in thousands)	in thousands) SW Florida		North Florida		So	South Florida		Florida	
	(unaudited)		(unaudited)		(unaudited)		(unaudited)	
Assets Cash	\$	_	\$	13	\$	2,790	\$	2,803	
Investment securities:									
Held to maturity		39,553		_		1,987		41,540	
Loans		215,972		385,302		559,912		1,161,186	
Less: allowance for loan losses				_		10,679		10,679	
Net loans		215,972		385,302		549,233		1,150,507	
Other investments		-		10,639		_		10,639	
Accrued interest receivable		1,405		1,871		2,086		5,362	
Investments in other Farm Credit institutions		6,495		9,486		8,716		24,697	
Premises and equipment, net Other property owned		867 2,173		2,575 6,310		5,348 4,516		8,790 12,999	
Due from AgFirst Farm Credit Bank		2,173		4,038		4,310		10,859	
Other assets		4,924		3,887		4,658		13,469	
Total assets	\$	273,726	\$	424,121	\$	583,818	\$	1,281,665	
Liabilities									
Notes payable to AgFirst Farm Credit Bank	\$	242,347	\$	369,481	\$	454,284	\$	1,066,112	
Accrued interest payable		482		823		1,006		2,311	
Patronage refund payable		15		40		671		726	
Advanced conditional payments		-		407		3,710		4,117	
Other liabilities		3,312		4,345		5,119		12,776	
Total liabilities		246,156		375,096		464,790		1,086,042	
Commitments and contingencies									
Members' Equity									
Protected borrower stock		227		40		2,463		2,730	
Capital stock and participation certificates		525		1,411		635		2,571	
Additional paid in capital Retained earnings		1,341		6,702		(121)		7,922	
Allocated		25,592		40,872		30,879		97,343	
Unallocated				_		85,057		85,057	
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(115)		_		115			
Total members' equity		27,570		49,025		119,028		195,623	
Total liabilities and members' equity	\$	273,726	\$	424,121	\$	583,818	\$	1,281,665	

Disclosures related to acquired impaired loans are contained in Note 3, Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses.

The acquisition method of accounting requires the financial statement presentation of combined balances as of the date of the merger, but of only the acquirer for previous periods.

NOTE 12 — SUBSEQUENT EVENTS
The District has evaluated subsequent events and has determined there are none requiring disclosure through November 7, 2011, which is the date the financial statements were issued.