

# Riemann Surfaces - Spring 2024

## EXERCICES SHEET 5

---

Ex 1.  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  non-const holomorphic,  $X, Y$  meet RSEs.

- By Riemann-Hurwitz (RH):

$$2g_X - 2 = \underbrace{\deg(f)}_{\geq 1} (2g_Y - 2) + \underbrace{\sum_{x \in \text{Ram}_f} (\mu_x(f) - 1)}_{\geq 0}$$

Hence,  $2g_X - 2 \geq 2g_Y - 2$ , which simplifies as  $g_X \geq g_Y$ .

- By RH

$$\sum_{x \in \text{Ram}_f} (\mu_x(f) - 1) = 0.$$

Since the summands are positive, we conclude that  $\text{Ram}_f = \emptyset$ , that is  $f$  is unramified.

- By RH,

$$2g_X - 2 = \deg(f)(-2) + 0 \Rightarrow g_X = 1 - \deg(f).$$

Since  $\deg(f) \geq 1$  and  $g_X \geq 0$ , we conclude that

$$g_X = 0 \quad \& \quad \deg(f) = 1.$$

But  $\deg(f) = 1$  implies that  $f$  is a biholomorphism, hence

$$X \cong \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$$

- By Riemann-Hurwitz (set  $g_X = g_Y = g \geq 2$ )

$$(2g-2) = \deg(f)(2g-2) + \sum_{x \in \text{Ran } f} (\mu_x(f)-1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{(1-\deg(f))}_{\leq 0} \underbrace{(2g-2)}_{\geq 0} = \underbrace{\sum_{x \in \text{Ran } f} (\mu_x(f)-1)}_{\geq 0}$$

Thus, we conclude that  $\deg(f) = 1$ , hence  $X$  and  $Y$  are biholomorphic.

Ex 2. Looking at  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  as  $[z:1] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ , we find

$$F: \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$$

$$[z:w] \mapsto [z^3: w^3 - z^2 w] \quad \leftarrow \text{NB: } F \text{ is well-defined.}$$

Indeed, in the chart  $\{w \neq 0\}$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} F([z:w]) &= F\left(\left[\frac{z}{w}:1\right]\right) = \left[\frac{z^3}{w^3} : 1 - \frac{z^2}{w^2}\right] \\ &\stackrel{|}{=} \left[\frac{(z/w)^2}{1-(z/w)^2} : 1\right] \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely the eqn for  $f$ .

As for the degree, notice that the eqn  $f(z) = 1$  has 3 distinct solutions of mult. 1. Hence,  $\deg f = 3$ .

The ram points are the zeros of  $f'$  (+ possibly  $\infty = [1:0]$ ). We have

$$f'(z) = \frac{z^2(3-z^2)}{(z^2-1)^2} = 0 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad z=0 \\ z = \pm\sqrt{3}$$

Notice that  $\mu_0(f) = 3$  and  $\mu_{\pm\sqrt{3}}(f) = 2$ .

$$f''(z) = -\frac{2z(z^2+3)}{(z^2-1)^3} \quad \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{at } z=0 \\ \neq 0 & \text{at } z = \pm\sqrt{3} \end{cases}$$

$$f'''(z) = \frac{6(z^4+6z^2+1)}{(z^2-1)^4} \neq 0 \quad \text{at } z=0$$

To conclude, we need to check the point at  $\infty$ . To this end, we can consider  $F$  in the chart  $\{z \neq 0\}$

$$F([2:w]) = F\left([1:\frac{w}{2}]\right) = \left[1: \frac{w^3}{2^3} - \frac{w}{2}\right]$$

which is nothing but the funct

$$g(w) = w^3 - w.$$

$$\text{We have } g'(w)|_{w=0} = 3w^2 - 1|_{w=0} \neq 0, \text{ so } \infty = [1:0]$$

is not a ram. pt. Notice that  $g'=0$  has  $w = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$  as solution, in accordance w/ the computation for  $f$ .

To sum up:

- $\deg f = 3$
- $\text{Ran}_f = \left\{ \underbrace{0 = [0:1]}_{\mu=3}, \underbrace{\pm\sqrt{3} = [\pm\sqrt{3}:1]}_{\mu=2} \right\}$

In this case, RH reads

$$\underbrace{(2g_{P(\mathbb{C})}-2)}_{=-2} \stackrel{\oplus}{=} \underbrace{3 \cdot (2g_{P(\mathbb{C})}-1)}_{=-6} + \underbrace{((3-1)+(2-1)+(2-1))}_{=4}$$

Ex 3. Consider  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Z}(z_0^n + z_1^n - z_2^n) \subset P^2(\mathbb{C})$  Fermat's curve. If  $F, G, H \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  are non-constant, homog, coprime polys, satisfying  $F^n + G^n - H^n = 0$ , we deduce that

$\varphi: P^1(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, [z:w] \mapsto [F(z,w) : G(z,w) : H(z,w)]$   
is well-defined.

It can be easily seen that  $\varphi$  is holomorphic. Moreover,  $\varphi$  has degree  $d = \deg F = \deg G = \deg H$ , since  $\varphi([z:w]) = \text{pt}$  has solutions (counted w/ multiplicity). By RH:

$$(2g_{P(\mathbb{C})}-2) \geq d \cdot (2g_{\mathcal{C}}-2).$$

Notice that  $g_{\mathbb{P}^1} = 0$ ,  $g_{\mathbb{C}} = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$  (by genus-deg formula). Hence:

$$-2 \geq \sum_{\geq 1} d \left( (n-1)(n-2) - 2 \right) \iff (n-1)(n-2) \leq 0$$

The polynomial  $p(n) = (n-1)(n-2)$  take zero-values for  $n=1,2$  and is increasing for  $n \geq 2$  w/  $p(3) = 2$ . Hence,  $p(n) \leq 0$  has no solutions when  $n \geq 2$ .

Ex 4. By definition,  $\text{ord}_{z_0}(f) = \infty$  if  $f \equiv 0$ .

Consider now  $f, g$  meromorphic in  $\Omega$ . Then:

$$f(z) = a_m (z-z_0)^m + O((z-z_0)^{m-1}) \quad a_m \neq 0$$

$$g(z) = b_n (z-z_0)^n + O((z-z_0)^{n-1}) \quad b_n \neq 0$$

$$\hookrightarrow (f \cdot g)(z) = \underbrace{a_m \cdot b_n}_{\neq 0} (z-z_0)^{m+n} + O((z-z_0)^{m+n-1})$$

$$\hookrightarrow (f+g)(z) = \begin{cases} a_m (z-z_0)^m + \dots & \text{if } m < n \\ b_n (z-z_0)^n + \dots & \text{if } n < m \\ (a_m + b_n) (z-z_0)^m + \dots & \text{if } m = n \end{cases}$$

We deduce that:-

$$\text{ord}_{z_0}(f \cdot g) = m+n = \text{ord}_{z_0}(f) + \text{ord}_{z_0}(g)$$

$$\text{ord}_{z_0}(f+g) \geq \min\{m, n\} = \min\{\text{ord}_{z_0} f, \text{ord}_{z_0} g\}.$$

The inequality is due to the fact that we can have  $a_m + b_m = 0$ , thus getting a higher order at  $z_0$  for  $f+g$ .